

Comment Sequence Number e.g., 1, 2, etc.	Commenter Name:	Clause:	Page:	Line:	Comment Type:	Part of NO vote(Y/N)	Comment:	Suggested Remedy:	COMMENT STATUS X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS O/open W/written C/closed U/unstatisfied Z/withdrawn	Notes
3	Bisdikian, Chatschik	1.2	1	40	e		(PDAs)/ Handheld	(PDAs), Handhelds	A	C	
4	Gilb, James	1.2	1	35-36	e	N	The phrase "To provide a standard" is not a complete sentence.	Make a complete sentence, perhaps changing it to "This standard provides for low ..."	A	C	
176	Gilb, James	1.3	1	46-48	E	Y	The terms listed are not synonymous. A WPAN is a wireless connection whereas the Bluetooth Foundation specification is a specification, not a wireless connection.	Delete the words "Bluetooth Foundation specification" or change it to "Bluetooth piconet"	A	C	Used the word "technology" instead of the suggested "piconet" for semantic reasons.
5	Gilb, James	1.3	2	20-22	e	N	The last dashed list item should be a separate paragraph rather than a list item since it indicates things that are not in the standard rather than things that are in the standard.	Change paragraph format from "DL, Dashed List" to "T, Text"	A	C	
177	Gilb, James	3	5	32	E	N	The 802.15.1 hop rate is nominally 1600 hops/s, it actually ranges from 320 to 3200 hops/s depending on its mode.	Change "occur at a hop rate of 1600 hops/s" to "occur at a nominal hop rate of 1600 hops/s"	A	C	
6	Gilb, James	3	5	24-25	e	N	The second sentence, beginning "It may be based ..." is not clear, particularly the second clause of the or statement "... or given the existence of ..."	Delete the word "given"	A	C	
7	Gilb, James	3	5	27-29	e	N	The list of things exchanged uses two and's, it should be item 1, item 2 and item 3	Change "... real-time voice and data ..." to "... real-time voice, data ..."	A	C	
178	Gilb, James	3	7	47-48	E	N	There is some extra commentary that is not clear and not needed for the definition, beginning with "(active is not ..."	Delete the clause and sentence that begin with "(active is not ..."	A	C	
179	Gilb, James	3	7	53-54	E	N	Sentence fragment "Connection between devices"	Change to a complete sentence, e.g. "Also a connection between devices." or delete.	A	C	
13	Gilb, James	3	8	15	e	N	No space between i.e. and setting	Add space between i.e. and setting	A	C	
14	Gilb, James	3	8	29	e	N	"connection1" should be "connection oriented link"	Change "connection1" to "connection oriented link"	A	C	
180	Gilb, James	3	8	45	E	N	This is a re-definition of 3.57. 3.57 has a better description than 3.61	Either delete definition 3.61 or merge the information with 3.57	A	C	
15	Gilb, James	3	9	1	e	N	"Un-known" should be "Unknown"	Change as indicated	A	C	
8	Gilb, James	3	5, 6	36, 46, 52, 1 (p 6)	e	N	The Bluetooth HCI, L2CAP, LMP and Radio are not a documents, they are a part of a document, actually two documents. The HCI is an interface, the L2CAP and LMP are an protocols, and the Radio is, well a radio.	Delete the sentence beginning with "A document that ..." from the first three, for the last (Radio), change the first sentence to "A transceiver that operates in the 2.4 GHz ISM band and complies with the 802.15.1 standard."	A	C	Delete Radio, Baseband, LMP, & L2CAP. Bluetooth HCI retained since not wholly contained in this standard.
