ballot comments

100 SC 0	Р	L	# 48	C/ 00	SC O	P	L	# 23
anga, Ilango	Intel			Anslow, F	Peter	Ciena		
omment Type TR	Comment Status X			Commen	t <i>Type</i> T	Comment Status X		
document be aligned already in sponsor ba For example, see the	2.3 document is based on IE I to the revised references as allot. e following reference in 13.5 5.1.1.14 aFECMode." Howe	s per the 802.3RI	EV project that is object, REFERENCE	802.3 revisi Cons chang	b, the references on of 802.3. equently, refere ged.	802.3.1 is to include changes s from 802.3.1 to 802.3 should nces that do not align with 80	d align with the c	lause numbers in the
30.5.1.1.16 in 802.3			<u>j</u>	•••	dRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				0		.3.61.14" should be "30.3.6.1 .3.61.14" should be "30.3.6.1		
	references to 802.3REV proj	ect that is alread	v in sponsor ballot.			0.5.1.1.12" should be "30.5.1		
0			,	Page	176, line 41, "3	0.5.1.1.13" should be "30.5.1	.1.15"	
Proposed Response	Response Status 0					0.5.1.1.14" should be "30.5.1		
						0.5.1.1.15" should be "30.5.1		
				0		0.5.1.1.16" should be "30.5.1 0.5.1.1.12" should be "30.5.1		
				0		0.5.1.1.12 should be "30.5.1		
						0.5.1.1.14" should be "30.5.1		
						0.5.1.1.15" should be "30.5.1		
				Page	199, line 18, "3	0.5.1.1.16" should be "30.5.1	.1.18"	
						nd 55 "22.7a" should be "22.7		
				0		nd 56 "35.4a" should be "35.4		
						nd 56 "46.4a" should be "46.4		
						nd 30 "22.7a" should be "22.7		
						nd 30 "35.4a" should be "35.4 nd 30 "46.4a" should be "46.4		
				0		5.2.3.20" should be "45.2.3.2		
				0		5.2.3.21" should be "45.2.3.2		
						5.2.3.18.3" should be "45.2.3		
				Page	286, line 38, "4	5.2.3.17.1" should be "45.2.3	.25.1"	
				0		5.2.3.17.2" should be "45.2.3		
						5.2.3.21" should be "45.2.3.2		
						5.2.3.22" should be "45.2.3.3		
						5.2.3.23" should be "45.2.3.3 .2.3.25" should be "45.2.3.33		
						5.2.3.26" should be "45.2.3.3		
						5.2.3.27" should be "45.2.3.3		
						5.2.3.28" should be "45.2.3.3		
				0		5.2.3.24" should be "45.2.3.3		
				-		5.2.1.11.4" should be "45.2.1		
				0		5.2.1.11.7" should be "45.2.1		
						5.2.1.36" should be "45.2.1.2		
						5.2.1.36" should be "45.2.1.2		
						5.2.1.4.1" should be "45.2.1.4		
				n-	000 Km - 05 #4	5.2.1.4.2" should be "45.2.1.4	1 0 1	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 1 of 10 1/23/2012 9:31:04 AM

C/ 00

SC 0

ballot comments

Page 300, line 26, "45.2.1.12.3" should be "45.2.1.15.3" Page 301. line 47. "45.2.1.12.4" should be "45.2.1.15.4" Page 302, line 49, "45.2.1.38" should be "45.2.1.41" Page 302, line 50, "45.2.1.39" should be "45.2.1.42" Page 302, line 50, "45,2,1,54" should be "45,2,1,57" Page 303, line 17, "45.2.1.11.4" should be "45.2.1.14.4" Page 303, line 17, "45.2.1.11.7" should be "45.2.1.14.7" Page 303, line 17, "45.2.1.12.4" should be "45.2.1.15.4" Page 303, line 63, "45.2.1.16" should be "45.2.1.19" Page 304, line 21, "45.2.1.17" should be "45.2.1.20" Page 304, line 39, "45.2.1.18" should be "45.2.1.21" Page 304. line 62. "45.2.1.19" should be "45.2.1.22" Page 305, line 22, "45.2.1.21" should be "45.2.1.29" Page 308, line 26, "45.2.1.42" should be "45.2.1.45" Page 309, line 23, "45.2.1.43" should be "45.2.1.46" Page 309, line 56, "45,2,1,43" should be "45,2,1,46" Page 310, line 12, "45.2.1.43" should be "45.2.1.46" Page 310, line 42, "45.2.1.43" should be "45.2.1.46" Page 321, line 65, "45,2,1,22" should be "45,2,1,25" Page 322, line 25, "45.2.1.23" should be "45.2.1.26" Page 369, line 34, "30.5.1.1.12" should be "30.5.1.1.14" Page 369, line 55, "30.5.1.1.13" should be "30.5.1.1.15" Page 370, lines 16 and 18, see seperate comment Page 370. line 19. "30.5.1.1.14" should be "30.5.1.1.16" Page 370, line 41, "45.2.7.5" should be "45.2.8.5" Page 370, line 41, "45.2.1.86" should be "45.2.1.91" Page 370. line 42. "30.5.1.1.15" should be "30.5.1.1.17" Page 371, line 2, "45.2.7.6" should be "45.2.8.6" Page 371, line 3, "45.2.1.87" should be "45.2.1.92" Page 371. line 4. "30.5.1.1.16" should be "30.5.1.1.18" Page 371, line 19, "45.2.1.63" should be "45.2.1.65" Page 371, line 20, "30.5.1.1.17" should be "30.5.1.1.19" Page 371, line 34, "45.2.1.64" should be "45.2.1.66" Page 371, line 35, "30.5,1,1,18" should be "30,5,1,1,20" Page 371, line 50, "45.2.1.65" should be "45.2.1.67" Page 371, line 51, "30.5.1.1.19" should be "30.5.1.1.21" Page 371, line 65, "45.2.1.66" should be "45.2.1.68" Page 372, line 1, "30.5.1.1.20" should be "30.5.1.1.22" Page 372, line 12, "30.5.1.1.21" should be "30.5.1.1.23" Page 372, line 23, "45.2.1.76a.2" should be "45.2.1.78.2" Page 372, line 35, "45,2,1,76a,2" should be "45,2,1,78,1" Page 372, line 64, "30.5.1.1.XX" should be "30.5.1.1.11" Page 373, line 19, "30.5.1.1.XX" should be "30.5.1.1.12" Page 431, line 23, "30.5.1.1.11" should be "30.5.1.1.13" Page 431, line 38, "30.5.1.1.17" should be "30.5.1.1.19" Page 431, line 52, "30.5,1,1,18" should be "30,5,1,1,20" Page 432, line 3, "30.5.1.1.19" should be "30.5.1.1.21" Page 432, line 17, "30.5.1.1.20" should be "30.5.1.1.22" Page 432, line 32, "30.5.1.1.12" should be "30.5.1.1.14" Page 432, line 46, "30.5.1.1.13" should be "30.5.1.1.15"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 00 SC 0 Page 2 of 10 1/23/2012 9:31:05 AM

