IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ FM SC FM Remein, Duane	P 2 Futurewei Tech	L16	# 480	Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.8 Remein, Duane	P 40 Futurewei Te	L 4	# 483
Comment Type E	Comment Status D Point to Multipoint in the draft	0	bucket	Comment Type E IEEE Std 802.3cb-2018 be reflected in our draft.	Comment Status D changed table 45-182 Bit(s	5	bucker o 3.9.15:4. This should
Replace with Point-to-m Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy Change the crossed out Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	t 3 to a crossed out 4 in the <i>Response Status</i> W	first row of table	45-182
C/ 1 SC 1.4.245a Remein, Duane	P22 Futurewei Tech	L 33 nnologie	# 481	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.45a Remein, Duane	a.2 P42 Futurewei Te	L 45 chnologie	# 485
	Comment Status A unit of measurement of volum	e of informatior	"	Comment Type E "When bit this bit is set"	Comment Status D	error at pg 43 line	bucke
SuggestedRemedy Change: "The unit of measureme "A unit of information vo	ent of volume of information."	to		SuggestedRemedy Change to "When this b			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 Remein, Duane	P 38 Futurewei Tech	L 17 nnologie	# 482	Comment is against line Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.11a Remein, Duane		L 44 chnologie	# 484
-	Comment Status D n Table 45-176 should be "45	.2.3.45a" and a	bucket live link.	<i>Comment Type</i> TR Not quite able to achiev	Comment Status A e 125 Gb/s just yet.		
SuggestedRemedy per comment Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy Change: "support the 125GBASE "support the 25GBASE-			
				Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.11ad

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

	SC 56.1.2	P 47	L 2	# 486	C/ 56 SC 56.1.3	P 53	L1	# 489
Remein, E	Duane	Futurewei Tech	nologie		Remein, Duane	Futurewei Teo	chnologie	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment Type ER	Comment Status D		bucke
GBd I	tatement is confus ine rate in the down eam direction."	ing at best "Each PCS and F Istream direction and a 25.7	'MA channel o 8125 GBd or a	perates at a 25.78125 10.3125 GBd in the		ar with all the "XXXX" everywhe without the "XXXX"x. Note that eed any mark-up.		
Suggeste	dRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Chan	5				Remove all markup	from table 56-4 (title and table p	oroper).	
line ra		annel in the downstream dir A channel in the upstream d ne rate."			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W PT.		
Response	•	Response Status C			C/ 141 SC 141.1.	1 <i>P</i> 54	L14	# 490
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE				Remein, Duane	Futurewei Teo		# 490
Since	there is more than	one PCS/PMA in upstream	in 50/50G syst	em, change to:	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucke
rate. I		annel in the downstream dire A channel in the upstream di ne rate."			141.1.1 Terminology what of 142.1.1 Con Conventions?	v and conventions ventions, 143.3.3.1 Conventions	s, 143.3.4.1 Co	nventions and 144.1.6
56	SC 56.1.3	P 49	L 25	# 487		onvention convention? OR perha	aps a conventio	on for Conventions?
, 30 emein, [Futurewei Tech		# 407	SuggestedRemedy			
comment		Comment Status D	lineiegie	bucket	Change 141.1.1 to ju	ust "Terminology"		
	51	ned more than one PMD.		DUCKEL	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Suggeste	dRemedy				PROPOSED ACCE	PT.		
	ese systems emplo	by the PMD defined in" to by a PMD defined in"			C/ 141 SC 141.2. Remein, Duane	7 P58 Futurewei Teo	L 29 chnologie	# 491
	Response	Response Status W			Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
1000880	POSED ACCEPT.					n the function transmitter launch naracteristic of a link and depen		
			105	11 100	launch power and re	ceiver sensitivity ?		
PROF 7 56	SC 56.1.3	P 49	L 35	# 488	Current a d Dama al r			
PROF 7 56	SC 56.1.3	P 49 Futurewei Tech		# 488	SuggestedRemedy	atical to road: "a power budget i	s a charactoris	tic of a link and dopondo
PROF 7 56 emein, E comment	SC 56.1.3 Duane <i>Type</i> E	Futurewei Tech Comment Status D	nnologie	bucket	Change the parenth on the paired PMDs	etical to read: "a power budget i transmitter launch power and re	s a characteris eceiver sensitiv	tic of a link and depends ity"
PROF 7 56 emein, I comment There	SC 56.1.3 Duane <i>Type</i> E is a stray characte	Futurewei Tech	nnologie	bucket	Change the parenth on the paired PMDs Response	etical to read: "a power budget i transmitter launch power and re <i>Response Status</i> C	s a characteris eceiver sensitiv	tic of a link and depends ity"
PROF PROF emein, [comment There	SC 56.1.3 Duane <i>Type</i> E	Futurewei Tech Comment Status D r (possibly an underlined spa	nnologie	bucket	Change the parenth on the paired PMDs	transmitter launch power and re	s a characteris eceiver sensitiv	tic of a link and depends ity"
PROF 27 56 Remein, I Comment There Suggeste Remo	SC 56.1.3 Duane <i>Type</i> E is a stray characte <i>dRemedy</i> ove the stray characte	Futurewei Tech Comment Status D r (possibly an underlined spa ster(s).	nnologie	bucket	Change the parenth on the paired PMDs Response	transmitter launch power and re	s a characteris eceiver sensitiv	tic of a link and depends ity"
PROF C/ 56 Remein, E Comment There Suggeste Remo Proposed	SC 56.1.3 Duane <i>Type</i> E is a stray characte dRemedy	Futurewei Tech Comment Status D r (possibly an underlined spa	nnologie	bucket	Change the parenth on the paired PMDs Response	transmitter launch power and re	s a characteris aceiver sensitiv	tic of a link and depends ity"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