361	Marquess, Kevin	3.46	7	46-48			'Choppy' grammatically and is not clear -- needs editorial work.		A	C	
362	Marquess, Kevin	3.49	8	3			We should develop a 'term' that would differentiate the 2 items.		A	C	
314	Cypher, David	3.56	8	26-27	T		The Definition of scatternet here does not agree with the one on page 103 line 15. Scatternet requires interpiconet communications.	Change this definition to the text from page 103. Or - Delete the text "or with-out" and the parentheses	A	C	Changed to Editorial

Comment Sequence Number e.g., 1, 2, etc.	Commenter Name:	Clause:	Page:	Line:	Comment Type:	Part of NO vote(Y/N)	Comment:	Suggested Remedy:	COMMENT STATUS X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS O/open W/written C/closed U/unstatisfied Z/withdrawn	Notes
364	Marquess, Kevin	3.57	8	29			1) Delete "1" @ end of "connection" 2) Additionally SCO = Synchronous Connection Oriented is defined later on the page in #3.61 which causes confusion. SCO should be defined first then used.		A	C	
264	Cypher, David	3.57	8	29-32	t	N	This definition is different from SCO in section 3.61 page 8 lines 45-47.	Either combine them or delete one	A	C	Changed to Editorial
365	Marquess, Kevin	3.58	8	35			Also 'textual' definition is defined within the ITU Recommendation.		A	C	
367	Marquess, Kevin	3.64	8	54			Is "e.g. ..." (example) accurate?		A	C	
368	Marquess, Kevin	3.66	9	4			Grammar -- "request" --> "requested"		A	C	
370	Marquess, Kevin	4	11	22			BT = Bandwidth Time product (i.e. B*T)		A	C	
371	Marquess, Kevin	4	12	30			HID = Human Interface Device There is a Consortium which is specifying how devices should be designed.		A	C	
372	Marquess, Kevin	4	12	38			ID = Identity or Identification -- meaning depends upon point of reference.		A	C	
18	Gilb, James	4	12	25-26	e	N	No space between Application and SW	Add space between Application and SW	A	C	
19	Gilb, James	4	13	8	e	N	LSFR is defined twice	Delete second definition	A	C	
16	Cypher, David	4	13	21	e		What is M_ADDR? Or where is it used?	Delete if it is not used	A	C	
374	Marquess, Kevin	4	13	32			Is MS = Multiplexing sublayer already contained in IEEE 100 (dictionary)? If not I would suggest to change to MuxS for clarity. MUX is understood to equal Multiplex/-ier.		A	C	
373	Marquess, Kevin	4	13	7 & 8			[sic] LSFR -- what is the "appropriate" way of displaying an acronym? I would suggest that Line 8's method is correct since it indicates how the acronym was derived. Additionally, I would suggest that all acronyms in this section are done in the same manner.		A	C	
20	Gilb, James	4	14	14	e	N	PM_ADDR is defined twice	Delete second definition	A	C	
21	Gilb, James	4	14	22	e	N	ppm is listed as PPM, although an acronym, ppm is almost universally used in lower case format	Change "PPM" to "ppm"	A	C	
22	Gilb, James	4	14	42	e	N	RX is defined twice	Delete second definition	A	C	
23	Gilb, James	4	14	53	e	N	SCO is technically "Synchronous Connection-Oriented" with no link, i.e. you refer to it as a SCO link rather than just a SCO.	Delete the word "link"	A	C	
24	Gilb, James	4	15	5	e	N	SEQN is defined twice	Delete second definition	A	C	
25	Gilb, James	4	15	30	e	N	"Test Control" is repeated twice	Delete the second occurrence of "Test Control"	A	C	
26	Gilb, James	4	15	52	e	N	The acronym UIAC does not appear anywhere in the 802.15.1 standard (and I don't recall it from the BT specification either, but that is not surprising). I checked both PDF's (via text search) and UIAC only appears in the acronym definition section of either document.	Delete the definition of the acronym UIAC	A	C	

Comment Sequence Number e.g., 1, 2, etc.	Commenter Name:	Clause:	Page:	Line:	Comment Type:	Part of NO vote(Y/N)	Comment:	Suggested Remedy:	COMMENT STATUS X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS O/open W/written C/closed U/unstatisfied Z/withdrawn	Notes
27	Gilb, James	5	17	3	e	N	No "and" in "profiles, test specifications"	Change "profiles, test specifications" to "profiles and test specifications"	A	C	
375	Marquess, Kevin	5	17	31			Word choice -- suggest change to -->		A	C	
376	Marquess, Kevin	5	17	48			typo -- from		A	C	
28	Gilb, James	5.2	17	35	e	N	Missing "of" in "Clauses 7-11 the standard"	Change to "Clauses 7-11 of the standard"	A	C	
29	Gilb, James	5.2	17	44	e	N	Words "also" and "is" flipped in "Annex A also is derived text"	Change to "Annex A is also a derived text"	A	C	
30	Gilb, James	5.2	17	47-48	e	N	Font size for "(L2CAP, LMP and Baseband)" is too small	Change font size to match the rest of the paragraph	A	C	
33	Watanabe, Fujio	5.2	18	24	e	n	In Figure Figure...	In Figure	A	C	
31	Gilb, James	5.2	20	20	e	N	Extra letter B in third column of Annex B description	Delete "B" from "B Specification and Description ..."	A	C	
37	Gilb, James	6.3	27	1-22	e	N	Figure 4 overlaps the text for section 6.3, but it belongs with section 6.2.3.3. In addition, the text wrapping around the figure is difficult to read since the lines are too long.	Force Figure 4 to be a full-page width float that follows section 6.2.3.3	A	C	
47	Gilb, James	7.6	39	10	e	N	ETC is used but not included in the acronym definitions	Add ETC to the acronym definitions.	A	C	
316	Gilb, James	8.1	41	37	T	Y	The sentence says "For full duplex transmission ..." but 802.15.1 is a half-duplex system. From Sklar, "Digital Communications, 2nd ed.," p. 315, Full-duplex is transmission in both directions simultaneously.	Change full-duplex to half-duplex	A	C	Editorial changes made to correct the shorthand used in this clause.