Page 432, line 61, "30.5.1.1.14" should be "30.5.1.1.16" Page 433, line 13, "30.5.1.1.15" should be "30.5.1.1.17" Page 433, line 27, "30.5.1.1.16" should be "30.5.1.1.18" Page 448, line 28, "30.8.1.1.2" should be "30.9.1.1.2" Page 448, line 44, "30.8.1.1.3" should be "30.9.1.1.3" Page 448, line 59, "30.8.1.1.4" should be "30.9.1.1.4" Page 449, line 10, "30.8.1.1.1" should be "30.9.1.1.5" Page 449, line 38, "30.8.1.1.5" should be "30.9.1.1.7"

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

/ 00 nslow, Pe	SC 0 eter	Р 64 Сіепа	L 28	# 20	<i>Cl</i> 02 Barrass, H	SC 2 ugh	P 21 Cisco	L 20	# 1
omment Page (Comment Status X	FlagsField of 30.3.0	6.1.10)", but	Comment Nelacl	<i>Type</i> E ktworks	Comment Status X		
30.3.6	6.1.10 is in IEEE S issue on page 64	td 802.3 so the reference sh , line 40 for 30.3.6.1.11 and	nould be prefaced	by this.	Suggested Netwo	-			
uggestec	dRemedy				Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
		the aOAMLocalFlagsField of	of IEEE Std 802.3	30.3.6.1.10)"					
Make Page (equivalent change 64, line 40 for 30.3) on: 3 6 1 11			C/ 02	SC 2	P 22	L36	# 2
	65, line 21 for 30.3				Barrass, H		Cisco	230	# 2
	69, line 32 for 30.3					0			
	175, line 1 for 30.3 176, line 1 for 30.3				Comment		Comment Status X		
0	176, line 34 for 30.3				Very h	igh speed sh	ould be hyphenated, also fix cap	oitals & add abbre	eviation
-	305, line 41 for 45				Suggested	Remedy			
		.3.3.3 and Figure 61-19			ITU-T	Recommend	ation G.993.1, 2004—Very-high	-speed Digital Sul	bscriber Line (VDSL)
	306, line 2 for 45.2				transc		, , , ,		
	370, line 4 for 65.2		orracte reference)		Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
		5.2.1.63 (another comment of 5.2.1.64 (another comment of a second s							
		5.2.1.65 (another comment c							
		.2.1.66 (another comment of			C/ 05	SC 5.4	P 52	L24	# 22
Dage '	372, line 58 (802.3	3 missing)			Anslow, Pe	-	Ciena		
raye.		24404			Ansiow, 1 4	5101	Cleria		
Page 4	400, line 9 for 30.3								
Page 4	400, line 54 for 30	.3.1.1.33			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
Page 4 Page 4 Page 4	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3).3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34				51	Comment Status X ect maps to the variable LocRxS	ystemValueEcho	", but that is the
Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3 401, line 63 for 30).3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34).3.1.2.4			This s same	ays "This obje as for IIdpV2>	ect maps to the variable LocRxS Kdot3LocRxTwSysEcho earlier.	Shouldn't this on	
Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3 401, line 63 for 30 403, line 42 for 30	0.3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34 0.3.1.2.4 0.3.2.1.2			This s same	ays "This obje as for IIdpV2>	ect maps to the variable LocRxS	Shouldn't this on	
Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3 401, line 63 for 30	0.3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34 0.3.1.2.4 0.3.2.1.2 0.3.2.1.3			This s same	ays "This obje as for lldpV2> xSystemValu	ect maps to the variable LocRxS Kdot3LocRxTwSysEcho earlier.	Shouldn't this on	
Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3 401, line 63 for 30 403, line 42 for 30 403, line 57 for 30 404, line 22 for 30 404, line 56 for 30	0.3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34 0.3.1.2.4 0.3.2.1.2 0.3.2.1.3 0.3.2.1.5 0.3.2.1.7			This s same RemR <i>Suggested</i>	ays "This obje as for IldpV2) xSystemValu IRemedy	ect maps to the variable LocRxS Kdot3LocRxTwSysEcho earlier. IeEcho rather than LocRxSyster	Shouldn't this on nValueEcho?	
Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3 401, line 63 for 30 403, line 42 for 30 403, line 57 for 30 404, line 57 for 30 404, line 56 for 30 408, line 49 for 30	0.3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34 0.3.1.2.4 0.3.2.1.2 0.3.2.1.3 0.3.2.1.5 0.3.2.1.7 0.3.5.1.1			This s same RemR <i>Suggested</i> Chang	ays "This obje as for IldpV22 xSystemValu <i>IRemedy</i> je LocRxSyst	ect maps to the variable LocRxS Kdot3LocRxTwSysEcho earlier. In Echo rather than LocRxSyster emValueEcho to RemRxSyster	Shouldn't this on nValueEcho?	
Page 4 Page 4	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3 401, line 63 for 30 403, line 42 for 30 403, line 57 for 30 404, line 57 for 30 404, line 56 for 30 408, line 49 for 30 408, line 63 for 30	0.3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34 0.3.1.2.4 0.3.2.1.2 0.3.2.1.3 0.3.2.1.5 0.3.2.1.7 0.3.5.1.1 0.3.5.1.2	- 00 in the set of 10		This s same RemR <i>Suggested</i>	ays "This obje as for IldpV22 xSystemValu <i>IRemedy</i> je LocRxSyst	ect maps to the variable LocRxS Kdot3LocRxTwSysEcho earlier. IeEcho rather than LocRxSyster	Shouldn't this on nValueEcho?	
Page 4 Page 4 Pa	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3 401, line 63 for 30 403, line 42 for 30 403, line 57 for 30 404, line 56 for 30 404, line 56 for 30 408, line 49 for 30 408, line 63 for 30 Page 409, line 13	0.3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34 0.3.1.2.4 0.3.2.1.2 0.3.2.1.3 0.3.2.1.5 0.3.2.1.7 0.3.5.1.1 0.3.5.1.2 to Page 414 line 6 there are		See "BEHAVIOUR	This s same RemR <i>Suggested</i> Chang	ays "This obje as for IldpV22 xSystemValu <i>IRemedy</i> je LocRxSyst	ect maps to the variable LocRxS Kdot3LocRxTwSysEcho earlier. In Echo rather than LocRxSyster emValueEcho to RemRxSyster	Shouldn't this on nValueEcho?	
Page 4 Page 4 Pa	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3 401, line 63 for 30 403, line 42 for 30 403, line 57 for 30 404, line 22 for 30 404, line 56 for 30 408, line 49 for 30 408, line 63 for 30 Page 409, line 13 NED AS" in' that sh	0.3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34 0.3.1.2.4 0.3.2.1.2 0.3.2.1.3 0.3.2.1.5 0.3.2.1.7 0.3.5.1.1 0.3.5.1.2 to Page 414 line 6 there are nould be followed by "IEEE 5		See "BEHAVIOUR	This s same RemR <i>Suggested</i> Chang	ays "This obje as for IldpV22 xSystemValu <i>IRemedy</i> je LocRxSyst	ect maps to the variable LocRxS Kdot3LocRxTwSysEcho earlier. In Echo rather than LocRxSyster emValueEcho to RemRxSyster	Shouldn't this on nValueEcho?	
Page 4 Page 4 Pa	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3 401, line 63 for 30 403, line 42 for 30 403, line 57 for 30 404, line 52 for 30 404, line 56 for 30 408, line 49 for 30 408, line 63 for 30 Page 409, line 13 VED AS" in' that sh 429, line 18 for 30	0.3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34 0.3.1.2.4 0.3.2.1.2 0.3.2.1.5 0.3.2.1.5 0.3.2.1.7 0.3.5.1.1 0.3.5.1.2 to Page 414 line 6 there are nould be followed by "IEEE 5 0.5.1.1.2		See "BEHAVIOUR	This s same RemR <i>Suggested</i> Chang	ays "This obje as for IldpV22 xSystemValu <i>IRemedy</i> je LocRxSyst	ect maps to the variable LocRxS Kdot3LocRxTwSysEcho earlier. In Echo rather than LocRxSyster emValueEcho to RemRxSyster	Shouldn't this on nValueEcho?	
Page 4 Page 4 Pa	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3 401, line 63 for 30 403, line 42 for 30 403, line 57 for 30 404, line 22 for 30 404, line 56 for 30 408, line 49 for 30 408, line 63 for 30 Page 409, line 13 NED AS" in' that sh	0.3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34 0.3.1.2.4 0.3.2.1.2 0.3.2.1.5 0.3.2.1.5 0.3.2.1.7 0.3.5.1.1 0.3.5.1.2 to Page 414 line 6 there are hould be followed by "IEEE 5 0.5.1.1.2 0.5.1.1.2		See "BEHAVIOUR	This s same RemR <i>Suggested</i> Chang	ays "This obje as for IldpV22 xSystemValu <i>IRemedy</i> je LocRxSyst	ect maps to the variable LocRxS Kdot3LocRxTwSysEcho earlier. In Echo rather than LocRxSyster emValueEcho to RemRxSyster	Shouldn't this on nValueEcho?	