 C/
 141
 Page 2 of 54

 SC
 141.2.7
 3/13/2019
 6:38:44 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

141 SC 141.2.7 P58 L32 # 492	C/ 141 SC 141.3.1.1 P60 L50 # 615					
emein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie					
omment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status A delay; PICS					
I do not see any "power budgets listed in Table 141–1 through Table 141–5."	AI #1 Delay (variation) Constraints					
lggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy					
change to read "power budgets listed in Table 141–8 through Table 141–9."	Replace the Editor's note with the following. "Due to the nature of the Nx25G-EPON PMD delay variation within the PMD is expected to					
oposed Response Response Status Z	be very little ($< \pm 0.25$ EQT)."					
REJECT.	Response Response Status C					
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
Reference is correct as is.	Replace the Editor's note with the following: "The Nx25G-EPON PMD delay variation within the PMD shall be less than 0.25 EQT."					
141 SC 141.2.7.1 P59 L20 # 493						
emein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	Add PICS					
omment Type TR Comment Status D	Cl 141 SC 141.3.1.2 P61 L7 # 495					
I do not see any "medium power budgets as shown in Table 141–1 through Table 141–5"	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie					
lggestedRemedy	Comment Type ER Comment Status R					
Strike "as shown in Table 141–1 through Table 141–5"	This is excessively wordy just to say we have a signaling rate of 10 or 25G; it is also incorrect (assuming 25/10 & 5010 are included in Nx25G). "The PMA defined in 142.4					
oposed Response Response Status Z	continuously sends the appropriate stream of bits to the PMD for transmission on the					
REJECT.	medium, at a nominal signaling speed of 25.78125 GBd in the case of Nx25G-EPON OLT and ONU PMDs. The PMA defined in 142.4 continuously sends the appropriate stream of					
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	bits to the PMD for transmission on the medium, at a nominal signaling speed of 10.3125					
There are 20-class power budgets shown in tables.	GBd in the case of 25/10G-EPON and 50/10G-EPON ONU PMDs."					
141 SC 141.2.7.2 P60 L20 # 494	 SuggestedRemedy Change to "The PMA defined in 142.4 continuously sends the appropriate stream of bits to 					
emein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	the PMD for transmission on the medium. A nominal signaling speed of 25.78125 GBd or 10.3125 GBd depending on the rate class of the PMD."					
I do not see any high power budgets as shown in Table 141–1 through Table 141–5"	Response Response Status C					
	REJECT.					
<i>lggestedRemedy</i> Strike "as shown in Table 141–1 through Table 141–5"	Wordy != Bad. Text is technically correct as is.					
oposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.						
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 141 SC 141.3.1.2 Page 3 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:44 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