48	Gilb, James	8.1	41	50	e	N	The sentence says "The current document describes ..." it should be "This clause describes ..."	Change sentence as indicated	A	C	
49	Gilb, James	8.5	59	45-46	e	N	"... reasonable error-free ..." should be "... reasonably error-free ..."	Change as indicated	A	C	
191	Gilb, James	8.6	68	50-52	E	Y	Three errors, the sentence ends with "is carried by the SCO link only;" which should end in a period. Then, there is a carriage return (or something) such that the line is not completed and then the next sentence "the UA and UI .." does not begin with a capital.	Change to "... is carried by the SCO link only. The UA and UI ..." and fix the problem with the justification.	A	C	
317	Gilb, James	8.7	69	38-40	T	Y	The whitening process does not minimize DC bias in a packet. In order to prevent DC bias, the message length must be expanded by the whitener, which it is not in 802.15.1. The whitener has no effect on the probability of achieving a certain DC bias based on random input data.	Remove the text that says "and to minimize DC bias in the packet."	A	C	Editorial changes made to correct the shorthand used in this clause.
56	Gilb, James	10	6	N/A	e	N	The page numbering resets at clause 10 to page 6 (should be continuous and anyway should be odd for right side pages)	Fix page numbering.	A	C	
267	Cypher, David	10.0.29	39	12	t	N	Length field missing	Insert "- Length = 0x004 or more octets"	A	C	Changed to Editorial

Comment Sequence Number e.g., 1, 2, etc.	Commenter Name:	Clause:	Page:	Line:	Comment Type:	Part of NO vote(Y/N)	Comment:	Suggested Remedy:	COMMENT STATUS X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS O/open W/written C/closed U/unstatisfied Z/withdrawn	Notes
268	Cypher, David	11.2.10.1	198	10	t	N	Table 2 page 260. Is not correct reference.	Perhaps the correct reference is Table is 11.1 on Error codes	A	C	Changed to Editorial
195	Cypher, David	11.2.2	70	3	E		Is this line an editor's note?	Delete it if it is OR correct punctuation	A	C	
272	Cypher, David	11.2.5.6	88	7	t	N	Missing code value, 0x29 under Value which is described under parameter description	Add missing code value, 0x29 under Value.	A	C	Changed to Editorial
320	Cypher, David	11.2.7.38	168	28	T		Is it obvious which connection type is referenced for no connection exists? I do NOT think so. Is it ACL or SCO? Meaning this command has to be run before any ACL is established -or- this command can be run after and ACL is established but before an SCO is established.	Add clarifying modifier ACL or SCO before "connection exists."	A	C	Changed to Editorial
321	Cypher, David	11.2.7.39	169	22	T		Is it obvious which connection type is referenced for no connection exists? I do NOT think so. Is it ACL or SCO? Meaning this command has to be run before any ACL is established -or- this command can be run after and ACL is established but before an SCO is established.	Add clarifying modifier ACL or SCO before "connection exists."	A	C	Changed to Editorial EDITOR: Delete text from corresponding HCI command listed in Table 11.1 (38-42) leave section numbers and add note: "Removed due to implementation specific nature."
273	Cypher, David	11.3.2.16	219	49	t	N	No values are defined	Change 0x00 to 0xFF.	A	C	Changed to Editorial
196	Cypher, David	12.3.2	255&256	various	E		The Error codes should be deleted, as they are not SAP primitives.	Delete Error codes. If not, then the list should be updated to include the new add values.	A	C	
65	Watanabe, Fujio	2.4.4	4	16	e	n	2000 isa wrong year	2001 instead of 200	A	C	
366	Marquess, Kevin	3.60	8	43			Grammer -- "discoverable" --> "discovered."		A	C	
276	Kinney, Pat	6.1.2.2		16-	t	Y	Explanation of WPAN indicates that WPANs are master slave and so on. Terminology is not indicative of WPANs but of 802.15.1 WPANs	Change references to 802.15.1 WPANs	A	C	Master/Slave reference made specific to 802.15.1. Changed to Editorial
277	Ling, Stanley	6.2.2	25	17	t	y	The statement that a fast frequency hop transceiver combats interference is inaccurate and misleading. A FH transceiver avoids interference and averages the number of packets lost to interference, reducing the probability of every packet being destroyed, but does not do anything to "combat" it.	Change the term "combat" to "partially avoid" or "reduces the probability that all transmission is destroyed by interference".	A	C	Added parenthetical statement to conform to Remedy. Changed to Editorial
75	Ling, Stanley	6.2.2	25	20	e	y	Each packet is not transmitted on a different hop frequency but a different center or carrier frequency. A hop frequency is the frequency which the system hops (this does not change).	Change the wording "different hop frequency" to "different center frequency"	A	C	Changed text to "different frequency in the hopping sequence"
322	Gilb, James	6.2.2	25	20	T	Y	The 802.15.1 system half duplex rather than full duplex since the transceivers operate either in transmit or reciever, but never both at the same time.	Change "full duplex" to "half duplex" (BTW: Clause 6 is a really good overview of 802.15.1)	A	C	Editorial changes made to correct the shorthand used in this clause.
