ballot comments

00	SC O	1	Р	L	# 48	C/ 00	SC 0	Р	L	# 23
anga, Ila	ango		Intel			Anslow, P	eter	Ciena		
mment	Туре	TR Com	nment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
docun alreac	nent be a dy in spor	ligned to the rev nsor ballot.	nent is based on IE vised references as ureference in 13.5 i	s per the 802.3RE		802.3 revisi	, the references on of 802.3. equently, refere	802.3.1 is to include changes s from 802.3.1 to 802.3 should ences that do not align with 80	d align with the	clause numbers in the
"IEEE	Std 802				has been changed to	Suggeste	dRemedy			
	dRemedy							.3.61.14" should be "30.3.6.1		
				oot that is alway to	in anonaar hallst			0.3.61.14" should be "30.3.6.1		
Align	ulis docu	ment references	s to 802.3REV proj	ect that is already	in sponsor ballot.	•		0.5.1.1.12" should be "30.5.1 0.5.1.1.13" should be "30.5.1		
esponse	;	Resp	onse Status U					0.5.1.1.14" should be "30.5.1		
ACCE	PT.							0.5.1.1.15" should be "30.5.1		
		response to com	nment # 23.					0.5.1.1.16" should be "30.5.1		
		•				Page	197, line 12, "3	0.5.1.1.12" should be "30.5.1	.1.14"	
								0.5.1.1.13" should be "30.5.1		
								0.5.1.1.14" should be "30.5.1		
						•		0.5.1.1.15" should be "30.5.1		
						•		0.5.1.1.16" should be "30.5.1		
						•		nd 55 "22.7a" should be "22.7		
								nd 56 "35.4a" should be "35.4		
						Page	252, lines 39 a	nd 56 "46.4a" should be "46.4	1"	
						Page	253, lines 11 a	nd 30 "22.7a" should be "22.7	7"	
						Page	253, lines 11 a	nd 30 "35.4a" should be "35.4	4"	
						Page	253, lines 11 a	nd 30 "46.4a" should be "46.4	4"	
						Page	265, line 43, "4	5.2.3.20" should be "45.2.3.2	:7"	
						Page	265, line 48, "4	5.2.3.21" should be "45.2.3.2	8"	
						Page	281, line 49, "4	5.2.3.18.3" should be "45.2.3	.26.3"	
						Page	286, line 38, "4	5.2.3.17.1" should be "45.2.3	.25.1"	
						Page	286, line 65, "4	5.2.3.17.2" should be "45.2.3	.25.2"	
						Page	289, line 62, "4	5.2.3.21" should be "45.2.3.2	9"	
						Page	290, line 22, "4	5.2.3.22" should be "45.2.3.3	60"	
								5.2.3.23" should be "45.2.3.3		
								.2.3.25" should be "45.2.3.33		
								5.2.3.26" should be "45.2.3.3		
								5.2.3.27" should be "45.2.3.3		
								5.2.3.28" should be "45.2.3.3		
								5.2.3.24" should be "45.2.3.3		
						•		5.2.1.11.4" should be "45.2.1		
						-		5.2.1.11.7" should be "45.2.1		
								5.2.1.36" should be "45.2.1.2		
								5.2.1.36" should be "45.2.1.2		
						0		5.2.1.4.1" should be "45.2.1.4		
						•		5.2.1.4.2" should be "45.2.1.4		
						Page	300 line 25 "4	5.2.1.12.2" should be "45.2.1	.15.2"	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 1 of 10 1/23/2012 11:43:33 AM