amain Duar	SC 141.3.1.3	P 61	L20	# 496		SC 141.5.2	P 65 Broadcom	L 36	# 433
Remein, Duar Comment Typ		Futurewei Tec Comment Status R	mologie		Johnson, John Comment Type		Comment Status A		
Also word defined in signaling the PMA of 25/10G–E SuggestedRe	ly and incorrec 142.4 corresp speed of 25.78 defined in 142. PON and 50/1 <i>medy</i>	t. "The PMD continuously s onding to the signals receiv 125 GBd in the case of Nx2 4 at the nominal signaling s 0G-EPON OLT PMDs."	ed from the MD 5G–EPON OLT beed of 10.3125	, at the nominal and ONU PMDs or to GBd in the case of	Having an in Tables parameter LR/ER) do 52.9.10.4 that the dil	explicit TX spectrum 141-13, 14, 17 a in the TX tables not. They rely which all of thes ference in betw	c for "Decision timing offse and 18 is unnecessary. Cla s, but more recent PMDs (on the default value of +/- ce clauses ultimately point reen OLT TX (+/-0.05 UI) a gh to justify calling them ou	ause 75 (10G-E 100GBASE-LR4 0.05 UI that's in to for TDP mea nd ONU TX (+/-	PON) has this I/ER4, 25GBASE- Icluded in the text of surement. I don't think 0.0625 UI) in 10G-
correspon	nding to the sig	ntinuously sends a stream of nals received from the MDI, 25 GBd depending on the ra	at the nominal	signaling speed of		line for "Decision	on timing offset for transmi	tter and dispers	ion penalty" in Tables
Response		Response Status C				I, 17 and 18.	_		
REJECT.					Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
vvordy !=	Bad. Text is te	chnically correct as is.			Wrong pag	ge, was 36, sho	uld be 65 (fixed)		
C/ 141 SRemein, Duar	SC 141.3.1.5 ne	P 61 Futurewei Teo	L 43 hnologie	# 497	C/ 141 S	SC 141.5.2	P67	L1	# 627
Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status D		bucket	Kramer, Glen		Broadcom		
		cross a line as in "PMD_glo signal name non-breaking.	obal_sig-		Comment Type Signal Det		Comment Status A s measured in dBm, not Gl	Hz	
SuggestedRe	medy				SuggestedRer	nedy			
per comm	nent				In Tables	141-15 and 141	-16, replace GHz with dBm	n in Units colum	n
Proposed Res PROPOS	sponse ED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
C/ 141 S Remein, Duar	SC 141.3.5.3	Р 63 Futurewei Teo	L 30 chnologie	# 498	See comm	nent #434			
Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status A							
parameter	r for Nx25G–E 141–10. The S	duplicate redundancy "The PON PMDs shall be genera ignal Detect value definition	ted according to	the conditions defined					
SuggestedRei Strike "Th 141–10."	-	t value definitions for Nx250	G-EPON PMDs	are shown in Table					
Response		Response Status C							