323	Gilb, James	6.2.2	25	50	T	Y	The 64 kb/s is bi-directional but not full-duplex	Change "full duplex" to "bi-directional"	A	C	Editorial changes made to correct the shorthand used in this clause.

Comment Sequence Number e.g., 1, 2, etc.	Commenter Name:	Clause:	Page:	Line:	Comment Type:	Part of NO vote(Y/N)	Comment:	Suggested Remedy:	COMMENT STATUS X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS O/open W/written C/closed U/unstatisfied Z/withdrawn	Notes
198	Gilb, James	6.3.1	28	5	E	Y	The Bluetooth specification is not open, only 9 companies have the power to change any part of the specification or its qualification. The free distribution of the document does not make it open.	Remove the words "open and"	A	C	
77	Gilb, James	7.3.1	32	18	e	N	BT is not defined and could be confused with Bluetooth	Suggest adding wording in the paragraph, such as "the bandwidth-bit period product, BT, is equal to 0.5"	A	C	
78	Gilb, James	7.3.1	32	50	e	N	1 Ms/s can be confused, should be either 1 Mbaud or 1 Msymbol/s	Change "1 Ms/s" to "1 Mbaud"	A	C	Msymbol/s
79	Ling, Stanley	7.3.1	32	50	e	y	Use consistent, and correct, units for the symbol rate. This should be Mbaud, or Msym/s, or Msymbols/s, but not Ms/s. Ms/s can also mean Mega-samples per second.	Change Ms/s to Msymbols/s and be consistent throughout the document.	A	C	Msymbol/s
199	Gilb, James	7.3.2.1	33	16	E	Y	The sentence beginning with "In addition to the FCC ..." is confusing and does not correctly state what is intended	Change this sentence to the one in BT v1.1 on page 9, line 133 that begins with "In addition to the FCC ..."	A	C	
201	Gilb, James	7.3.2.2	33	44	E	Y	The sentence "The power should ..." is not clear since it does not reference the requirements	Add another sentence that clarifies that Table 5 contains the requirements, e.g. "The out-of-band emissions shall conform to the requirements given in Table 5."	A	C	
82	Gilb, James	7.3.2.2	34	3	e	N	No space before "(dBm)" in table headers	Add space	A	C	
202	Gilb, James	7.3.3	33	49	E	Y	Fc is not defined.	Change "from Fc" to "from the required channel center frequency" or define Fc.	A	C	
203	Ling, Stanley	7.3.3	33	49	E	y	For radio frequency tolerance, add the term "maximum" when defining the tolerance	"the transmitted initial center frequency accuracy must be +/- 75 kHz maximum from fc"	A	C	
204	Gilb, James	8.10.3	82	50-54	E	Y	There is no reason to indicate that a crystal oscillator is used for timing reference as this is implementation dependent and not relevant to the link control. Likewise, the LPO is not required, it could be an HPO (high power oscillator).	Change "... native clock is driven by the reference crystal oscillator with a worst case ..." to "... native clock has a worst case ..." and change "... clock may be driven by a low power oscillator (LPO) with relaxed accuracy ..." to "... clock may have a relaxed accuracy ..."	A	C	Changed reference to "crystal" as suggested. Reference to LPO is associated with "MAY" and is therefore informative.
208	Gilb, James	8.10.6.2	85	25	E	Y	Tw page scan is referred to but there is not cross reference. Also, the minimum scan window is indicated in page frequencies rather than in time.	Provide a cross reference that says where Tw page scan is defined or define it in this paragraph in terms of us. This would preferably be a table.	A	C	
210	Gilb, James	8.10.6.3	86	41-42	E	Y	The information in the sentence "Since the page ... the synthesizer" has already been presented in this clause. In addition, this information is not relevant to the present discussion.	Delete the sentence.	A	C	The sentence is, indeed, parenthetical. The appropriate punctuation has been added.