C/ 00

SC 0

ballot comments

Page 300, line 26, "45.2.1.12.3" should be "45.2.1.15.3" Page 301, line 47, "45.2.1.12.4" should be "45.2.1.15.4" Page 302, line 49, "45.2.1.38" should be "45.2.1.41" Page 302, line 50, "45.2.1.39" should be "45.2.1.42" Page 302, line 50, "45,2,1,54" should be "45,2,1,57" Page 303, line 17, "45.2.1.11.4" should be "45.2.1.14.4" Page 303, line 17, "45.2.1.11.7" should be "45.2.1.14.7" Page 303, line 17, "45.2.1.12.4" should be "45.2.1.15.4" Page 303, line 63, "45.2.1.16" should be "45.2.1.19" Page 304, line 21, "45.2.1.17" should be "45.2.1.20" Page 304, line 39, "45.2.1.18" should be "45.2.1.21" Page 304. line 62. "45.2.1.19" should be "45.2.1.22" Page 305, line 22, "45.2.1.21" should be "45.2.1.29" Page 308, line 26, "45.2.1.42" should be "45.2.1.45" Page 309, line 23, "45.2.1.43" should be "45.2.1.46" Page 309, line 56, "45,2,1,43" should be "45,2,1,46" Page 310, line 12, "45.2.1.43" should be "45.2.1.46" Page 310, line 42, "45.2.1.43" should be "45.2.1.46" Page 321, line 65, "45,2,1,22" should be "45,2,1,25" Page 322, line 25, "45.2.1.23" should be "45.2.1.26" Page 369, line 34, "30.5.1.1.12" should be "30.5.1.1.14" Page 369, line 55, "30.5.1.1.13" should be "30.5.1.1.15" Page 370, lines 16 and 18, see seperate comment Page 370, line 19, "30.5.1.1.14" should be "30.5.1.1.16" Page 370, line 41, "45.2.7.5" should be "45.2.8.5" Page 370, line 41, "45.2.1.86" should be "45.2.1.91" Page 370. line 42. "30.5.1.1.15" should be "30.5.1.1.17" Page 371, line 2, "45.2.7.6" should be "45.2.8.6" Page 371, line 3, "45.2.1.87" should be "45.2.1.92" Page 371. line 4. "30.5.1.1.16" should be "30.5.1.1.18" Page 371, line 19, "45.2.1.63" should be "45.2.1.65" Page 371, line 20, "30.5.1.1.17" should be "30.5.1.1.19" Page 371, line 34, "45.2.1.64" should be "45.2.1.66" Page 371, line 35, "30.5,1,1,18" should be "30,5,1,1,20" Page 371, line 50, "45.2.1.65" should be "45.2.1.67" Page 371, line 51, "30.5.1.1.19" should be "30.5.1.1.21" Page 371, line 65, "45.2.1.66" should be "45.2.1.68" Page 372, line 1, "30.5.1.1.20" should be "30.5.1.1.22" Page 372, line 12, "30.5.1.1.21" should be "30.5.1.1.23" Page 372, line 23, "45.2.1.76a.2" should be "45.2.1.78.2" Page 372, line 35, "45,2,1,76a,2" should be "45,2,1,78,1" Page 372, line 64, "30.5.1.1.XX" should be "30.5.1.1.11" Page 373, line 19, "30.5.1.1.XX" should be "30.5.1.1.12" Page 431, line 23, "30.5.1.1.11" should be "30.5.1.1.13" Page 431, line 38, "30.5.1.1.17" should be "30.5.1.1.19" Page 431, line 52, "30.5,1,1,18" should be "30,5,1,1,20" Page 432, line 3, "30.5.1.1.19" should be "30.5.1.1.21" Page 432, line 17, "30.5.1.1.20" should be "30.5.1.1.22" Page 432, line 32, "30.5.1.1.12" should be "30.5.1.1.14" Page 432, line 46, "30.5.1.1.13" should be "30.5.1.1.15"

Page 432, line 61, "30.5.1.1.14" should be "30.5.1.1.16" Page 433, line 13, "30.5.1.1.15" should be "30.5.1.1.17" Page 433, line 27, "30.5.1.1.16" should be "30.5.1.1.18" Page 448, line 28, "30.8.1.1.2" should be "30.9.1.1.2" Page 448, line 44, "30.8.1.1.3" should be "30.9.1.1.3" Page 448, line 59, "30.8.1.1.4" should be "30.9.1.1.4" Page 449, line 10, "30.8.1.1.11" should be "30.9.1.1.5" Page 449, line 38, "30.8.1.1.5" should be "30.9.1.1.7"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Keep the cross references as text for now. Update to live cross references once 802.3-2012 has completed sponsor ballot.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 00 SC 0 Page 2 of 10 1/23/2012 11:43:33 AM

