PBB-TE (802.1Qay) CFM

Dinesh Mohan mohand@nortel.com

IEEE 802.1 July 15-20, 2007 San Francisco

Agenda

- CFM scope in PBB-TE
- > CFM enhancements needed in 802.1Qay
- Proposed Solution(s)



PBB-TE CFM Scope

PBB-TE Overview

- ➤ PBB-TE supports active topology via provisioned Ethernet Switched Paths (ESPs) in a PBBN
- For B-VIDs allocated to PBB-TE, the MAC learning is turned off and frames with unknown destination address are discarded and not flooded
- ➤ ESPs can be identified by <B-DA, B-SA, B-VID1, B-VID2> where B-VID1 and B-VID2 may be same or different in either direction and belong to VID set reserved for PBB-TE

PBB-TE CFM Requirements

- ➤802.1Qay/D0.0 Scope (c1.1) implies requirements to support Continuity Check and Loopback protocols of CFM
- ➤ Support for CCM and LBM/LBR does not necessarily imply the need for MIPs for PBB-TE ESPs
- **➤ Question: Are MIPs for PBB-TE ESPs required?**

PBB-TE CFM Requirements (cont'd)

- ➤If PBB-TE ESP MIPs are required, support for Linktrace protocol can also be considered
 - ➤ Linktrace protocol support is not precluded but not explicitly stated in D0.0
- ➤ Question: Assuming that MIPs in PBB-TE ESPs are required, is Linktrace protocol support a requirement?

PBB-TE CFM Requirements (cont'd)

- ➤ If support for Linktrace protocol is considered to be a requirement, LTM/LTR support does mandate that paths for an ESP are co-routed in either direction
- Co-routing of paths for an ESP in either direction is implied even otherwise in Annex M; seems to be intended choice
- ➤ Question: Assuming that Linktrace protocol support is a requirement, is there any issue with requirement that paths of ESP are co-routed in either direction?

PBB-TE CFM Enhancements

PBB-TE CFM Enhancements – Set 1

- ➤ The first set of enhancements are identified for CFM support between PBB-TE MEPs
- **▶**CFM protocols between PBB-TE ESP MEPs
 - **≻**Continuity Check
 - ▶ Loopback
 - Linktrace not really needed between PBB-TE MEPs

Continuity Check Enhancements

- ➤ Continuity Check across PBB-TE ESP requires

 <u>Unicast CCMs</u> where the Unicast address is same as

 B-DA in the direction of ESP
 - ➤ This is such that forwarding along the path is based on same <B-DA, B-VID> tuple as any data path frame as required by PBB-TE ESP
- ➤ Unicast CCMs are already supported in Y.1731 and is not precluded in 802.1ag
- For explicit support, update will be needed to text from .1ag/D8.1 c3.2, c8.13.11, c18 etc.
- **►Enhancement#1: Support Unicast CCMs**

Loopback Enhancements

- ➤ Loopback across PBB-TE ESP MEPs works fine without any issues if same B-VID is used in either direction of ESP path
- ➤ However, when different B-VIDs are used in either direction, a more general case, enhancement is needed to additionally change VID value in LBR
- ➤ Enhancement#2: Support change in VID value in LBR at the loopback point

PBB-TE CFM Enhancements – Set 2

- ➤ The second set of enhancements are identified assuming need to support PBB-TE MIPs
- **▶**CFM protocols between PBB-TE ESP MEPs and MIPs
 - **≻**Loopback
 - **≻**Linktrace

Loopback MIP Enhancements

- Loopback to a PBB-TE ESP MIP requires enhancement since:
 - ➤ If DA in LBM identifies MIP's MAC, MIP MAC may not be provisioned in filtering databases associated with PBB-TE ESP VIDs, meaning that LBM frame may be discarded since flooding is not allowed
 - ➤If DA in LBM is same as B-DA, MIP may not selectively intercept LBMs intended for it
- >Enhancement#3:
 - >PBB-TE ESP MIPs should be able to intercept LBMs intended for it
 - >PBB-TE ESP MIPs should be able to ignore LBMs not intended for it

Linktrace MIP Enhancements

- ➤ Linktrace in a PBB-TE requires enhancement since:
 - ➤If DA in LTM is a multicast MAC as per Table 8-10/802.1ag/D8.1:
 - ➤ a static entry for this group MAC address will need to be added in all devices apriori
 - ➤ since VID can be reused across different PBB-TE ESPs, LTM would not be bounded to only PBB-TE ESP path
 - Since target MAC may not be provisioned in filtering databases associated with PBB-TE ESP VIDs, MIP would have no means to determine whether or not they are in the path of ESP for that VID
 - ➤If DA in LTM is same as B-DA, MIP may not intercept LTMs
- **≻Enhancement#4:**
 - **▶PBB-TE ESP MIPs should be able to intercept LTMs for specific ESP**