215	Gilb, James	8.10.6.4	89	18-19	E	Y	Extra words and an imprecise description.	Change "... (= slave's device access code) sent by the master reaches the slave." to "... sent by the master is received by the slave."	A	C	The parenthetical part of the sentence is necessary to help the reader to understand the context. Removed '=', replaced with "in this case,". Replaced "reaches" with "is received by"

Comment Sequence Number e.g., 1, 2, etc.	Commenter Name:	Clause:	Page:	Line:	Comment Type:	Part of NO vote(Y/N)	Comment:	Suggested Remedy:	COMMENT STATUS X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS O/open W/written C/closed U/unstatisfied Z/withdrawn	Notes
218	Gilb, James	8.10.6.4.1	90	45	E	Y	"a FHS" should be "an FHS" here and throughout the clause (particularly on p 91, line 11, 8.10.6.4.2)	Change as indicated	A	C	
102	Gilb, James	8.10.6.4.1	91	6	e	N	No space between "... respectively," and "See Section ..."	Add space	A	C	
219	Gilb, James	8.10.6.4.1	91	33-35	E	Y	The end of the paragraph beginning with "The channel hopping ..." is redundant, having been adequately explained earlier in the clause.	Delete the last three sentences.	A	C	[assume 8.6.4.2]
220	Gilb, James	8.10.6.4.2	91	29	E	Y	Change "parameters so" to "parameters for" on line 29	Change as indicated	A	C	
108	Gilb, James	8.2.1	43	7	e	N	Improper use of semicolon in "... the master; the phase ..."	Change to "... the master. The phase"	A	C	
221	Gilb, James	8.2.2	43	18	E	N	This sentence does not form a whole paragraph, is misleading (it does not clarify that they cannot transmit in just any slot or at the same time) and it is better explained in the next paragraph	Delete the sentence beginning with "In the time slots, ..."	A	C	
110	Gilb, James	8.4.1	45	45	e	N	The sentence reference the "Baseband Specification," which is the current clause, and has italics that is not appropriate	Remove the italics and change "the Baseband Specification" to "this clause"	A	C	
111	Gilb, James	8.4.1	45	51	e	N	No space in "linkcontroller"	Either add space between "link" and "controller" or hyphenate the word.	A	C	
223	Gilb, James	8.4.2.1	47	11	E	N	The sentence references the "BD address" which is an undefined acronym.	Change "BD address" to either "Bluetooth device address" or BD_ADDR (which is a defined acronym).	A	C	
123	Gilb, James	8.4.5.2	57	44	e	N	No space in "payloadlength"	Change to "payload length"	A	C	
124	Gilb, James	8.4.5.2	57	44	e	N	Extra space at the end of the line ending with "and continue-fragment"	Fix extra space (probably extra carriage return in text)	A	C	
224	Gilb, James	8.4.5.2	57	16-52	E	Y	The spacing between paragraphs on this page is incorrect (i.e. no spacing) (Actually, most of this discussion belongs somewhere else since it does not pertain to the packet structure, but rather the fragmentation and flow control of L2CAP messages)	Add spacing between the paragraphs. Also, if possible, move the information pertaining to fragmentation and flow control of L2CAP messages to a more appropriate locations.	A	C	
225	Gilb, James	8.5.3.1	61	26	E	Y	"ARQ bit" is used when it should be "ARQN bit"	Change as indicated	A	C	
126	Gilb, James	8.5.3.2	63	19	e	N	No space between "... packet.The described ..."	Change to "... packet. The described ..."	A	C	
129	Gilb, James	8.5.3.5	64	29	e	N	Extra space around the hyphen in "time - critical"	Remove space, change to "time-critical"	A	C	
135	Gilb, James	8.6.1	69	3	e	N	In "The LC control channel ..." the word control is redundant (it is the C in LC).	Remove the word "control"	A	C	
136	Gilb, James	8.6.5	69	33-35	e	N	Extra space after paragraph and before 8.7	Remove extra space	A	C	
226	Gilb, James	8.9.1	76	6-7	E	Y	The phrase "The master shall keep an exact ... of successive transmissions" is a repeat of the same information from page 75, lines 45-46. (There also isn't a space between the preceding sentence and this one).	Delete the sentence since it is repetitious and only serves to confuse the reader.	A	C	We changed a different part of the paragraph, which effects the same result.