2.3.1a D1.0 Management Information Base (MIB) definitions for Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot com

ballot comments

C/ 00 SC 0 P64 L28 # 20	C/ 02 SC 2	P 21	L 20	# 1
Anslow, Peter Ciena	Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Comment Type E Co	omment Status A		
Page 64 line 28 says "(reflected in the aOAMLocalFlagsField of 30.3.6.1.10)", but 30.3.6.1.10 is in IEEE Std 802.3 so the reference should be prefaced by this.	Nelacktworks			
Same issue on page 64, line 40 for 30.3.6.1.11 and for other references on subsequent pages.	SuggestedRemedy Networks			
SuggestedRemedy	Response Res	sponse Status C		
Change to "(reflected in the aOAMLocalFlagsField of IEEE Std 802.3 30.3.6.1.10)" Make equivalent change on:	ACCEPT.	·		
Page 64, line 40 for 30.3.6.1.11	C/ 02 SC 2	P 22	L 36	# 2
Page 65, line 21 for 30.3.6.1.12	Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		
Page 69, line 32 for 30.3.6.1.7				
Page 175, line 1 for 30.3.5 Page 176, line 1 for 30.3.7		omment Status A		
Page 176, line 34 for 30.5.1	Very high speed should be h	yphenated, also fix cap	itals & add abbre	viation
Page 305, line 41 for 45.2.6.12	SuggestedRemedy			
Page 305, line 59 for 61.3.3.3 and Figure 61-19	ITU-T Recommendation G.9	02.1.2004 Vory high	spood Digital Suk	scriber Line (V/DSL)
Page 306, line 2 for 45.2.6.11	transceivers.	35.1, 2004—very-nigh-	speed Digital Sur	
Page 370, line 4 for 65.2 and Clause 74	_	_		
Page 371, line 19 for 45.2.1.63 (another comment corrects reference)	Response Res	sponse Status C		
Page 371, line 34 for 45.2.1.64 (another comment corrects reference)	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
Page 371, line 50 for 45.2.1.65 (another comment corrects reference)	ITU-T Recommendation G.9	93.1, 2004—Very high	speed digital sub	scriber line
Page 371, line 65 for 45.2.1.66 (another comment corrects reference)	transceivers.			
Page 372, line 58 (802.3 missing)				
Page 400, line 9 for 30.3.1.1.31	C/ 05 SC 5.4	P 52	L 24	# 22
Page 400, line 54 for 30.3.1.1.33	Anslow, Peter	Ciena		
Page 401, line 3 for 30.3.1.1.34	Comment Type T Co	omment Status A		
Page 401, line 63 for 30.3.1.2.4	51			
Page 403, line 42 for 30.3.2.1.2 Page 403, line 57 for 30.3.2.1.3	This says "This object maps			
Page 403, line 22 for 30.3.2.1.5	same as for IldpV2Xdot3Loc			e be
Page 404, line 56 for 30.3.2.1.7	RemRxSystemValueEcho ra	ther than LocRxSystem	ValueEcho?	
Page 408, line 49 for 30.3.5.1.1	SuggestedRemedy			
Page 408, line 63 for 30.3.5.1.2	Change LocRxSystemValue	Echo to RemRxSvstem	ValueEcho	
From Page 409, line 13 to Page 414 line 6 there are 23 instances of 'See "BEHAVIOUR	o <i>y</i>			
DEFINED AS" in that should be followed by "IEEE Std 802.3"	Response Res	sponse Status C		
Page 429, line 18 for 30.5.1.1.2	ACCEPT.			
Page 429, line 49 for 30.5.1.1.4				
Page 430, line 13 for 30.5.1.1.6				
From Page 431, line 37 to Page 433 line 28 there are 9 instances of 'See "BEHAVIOUR				
DEFINED AS" in' that should be followed by "IEEE Std 802.3"				
From Page 440, line 18 to Page 474 line 18 there are 124 instances of 'See "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS" in' that should be followed by "IEEE Std 802.3"				
Response Response Status C				
ACCEPT.				
YPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial of COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/	6	C/ 0:		Page 3 of 10 1/23/2012 11:43:

