

Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < http://ieee802.org/16 >	
Title	Errata and points for clarification in 802.16a OFDM PHY specification	
Date Submitted	2003-01-09	
Source(s)	Neil Shipp Commsonic Ltd St. John's Innovation Center, Cambridge, CB4 0WS,UK	Voice: +44 1223 871071 Fax: +44 1223 871071 mailto:mrs@commsonic.com
Re:	Call for Contributions on Project 802.16d: IEEE Standard 802.16: 2-11 GHz System Profiles and Corrections of Errors in Base Standard. 18 Dec. 2002. Errata in IEEE 802.16a or 802.16c, or those in 802.16 not corrected in 802.16a or 802.16c.	
Abstract	Corrections for some Errata in 802.16a and also some suggestions for clarification.	
Purpose	Provide text that should be inserted by the 802.16d amendment to 802.16a.	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.	
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures < http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html >, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair < mailto:chair@wirelessman.org > as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site < http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices >.	

Errata and points for clarification in 802.16a OFDM PHY specification

Neil Shipp
Commsonic Ltd

Section 8.4.3.1 p147 to p148

Use of the word 'allocation' has become a bit confusing in the OFDM PHY section. I think using symbol, burst and frame as appropriate will be much clearer. In this section I believe 'burst' should replace 'allocation'.

Section 8.4.3.2.1 p150 lines 26 to 29

'A single 0x00 tail byte is appended to the end of each . In this section I believe 'burst' should replace 'allocation'.

Section 8.4.3.3 p156 line 26

'...coded bits per the specified allocation, Ncbps' – this defines 'allocation' as a single symbol. Ncbps would seem to stand for Number of Coded Bits Per Symbol so use 'symbol' rather than 'allocation'.

Section 8.4.3.3 p157 line 15 in Table 116a

Several mistakes in the table, 98 should be 96, 768 should be 576 and 384 should be 288.

Section 8.4.3.3 p157 line 22

I think this should read 'first bit out of the interleaver shall map to the MSB of the constellation'. This is consistent with the example data on p160. For all other blocks the MSB is always passed out first so for consistency it should really be the same here.

Section 8.4.3.4.1 p158 line 33-34

This should explicitly define b0 as the LSB to make the constellations consistent with 802.16-2001.

8.4.3.5 p160 line 7 onwards

I believe the interleaved data example is wrong and should be:

Interleaved Data

EE 73 2F A7 38 26 2A 66 BB F4 98 A7 38 46 B6 FB 59 90 7C ED CD 8D FA D5 23 AC EE 14 8F AD D0 67
B8 68 A7 D4 D3 10 23 8D C0 63 BB F2 06 2B 4F E0

I think the sequence in D7 has come from a misinterpretation of the interleaving spec in Section 8.4.3.3. Equation (44) in combination with the definitions of 'm' and 'k' means take every 16th bit of the input array and put it in the output. If instead you allow 'm' to index the input array and 'k' to index the output then you will wrongly form the output from every 24th bit of the input (for QPSK with Ncbps=384) which is what the current example has done.

And of course you'll need some new Mapped data:

Carrier Mapped Data (Index: I Q) x 1/sqrt(2)

-100:-1 -1, -99:-1 1, -98:-1 -1, -97:-1 1, -96:1 -1, -95:-1 -1, -94:1 1, -93:-1 -1, -92:1 1, -91:-1 1,
-90:-1 -1, -89:-1 -1, -88:-1 1, -87:-1 1, -86:1 -1, -85:-1 -1, -84:1 0, -83:1 1, -82:-1 -1, -81:-1 1,
-80:1 1, -79:1 1, -78:-1 1, -77:1 -1, -76:-1 1, -75:1 1, -74:-1 1, -73:-1 1, -72:-1 1, -71:1 -1,