Comment Sequence Number e.g., 1, 2, etc.	Commenter Name:	Clause:	Page:	Line:	Comment Type:	Part of NO vote(Y/N)	Comment:	Suggested Remedy:	COMMENT STATUS X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS O/open W/written C/closed U/unstatisfied Z/withdrawn	Notes
230	Gilb, James	8.9.5	78	40	E	Y	The hop rate is increased, it is not optional (as indicated by the wording "can be"	Change "... hop rate can be increased ..." to "... hop rate is increased ..."	A	C	
233	Gilb, James	8.9.6	79	23-40	E	Y	Inconsistent use of bold formatting with "FHS". No other packet in this clause is bold-faced (e.g. ID packet referenced earlier in the clause).	Remove bold formatting from "FHS" since it is confusing and detracts from the "first" and "secondly" bold formatting which does serve to clarify the discussion in this paragraph.	A	C	Edited FHS for consistency(i.e. bold), since FHS is title of subclause.
236	Watanabe, Fujio	A.3.3.1	263	51	E	N	Japan is missing	for USA, Japan and Europe (except France).	A	C	
144	Watanabe, Fujio	A.3.3.4	267	5	e	y	Table18 is incorrect but it depends on the table number	In the current D0.8, Table 6 instead of Table 18	A	C	
145	Watanabe, Fujio	A.3.3.4	267	30	e	y	Table 24 is incorrect in D0.8	Table 12	A	C	
146	Watanabe, Fujio	A.3.3.4	267	33	e	y	Table 24 is incorrect in D0.8	Table 12	A	C	
147	Watanabe, Fujio	A.3.3.4	267	35	e	y	Table 24 is incorrect in D0.8	Table 12	A	C	
148	Watanabe, Fujio	A.3.3.4	267	50	e	y	Table 25 is incorrect in D0.8	Table 13	A	C	
149	Watanabe, Fujio	A.3.3.4	267	52	e	y	Table 25 is incorrect in D0.8	Table 13	A	C	
150	Watanabe, Fujio	A.3.3.4	268	3	e	y	Table 25 is incorrect in D0.8	Table 13	A	C	
151	Watanabe, Fujio	A.3.3.4	268	4	e	n	Note:... there is no space between Table and this statement.	insert space	A	C	
283	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.10	279	18	t	y	C.2/12 is not a correct reference number	change to A.17/12	A	C	Changed to Editorial
152	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.11	279	53	e	y	O (option) is changed to C.1	C.1 instead of O	A	C	
284	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.4	272	34	t	y	Reference number in Item 3 should be "9.3.3.1, 9.3.3.2, 9.3.3.3".	insert "9.3.3.2"	A	C	Changed to Editorial
337	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.4	272	36	T	y	Statement is incorrect	Use fixed PIN and request responder to initiator switch	A	C	Change to Editorial
338	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.4	272	36	T	y	O (option) is changed to C.1	C.1 instead of O	A	C	Change to Editorial
339	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.4	272	38	T	y	Statement is incorrect	Use variable PIN	A	C	changed to editorial
340	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.4	272	41	T	y	Statement is incorrect	Accept initiator to responder switch	A	C	changed to editorial
341	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.4	272	41	T	y	O (option) is changed to C.1	C.1 instead of O	A	C	changed to editorial
342	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.4	272	43	T	y	C.1 comment will be changed	C.1: Mandatory to support at least one of A.19/4 and A.19/5	A	C	changed to editorial
343	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.4	272	44	T	y	add C.2	C.2: Mandatory to support if A.19/5 and(A.19/1 or A.19/2) is supported.	A	C	changed to editorial
287	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.6	273	30	t	y	C.2/3 is a wrong number	A.17/3 (Encryption..)	A	C	Changed to Editorial
285	Cypher, David	A.4.3.6	273	42	t		Condition C.2 does not exist. Is it a numbering problem or missing text?	Fix numbering problem or add missing text.	A	C	Changed to Editorial
153	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.6	273	52	e	y	C.3 is a wrong number	C.3 -> C.2	A	C	
154	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.6	273	53	e	y	C.4 is a wrong number	C.4 -> C.3	A	C	
155	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.6	273	54	e	y	C.5 is a wrong number	C.5 -> C.4	A	C	
156	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.6	274	1	e	y	C.6 is a wrong number	C.6 -> C.5	A	C	
157	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.6	274	2	e	y	C.7 is a wrong number	C.7 -> C.6	A	C	
158	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.6	274	2	e	n	delete space between ":" and "Mandatory"		A	C	