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

:33 AM

C/ 07 SC 7.3	P 102 Ciena	L 50	# 19	<i>CI</i> 09 Hajduczeni	SC 9.6	P181	rporation	L1	# 31
-				•			•		
Comment Type E REFERENCE "IEEE 80 802.3," rather than "IEE	Comment Status A 2.3 Mgt, 30.4.2.1.2, aGroupF E 802.3 Mgt,"	PortCapacity." sh	ould say "IEEE Std		aMPCPAdmir	Comment Status A State (30.3.5.1.2) was m ot3MpcpOperStatus in 80	odified by	/ 802.3av, but	changes in the text
SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE 802.3 M	gt," to "IEEE Std 802.3,"			<i>Suggested</i> Chang	-	02.3, Clause 64." to "IEEI	E Std 802	.3, Clause 64	and Clause 77.
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEI	PT.	Response Status (;		
C/ 07 SC 7.3	P 110 Ciena	L 61	# 24	<i>CI</i> 09 Hajduczeni	SC 9.6 ia, Marek	2181 ZTE Co	rporation	L 53	# 32
-	Comment Status A or equal to maxFrameSize (S nd 4.4.2 does not contain a n 1 lines 23 and 48		2.3 4.4.2.1).", but	not ref	aMPCPMode lected in dot3N	Comment Status (30.3.5.1.3) was modified (pcpMode in 802.3.1a		Bav, but chang	ges in the text are
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested Chang	-	02.3, Clause 64." to "IEEI	= Std 802	3 Clause 64	and Clause 77
Should this point to 4.4.	ere and on page 111 lines 23 2 as this contains maxBasicF e or is there a better reference	FrameSize and		Response ACCEI		Response Status		.0, 010030 04	
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI Change:	Response Status C <u>=</u> .			<i>Cl</i> 09 Hajduczeni	SC 9.6 ia, Marek	Р 182 ZTE Co	rporation	L 25	# 33
less than or	e (See IEEE Std 802.3 4.4.2.1	I).			aMPCPLinkID	Comment Status (30.3.5.1.4) was modifie ApcpLinkID in 802.3.1a		3av, but chan	ges in the text are
To: less than or equal to maxFrameSize	Limit (See IEEE Std 802.3 4	.2.7.1).		Suggested	Remedy	l in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1	3 2 2" to	"as specified i	n IEEE Std 802 2
C/08 SC 8.5	`	, L19	# 25			1.3.2, as appropriate"	0.2.2 10	as specified i	
Inslow, Peter	Ciena	213	# 25	Response		Response Status	>		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			ACCEI	PT.				
21	an tlim max (see IEEE Std 80)2.3 Table 33-5).	", but Table 33-5						
SuggestedRemedy									
Correct the reference.	Should this be Table 33-11?								
	Response Status C								
Response									

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 09 SC 9.6 Page 4 of 10 1/23/2012 11:43:33 AM

Working Group	2.3.1a D1.0 Manag	gement Info	rmation Base (MIB) de	efinitions fo	r Ether	net Ini	tial Working Group ballot co	m	ballot comments
C/ 09 SC 9.6	6 P 191	L 21	# 34	C/ 09	SC	9.6	P193	L 33	# 37
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corporation			Hajduczei	nia, Mare	ek	ZTE Corporation		
Comment Type 1	Comment Status A nulationType (30.3.7.1.2) was modified	by 802 3ay b	ut changes in the	Comment Object		Т 1110 (3)	Comment Status A 0.3.7.1.5) was modified by 802.3av	, but chan	ges in the text are not
	cted in dot3OmpEmulationType in 802						EmulationGoodLLID in 802.3.1a	, out onan	
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste	dRemed	'y			
Change "see IEI	EE Std 802.3, 65.1" to "see IEEE Std 8	02.3, 65.1 or 7	6.2, as appropriate"				IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.1" to "as	s defined ir	n IEEE Std 802.3,
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Chan	ge "as d	efined ir	3.1, as appropriate". EEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.3" to "a: 3.3, as appropriate".	s defined ir	n IEEE Std 802.3,
C/ 09 SC 9.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 192 ZTE Corporation	L 33	# 35	Response ACCE			Response Status C		
Comment Type 1				C/ 09	SC	9.6	P193	L 54	# 38
Object aSLDErro	ors (30.3.7.1.3) was modified by 802.3a	av, but change	s in the text are not	Hajduczei	nia, Mare	ek	ZTE Corporation		
	OmpEmulationSLDErrors in 802.3.1a			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		
	ned in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.1" to "a	as defined in II	EEE Std 802.3,				tLLID (30.3.7.1.6) was modified by t3OmpEmulationOnuPonCastLLIE		
65.1.3.3.1 or 76. appropriate.".	2.6.1.3.1, as			Suggeste	dRemed	'y			
Response	Response Status C						ames received that contain a valid		
ACCEPT.				meet	the rules	s of acce	s the CRC-8 check, as defined in leptance for an ONU defined in IEE	E Std 802.	3, 65.1.3.3.2." to "A
C/ 09 SC 9.6	<i>P</i> 192	L 52	# 36	for fra	ame acce	eptance,	ved that: 1) contain a valid SLD fiel and 3) pass the CRC-8 check. Th	e SLD is d	efined in 65.1.3.3.1 or
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corporation						priate. The rules for LLID acceptation priate. The CRC-8 check is define		
Comment Type 1	Comment Status A				priate."			u iii 00.110	.0.0 01 10.2.0.1.0.0, 40
	rrors (30.3.7.1.4) was modified by 802. lot3OmpEmulationCRC8Errors in 802.		ges in the text are	Response ACCE			Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy				7,001					
65.1.3.3.1 or 76. Change "as defi	ned in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.1" to "a 2.6.1.3.1, as appropriate". ned in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.3" to "a 2.6.1.3.3, as appropriate".								
Response	Response Status C								
ACCEPT.									