-70:-1 1, -69:1 -1, -68:-1 1, -67:-1 1, -66:-1 -1, -65:-1 1, -64:-1 -1, -63:-1 -1, -62:-1 -1, -61:1 -1,
-60:-1 0, -59:1 1, -58:-1 1, -57:1 -1, -56:-1 1, -55:1 1, -54:-1 1, -53:-1 1, -52:1 -1, -51:-1 -1,
-50:1 1, -49:-1 -1, -48:-1 1, -47:1 1, -46:1 -1, -45:1 1, -44:1 -1, -43:-1 1, -42:-1 1, -41:-1 -1,
-40:1 -1, -39:-1 1, -38:-1 -1, -37:-1 -1, -36:1 0, -35:-1 1, -34:-1 -1, -33:1 -1, -32:1 -1, -31:-1 1,
-30:1 -1, -29:-1 1, -28:1 -1, -27:1 1, -26:1 1, -25:1 -1, -24:-1 -1, -23:-1 -1, -22:1 1, -21:-1 -1,
-20:-1 1, -19:-1 -1, -18:1 -1, -17:-1 -1, -16:1 1, -15:-1 -1, -14:1 -1, -13:-1 1, -12:-1 0, -11:1 1,
-10:-1 -1, -9:1 -1, -8:-1 -1, -7:-1 -1, -6:-1 1, -5:-1 1, -4:-1 -1, -3:1 -1, -2:1 -1, -1:1 -1,
0:0 0, 1:1 1, 2:-1 1, 3:1 1, 4:-1 -1, 5:-1 1, 6:-1 1, 7:-1 -1, 8:1 1, 9:-1 -1,
10:-1 1, 11:-1 -1, 12:1 0, 13:-1 1, 14:1 1, 15:1 -1, 16:1 -1, 17:1 1, 18:-1 1, 19:1 1,
20:-1 -1, 21:-1 -1, 22:-1 1, 23:-1 1, 24:-1 -1, 25:1 -1, 26:-1 -1, 27:1 -1, 28:1 1, 29:1 1,
30:1 -1, 31:-1 1, 32:1 -1, 33:-1 -1, 34:-1 1, 35:-1 -1, 36:1 0, 37:-1 1, 38:1 1, 39:1 -1,
40:-1 1, 41:-1 1, 42:1 1, 43:-1 1, 44:-1 1, 45:1 -1, 46:-1 -1, 47:-1 -1, 48:1 -1, 49:1 -1,
50:1 1, 51:-1 -1, 52:1 -1, 53:1 1, 54:-1 -1, 55:1 1, 56:1 -1, 57:1 1, 58:1 1, 59:1 1,
60:1 0, 61:-1 1, 62:1 1, 63:-1 -1, 64:-1 1, 65:1 1, 66:-1 -1, 67:1 -1, 68:-1 -1, 69:1 1,
70:1 1, 71:1 1, 72:1 -1, 73:-1 1, 74:1 1, 75:-1 -1, 76:-1 1, 77:-1 -1, 78:-1 1, 79:-1 -1,
80:-1 -1, 81:-1 -1, 82:1 1, 83:-1 1, 84:1 0, 85:1 1, 86:1 1, 87:1 -1, 88:-1 1, 89:1 1,
90:-1 1, 91:-1 1, 92:-1 -1, 93:1 -1, 94:1 1, 95:-1 -1, 96:-1 -1, 97:-1 -1, 98:-1 1, 99:1 1, 100:1 1,

8.4.4.1 p165 line 12

The HCS code definition would benefit from an example. I think there is more than one way to interpret this and of course if you do the reverse at your demodulator then you'll be fine but won't interwork with someone who designed it the other way.

My interpretation of this is that it is a Hamming type polynomial division, where the transmitter takes the RateID and Length bytes, divides by the generator polynomial and uses the remainder as the HCS code. At the receiver dividing the RateID+Length+HCS bytes by the generator then gives remainder 0 if correct.

So if RateID=1 and Length=204 symbols then we encode the byte sequence [0x10 0xCC] and should get 0x3D (decimal 61) as the HCS byte. The hardware to do this is a simple shift register and 3 XOR gate structure.