Page 4 Page 4 Pa	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3 401, line 63 for 30.4 403, line 57 for 30 403, line 57 for 30 404, line 22 for 30 404, line 56 for 30 408, line 49 for 30 Page 409, line 13 NED AS" in' that sh 429, line 18 for 30 429, line 49 for 30 430, line 13 for 30 Page 431, line 37	0.3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34 0.3.1.2.4 0.3.2.1.2 0.3.2.1.3 0.3.2.1.5 0.3.2.1.7 0.3.5.1.1 0.3.5.1.2 to Page 414 line 6 there are 1.5.1.1.2 0.5.1.1.2 0.5.1.1.4 0.5.1.1.6 to Page 433 line 28 there a	Std 802.3" re 9 instances of 'S		This s same RemR <i>Suggested</i> Chang	ays "This obje as for IldpV22 xSystemValu <i>IRemedy</i> je LocRxSyst	ect maps to the variable LocRxS Kdot3LocRxTwSysEcho earlier. In Echo rather than LocRxSyster emValueEcho to RemRxSyster	Shouldn't this on nValueEcho?	
Page 4 Page 6 Page 6 Pa	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3 401, line 63 for 30 403, line 57 for 30 403, line 57 for 30 404, line 56 for 30 404, line 56 for 30 408, line 49 for 30 408, line 49 for 30 Page 409, line 13 VED AS" in' that sh 429, line 13 for 30 430, line 13 for 30 Page 431, line 37 VED AS" in' that sh	9.3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34 9.3.1.2.4 9.3.2.1.2 9.3.2.1.3 9.3.2.1.5 9.3.2.1.7 9.3.5.1.1 9.3.5.1.2 to Page 414 line 6 there are hould be followed by "IEEE 5 9.5.1.1.4 9.5.1.1.6 to Page 433 line 28 there a hould be followed by "IEEE 5 1.1.6 to Page 433 line 28 there a	Std 802.3" re 9 instances of 'S Std 802.3"	See "BEHAVIOUR	This s same RemR <i>Suggested</i> Chang	ays "This obje as for IldpV22 xSystemValu <i>IRemedy</i> je LocRxSyst	ect maps to the variable LocRxS Kdot3LocRxTwSysEcho earlier. In Echo rather than LocRxSyster emValueEcho to RemRxSyster	Shouldn't this on nValueEcho?	
Page 4 Page 4 Pa	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3 401, line 63 for 30.4 403, line 57 for 30 403, line 57 for 30 404, line 22 for 30 404, line 56 for 30 408, line 49 for 30 408, line 49 for 30 408, line 13 for 30 429, line 13 for 30 429, line 13 for 30 Page 431, line 37 VED AS" in' that sh Page 440, line 18	1.3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34 3.3.1.2.4 1.3.2.1.2 3.3.2.1.5 3.3.2.1.5 3.3.2.1.7 0.3.5.1.1 0.3.5.1.2 to Page 414 line 6 there are nould be followed by "IEEE 5 0.5.1.1.2 0.5.1.1.4 0.5.1.1.6 to Page 433 line 28 there a nould be followed by "IEEE 5	Std 802.3" re 9 instances of 'S Std 802.3" re 124 instances of	See "BEHAVIOUR of 'See	This s same RemR <i>Suggested</i> Chang	ays "This obje as for IldpV22 xSystemValu <i>IRemedy</i> je LocRxSyst	ect maps to the variable LocRxS Kdot3LocRxTwSysEcho earlier. In Echo rather than LocRxSyster emValueEcho to RemRxSyster	Shouldn't this on nValueEcho?	
Page 4 Page 4 Pa	400, line 54 for 30 401, line 3 for 30.3 401, line 63 for 30.4 403, line 57 for 30 403, line 57 for 30 404, line 22 for 30 404, line 56 for 30 408, line 49 for 30 408, line 49 for 30 408, line 13 for 30 429, line 13 for 30 429, line 13 for 30 Page 431, line 37 VED AS" in' that sh Page 440, line 18	9.3.1.1.33 3.1.1.34 9.3.1.2.4 9.3.2.1.2 9.3.2.1.3 9.3.2.1.5 9.3.2.1.7 9.3.5.1.1 9.3.5.1.2 to Page 414 line 6 there are hould be followed by "IEEE 5 9.5.1.1.4 9.5.1.1.6 to Page 433 line 28 there a hould be followed by "IEEE 5 1.1.6 to Page 433 line 28 there a	Std 802.3" re 9 instances of 'S Std 802.3" re 124 instances of	See "BEHAVIOUR of 'See	This s same RemR <i>Suggested</i> Chang	ays "This obje as for IldpV22 xSystemValu <i>IRemedy</i> je LocRxSyst	ect maps to the variable LocRxS Kdot3LocRxTwSysEcho earlier. In Echo rather than LocRxSyster emValueEcho to RemRxSyster	Shouldn't this on nValueEcho?	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 05 SC 5.4

