

## Comment #54 (Clause 33.2.8.4, Page 107, Line 33)

### Comment summary:

- (1) There are 2 different equations for I<sub>peak-2P\_unb</sub>: EQ 33-9 and EQ 33-11.
- (2) EQ 33-9 describes I<sub>Peak-2P\_unb</sub> as a function of I<sub>peak</sub> that is in turn a function of PSE port voltage and PD load.
- (3) EQ 33-11 describes I<sub>Peak-2P\_unb</sub> as a function of ILIM-2P, but ILIM-2P is not a function of PSE port voltage or PD load - it is a fixed value greater than ILIM-2P<sub>min</sub>. Also, my sample calculation of I<sub>peak-2P\_unb</sub> for Class 6 (828mA) produces a figure well higher than ILIM-2P<sub>min</sub> (702 mA) for Class 6.
- (4) Is EQ 33-11 indicating that ILIM-2P<sub>min</sub> must be higher than what is in Table 33-17 ??????

### Response:

- (1) Equation 33-9 is the general case for calculation I<sub>peak\_2P\_unb</sub>. It is using Equation 33-10 to calculate K<sub>ipeak</sub>. K<sub>ipeak</sub> is calculated with R<sub>chan-2P</sub> numbers (0.1 ohm to 12.5 ohm).
- (2) Equation 33-11 is setting the worst case value in terms of fixed values to those who wants to plug fixed numbers and are not intending to use Equation 33-9.
- (3) Here is the correct way to calculate it:  
I<sub>peak-2P\_unb</sub>=0.5\*(1+K<sub>ipeak</sub>)\*I<sub>peak</sub>.  
K<sub>ipeak</sub>=min(0.199\*12.5<sup>-0.35</sup>, 0.3)=0.088 (Here you need to use R<sub>ch</sub> for worst case as shown in Equation 33-10 since K<sub>ipeak</sub> was curve fit to 2-pairs.)  
I<sub>peak-2P\_unb</sub>=0.5\*(1+0.088)\*(50V-(50V<sup>2</sup>-4\*6.25 Ω \*51W\*1.05)<sup>0.5</sup>)/(2\*6.25Ω)=0.6892A → 0.7A  
(Here you need to use R<sub>chan max</sub> for 4 –pairs which is 6.25 Ω and use total 4-pairs power multiplied by the peak power /average power ratio=1.05)  
ILIM-2P=I<sub>peak-2P\_unb</sub>+0.002A
- (4) NO. See 1,2,3.

### Proposed Remedy:

1. Implement proposed remedy to comment #37 that clarifies which R<sub>chan</sub> equation 33-10 must use. (We tried to do it in D1.6 but it looks that using the term R<sub>chan</sub>/2 in equation 33-10 is not sufficiently clear.)
2. In 33.1.3, page 46 lines 5-6: Modify the text as follows:  
R<sub>Chan</sub> is the actual DC loop resistance between the PI of the PSE and the PI of the PD. [R<sub>chan</sub> has a maximum value of R<sub>ch</sub>/2 when operating over 4-pairs.](#)  
R<sub>Chan-2P</sub> is the actual DC loop resistance of a pairset from the viewpoint of the PSE and the PD PI [and has a maximum value of R<sub>ch</sub>.](#)