CI 09 SC 9.6

2.3.1a D1.0 Management Information Base (MIB) definitions for Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot com

ballot comments

CI 09	SC 9.6	P193	L 9	#	40
Hajduczenia	Marek	ZTE Corporation			

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Object aBadLLID (30.3.7.1.8) was modified by 802.3av, but changes in the text are not reflected in dot3OmpEmulationBadLLID in 802.3.1a

SuggestedRemedy

Change "A count of frames received that contain a valid SLD field, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.1, and pass the CRC-8 check, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.3, but are discarded due to the LLID check as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.2." to read "A count of frames received that contain a valid SLD field in an OLT, and pass the CRC-8 check, but are discarded due to the LLID check. The SLD is defined in 65.1.3.3.1 or 76.2.6.1.3.1, as appropriate. The CRC-8 check is defined in 65.1.3.3.2 or 76.2.6.1.3.2, as appropriate."

Response

ACCEPT.

CI 09	SC 9.6	P194	L 13	# 39	-
Hajduczei	nia, Marek	ZTE Corporation	on		

Response Status C

Response Status C

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Object aOLTPONcastLLID (30.3.7.1.7) was modified by 802.3av, but changes in the text are not reflected in dot3OmpEmulationOltPonCastLLID in 802.3.1a

SuggestedRemedy

Change "A count of frames received that contain a valid SLD field, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.1, pass the CRC-8 check, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.3, and meet the rules of acceptance for an OLT defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.2." to read "A count of frames received that contain a valid SLD field, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.1 or 76.2.6.1.3.1, as appropriate, pass the CRC-8 check, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.3 or 76.2.6.1.3.3, as appropriate. and meet the rules of acceptance for an OLT defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.2 or 76.2.6.1.3.3, as appropriate. and meet the rules of acceptance for an OLT defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 65.1.3.3.2 or 76.2.6.1.3.2, as appropriate."

Response

ACCEPT.

CI 09	SC 9	.6	P198	L 48	# 41
Hajduczenia	, Marel	<	ZTE Corporation		
Comment Ty	/pe	т	Comment Status A		

Object aFECCorrectedBlocks (30.5.1.1.15) was modified by 802.3av, but changes in the text are not reflected in dot3EponFecCorrectedBlocks in 802.3.1a

SuggestedRemedy

Change "For 1000BASE-PX, 10GBASE-R, 10GBASE-PR or 10/1GBASE-PRX PHYs, it is a count of corrected FEC blocks" to read "For 10PASS-TS, 2BASE-TL, and 1000BASE-PX PHYs, it is a count of corrected FEC blocks"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "For 10PASS-TS, 2BASE-TL, and 1000BASE-PX PHYs, it is a count of corrected FEC blocks" to read: "For 1000BASE-PX, 10GBASE-PR or 10/1GBASE-PRX PHYs, it is a count of corrected FEC blocks"

C/ 09	SC 9.6	P199	L 6	# 42
Hajduczenia	, Marek	ZTE Corporation		
Comment Ty	/pe T	Comment Status A		

Object aFECUncorrectableBlocks (30.5.1.1.16) was modified by 802.3av, but changes in the text are not reflected in dot3EponFecUncorrectableBlocks in 802.3.1a

SuggestedRemedy

Change "For 1000BASE-PX, 10GBASE-R, 10GBASE-PR or 10/1GBASE-PRX PHYs, it is a count of corrected FEC blocks" to read "For 10PASS-TS, 2BASE-TL, and 1000BASE-PX PHYs, it is a count of corrected FEC blocks"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: "For 10PASS-TS, 2BASE-TL, and 1000BASE-PX PHYs, it is a count of uncorrectable FEC blocks" to read: "For 1000BASE-PX, 10GBASE-PR or 10/1GBASE-PX PHYs, it is a count of uncorrectable FEC blocks"