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SC 141.5.2

3/13/2019 6:38:44 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

Harstead, Ed	Р 67 Nokia	L1	# 453	C/ 141 Knittle, C	SC 141.5.2 urtis	P 67 CableLabs	L 28	# 435
In "Table 141–15—OLT Re grouped together, which co •25/10GBASE-PQG-D2 •50/10GBASE-PQG-D2			-	Suggeste	51	Comment Status A r Receiver settling time (max)		
•25/10GBASE-PQX-D2 •50/10GBASE-PQX-D2 These include "G" and "X",				Respons 75- ACC		Response Status C		
6. Of course "Table 75–6- Wavelength (range) 1260 t	o 1280, the "G" variant.		<i>,</i> ,	<i>Cl</i> 141 Knittle, C	SC 141.5.2 urtis	P 67 CableLabs	L 34	# 436
Same observation for Tabl SuggestedRemedy		·		Commen Miss		Comment Status A r Stressed eye J2 Jitter, each c	hannel	
For 25G upstream PHYs, options. It appears to me wavelength for 10G.					edRemedy ace TBD w/ 0.3			
Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C			Respons ACC		Response Status C		
Split the last column into the have common rows except same as how the first two of	for the Channel waveler	ngths (range), wh	ich will be split. I.e. t	he C/ 141	SC 141.5.2 urtis	P 67 CableLabs	L 36	# 437
have common rows except	for the Channel waveler	ngths (range), wh	ich will be split. I.e. t	he C/ 141 N Knittle, C Commen	urtis <i>t Type</i> TR	CableLabs		# 437
have common rows except same as how the first two range. C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 Knittle, Curtis	t for the Channel waveler columns are handled. Fo P67 CableLabs	ngths (range), wh	ich will be split. I.e. t	the Criffi Knittle, C Commen Miss Suggeste	urtis t Type TR ng parameter fo edRemedy	CableLabs <i>Comment Status</i> A r Stressed eye J9 Jitter, each c		# 437
have common rows except same as how the first two range. Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Knittle, Curtis Comment Type TR Missing parameter for Sign	t for the Channel waveler columns are handled. Fo P67 CableLabs Comment Status A	ngths (range), wh r "X" column, use <i>L</i> 24	hich will be split. I.e. t e the 1290 to 1310nm # <u>434</u>	the Criffi Knittle, C Commen Miss Suggeste	urtis t <i>Type</i> TR ng parameter fo ed <i>Remedy</i> ace TBD w/ 0.47 e	CableLabs <i>Comment Status</i> A r Stressed eye J9 Jitter, each c		# 437
have common rows except same as how the first two range. C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 Knittle, Curtis Comment Type TR Missing parameter for Sign	t for the Channel waveler columns are handled. Fo P67 CableLabs Comment Status A hal detect threshold, each	ngths (range), wh r "X" column, use <i>L</i> 24	hich will be split. I.e. t e the 1290 to 1310nm # <u>434</u>	the C/ 141 Knittle, C Commen Miss Suggeste Repl Respons ACC	urtis t <i>Type</i> TR ng parameter fo ed <i>Remedy</i> ace TBD w/ 0.47 e EPT.	CableLabs Comment Status A r Stressed eye J9 Jitter, each c Response Status C	channel	
have common rows except same as how the first two range. Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Knittle, Curtis Comment Type TR Missing parameter for Sigr SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with -40, rep	t for the Channel waveler columns are handled. Fo P67 CableLabs Comment Status A hal detect threshold, each	ngths (range), wh r "X" column, use <i>L</i> 24	hich will be split. I.e. t e the 1290 to 1310nm # <u>434</u>	the Criffi Knittle, C Commen Miss Suggeste Repl Respons	urtis t Type TR ng parameter fo edRemedy ace TBD w/ 0.47 e EPT. SC 141.5.2	CableLabs Comment Status A r Stressed eye J9 Jitter, each c		# <u>437</u> # <u>438</u>
have common rows except same as how the first two range. Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Knittle, Curtis Comment Type TR Missing parameter for Sigr SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with -40, rep	for the Channel waveler columns are handled. Fo P67 CableLabs Comment Status A hal detect threshold, each lace GHz with dBm	ngths (range), wh r "X" column, use <i>L</i> 24	hich will be split. I.e. t e the 1290 to 1310nm # <u>434</u>	the Knittle, C Commen Miss Suggeste Repl Respons ACC C/ 141 Knittle, C Commen	urtis t Type TR ng parameter fo odRemedy ace TBD w/ 0.47 e EPT. SC 141.5.2 urtis t Type TR	CableLabs Comment Status A r Stressed eye J9 Jitter, each c Response Status C P68	channel	# 438
have common rows except same as how the first two range. Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Knittle, Curtis Comment Type TR Missing parameter for Sigr SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with -40, rep Response F	for the Channel waveler columns are handled. Fo P67 CableLabs Comment Status A hal detect threshold, each lace GHz with dBm	ngths (range), wh r "X" column, use <i>L</i> 24	hich will be split. I.e. t e the 1290 to 1310nm # <u>434</u>	the C/ 141 Knittle, C Commen Miss Suggeste Repl Respons ACC C/ 141 Knittle, C Commen Miss Suggeste	urtis t Type TR ng parameter fo edRemedy ace TBD w/ 0.47 e EPT. SC 141.5.2 urtis t Type TR ng parameter fo edRemedy	CableLabs Comment Status A r Stressed eye J9 Jitter, each c Response Status C P68 CableLabs Comment Status A	channel	# 438

