

IEEE P2600 Hardcopy Device and System Security comments

CI **PP-A** SC **4.Protection** Profile **r P 3** L **14** # **1**  
Shigeru, Ueda Canon

Comment Type **G** Comment Status **D**

I believe this sentence says that all the function of the HCD should be conformed to this PP. For example, HCD which have Copy,Scan,Print,Fax function can not declare conforming to this PP if it has conformed only P2600.1-PRT because this HCD has other functions.

I agree this is important rule, but I also believe that user should define security requirement by himself and not by this document, or in other word user should have the right to choose the security function of his requirement.

For example if the user says that he does not need P2600.1-FAX about the HCD which has FAX function, this HCD should not have P2600.1-FAX in it's ST. There is the way that user turn off the FAX related function of the HCD, but it turns out to force user to take risk for that if that HCD can guarantee conforming to CC only in the case that all the security function of the HCD is on.

*SuggestedRemedy*

So I would like to propose to change the word to:

""In other words, this Family of Protection Profiles can be used to create a security target or protection profile only for a target of evaluation that performs at least one of the functions that define hardcopy devices (print, scan, copy, or fax), and conforms to every Protection Profile whose Usage statement describes a function that is present in its target of evaluation. HOWEVER, IT CAN BE CHANGED BY THE SPECIFIC USER REQUIREMENT.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

DEFER

Alan will talk to his lab and report back how the labs would consider this scenario.