C/ 09 SC 9.6

Working	g Group	2.3.1a D1.0 Man	agement Info	ormation Base (MIB) de	efinitions for I	Ethernet Ini	tial Working Group ballo	ot com	ballot comments
C/ 11 Anslow, Pe	SC 11.5 eter	P 292 Ciena	L12	# 26	C/ 12A Anslow, Pet	SC 12A er	P 349 Ciena	L10	# 17
"If a C 10P/2	efmCuPAFInLos lause 45 MDIO I	Comment Status A stEnds OBJECT-TYPE the des nterface to the PCS is present of fragment register." but this s	, then this object		Comment T space n SuggestedF	ype E hissing in "spe Remedy	Comment Status A cified in IEEE Std 802.345.2.2 re "specified in IEEE Std 802.3		
Suggested Chang	-				Response ACCEP	T.	Response Status C		
"then t	this object maps	to the 10P/2B PAF lost start o to the 10P/2B PAF lost ends o			C/ 13	SC 13.5	P239	L 3	# 50
Response ACCE		Response Status C			Ganga, Ilang	5	Intel		
C/ 11	SC 11.5	P 297	L19	# 15		dot3StatsSym	Comment Status A bolErrors object description as carrier(see 802.3ba).	s per changes s	pecified in 30.3.2.1.5
Anslow, Pe		Ciena Comment Status A			SuggestedF	,			
Howey ranges "Dash Suggested Chang "45.2.6	ver, in section 14 s: es should never dRemedy	E Std 802.3, 61.2.2.8.4, 45.2.6 2.2 of the IEEE Standards Style be used because they can be	e Manual (2009)	-	Response ACCEP Add the For ope receivin Packet I which th	g media is nor Delimiter) for a here was at lea	b/s, 40 Gb/s, and 100 Gb/s, it n-idle (the time between the St a period of time equal to or gre ast one occurrence of an even	tart of Packet De eater than minFr t that causes the	elimiter and the End of ameSize, and during
Response ACCE		Response Status C			Receiv	e Error" on the	• XGMII, the XLGMII, or the C	GMII.	
C/ 11	SC 11.5	P306	L 26	# 16					
Anslow, Pe	eter	Ciena							
Replac	efers to 802.3ah ce with a referen issue on Page 3		by 802.3						
Chana									

Change "802.3ah" to "IEEE Std 802.3" Page 315, line 12 change "802.3ah" to "IEEE Std 802.3"

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 13 SC 13.5 Page 7 of 10 1/23/2012 11:43:33 AM

ballot comments

2.3.1a D1.0 Management Information Base (MIB) definitions for Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot com

ballot comments

C/ 13 SC 13.5	P370	L16	# 21	C/ 13 SC 1:	B.5 P37	0 L 23	# 49
nslow, Peter	Ciena			Ganga, Ilango	Intel		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type	TR Comment Status	Α	
	map to the FEC controlregi 0GBASE-R FEC control re- trolregister"				ECCorrectedBlocks object des 802.3ba). This is an array of c		
 2) The references to Clauding 3) The "FEC control regists 4) The "10GBASE-R FEC 	use 45 should be prefaced b ster" for 1000BASE-PX is in C control register" has been	45.2.8.3 not 45.	2.7.3		ECUnCorrectableBlocks object 802.3ba). This is an array of c		
register" 5) The "BASE-R FEC co	ntrol register" is in 45.2.1.90) not 45.2.1.85		SuggestedRemedy			
uggestedRemedy				As per commer	ıt		
Change to:				Response	Response Status	W	
	e FEC control register (see nable bit in the BASE-R FEC			ACCEPT IN PR	INCIPLE.		
	Response Status C			Change object	type to an array.		
ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Change referer Change descrip	ce to 30.5.1.17 (already addression to read:	essed in comment #23	3)
7 13 SC 13.5	P 370	L18	# 47		PX, 10/40/100GBASE-R PH		
anga, Ilango	Intel				ounters will not increment for the PCS lane number where lane number where lane number where language structure language structure struc		
comment Type TR	Comment Status A				lanes in use is set to one for		
Update ifMauFECMode o 802.3ba).	bject description as per cha	anges specified i	in 30.5.1.1.14 (see	element of this	array contains a count of corre		
For example change "10	GBASE-R FEC control regis	ster" to			type to an array.		
"BASE-R FEC control reg					ce to 30.5.1.18 (already addre	essed in comment #23	3)
uggestedRemedy				Change descrip	tion to read:		
As per comment				_			
Response	Response Status W				PX PHYs or 10/40/100GBAS The counters will not increme		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to commen	nt # 21.			array (0 to N–1) use. The numb	er of PCS lanes in use is set t f this array contains a count of	r where N is the numb o one for PHYs that do	er of PCS lanes in o not use PCS lanes.