C/ 07 SC 7.3 Anslow, Peter	P 102 Ciena	L 50	# 19	C/ 09 SC 9.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 181 ZTE Corporati	L 1 ion	# 31
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
REFERENCE "IEEE 802.3," rather than "I	802.3 Mgt, 30.4.2.1.2, aGroupF EEE 802.3 Mgt,"	PortCapacity." she	ould say "IEEE Std		nState (30.3.5.1.2) was modified ot3MpcpOperStatus in 802.3.1a		it changes in the tex
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change "IEEE 802.3	B Mgt," to "IEEE Std 802.3,"			Change "IEEE Std 8	02.3, Clause 64." to "IEEE Std 8	302.3, Clause 64	4 and Clause 77.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
CI 07 SC 7.3	P110	L 61	# 24	C/ 09 SC 9.6	<i>P</i> 181	L 53	# 32
Anslow, Peter	Ciena			Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corporati	ion	
This says " less tha	Comment Status X an or equal to maxFrameSize (S		2.3 4.4.2.1).", but		Comment Status X (30.3.5.1.3) was modified by 80)2.3av, but char	nges in the text are
This says " less tha 4.4.2.1 does not exis Same issue on page SuggestedRemedy Correct this reference Should this point to 4	an or equal to maxFrameSize (S st and 4.4.2 does not contain a n	haxFrameSize. 3 and 48. FrameSize and	2.3 4.4.2.1).", but	Object aMPCPMode not reflected in dot3N SuggestedRemedy			-
This says " less tha 4.4.2.1 does not exis Same issue on page SuggestedRemedy Correct this reference Should this point to 4 maxEnvelopeFrameS	an or equal to maxFrameSize (S st and 4.4.2 does not contain a n 111 lines 23 and 48 e here and on page 111 lines 23 4.4.2 as this contains maxBasicF	haxFrameSize. 3 and 48. FrameSize and	2.3 4.4.2.1).", but	Object aMPCPMode not reflected in dot3M SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE Std 8	(30.3.5.1.3) was modified by 80 MpcpMode in 802.3.1a 02.3, Clause 64." to "IEEE Std 8	302.3, Clause 64 	-
This says " less tha 4.4.2.1 does not exis Same issue on page SuggestedRemedy Correct this reference Should this point to 4 maxEnvelopeFrames Proposed Response	an or equal to maxFrameSize (S st and 4.4.2 does not contain a n 111 lines 23 and 48 e here and on page 111 lines 23 4.4.2 as this contains maxBasicF Size or is there a better reference	haxFrameSize. 3 and 48. FrameSize and	2.3 4.4.2.1).", but	Object aMPCPMode not reflected in dot3N SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE Std 8 Proposed Response C/ 09 SC 9.6	(30.3.5.1.3) was modified by 80 MpcpMode in 802.3.1a 02.3, Clause 64." to "IEEE Std 8 <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 182	302.3, Clause 64 	4 and Clause 77.
This says " less tha 4.4.2.1 does not exis Same issue on page SuggestedRemedy Correct this reference Should this point to 4 maxEnvelopeFrames Proposed Response	an or equal to maxFrameSize (S st and 4.4.2 does not contain a n 111 lines 23 and 48 e here and on page 111 lines 23 4.4.2 as this contains maxBasicF Size or is there a better reference <i>Response Status</i> O	haxFrameSize. 3 and 48. FrameSize and re?		Object aMPCPMode not reflected in dot3N SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE Std 8 Proposed Response C/ 09 SC 9.6 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Object aMPCPLinkIE	(30.3.5.1.3) was modified by 80 MpcpMode in 802.3.1a 02.3, Clause 64." to "IEEE Std & <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 182 ZTE Corporati <i>Comment Status</i> X D (30.3.5.1.4) was modified by 8	302.3, Clause 64	4 and Clause 77. # <u>33</u>
This says " less tha 4.4.2.1 does not exis Same issue on page SuggestedRemedy Correct this reference Should this point to 4 maxEnvelopeFrames Proposed Response	an or equal to maxFrameSize (S st and 4.4.2 does not contain a n 111 lines 23 and 48 e here and on page 111 lines 23 4.4.2 as this contains maxBasicF Size or is there a better reference <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 148	haxFrameSize. 3 and 48. FrameSize and re?		Object aMPCPMode not reflected in dot3N SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE Std 8 Proposed Response C/ 09 SC 9.6 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Object aMPCPLinkIE not reflected in dot3N	(30.3.5.1.3) was modified by 80 MpcpMode in 802.3.1a 02.3, Clause 64." to "IEEE Std 8 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 182 ZTE Corporati <i>Comment Status</i> X	302.3, Clause 64	4 and Clause 77. # <u>33</u>
This says " less tha 4.4.2.1 does not exis Same issue on page SuggestedRemedy Correct this reference Should this point to 4 maxEnvelopeFrames Proposed Response C/ 08 SC 8.5 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T This says " greater doesn't have anything	an or equal to maxFrameSize (S st and 4.4.2 does not contain a n 111 lines 23 and 48 e here and on page 111 lines 23 4.4.2 as this contains maxBasicf Size or is there a better reference <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 148 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X than tlim max (see IEEE Std 80	haxFrameSize. 3 and 48. FrameSize and e? <i>L</i> 19	# 25	Object aMPCPMode not reflected in dot3N SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE Std 8 Proposed Response C/ 09 SC 9.6 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Object aMPCPLinkIE not reflected in dot3N SuggestedRemedy Change "as specified	(30.3.5.1.3) was modified by 80 MpcpMode in 802.3.1a 02.3, Clause 64." to "IEEE Std & <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 182 ZTE Corporati <i>Comment Status</i> X D (30.3.5.1.4) was modified by 8	302.3, Clause 64 <i>L</i> 25 ion 02.3av, but cha	4 and Clause 77. # <u>33</u> nges in the text are
This says " less tha 4.4.2.1 does not exis Same issue on page SuggestedRemedy Correct this reference Should this point to 4 maxEnvelopeFrames Proposed Response C/ 08 SC 8.5 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T This says " greater doesn't have anythin SuggestedRemedy	an or equal to maxFrameSize (S st and 4.4.2 does not contain a n 111 lines 23 and 48 e here and on page 111 lines 23 4.4.2 as this contains maxBasicf Size or is there a better reference <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 148 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X than tlim max (see IEEE Std 80	haxFrameSize. 3 and 48. FrameSize and e? <i>L</i> 19	# 25	Object aMPCPMode not reflected in dot3N SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE Std 8 Proposed Response C/ 09 SC 9.6 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Object aMPCPLinkIE not reflected in dot3N SuggestedRemedy Change "as specified	(30.3.5.1.3) was modified by 80 MpcpMode in 802.3.1a 02.3, Clause 64." to "IEEE Std & <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 182 <i>Z</i> TE Corporati <i>Comment Status</i> X 0 (30.3.5.1.4) was modified by 8 MpcpLinkID in 802.3.1a d in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.2.2"	302.3, Clause 64 <i>L</i> 25 ion 02.3av, but cha	4 and Clause 77. # <u>33</u> nges in the text are

