

Comparison of Reference Receivers for C2M

Piers Dawe

Mellanox Technologies

	CTLE + DFE		CTLE + FFE		<i>Default C2M following 120E in green</i>
Power	-	High	+	Low	
Bad hosts	-	Might pass	+	Fail	
Choosing sampling time	COM and M-M method		Half way across eye opening as in 50G C2M		Best phase, as in TDECQ
	-	Unproven for low loss reflective channels	+	Established for PAM4, works	++ Established, least measurement error, avoids the standard freezing an implementation algorithm
Choosing tap weights	MMSE from pulse response		Best from measured waveform		
	0	Simple, may not be quite optimal	+	Optimal, iterative, established in TDECQ	
Measuring eye height and VEC	COM		Single histogram window		Left/right histograms
	-	Measurement method not established (only simulation method)	+	Established in C2M	+ Established in TDECQ
Measuring eye width and ESMW	COM		Scope histogram		Broad vertical histograms, no horizontal histograms
	-	Measurement method not established, simulation method not road tested?	+	Established in C2M, but needs many samples	+ Established in TDECQ, simpler
Setting the three thresholds	COM		Histogram based, as in C2M		Optimised, as in TDECQ
	-	No proposal yet for a measurement (not an issue in a simulation)	+	Established in C2M	+ Established in TDECQ
Return loss	802.3cd style ERL		f domain RL mask		Modified ERL
	0	Attractive concept, still poorly understood, but can start with this	-	Poor correlation to signal integrity	For future study