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/141Page 5 of 54COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawnSC141.5.23/13/2019 6:38:44 PMSORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

<i>Cl</i> 141 <i>SC</i> 141.5.2 Knittle, Curtis	P 68 CableLabs	L 30	# 439	C/ 141 SC 141.6.1 Remein, Duane	P69 L15 Futurewei Technologie	# 499
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A Receiver settling time (max)			Comment Type TR Comment Tables 141-17 & 18 are not reference	Status A	PIC.
SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with 800 Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G- parameters shown in Table 141–17. transmitter shall comply with the para Update PICS as needed.	A high power class Nx25G-E	PON ONU PMD
C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 Knittle, Curtis	P 68 CableLabs	L 35	# 440	Response Response S ACCEPT.	Status C	
Comment Type TR Missing parameter for S	Comment Status A Stressed eye J2 Jitter, each ch	annel		C/ 141 SC 141.6.2 Knittle, Curtis	P72 L29 CableLabs	# 442
SuggestedRemedy Replace each TBD w/ (0.3			Comment Type TR Comment Missing parameter for Detect thresho		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD w/ -40		
C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 Knittle, Curtis	P 68 CableLabs	L 36	# 441	Response Response S ACCEPT.	Status C	
Comment Type TR Missing parameter for S	Comment Status A Stressed eye J9 Jitter, each ch	annel		C/ 141 SC 141.6.2 Knittle, Curtis	P72 L35 CableLabs	# 443
SuggestedRemedy Replace each TBD w/ ().47			Comment Type TR Comment Missing parameter for Stressed eye		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD w/ 0.3		
				Response Response S ACCEPT.	Status C	

