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## Introduction

802.16 m can be used as a digital broadcast mechanism through a Single Frequency Network (SFN). It's assumed that a simplex downlink is streamed to the user equipment (UE) through multiple base stations on the network, all using the same RF channel.

At the cell edge between transmitters in an SFN, it may happen that a receiver receives the same signal from two transmitters almost simultaneously. The tiny delay spread results in flat fading channel response with a wide coherent bandwidth. If signals from the two transmitters have phase reversed to one another, their destructive combination hence results in a totally faded flat channel. It is even worse that, for a static/quasi-static receiver, this terrible situation may continue for a long time relative to the interleaving length. Under such circumstances (flat and/or slow fading), a poor performance due to burst errors is expected. Therefore, it is important to 'create' diversity for solving the problem without affecting the receiver design.

In this contribution, we propose a novel correlated scrambling diversity (CSD) scheme [3] where the signal of each BS is independently scrambled, in both frequency- and time-dimensions, before transmission. For each BS, the phase rotations of contiguous subcarriers are correlated for composite channel response being continuous in frequency-dimension. On the other hand, the phases of contiguous symbols are rotated with controlled correlation depending on whether temporal interpolation is used for channel estimation or not. As a consequence, improved performance thanks to the diversity gain can be achieved with a simple channel estimation method by receivers.

## Proposed Correlated Scrambling Scheme

## System model

A typical SFN configuration is shown in Fig. 1. There is one transmitter at the center of each cell. Each receiver may receive line-of-sight (LOS) signals as well as echoes from the neighboring transmitters.


Fig. 1. SFN system configuration.
Fig. 2 shows the system model. It's assumed that $M$ BSs transmit the same signal (OFDM symbols) through different channels which are then received and combined at the receiving antenna of a UE. Under a further assumption that length of cyclic prefix (CP) of OFDM symbols is sufficiently large, the system model can thus


Fig. 2 System model
be derived as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Y}=\sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbf{H}_{m} \otimes \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{W} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{Y}=\left[Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{N}\right]^{T}$ is the received signal in N subcarriers of one OFDM symbol after N-point FFT operation, $\mathbf{H}_{m}=\left[H_{m, 1}, H_{m, 2}, \ldots, H_{m, N}\right]^{T}$ includes N sub-channels from the $m$-th BS to the UE, $\mathbf{X}=\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{N}\right]^{T}$ is the encoded signal to be transmitted, $\mathbf{W}=\left[W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots, W_{N}\right]^{T}$ denotes an additive Gaussian noise, and $\otimes$ means element-wise product between vectors, $\mathbf{A}^{T}$ means the transpose of a vector $\mathbf{A}$.

Eq. (1) can also be described as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{H}_{\text {comp }} \otimes \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{W}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{H}_{\text {conp }}$ denotes the composite channel response of the M individual channels as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}_{\text {comp }}=\sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbf{H}_{m} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the cell edge between transmitters, it may happen that the composite channel given by Eq. (3) becomes a totally faded flat channel due to tiny delay spread and destructive combination of various signal paths. A worse case is that, for a static/quasi-static receiver, this terrible situation may continue for a long time.


Fig. 3 The process of CSD

## The proposed scheme

Fig. 3 shows the process of the proposed CSD scheme. Defining scrambling symbol as the value determining rotation of each subcarrier, the scrambling pattern is the way that scrambling symbols are organized along the time- and frequency-dimension. In the proposed scheme, the scrambling symbols are correlated for contiguous
subcarriers (frequency-dimension) and/or contiguous symbols (time-dimension). Corresponding to Fig. 3, the CSD can be described as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Y}^{c s d}=\sum_{m=1}^{M}\left\{\mathbf{H}_{m} \otimes \mathbf{X}_{m}^{c s d}\right\}+\mathbf{W} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{X}_{m}^{c s d}=\mathbf{D}_{m}^{c s d} \otimes \mathbf{X},  \tag{5}\\
& \mathbf{D}_{m}^{c s d}=\left[e^{j \cdot \theta_{m, 1}}, e^{j \cdot \theta_{m, 2}}, \ldots, e^{j \cdot \theta_{m, N}}\right]^{T}, \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $\mathbf{D}_{m}^{\text {csd }}$ is the scrambling pattern for $m$-th BS, and $e^{j \cdot \theta_{m, k}}$ is the scrambling symbol for $k$-th subcarrier of $m$-th BS.