PBB-TE CFM Enhancements – Proposed Solutions

Enhancement#1 – Unicast CCM

- **➤ Enhancement#1: Support Unicast CCMs**
- ➤ As mentioned earlier, this is already supported in Y.1731 and not precluded from 802.1ag state machines
- ➤ Updates Required:
 - ➤ Different clauses in 802.1ag which specifically talk about multicast CCM transmission need update

Enhancement#2 – MEP LBM/LBR

- ➤ Enhancement#2: Support change in VID value in LBR at loopback point
- ➤ As mentioned earlier, this is needed in general case when VIDs in either direction of PBB-TE ESP are different
- **➤ Different options:**
 - **Description** ▶ Option 1: Carry a TLV with reverse VID in LBM which is used by loopback point for VID value in LBR
 - ➤ Advantage: Makes processing in LBM sink generic, i.e. if a specific TLV present, use its value for LBR
 - ➤ Option 2: Since PBB-TE ESP MEP is expected to maintain association between forward and reverse VIDs, have loopback point perform this VID change
 - ➤ Advantage: Make LBM transmission point generic

▶ Proposed solution: Option	1 since LBM	sink becomes	stateless
	PBB-TE		

IEEE P802.1Qay

Enhancements – PBB-TE MIPs

- ➤ Discussion applies to Enhancement#3 and #4 which are needed only if PBB-TE MIPs are required
- ➤ CFM frames, intended for PBB-TE MIPs should have DA corresponding to PBB-TE ESP i.e. same as B-DA
- ➤ PBB-TE MIPs need to identify CFM frames intended for these MIPs, options include:
 - **≻Option 1: New EtherType**
 - **≻Option 2: New OpCode**
 - ➤ Option 3: New TLVs

Enhancements – PBB-TE MIPs (cont'd)

≻Option 1: New EtherType

- **≻Pros**:
 - > facilitates datapath to differentiate between CFM frames for MEPs & MIPs
- **≻**Cons:
 - means duplicate EtherTypes for same functionality bad!
 - **▶** not a requirement for PBB-TE MEPs e.g. CCM, LBMs etc.
 - Every MIP along ESP path before destination will process frame
- **≻Option 2: New OpCode**
 - **≻Pros:**
 - > facilitates datapath to differentiate between CFM frames for MEPs & MIPs
 - **≻**Cons:
 - means duplicate OpCodes for same functionality bad!
 - ▶not a requirement for PBB-TE MEPs e.g. CCM, LBMs etc.
 - Every MIP along ESP path before destination will process frame

Enhancements – PBB-TE MIPs (cont'd)

- ➤ Option 3: New TLV
 - **≻Pros**:
 - Facilitates datapath to selectively differentiate between CFM frames for MEPs & MIPs
 - ▶ Does not lead to duplication of EtherType or OpCode
 - ➤ Consistent with current 802.1ag/Y.1731 design
 - **≻**Cons:
 - ➤ Requires packet inspection at MIPs datapath to support efficient usage
 - ▶Not a requirement for PBB-TE MEPs CCM
- Proposed Solution: Use Option 3 (see subsequent slides)

Enhancement#3 - MIP LBM

>Enhancement#3:

- >PBB-TE ESP MIPs should be able to intercept LBMs intended for it
- >PBB-TE ESP MIPs should be able to ignore LBMs not intended for it

Proposed solution:

- ➤ A TLV to be used as first TLV to allow deterministic inspection at intermediate MIPs
 - ➤ As per current format, this would imply looking at 10-15 octets following OpCode
- ➤ The first field in Value of TLV is MIP identifier i.e. MAC address, which allows MIPs to selectively intercept CFM frames intended for it

Enhancement#3 – MIP LBM (cont'd)

▶ Proposed TLV: PBB-TE ESP TLV

	Octets
Type = 9	1
Length	2-3
MIP MAC	4-9
Reverse VID	10-11

PBB-TE ESP TLV

Enhancement#4 – MIP LTM

- >Enhancement#4:
 - >PBB-TE ESP MIPs should be able to intercept LTMs for specific ESP
- **▶** Proposed solution:
 - >PBB-TE ESP TLV, introduced for Enhancement #3, can be used
 - ➤ It does not need to be the first TLV since all PBB-TE MIP need to intercept all LTM frames on the ESP
 - ➤ The reverse VID value is used to put the correct VID in LTR

Enhancement#2 – MEP LBM/LBR

- **▶** Proposed solution continuation for Option 1 TLV:
 - ➤ PBB-TE ESP TLV, introduced for Enhancement #3, can be used for proposed Option 1
 - ➤ The reverse VID value is used to put the correct VID in LBR