C/ 141 SC 141.6.2

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 141 SC 141.6.2	P 72	L 36	# 444	C/ 141 SC 141.7 P74 L4 # 431
nittle, Curtis	CableLabs			Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment Type T Comment Status A
•	Stressed eye J9 Jitter, each c	nannel		A "should" statement that is not intended to be an optional requirement: " alternative verification methods should ensure adequate correlation"
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy
Replace TBD w/ 0.47				Change to read "alternative verification methods need to ensure adequate correlation"
Response	Response Status C			Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.				ACCEPT.
C/ 141 SC 141.6.2	P 73	L 23	# 445	C/ 141 SC 141.7.2 P74 L16 # 500
Knittle, Curtis	CableLabs			Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			
Missing parameter for	Detect threshold, each channe	el (min)		Comment Type T Comment Status R Referenced Table 88-11 lists "or valid 100GBASE-R signal" as an acceptable test patter
SuggestedRemedy				for use in several measurements. This is inappropriate for Nx25G-EPON.
Replace TBD w/ -40				SuggestedRemedy
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Add to the end of the paragraph "A valid Nx25G-EPON signal may be used in any test where Table 88-11 indicates a valid 100GBASE-R signal may be used.
C/ 141 SC 141.6.2	P 73	L 30	# 446	Response Response Status C
Knittle, Curtis	CableLabs	230	# 440	REJECT.
Comment Type TR Missing parameter for	Comment Status A Stressed eye J2 Jitter, each cl	hannel		The text is correct as it is, since this subclause describes just the PMD test, not a system level test.
SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD w/ 0.3				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			
C/ 141 SC 141.6.2 Knittle, Curtis	P 73 CableLabs	L 31	# 447	
Comment Type TR Missing parameter for	Comment Status A Stressed eye J9 Jitter,e each	channel		
SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD w/ 0.47				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 141 SC 141.7.2 Page 7 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:45 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

	7.10 <i>P</i> 75	L 46	# 646		SC 141.7.11	P	L	# 455	
owell, William	Nokia			Umeda, Daisu		Sumitomo			
Comment Type T Receiver Sensitivit Current Section 14	Comment Status A y 1.7.10 only contains "TBD"	ŀ	PICS, Receive sensitivity	quality of	and modified & reference tran	Comment Status A 8.8.10 "Stressed receiver se smitter is defined based on T	ensitivity" on 100 TDP in Figure 87	7-4. The recent	
SuggestedRemedy (Ref. powell_3ca_ Replace contents "Receiver sensitivi		5.7.3 (10G) and	41.7.2 (25G), and an	standard of 25GBASE-LR/ER (114.7.10) uses the definition based on TDEC in Figure 95-4 But there's not enough correlation data between TDP and TDEC in the wide ER range, so I propose the reference transmitter based on TDP for 802.3ca. SuggestedRemedy					
ideal input signal of described in 52.9.8	ality with the specified extinction for 10 Gb/s PHYs and 88.8.9 fo r ratio defined in Table 141-15, T	n ratio. The meas 25 Gb/s PHYs.	urement procedure is The sensitivity shall be	141.7.11 Stressed	eceiver sensi	ion. ver sensitivity ivity shall be within the limits 141–20 if measured using t			
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN				following e a) Added b) The str	exceptions: sinusoidal jitte essed eye J2	r is as specified in Table 88- Jitter, stressed eye J9 Jitter,	-13 for 25 Gb/s I and vertical eye	PHYs. closure penalty are as	
The test signal is r (ISI), rise/fall times 10 Gb/s PHYs and	ty is defined for test patterns in 7 equired to have negligible impair , jitter, and RIN. The measureme 88.8.9 for 25 Gb/s PHYs. The so le 141-15, Table 141-16, Table	ments such as in int procedure is c ensitivity shall be	tersymbol interference lescribed in 52.9.8 for met for the bit error	c) The tes Pattern 5. d) The ref	t pattern is as erence receive	Table 141–16, Table 141–19 given in Table 88–11 for 25 er used to verify the conform 8 for 25 Gb/s PHYs.	Gb/s PHYs, with	h the exception of	
Update PICS				Response		Response Status C			
	7.10 P75	L 48	# 454	ACCEPT See comn	N PRINCIPLI	Ξ.			
	Sumitomo								
Umeda, Daisuke <i>Comment Type</i> TR Referred and mod	Sumitomo <i>Comment Status</i> A fied 88.8.9 "Receiver sensitivity" ty" on 100GBASE-LR4/ER4. The	on 100GBASE-L							
Umeda, Daisuke Comment Type TR Referred and mod "Receiver sensitivi	Comment Status A fied 88.8.9 "Receiver sensitivity" ty" on 100GBASE-LR4/ER4. The	on 100GBASE-L	R4/ER4 and 114.7.9						
Umeda, Daisuke <i>Comment Type</i> TR Referred and mod "Receiver sensitivi Gb/s PHYs <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Use the following of 141.7.10 Receiver Receiver sensitivit input signal with V required. If measu	Comment Status A fied 88.8.9 "Receiver sensitivity" by" on 100GBASE-LR4/ER4. The lefinition. sensitivity ,, which is defined for an ideal in ECP = 0.5 dB for 25 Gb/s PHYs, red, the test signal should have n rence (ISI), rise/fall times, jitter a	on 100GBASE-L modification is \ but signal for 10 is informative an egligible impairm	R4/ER4 and 114.7.9 ECP = 0.5 dB for 25 Gb/s PHYs and an d compliance is not ents such as						