286	Cypher, David	A.4.3.6	274	2	t		Condition C.7 is not used. Is it a numbering problem or missing text?	Fix numbering problem or add missing text.	A	C	Changed to Editorial
288	Cypher, David	A.4.3.8	276	34	t		C.3 should be replaced by M, since the condition is really the prerequisite.	Replaced C.3 with M.	A	C	Changed to Editorial
291	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	276	47	t	y	C.2/8 is not a correct reference number	change to A.17/8	A	C	Changed to Editorial
289	Cypher, David	A.4.3.8	277	5	t		Change C.2 to M since the condition is really the prerequisite.	Change C.2 to M.	A	C	
292	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	8	t	y	C.16/2 is not a correct reference number.	change to A.31/1	A	C	Changed to Editorial
293	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	20	t	y	C.2/9 is not a correct reference number	change to A.17/9	A	C	Changed to Editorial

Comment Sequence Number e.g., 1, 2, etc.	Commenter Name:	Clause:	Page:	Line:	Comment Type:	Part of NO vote(Y/N)	Comment:	Suggested Remedy:	COMMENT STATUS X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS O/open W/written C/closed U/unstatisfied Z/withdrawn	Notes
294	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	29	t	y	Item 1: 9.3.17.3 is not correct	delete 9.3.17.3	A	C	Changed to Editorial
295	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	33	t	y	Item 2: 9.3.17.3 is not correct	delete 9.3.17.3	A	C	Changed to Editorial
296	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	34	t	y	Item 3: 9.3.17.4 is not correct	change to 9.3.17.3	A	C	Changed to Editorial
297	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	36	t	y	Item 4: 9.3.17.4 is not correct	change to 9.3.17.3	A	C	Changed to Editorial
298	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	38	t	y	Item 5: 9.3.17.5 is not correct	change to 9.3.17.4	A	C	Changed to Editorial
299	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	40	t	y	Item 6: 9.3.17.5 is not correct	change to 9.3.17.4	A	C	Changed to Editorial
300	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	42	t	y	Item 7: 9.3.17.6 is not correct	change to 9.3.17.5	A	C	Changed to Editorial
347	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	42	T	y	Status is changed in Item 7	C.2 instead of O	A	C	
301	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	44	t	y	Item 8: 9.3.17.6 is not correct	change to 9.3.17.5	A	C	Changed to Editorial
348	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	44	T	y	Status is changed in Item 8	C.2 instead of O	A	C	
302	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	45	t	y	Item 9: 9.3.17.6 is not correct	change to 9.3.17.5	A	C	Changed to Editorial
303	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	48	t	y	Item 10: 9.3.17.6 is not correct	change to 9.3.17.5	A	C	Changed to Editorial
349	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	48	T	y	Status is changed in Item 10	C.3 instead of C.2	A	C	
304	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	49	t	y	Item 11: 9.3.17.6 is not correct	change to 9.3.17.5	A	C	Changed to Editorial
350	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	50	T	y	Status is changed in Item 11	C.3 instead of C.2	A	C	
239	Cypher, David	A.4.3.8	277	29-33	E		Reference 9.3.17.3 does not contain supporting text. Is there a numbering problem?	Delete reference	A	C	
290	Cypher, David	A.4.3.8	277	0,36,&4	t		Change C.1 to M since the condition is really the prerequisite.	Change C.1 to M.	A	C	Changed to Editorial
240	Cypher, David	A.4.3.8	277	34-37	E		Reference 9.3.17.4 does not contain supporting text. Is there a numbering problem?	Change reference to 9.3.17.3	A	C	
241	Cypher, David	A.4.3.8	277	38-41	E		Reference 9.3.17.5 does not contain supporting text. Is there a numbering problem?	Change reference to 9.3.17.4	A	C	
238	Cypher, David	A.4.3.8	277	42-50	E		Reference 9.3.17.6 does not exist. Is text missing or is there a numbering problem?	In no missing text, then reference is 9.3.17.5.	A	C	
346	Watanabe, Fujio	A.4.3.8	277	51-53	T	y	Change statement of "C.1: Mandatory to support if... C.2:Mandatory to support ..."	C.1: Mandatory to support if support of A.17/9 is stated in the feature request. C.2: IfA.32/2 (Respond to park mode requests) is supported then at least one of A.32/7(Request Unpark using PM_ADDR) and A.32/8(Request Unpark using BD_ADDR) is mandatory to support. C.3: Mandatory to support if A.32/2 (Respond to park mode requests) is supported.	A	C	