Rewriting Eq. (4) in composite form as in Eq. (2) gives rise to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Y}^{c s d}=\mathbf{H}_{c o m p}^{c s d} \otimes \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{W}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}_{c m p}^{c s d}=\sum_{m=1}^{M}\left(\mathbf{H}_{m} \otimes \mathbf{D}_{m}{ }^{c s d}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To clarify the characteristic of the proposed CSD scheme, assume the individual channels from the $m$-th BS to the UE are flat faded. The composite channel given by Eq. (8) can be further simplified as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H}_{c o m p}^{c s d} & =\sum_{m=1}^{M}\left(H_{m} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{m}^{c s d}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& =\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M} H_{m} \cdot e^{i \theta_{m, 1}}, \ldots, \sum_{m=1}^{M} H_{m} \cdot e^{j \theta_{m, N}}\right]^{T} .
\end{align*}
$$

where $H_{m}$ is a scalar representing channel fading factor associated with $m$-th BS. Obviously, the composite channel is no longer flat but depends on the combination results whose feature is further determined by the scrambling pattern.

There are many ways to generate an appropriate scrambling pattern, as long as the coherent bandwidth of the pattern is larger than frequency spacing between contiguous pilots. The criterion is for the ease of channel estimation. One method is proposed here as an example. Let $\mathbf{g}$ be an $N x 1$ vector, and

$$
g_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \cdot e^{j \cdot \theta_{a}}, \text { for } n=1,  \tag{10}\\
1 \cdot e^{j \cdot \theta_{b}}, \text { for } n=1+d, \\
\frac{1}{2} \cdot e^{j \cdot \theta_{c}}, \text { for } n=1+2 d, \\
0, \text { otherwise },
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $g_{n}$ denotes the $n$-th element of $\mathbf{g}, 2 d$ is path delay of the pattern generator, and $\theta_{i}, i=\{a, b, c\}$ is a random phase ranging between $\pm \pi$.

Next, let $\mathbf{G}$ be the $N$-point DFT of $\mathbf{g}$. Then, the phases of the scrambling symbols can be chosen as

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\Theta} & =\left[\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{N}\right]^{T} \\
& =\left(|\mathbf{G}|-|\mathbf{G}|_{\min }\right) \cdot \frac{2 \pi}{|\mathbf{G}|_{\text {MAX }}-|\mathbf{G}|_{\min }}, \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $|\mathbf{G}|_{M A X}$ and $|\mathbf{G}|_{\text {min }}$ means the maximum and minimum value of $|\mathbf{G}|$, respectively. By choosing an appropriate path delay $2 d$, the scrambling symbols expected to be strongly correlated for contiguous subcarriers can be obtained. No prior information about the scrambling patterns is needed by receivers that can estimate the composite channel given by Eq. (8) via a typical pilot-based linear interpolation approach.

## Simulation Results

Computer simulation is performed to evaluate the proposed CSD scheme. The simulation parameters are described in Table 1. In this simulation, the performances of coded bit error rate (BER) versus the required signal to noise ratios (SNR) are evaluated. The comparison between the proposed CSD scheme (as shown in Fig. 3) and no diversity scheme (as shown in Fig. 2) will be shown in the following simulation results.

