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Letter Ballot

At the September 1998 meeting, held in Westford, MA, we did not reach the required quorum to make actions, hence
we made recommendations.

To turn these recommendations into actions, we need to have approval from 802.11 through this letter (e-mail)
ballot.

Voting members have an obligation to vote:
• we need to have at least 50 % of the members with voting rights to return the ballot in order to make a valid
  ballot,
• the set closure date will be expanded if the 50 % has not been reached, until we have obtained the required
  number,
• voting members failing to return their ballot in time will run the risk of loosing their voting rights (the rules say
  that members will lose their voting rights if they fail to return 2 out of 3 letter ballots.

For a recommendation to become an action (a passed motion), it must have reached 75 % approval by the group if it
is a technical motion or 50 % if it is a procedural motion.

Please return your executed ballot as soon as possible.

Thanks you.
802.11 Working Group Letter Ballot #14

Start date: September 19, 1998.

Close date: September 30, 1998, 01:00 UTC.

Send by FAX to Vic Hayes, fax number +1 31 30 609 7556
or by e-mail to vichayes@lucent.com

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of voter</th>
<th>Member sign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPROVES THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATIONS, WHICH WERE ADOPTED AT THE September 14-18, 1998 meeting held in Westford, MA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>ABS</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>To direct the state machine and IEEE editor to complete the editing of the 802.11 rev as soon as possible so that a completely integrated version of 802.11 can be made available the ExCom in order to be approved at the next RevCom meeting (at September meeting: 27 approved, 2 disapproved, 3 abstained)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>To accept paper 98/302 as an option to the 802.11b 2.4Ghz high rate PHY draft without any of the mandatory elements described to either the transmit or receive side or any other areas that will impact the proposals in paper 98/314 with the exception of introducing an additional SFD to the short preamble proposal of paper 98/314 to recognise this optional mode (at September meeting: 35 approved, 0 disapproved, 3 abstained)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>To submit to the plenary doc 98-314r2 with the appropriate editorial changes as instructed by TGb as the draft (at September meeting: 16 approved, 0 disapproved, 0 abstained)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>To submit the draft standard from TGb to WG Letter Ballot (at September meeting: 13 approved, 1 disapproved, 2 abstained)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>That the parameters presented in doc 98/329 be incorporated in the draft text and be passed to ETSI BRAN for consideration (at September meeting: 14 approved, 0 disapproved, 2 abstained)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>To approve TGa motions numbered 4, (replacing time windowing specs with spectral masks and constellation accuracy), 7 (to approve modulation accuracy text), 8 (modulation specification changes), 9 (unbind frequency and clock accuracy), 10 (change to pre-amble accepting partially document 98/308) (at September meeting: 12 approved, 0 disapproved, 3 abstained)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>That 802.11 issues a liaison letter to ETSI BRAN informing them about the status of 802.11a draft and offer it as a candidate for their draft standard with the purpose of expediting the development of a common physical layer (at September meeting: 16 approved, 0 disapproved, 0 abstained)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>To send the liaison statement to ARIB with the draft 802.11 b (at September meeting: 15 approved, 0 disapproved, 0 abstained)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>