C/ 13 SC 13.5

Working Group	2.3.1a D1.0 Mana	igement Info	ormation Base (MIB) de	finitions for	Etherne	et Initi	al Working Group ballo	t com	ballot comments
Cl 99 SC Ganga, Ilango	P 5 Intel	L1	# 46	<i>CI</i> B Law, David	SC B.	16.1	Р 457 НР Ltd	L 34	# 30
Comment Type ER Update the introduction to revision. SuggestedRemedy Update introduction to inc project objectives. Response		Comment Type T Comment Status A Since we no longer include the oAggregator object within the GDMO module shouldn't we get rid of this name binding. This however brought to my attention there was no name binding for oOAM to be the top level object. I believe that this is an error as stated in IEEE std 802.3 subclause 30.2.2.1 'Text description of managed objects', ' Otherwise, if implemented, oOAM becomes the top-most managed object class of the DTE containment tree shown in Figure 30-3.'. SuggestedRemedy							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status W	ed in to this rev	ision.	[1] Del	ete the na		ding nbOAM-aggregator ng nbOAMName as follows:		
Annex number indications SuggestedRemedy So as in 802.3.1-2011.	P Fujitsu Labs. Lf <i>Comment Status</i> A are preferable at PDF file (Response Status C		# <u>44</u> s.	SUBOI NAME "ISO/IE WITH A REGIS	D BY SU C 10165 ATTRIBU TERED / ame(??)};	OBJE PERIO -2":sys TE aO AS {iso	CT CLASS oOAM; R OBJECT CLASS em AND SUBCLASSES;	a(3) csmacdmg	t(30) nameBinding(6)
Since we no longer includ get rid of this name bindin SuggestedRemedy Delete name binding nbM		L 46	# 27	Suggested	<i>Type</i> I ssing in f <i>Remedy</i> e space v	E ront of	P461 Ciena Comment Status A 'BEHAVIOUR" ab Response Status C	L 33	# [<u>18</u>

C/ B SC **B.16.2**

C/ B SC B.3.1 Law, David	Р 405 НР Ltd	L 56	# 28	C/ C NoName	SC C.2	P 487	L18	# 45
Comment Type T Since we no longer inc get rid of this name bin SuggestedRemedy Delete name binding n Response ACCEPT. C/ B SC B.4.1 .aw, David Comment Type E There appears to be a bMPCPMACCtrlFrame	Comment Status A	L 5 /hile this may be	# 29	Comment From C The te needs Issue Issue (As cld It look Suggested Revise "802.9 (withdu Response ACCE	Geoff Thomps ext: "802.9a (95 work. There a #1: The standa #2: I don't beli s any sense. osely as I can s like all the pi <i>dRemedy</i> e the text to re Da (99)see IE rawn)"	 a)see IEEE Std 802.3, IEEE ard 802.9 has been withdrawr eve that the text "see IEEE St tell, 802.9a was never revised eces of 802.9 were withdrawr ad: EEE Std 802.3, IEEE Std 802. Response Status C PLE. 	n. d 802.3, IEEE Sto l into the base sta n rather than merg	ndard.
lack of a space causes The same issue also o Page 410, line 19. Page 427, line 58. Page 434, line 35. Page 434, line 36. SuggestedRemedy Add missing space. Response ACCEPT.	·			"802.9 Add IE CI C Hamano, H Comment Newly Suggested Reflec	SC C.2 SC C.2 Hiroshi Type E added PMD n dRemedy ct PMD names www.ieee802.0	EE Std 802.3, IEEE Std 802. a to the normative references P487 Fujitsu Lab Comment Status A ames may be necessary. which appear on page.3 in org/3/minutes/nov11/1111_1a Response Status C	in Clause 2. L18 s. Ltd.	# <u>43</u>

CI **C** SC **C.2** Page 10 of 10 1/23/2012 11:43:33 AM