

# Reactive Congestion Management: Capturing constraints

**Hugh Barrass (Cisco Systems)** 

# Constraints discussed during interim

#### General agreement to limit the scope

Add constraints & scope into 5 criteria

**Broad market potential** 

**Distinct identity** 

**Compatibility** 

**Technical feasibility** 

**Economic feasibility** 

Hugh's interpretation of discussions...

#### **Broad Market Potential**

"short reach networks"

- b/w delay product < 64kbit (best number for this?)</li>
  Defined in typical packet lengths?
- Single administrative domain

## **Distinct Identity**

- Encapsulation of traffic across CM network not in scope
- No requirement for or restriction of admission control
- Reactive (not prescriptive), end-to-end (not link level) mechanism

## Compatibility

- CM cloud definition
  CM cloud consists of only CM compliant bridges and attached CM compliant end stations.
- Cloud operates at 1 or more priority level
  Allows non-CM traffic flow through at different priority
- No change to 802.1Q queue structure in bridge
- No change to transmission selection algorithm in bridge

# **Technical Feasibility**

- Fractional line rate response
  Congestion point generating BCNs
  Reaction point adjusting rate limiter
- Implementable in hardware (assumed new hardware standard)

# **Economic Feasibility**

- No per flow queuing or state in bridge
- Minimal flow state in end station

Allowance for complexity / optimization tradeoffs

