## BCN Simulation Environment

CN-SIM Ad-Hoc Team

## CN Simulation Ad-Hoc Team
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| :--- | :--- |
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| Davide | Bergamasco |
| Greg | Chesson |
| Uri | Cummings |
| Uri | Elzur |
| Tanmay | Gupta |
| Raj | Jain |
| Benny | Koren |
| Bruce | Kwan |
| Gopi | Sirineni |
| John | Terry |
| Pat | Thaler |
| Manoj | Wadekar |
| Jeff | Wise |

## What needs to be agreed

- Network Topologies/Scenarios
- End Stations, Switches, Hops, Link Lengths (delay) etc.
- Simulation Workloads
- Transport Layers
- Application abstraction: Packet Size, distribution etc.,Traffic Mix
- Granularity of flows, number of flows etc.
- Measurement Metrics
- Throughput (where - application, congested link etc.)
- Latency (where - application, L2 etc.), Latency Jitter?
- Buffer Utilization?
- Fairness factor?
- CN Protocol
- Davide's September Presentation AND
- FAQ document to clarify details


## What does not need to be agreed

- Simulation Tools/Methodology
- Switch or end station implementation details (? If required, how much be disclosed?)
- ??


## Simulation Framework Proposal

## Topologies




- Mix of 10 GbE and 1 GbE links to create extreme congestion
-Less than 100 m link lengths


## Topologies ..contd


-Adding clients to intermediate, congested switches
-Provides different "distance from congestion" for sources

## Workloads - Application Characterization

1. File Transfer Workload

- Large bursts of packets
- Data in large bursts, typically 64 Kbyte and rising
a. File Transfer Workload (Read)
b. File Transfer Workload (Write)
c. File Transfer Workload (Mix)
- 50\% Read, 50\% Write

2. Database Access

- Mix of large and small traffic
- Double peak : 256B and large packets
a. Database Access (Read)
b. Database Access (Write)
c. Database Access (Mix)
- 50\% Read, 50\% Write


## Workloads Transport Details

- Transport Layers
- TCP and UDP
- Traffic Mix
- 80\% TCP and 20\% UDP
- All traffic with same 802.1p priority
- Granularity of flows, number of flows etc.
- Each client initiates 10 TCP connections and 1 UDP connection to each server
- All flows are persistent long-lived flows


## Simulation Scenarios

|  | File <br> Transfer- - <br> Read | Fille <br> Transfer - <br> Write | File <br> Transfer- <br> Mixed | Database- <br> Read | Database- <br> Write | Database- <br> Mixed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Small <br> Topology | S-FT-R | S-FT-W | S-FT-M | S-D-R | S-D-W | S-D-M |
| Large <br> Topology | L-FT-R | L-FT-W | L-FT-M | L-D-R | L-D-W | L-D-M |
| Asymmetric <br> Topology | A-FT-R | A-FT-W | A-FT-M | A-D-R | A-D-W | A-D-M |

## Metrics

- Throughput
- Granularity
- Application level throughput (workload dependent)
- Aggregate link throughput
- Per flow throughput
- Measured at
- Most Congested Bottleneck link
- Uncongested link
- Fairness across flows contributing to congestion
- Fairness definition required (i.e. Max-Min Fairness)
- Jain's fairness index may be used to characterize CN protocol capabilities
- Latency
- Mean, Min, Max, Variance


## Metrics (contd..)

- Buffer Utilization
- Measured at congestion detection point
- Measure in units of bytes (not packets)
- Mean, Max, Variance
- Packet Drop Probability (included from 6/2006 slide set)
- Number of packets dropped in switching interconnect due to congestion
- However, depends on buffer resources available in switching interconnect
- Time to Fairness
- Time required to achieve a specific fairness goal following the introduction of a persistent congestion event.
- Requires definition of target goal for fairness