CI 09 SC 9.6 Page 4 of 10 1/23/2012 9:31:05 AM

Working Group	2.3.1a D1.0 Manag	ement Info	ormation Base (MIB) de	efinitions for	r Ethernet Ir	nitial Working Group ballot	com	ballot comments
C/ 09 SC 9.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 191 ZTE Corporation	L 21	# 34	C/ 09 Hajduczer	SC 9.6 nia, Marek	P 193 ZTE Corporation	L 33	# 37
	Comment Status X onType (30.3.7.1.2) was modified n dot3OmpEmulationType in 802		out changes in the	Comment Objec reflect	t aGoodLLID (Comment Status X 30.3.7.1.5) was modified by 802.3 EmulationGoodLLID in 802.3.1a	av, but chang	ges in the text are not
SuggestedRemedy Change "see IEEE Sta Proposed Response	d 802.3, 65.1" to "see IEEE Std 8 Response Status O	02.3, 65.1 or	76.2, as appropriate"	65.1.3 Chang	ge "as defined i 3.3.1 or 76.2.6.1 ge "as defined i	in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.1" to " 1.3.1, as appropriate". in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.3" to " 1.3.3, as appropriate".		
C/ 09 SC 9.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 192 ZTE Corporation	L 33	# 35	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
reflected in dot3OmpE SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X 0.3.7.1.3) was modified by 802.3a EmulationSLDErrors in 802.3.1a IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.1" to "a .3.1, as	-			<i>Type</i> T t aONUPONca ot reflected in d	P193 ZTE Corporation Comment Status X IstLLID (30.3.7.1.6) was modified I ot3OmpEmulationOnuPonCastLL	oy 802.3av, b	
Proposed Response C/ 09 SC 9.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	Response Status 0 P 192 ZTE Corporation	L 52	# 36	802.3 meet count for fra 76.2.6 76.2.6	, 65.1.3.3.1, pa the rules of acc of frames rece me acceptance 5.1.3.1, as appr 5.1.3.2, as appr	frames received that contain a values the CRC-8 check, as defined in exptance for an ONU defined in IE ived that: 1) contain a valid SLD file, and 3) pass the CRC-8 check. To opriate. The rules for LLID accept opriate. The CRC-8 check is definite opriate.	EE Std 80 EE Std 802.3 eld in an ON he SLD is de ance are def	02.3, 65.1.3.3.3, and 3, 65.1.3.3.2." to "A IU, 2) meet the rules efined in 65.1.3.3.1 or ined in 65.1.3.3.2 or
not reflected in dot3O SuggestedRemedy Change "as defined in 65.1.3.3.1 or 76.2.6.1.	IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.3" to "a	3.1a as defined in I	IEEE Std 802.3,		priate." <i>Response</i>	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

C/ 09 SC 9.6

2.3.1a D1.0 Management Information Base (MIB) definitions for Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot com

ballot comments

C/ 09	SC 9.6	P193	L 9	#	40
Hajduczenia	Marek	ZTE Corporation			

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Object aBadLLID (30.3.7.1.8) was modified by 802.3av, but changes in the text are not reflected in dot3OmpEmulationBadLLID in 802.3.1a

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change "A count of frames received that contain a valid SLD field, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.1, and pass the CRC-8 check, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.3, but are discarded due to the LLID check as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.2." to read "A count of frames received that contain a valid SLD field in an OLT, and pass the CRC-8 check, but are discarded due to the LLID check. The SLD is defined in 65.1.3.3.1 or 76.2.6.1.3.1, as appropriate. The CRC-8 check is defined in 65.1.3.3.2 or 76.2.6.1.3.2, as appropriate."