345	Cypher, David	A.4.3.8	278	through	T		Condition and prerequisite are the same	Delete C.1 and change/replace with M	A	C	
351	Cypher, David	A.4.3.9	278&279	50-54	T		Determining what is/are the requirement(s) is confusing. Current pics implies that item 2 & 3 must be present even if A.17/11 is not supported. I believe this to be wrong. All items should have the prerequisite A.17/11 and item 1 deleted.	Delete item 1 and add prerequisite A.17/11 to entire table.	A	C	
159	Watanabe, Fujio	A.6.2.1	285	44	e	N	A:46/2 ":" can be ""	A.46/2	A	C	
162	Watanabe, Fujio	A.6.2.1	285	44	e	Y	THEN M, ELSE O is not good word	then mandatory, else optional	A	C	
160	Watanabe, Fujio	A.6.2.1	285	45	e	N	A:46/2 or A:46/3 ":" can be ""	A.46/2 or A.46/3	A	C	
163	Watanabe, Fujio	A.6.2.1	285	45	e	Y	THEN M, ELSE O is not good word	then mandatory, else optional	A	C	
161	Watanabe, Fujio	A.6.2.1	285	46	e	N	A:46/5 ":" can be ""	A.46/5	A	C	
164	Watanabe, Fujio	A.6.2.2	286	25	e	N	A:47/4 , A:47/5, A:47/6 ":" can be ""	A.47/4, A.47/5, A.47/6	A	C	

Comment Sequence Number e.g., 1, 2, etc.	Commenter Name:	Clause:	Page:	Line:	Comment Type:	Part of NO vote(Y/N)	Comment:	Suggested Remedy:	COMMENT STATUS X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS O/open W/written C/closed U/unstatisfied Z/withdrawn	Notes
167	Watanabe, Fujio	A.6.2.2	286	25	e	y	THEN M, ELSE O is not good word	then mandatory, else optional	A	C	
165	Watanabe, Fujio	A.6.2.2	286	26	e	N	A:47/6 ":" can be "."	A:47/6	A	C	
168	Watanabe, Fujio	A.6.2.2	286	26	e	y	THEN M, ELSE O is not good word	then mandatory, else optional	A	C	
166	Watanabe, Fujio	A.6.2.2	286	27	e	N	A:47/4 , A:47/5, A:47/6 ":" can be "."	A:47/4, A:47/5, A:47/6	A	C	
307	Watanabe, Fujio	A.6.2.3	287	5	t	y	C.1 is missing	Insert "C.1: If A.48/5 is supported, then at least one of A.48/1 or A.48/1 is M, ELSE O.	A	C	Changed to Editorial
306	Cypher, David	A.6.2.3	287	6	t	N	Condition C.1 is referenced in Table A.48, but no description of that C.1	Add description of condition	A	C	Changed to Editorial
352	Watanabe, Fujio	A.6.2.3	287	6	T	y	Table A.49 Establishment Procedure is missing	Table A.49 is inserted	A	C	
169	Gilb, James	C.2.2.2	837	48	e	N	The paragraph says "In the table above" but it should be a figure reference.	Change text to say "In figure C.1"	A	C	
308	Cypher, David	C.4.2.3.3	841	44	t		Are the examples missing? Text appears to let hanging the Word Examples: with no clearly identified examples, except those in the table 1	Add examples or remove the word.	A	C	Formatting problem
248	Gilb, James	C.6	846	25	E	N	The note beginning "Note: support for LMP" does not make sense and there is a font and emphasis change in the middle"	Change the font and emphasis of "Link Manager" and change the text to be an appropriate cross reference adding a comma at the end.	A	C	
249	Cypher, David	C.6.2.2	849	13	E		What is reference [10]?	Add reference	A	C	
250	Gilb, James	C.7.1.1	850	1	E	N	Table 2 (note wrong numbering) has not title	Add title and fix numbering	A	C	
251	Gilb, James	C.7.1.1	850	15	E	N	The text "Link Manager Protocol" has the wrong font and emphasis and the wording does not make sense in the note	Replace the text with a proper cross reference, e.g. "... is mandatory (see section x.x.x on page xxx)"	A	C	
310	Cypher, David	F.1	886		t		Which one of these statements is correct? I think it is the first, not the second because not all timers are part of this annex.	Delete second paragraph.	A	C	Changed to Editorial
257	Cypher, David	F.1.1.6	887	13	E		Default value is not in slots as per section description.	Put 20 seconds in () and add "32,000 slots"	A	C	
256	Cypher, David	F.1.1.6	887		E		Sentence does not make sense. What is it trying to say. Perhaps some missing text.	Add "so that it" before "... will work through ..."	A	C	
172	Camp, Michael	Front Matter	i	23	e	N	Sentence fragment: "; which is an industry specification"	Reword: "; Bluetooth is an industry specification"	A	C	
173	Gilb, James	G.3.3	989	45	e	N	the text "Encryption_Mode = 1 /"point-to-point/" doesn't make sense	Delete the text "/"point-to-point/"	A	C	
263	Gilb, James	H	922	all	E	Y	The bibliography is blank	Delete the annex or fill it with references.	A	C	Added IEEE Guide reference
313	"-----duplicate of 312-----"								A	C	duplicate 313