March 1999 doc.: IEEE 802.11-99/100

IEEE P802.11 Wireless LANs

802.11 TGb LB18 comment resolution teleconference minutes

Date: March 31, 1999

Author: Carl Andren Harris

On 31March, 1999, 10:00 Eastern time, a TGa LB18 comment resolution teleconference was held. The TGa comment resolution part of the teleconference finished at 10:30 Eastern Standard Time, and transformed into a TGb comment resolution teleconference.

The attendees remaining were:
Vic Hayes
Bob O'Hara
John Fakatselis + Carl Andren + Al Petrick + Kent Rollins
Tom Tsolugianis
Stanley Ling
Al Herberling

John Fakatselis chaired the discussion. The first topic was Al Heberling's comments on: inconsistent specification of bit streams between section 18.2.3.6 and section 18.2.3.3. In section 18.2.3.3 bit patterns are indicated as msb to lsb. However, in section 18.2.3.6 bit pattern is specified as being transmitted left most to right most. Al Called the commentor to get his opinion on whether or not the issue was technical or editorial. The comment was accepted after it was changed from technical to editorial by the commentor. The resolution was left to the editor to change the instances of lsb/msb to bit numbering where there was no particular significance to the bits. The second comment by Al was on a truncated sentence in the table in 18.4.5.13.2. This was an artifact of FrameMaker and the editor fixed it by changing the font size. Al's last comment on when a TBD in the text would be filled in was withdrawn on request of the chair and deferred to the sponsor ballot process since filling in the number now would be a technical change and that would delay the process right now.

The comment by Vic Hayes resulted in much discussion and a vote. Several people felt that too much meat was being taken out by Vic and a vote was taken by John. The first vote was 4:3 to use Vic's resolution. Stan suggested an amendment to the motion to remove one of the paragraphs and leave two others and this was accepted by acclimation.

The last comment by Bob on having some of the FH text normative instead of informative needed no resolution since he was going to bring it up in sponsor ballot anyway.

The teleconference was ended at 11:05 with all comments processed. Carl Andren

The comment by Hitachi was just editorial and the editor was instructed to fix the text.