C/ 09	SC 9.6	P 194	L13	# 39
Hajduczeni	a, Marek	ZTE Corporation		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Object aOLTPONcastLLID (30.3.7.1.7) was modified by 802.3av, but changes in the text are not reflected in dot3OmpEmulationOltPonCastLLID in 802.3.1a

SuggestedRemedy

Change "A count of frames received that contain a valid SLD field, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.1, pass the CRC-8 check, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.3, and meet the rules of acceptance for an OLT defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.2." to read "A count of frames received that contain a valid SLD field, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.1 or 76.2.6.1.3.1, as appropriate, pass the CRC-8 check, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.3 or 76.2.6.1.3.3, as appropriate. and meet the rules of acceptance for an OLT defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.2 or 76.2.6.1.3.3, as appropriate. and meet the rules of acceptance for an OLT defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.2 or 76.2.6.1.3.2, as appropriate."

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Response Status 0

C/ 09	SC 9.6	P198	L 48	# 41	
	nia, Marek	ZTE Corpora		# 41	
najuuczei	lia, Marek		lion		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X			
,		edBlocks (30.5.1.1.15) was mo in dot3EponFecCorrectedBloc	,	v, but changes in the	e
Suggeste	dRemedy				
	0	ASE-PX, 10GBASE-R, 10GBAS FEC blocks" to read "For 10PA		,	

PX PHYs, it is a count of corrected FEC blocks"

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 09 SC 9.6	P199 L6	# 42
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corporation	

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Object aFECUncorrectableBlocks (30.5.1.1.16) was modified by 802.3av, but changes in the text are not reflected in dot3EponFecUncorrectableBlocks in 802.3.1a

SuggestedRemedy

Change "For 1000BASE-PX, 10GBASE-R, 10GBASE-PR or 10/1GBASE-PRX PHYs, it is a count of corrected FEC blocks" to read "For 10PASS-TS, 2BASE-TL, and 1000BASE-PX PHYs, it is a count of corrected FEC blocks"

Proposed Response	Response Status	ο

C/ 11	SC 11.5	P 292	L12	# 26
Anslow, F	Peter	Ciena		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

In the efmCuPAFInLostEnds OBJECT-TYPE the description says: "If a Clause 45 MDIO Interface to the PCS is present, then this object maps to the 10P/2B PAF lost start of fragment register." but this should be the "PAF lost ends of fragments register"

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"then this object maps to the 10P/2B PAF lost start of fragment register." to: "then this object maps to the 10P/2B PAF lost ends of fragments register."

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 11 SC 11.5

Working Group	2.3.1a D1.0 Mana	agement Info	ormation Base (MIB) de	finitions fo	r Etherne	et Init	ial Working Group ballo	t com	ballot comments
C/ 11 SC 11.5 Anslow, Peter	Р 297 Ciena	L19	# 15	C/ 13 Ganga, Ila	SC 13. ango	5	P 239 Intel	L 3	# 50
However, in section 1 ranges:	Comment Status X EE Std 802.3, 61.2.2.8.4, 45.2.6 4.2 of the IEEE Standards Style r be used because they can be	e Manual (2009)	-	aSym <i>Suggeste</i>	te dot3Stats bolErrorDu dRemedy	ringCa	Comment Status X polErrors object description as arrier(see 802.3ba).	s per changes s	pecified in 30.3.2.1.5
SuggestedRemedy Change: "45.2.6.6-45.2.6.8" to: "45.2.6.6 to 45.2.6.8"	:			Proposed	r comment Response		Response Status O		// <u>[a.</u>
Proposed Response	Response Status O			<i>Cl</i> 13 Anslow, P	SC 13. eter	5	Р 370 Ciena	L16	# 21
Cl 11 SC 11.5 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E This refers to 802.3ah Replace with a referen Same issue on Page SuggestedRemedy		L 26 by 802.3	# 16	PX or 1) Sp 2) Th 3) Th 4) Th regist	FEC enable ace missing e reference e "FEC con e "10GBAS er"	ie bit i g in "co s to C trol re E-R F	will map to the FEC controlreg n 10GBASE-R FEC control re ontrolregister" lause 45 should be prefaced l gister" for 1000BASE-PX is in EC control register" has been control register" is in 45.2.1.90	gister (see 45.2 by "IEEE Std 80 45.2.8.3 not 45 re-named to "B	.1.85)." 2.3" 5.2.7.3
Change "802.3ah" to	"IEEE Std 802.3" ange "802.3ah" to "IEEE Std 802 <i>Response Status</i> O	2.3"		1000	ge to: bject will m	r FEC	the FEC control register (see enable bit in the BASE-R FE		
C/ 12A SC 12A Anslow, Peter	P 349 Ciena	L10	# 17		Response		Response Status O		
Comment Type E space missing in "spe SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ecified in IEEE Std 802.345.2.2"								
Insert the space to give	ve "specified in IEEE Std 802.3	45.2.2"							
Proposed Response	Response Status O								

