

IEEE P2600 Meeting #42

March 19, 2009

(teleconference)

Attendees

Chair: Don Wright, Lexmark
Vice Chair: Lee Farrell, Canon
Secretary: Brian Smithson, Ricoh

Carmen Aubry, Océ
Shah Bhatti, Samsung
Nancy Chen, Oki Data
Peter Cybuck, Sharp
Nick Del Re, Canon
Tom Haapanen, Equitrac
Harry Lewis, InfoPrint
Ron Nevo, Sharp
Glen Petrie, Epson
Amir Shahindoust, Toshiba
Alan Sukert, Xerox
Jerry Thrasher, Lexmark
Bill Wagner, TIC

In the following sections, names of speakers are indicated by [brackets]. The primary speaker for a session is noted at the beginning of the session as a default; others are noted in place. Some comments may appear in these minutes, indicated by {braces}, inserted by the Secretary for clarity.

Attendees.....	1
Administrivia [Wright]	2
Introductions.....	2
Agenda review.....	2
Minutes and Agenda approvals	2
IEEE patent policy	2
IEEE WG guidelines.....	2
Officers and Editors.....	2
Meeting schedule updates [Wright]	2
Action items from previous meeting [Wright].....	3
Recirculation status [Wright]	3
PP validation status [Wright]	3
PP Guide review [Sukert].....	5
Production Printing environment [Lewis].....	5
Schedule [Wright].....	6
Next meeting details [Wright]	6
Closing [Wright].....	7

* *Called to order at 11:10 AM EDT 3/19/2009* *

Administrivia [Wright]

The following administrative items were reviewed:

Introductions

Agenda review

Refer to meeting slides for detail <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/2600/presentations/P2600-Mar2009.ppt>

Minutes and Agenda approvals

The February 2009 minutes were approved without changes. The March 2009 agenda was presented and approved with no changes.

IEEE patent policy

See slides for the policy: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/2600/presentations/P2600-Mar2009.ppt>

Patent policy was reviewed. No holders of potentially essential patents or applications were identified.

IEEE WG guidelines

IEEE guidelines on such things as inappropriate topics were reviewed. No issues were identified.

Officers and Editors

No changes:

Officers:

Chair: Don Wright, Lexmark.

Vice Chair: Lee Farrell, Canon.

Secretary: Brian Smithson, Ricoh.

Lead editors:

Editor (2600): Jerry Thrahser, Lexmark.

Editor (2600.n): Brian Smithson, Ricoh.

Meeting schedule updates [Wright]

The most current P2600 meeting information is always available at: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/2600/meetings.html>.

- We will use the April meeting primarily for the PP Guide. It is not certain that we will need additional meetings.

April 30-May 1, 2009

- Location: Oki Data, Mt. Laurel NJ
- With PWG

July 14-15, 2009 (tentative)

- Location TBD
- May move this to July 10 and hold the meeting in the SF Bay Area

No schedule conflicts or issues were identified during this meeting.

Action items from previous meeting [Wright]

The action item spreadsheet was reviewed and updated:

Pre-meeting:

<http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/2600/actionitems/P2600-action-items-20090318.xls>

End-of-meeting meeting:

<http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/2600/actionitems/P2600-action-items-20090219.xls>

Post-meeting reconciliation:

<http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/2600/actionitems/P2600-action-items-20090327.xls>

Notable action items (all are closed):

- **#499** It will be something similar to what can be seen for “Get IEEE 802” at <http://standards.ieee.org/getieee802/>.

Recirculation status [Wright]

- **2600.1** only one comment was received (IEEE editors confirming that the draft met their requirements), and the ballot passed 100%.
- **2600.2/3/4** we will wait for comments from atsec and BSI before doing a final recirculation on these drafts

PP validation status [Wright]

- 2600.1 publication (and therefore, validation by NIAP) will not occur until sometime between September and December unless we pay an expedited publication fee to IEEE; if we pay the expedited publication fee, then it would be published within 30 days of IEEE-SA approval
- We recently learned that BSI charges a fee for PP validation, somewhere between €2000-3000.
- Two options were presented to companies that previously provided funds for PP validation: (1) raise additional funds to pay the expedited publication fee for 2600.1 and 2600.2 and to pay the BSI fee for 2600.2, and (2) negotiate with IEEE and BSI to see if we can pay the expedited publication fee for 2600.1 only and also pay the BSI fee for 2600.2 without raising additional funds
- Company responses:
 - Lexmark and Xerox: option 1
 - Sharp and Ricoh: option 2