Table 1 Parameters of Link-level Simulation

| Carrier frequency | 2.5 GHz |
| :---: | :---: |
| Channel bandwidth | 10 MHz |
| FFT size | 1,024 |
| Cyclic prefix | $1 / 8$ |
| Subcarrier permutation | PUSC |
| FEC data block size | 480 bits |
| Modulation and code rate | QPSK $1 / 2$ |
| Channel coding | Convolutional turbo code (CTC) |
| Channel | ITU Vehicular A or single-path |
| User mobility | $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Channel estimation | Cluster-based linear interpolation |
| Number of cells | 2 |
| CSD path delay | 10 |

Single-path channel model is considered in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. Fig. 4 shows the BER curves of proposed scheme and no diversity scheme using ideal channel estimation, i.e., channel state information (CSI) is known at the UE. Under the impractical assumption of known CSI, proposed scheme provides about 8 dB diversity gain to the system when BER equals $10^{-3}$.

Fig. 5 shows the BER curves of proposed scheme and no diversity scheme using cluster-based linear interpolation channel estimation. It can be seen that when imperfect channel estimation loss is taken into account, the proposed CSD scheme provides more than 10 dB gain to the system when BER equals $10^{-3}$.

For more realistic Vehicular A (VA) channel model, the corresponding results using the same channel estimation scheme are shown in Fig. 6. It can be seen that, for BER $=10^{-3}$, the proposed CSD scheme still exhibits 4 dB gain to the system without any diversity scheme.

## Conclusion

In an SFN configuration, UE at cell edges experiencing flat and/or slow fading cannot operate well. We have presented a novel correlated scrambling diversity scheme to overcome this problem. Through computer simulations, the proposed CSD scheme has been shown to provide significant diversity gain compared to the original system.


Fig. 4 The BER curve of proposed scheme using ideal channel estimation under single-path channel model.


Fig. 5 The BER curve of proposed scheme using practical channel estimation under single-path channel model.


Fig. 6 The BER curve of proposed scheme using practical channel estimation under VA channel model.
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## Proposed Text

## 15 Support for Enhanced Multicast Broadcast Service

## 15.x Correlated Scrambling Diversity Scheme

Correlated scrambling diversity (CSD) scheme can be considered for 802.16 m systems. Each base station generates its correlated scrambling pattern independently to others and applies it to the transmitted signal as follows.

$$
\mathbf{X}_{m}^{c s d}=\mathbf{D}_{m}^{c s d} \otimes \mathbf{X}
$$

where $\mathbf{X}=\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{N}\right]^{T}$ is the encoded signal to be transmitted, and $\mathbf{D}_{m}^{c s d}=\left[e^{j \cdot \theta_{m, 1}}, e^{j \cdot \theta_{m, 2}}, \ldots, e^{j \cdot \theta_{m, N}}\right]^{T}$ is the scrambling pattern for $m$-th BS, $e^{j \cdot \theta_{m, k}}$ is the scrambling symbol for $k$-th subcarrier of $m$-th BS.

Scrambling patterns can be generated as follows. Let $\mathbf{g}$ be an $N \mathrm{x} 1$ vector, and

$$
g_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \cdot e^{j \cdot \theta_{a}}, \text { for } n=1, \\
1 \cdot e^{j \cdot \theta_{0}}, \text { for } n=1+d, \\
\frac{1}{2} \cdot e^{j \cdot \theta_{c}}, \text { for } n=1+2 d, \\
0, \text { otherwise },
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $g_{n}$ denotes the $n$-th element of $\mathbf{g}, 2 d$ is path delay of the pattern generator, and $\theta_{i}, i=\{a, b, c\}$ is a random phase ranging between $\pm \pi$.

Next, let $\mathbf{G}$ be the $N$-point DFT of $\mathbf{g}$. Then, the phases of the scrambling symbols can be chosen as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Theta} & =\left[\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{N}\right]^{T} \\
& =\left(|\mathbf{G}|-|\mathbf{G}|_{\min }\right) \cdot \frac{2 \pi}{|\mathbf{G}|_{M A X}-|\mathbf{G}|_{\min }},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|\mathbf{G}|_{\text {MAX }}$ and $|\mathbf{G}|_{\text {min }}$ means the maximum and minimum value of $|\mathbf{G}|$, respectively.
The coherent bandwidth of the pattern, in terms of subcarrier spacing, is proportional to $\frac{N}{2 d}$, and should be larger than frequency spacing between contiguous pilots for the ease of channel estimation.

