

IEEE P2600 Hardcopy Device and System Security comments

Cl **PP-A** SC P L # 2 [REDACTED]  
 Yami, Sameer Toshiba

Comment Type **G** Comment Status **D**  
 Which document will describe the threats in detail?

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

More detail will be added to the PPs. Potentially, massive detail and examples would be candidates for inclusion in the Guide to PPs document.

Cl **PP-A** SC **5.5.3** P **9** L # 3 [REDACTED]  
 Yami, Sameer Toshiba

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **D**  
 It should be mentioned over here that TSF data should be defined by the ST Author to allow flexibility for future technologies.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

All TSF data must be explicitly defined in the ST. The PP will contain a minimal set.

Cl **PP-A** SC **FAU\_STG.2** P L # 5 [REDACTED]  
 Yami, Sameer Toshiba

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **D**  
 FAU\_STG.1.2 should have a selection of prevent or detect. Detection is easier to implement than prevention

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **PP-A** SC **FMT\_MTD.1** P L # 1 [REDACTED]  
 Yami, Sameer Toshiba

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **D**  
 TSF data is classified into two types.  
 Shouldn't it be classified when mentioning the SFRs?

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status **W**  
 PROPOSED REJECT.

See response to comment 4.

Cl **PP-A** SC **Table 15** P **31** L # 4 [REDACTED]  
 Yami, Sameer Toshiba

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **D**  
 O.PROT.STORED.NO\_ALT and O.CONF.STORED.NO\_DIS have exactly the same entries. There should be a separate SFR for at least one of them so that they can be distinguished.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status **W**  
 PROPOSED REJECT.

This is true and is as designed. Smithson will look at the rationale tables as a possible place to make the reason clear.