C/ 13 SC 13.5

7 13 SC 13.5	P370	L18	# 47	C/ 99 SC Annex	Р	L	# 44
anga, Ilango	Intel			Hamano, Hiroshi	Fujitsu Labs.	Ltd.	
omment Type TR Update ifMauFECMo 802.3ba).	Comment Status X ode object description as per cha	anges specified i	in 30.5.1.1.14 (see	Comment Type E Annex number indicati SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ions are preferable at PDF file	e Chapter marker	rs.
For example change "BASE-R FEC contro	e "10GBASE-R FEC control regis ol register"	ster" to		So as in 802.3.1-2011 Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy As per comment				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			C/ B SC B.1.1 Law, David	<i>Р</i> 391 НР Ltd	L 46	# 27
C/ 13 SC 13.5 Ganga, Ilango	P 370 Intel	L 23	# 49	Comment Type T Since we no longer ind get rid of this name bir	Comment Status X clude the oAggregator object of nding.	within the GDMC) module shouldn't we
	Comment Status X orrectedBlocks object description			SuggestedRemedy Delete name binding r	nbMAC-Aggregator.		
00.0.1.10 (000 002.0	3ba). This is an array of counters	for 40 and 100	GbE.	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Update ifMauFECUr	aba). This is an array of counters nCorrectableBlocks object descr 3ba). This is an array of counters	ption as per cha	inges specified in	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Update ifMauFECUr 30.5.1.16 (see 802.3	nCorrectableBlocks object descr	ption as per cha	inges specified in	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Update ifMauFECUr 30.5.1.16 (see 802.3 SuggestedRemedy As per comment	nCorrectableBlocks object descr	ption as per cha	inges specified in	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Update ifMauFECUr 30.5.1.16 (see 802.3 SuggestedRemedy	nCorrectableBlocks object descr 3ba). This is an array of counters	ption as per cha	inges specified in	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Update ifMauFECUr 30.5.1.16 (see 802.3 SuggestedRemedy As per comment Proposed Response Cl 99 SC Ganga, Ilango Comment Type ER	nCorrectableBlocks object descri 3ba). This is an array of counters Response Status O P 5	ption as per cha for 40 and 100	nges specified in GbE. # 46	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Update ifMauFECUr 30.5.1.16 (see 802.3 SuggestedRemedy As per comment Proposed Response Cl 99 SC Ganga, Ilango Comment Type ER Update the introduct revision. SuggestedRemedy	nCorrectableBlocks object descri 3ba). This is an array of counters <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 5 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> X	ption as per cha for 40 and 100 <i>L</i> 1 802.3.1-2011 cc	mges specified in GbE. # 46	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		

C/ B SC **B.1.1**

Working Group	2.3.1a D1.0 Man	agement Info	rmation Base (MIB) de	efinitions for	Ethernet Ini	tial Working Group ballo	t com	ballot comments
C/ B SC B.16.1 Law, David	Р 457 НР Ltd	L 34	# 30	<i>Cl</i> B Law, David	SC B.3.1	Р 405 НР Ltd	L 56	# 28
Comment Type T Comment Status X Since we no longer include the oAggregator object within the GDMO module shouldn't we get rid of this name binding. This however brought to my attention there was no name binding for oOAM to be the top level object. I believe that this is an error as stated in IEEE std 802.3 subclause 30.2.2.1 'Text description of managed objects', 'Otherwise, if implemented, oOAM becomes the top-most managed object class of the DTE containment tree shown in Figure 30-3.'. SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type T Comment Status X Since we no longer include the oAggregator object within the GDMO module shouldn't we get rid of this name binding. SuggestedRemedy Delete name binding nbMACControl-Aggregator. Proposed Response Response Status O				
nbOAMName NAME BIN SUBORDINATE OBJEC NAMED BY SUPERIOR "ISO/IEC 10165-2":syste WITH ATTRIBUTE aOAI	g nbOAMName as follows: NDING T CLASS oOAM; OBJECT CLASS Im AND SUBCLASSES;	a(3) csmacdmgt(30) nameBinding(6)	bMPCI there n	<i>Type</i> E appears to be a PMACCtrlFram nay be a space	P410 HP Ltd Comment Status X a missing space in the text esTransmittedBEHAVIOUR. W or a tab but it isn't displayed d s a compiler error.		
Proposed Response CI B SC B.16.2 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E Tab missing in front of "E SuggestedRemedy Deplese space with a tab		L 33	# 18	Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Suggested	issing space.	occurs on: Response Status O		
Replace space with a tab Proposed Response	Response Status O							

C/ B SC **B.4.1**

C/ C NoName	SC C.2	P 487	L18	# 45
Comment From The te needs Issue Issue make (As cl	Geoff Thompsoi ext: "802.9a (99) s work. There are #1: The standar #2: I don't believ any sense. losely as I can te	see IEEE Std 802.3, IEEE S	802.3, IEEE Std	ndard.
Revis	edRemedy se the text to read			
(witho	drawn)" <i>Response</i>	E Std 802.3, IEEE Std 802.9a Response Status 0	i Clause 12	
(witho Proposed CI C	drawn)" I Response SC C.2	·	L18	# 43
(witho Proposed Cl C Hamano, Comment	drawn)" I Response SC C.2 Hiroshi t Type E	Response Status O	L18	# 43
(without Proposed CI C Hamano, Comment Newly Suggeste Reflet	drawn)" I Response SC C.2 Hiroshi t Type E y added PMD na edRemedy ct PMD names v	Response Status O P 487 Fujitsu Labs. I Comment Status X	L18 Ltd.	# <u>43</u>

CI **C** SC **C.2**