- Canon: no opinion on the options, but is willing to contribute to a fund to pay for copyright release of 2600.3 and 2600.4
 - Océ and InfoPrint: not interested in expediting either 2600.1 or 2600.2, but would prefer to retain funds for a Production Printing protection profile
 - Oki Data, Toshiba, and Samsung: no response from management at this time
- There will be some discussion about this at a JBMIA meeting on March 25 [Smithson]
- Resolution: work toward option 2, and await further input from companies after we have the results of negotiation with IEEE and a fee quotation from BSI
- Evaluation status: [Smithson]
 - 2600.1 has a final evaluation report from atsec and a preliminary validation report from NIAP, but it is waiting on IEEE approval and publication issues
 - 2600.2 has a final evaluation report from atsec, and is held up because we cannot start the validation project with BSI until we have a fee quotation
 - 2600.3 is currently being evaluated; the evaluator has submitted a few minor comments
 - 2600.4 no status
- NIAP CCEVS announcement [Smithson]
 - The announcement was about a new strategy for developing US PPs. I think they are moving away from robustness levels and making the requirements better reflect current state of the art and not so much of a wish list.
 - I checked with them and they said there is no impact on 2600.1.
 - They talked about CC version 4? [Nevo]
 - I don't expect CC v4 to come out for a few years.
 - At a DHS conference, there was some pressure to have more US influence on CC [Cybuck]
 - They are trying to make it more relevant to commercial products [Sukert]
- Is the plan to still complete the evaluation of 2600.3 and 2600.4? [Thrasher]
 - The plan is to complete the evaluations and the IEEE approval process, and then if someone wants to fund validation, we can take them off the shelf [Wright]
 - Will they be available? [Chen]
 - On the IEEE web site, but not for free. Sponsoring companies will have a license for 10 copies [Wright]
 - Can they be used to write an ST? [Farrell]
 - If you've signed the license, you can use text from those PPs to write an ST, but you cannot claim conformance to a PP unless the PPs are validated [Wright]
- What about the copyright license for writing STs? [Aubry]
 - There is a license agreement for that which your company can sign to allow you to use PP text in an ST [Wright]

- It has not been distributed; IEEE is waiting on some comments from us [Nevo]
- Did we have any input in that license agreement? I'd like to see that agreement [Lewis]
- So nobody has signed that agreement yet [Farrell]
- Once the PPs are freely available, will you still need to sign the agreement to write an ST? [Lewis]
 - Yes, you can download 2600.1 and 2600.2 for free but that does not mean that IEEE has given up their copyright agreement. There is no fee for this agreement [Wright]
 - Is the agreement limited to sponsoring companies? [Farrell]
 - No, it is available for anyone to sign [Wright]

PP Guide review [Sukert]

Refer to comments with resolutions:

http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/2600/comment-tracking/P2600-Guide_2009_03_v02.pdf

- {Most comments are not significant or controversial, refer to the above document}
- #3: There are several large blocks of text that were taken from the 2600-2008 standard. Since this guide is not an IEEE document, we need to replace that text. [Wright]
- #2 audit storage/maintenance: this issue was brought up a few meetings ago, but it was among those issues that mistakenly did not get implemented in the PP and so we need to include a note about it in the PP guide. [Smithson]
- #1 authentication issue: we should not advise people to remove SFRs in a conforming ST. It may be possible to remove an SFR in a conforming ST but to maintain demonstrable conformance, you would need to provide a lot of rationale and demonstrate that what you replace it with is more restrictive than the original SFR. [Smithson]

Production Printing environment [Lewis]

- {No comments were submitted against the PP-E draft 42a}
- In Hawaii, we did a straw poll about interest in production printing, and Xerox, Océ, InfoPrint, Sharp, and Canon expressed interest. It would need a change in Chairperson because Lexmark does not participate in that market [Wright]
- We won't really know whether to move forward until the April meeting.
- So far, we have concluded that we can't really use PP-A or PP-B.
- There is an issue about IPDS which has no authentication mechanism, so we would need to allow jobs to be released from either the server or the console.

- Océ's position is that transaction printing needs to encompass both the printer and the server [Aubry]
- AFP consortium says that it should also encompass workflow, but I have said that we would address that elsewhere if necessary and follow the P2600 profile for the device
- If someone wants to include workflow, they could write their own ST, otherwise they could use our PP.
 - Some time ago, we talked about having several PPs, one for devices, one for servers, one for workflow, and STs would conform to a combination as needed [Smithson]
- So are we going to move forward with one or two workgroup sessions, and who is going to lead?
- {nobody has volunteered to lead the effort, but several are going back to their companies to discuss the opportunity}
- Who is interested in workgroup sessions?
 - Xerox, Océ, Canon, Sharp, Epson, Toshiba, InfoPrint, Ricoh
- No need to do any updates on the PP-E draft at this time [Wright]

Schedule [Wright]

Refer to document:

<http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/2600/presentations/42-Teleconference/schedule42a.pdf>

- There is not much detail on this schedule because there are many unknowns. [Smithson]
- When new dates are available for the next RevCom meeting, we'll update the schedule accordingly.

Next meeting details [Wright]

Refer to meeting slides for

detail: <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/2600/presentations/P2600-Mar2009.ppt>

and to the meeting web page for most current status of upcoming meetings:

<http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/2600/meetings.html>

- Deadline for posting documents: April 16, 2009
- Deadline for posting comments: April 23, 2009
- No comments on PPs!
- Next meeting will be April 30 – May 1, 2009
 - Oki Data
2000 Bishops Gate Blvd.
Mt. Laurel, NJ
 - We may only need one day for this meeting
 - Focus will be on PP Guide review and comments, and decisions about Production Printing
 - PWG is also meeting at this location earlier in the week

- No hotel arrangements, but contact Nancy Chen about discounts [Chen]

Closing [Wright]

**** Adjourned at 2:15 PM EDT, 3/19/2009 ****