



# IEEE 802.17 State Table Ad Hoc Conclusions

Stephen Dunhom
Asif Hazarika
David James
Robert D. Love



## Observations



- Draft 1.1 State tables are a good start, but do not give the required level of specificity required in a standard
- Many terms are undefined or buried in text
- Source of input conditions not always known (Is it from one ringlet, both, or both + the MAC?)
- Precedence for evaluating terms not always clear
- Many conditions and transitions are not yet described within the existing state tables



## **Overall Conclusions**



#### State tables must be

## Complete

- All events and all transitions must be contained within the state tables
- State tables must explicitly correlate to the MIB

## Unambiguous

- A formal language used to express inputs and transitions
- The source of each input known
- The range of values for each input variable known
- Precedence of actions explicitly stated

#### • Clear

All terms defined and easy to find in the draft

## before we approve the draft





## Specific Recommendations

## Definition and Specification of Terms

- All terms used by the State Tables are to be defined in Clause 3 or by its clause if only used locally
- Range of values for inputs/outputs of the State
   Table is to be specified directly in definitions or
   by reference to Clause #







## Required Precision

• Format used to express conditions and actions will be a formal language such as C-code

### Correlation to MIB

• State tables and MIB should point to each other on a term by term basis.







### Order of Execution

- The draft will explicitly state that the precedence used in evaluating State tables is from the top down, unless otherwise specified
- Include in explanatory description of State Tables any possibilities of race conditions.
- All timing assumptions used in evaluating the state tables will be explained in the text associated with the State Tables.





# Motions for Thursday

- All RPR operations must be described within the state tables
- C-Code will be used to describe inputs / events in the state table
- All terms used within the state table will be defined in a Definition section of the draft (Clause 3 or at beginning of local clause)





## Motions for Thursday (cont.)

- The ranges of all terms used in the state tables will be described within the definitions or by pointers within the definitions
- The state tables and MIB will cross reference each other on an item by item basis