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #646

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 141 SC 141.7.11 Page 8 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:45 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 141	SC 141.7.11	P 75	L 50	# 647	C/ 141	SC 141.7.13	P 76	L13	# 648
Powell, Wil	liam	Nokia			Powell, W	illiam	Nokia		
wweil, William Townent Status A ed receiver conformance test stressed RX conformance The current 141.7.11 only contains "TBD" ggestedRemedy (Ref. powell_3ca_1_0319) Replace contents of 141.7.11 with: "Compliance with stressed receiver sensitivity is mandatory for the following PMDs: 25GBASE-PQG-D2, 50/25GBASE-PQG-D2, 25GBASE-PQX-D2, 50/25GBASE-PQX-D2, 50/25GBASE-PQG-D2, 50/25GBASE-PQC-D2, 50/25GBASE-PQX-D2, 25/10GBASE-PQX-D2, 25/10GBASE-PQC-D3, 0/25GBASE-PQG-D3, 25/10GBASE-PQX-D3, 50/25GBASE-PQX-D3, 50/25GBASE-PQC-D3, 50/25GBASE-PQX-D3, 50/25GBASE-PQX-D3, 50/25GBASE-PQC-D3, 25/10GBASE-PQX-D3, 50/25GBASE-PQX-D3, 50/10GBASE-PQX-D3, 50/10GBASE-PQX-D3, 50/10GBASE-PQX-D3, 50/10GBASE-PQX-D3, 25/10GBASE-PQX-D3, and 50/10GBASE-PQX-D3. The stressed receiver conformance test is intended to screen against receivers with poor frequency response or timing characteristics that could cause errors when combined with a distorted but compliant signal. To be compliant with stressed receiver sensitivity, the receiver shall meet the specified bit error ratio at the power level and signal quality defined in Table 141-15, Table 141-16, Table 141-19, or 141-20 as <td colspan="6"> Comment Type T Comment Status A Laser timing parameters Current text reads: Ton is defined in 141.7.13.1 and has the value of less than or equal to 128 ns (defined in Table 141–17 and Table 141–18). A method for measuring Treceiver_settling is illustrated in 141.7.13.2 (informative) and has a value of less than {TBD} ns (defined in Table 141–15 and Table 141–16). TCDR is defined in {TBD, Clause 142} and has the value of less than {TBD} ns. Toff is defined in 141.7.13.1 and has the value of less than or equal to 128 ns (defined in Table 141–17 and Table 141–18). SuggestedRemedy (Ref. powell_3ca_1_0319) Eliminate bullet points 2 & 3 that include the TBDs. These items will be covered in other subclauses and comments to this draft. Thus, final text for 141.7.13 should read: Ton is defined in 141.7.13.1 and has the value of less than or equal to 128 ns (defined in Table 141–17 and Table 141–18). </td>				 Comment Type T Comment Status A Laser timing parameters Current text reads: Ton is defined in 141.7.13.1 and has the value of less than or equal to 128 ns (defined in Table 141–17 and Table 141–18). A method for measuring Treceiver_settling is illustrated in 141.7.13.2 (informative) and has a value of less than {TBD} ns (defined in Table 141–15 and Table 141–16). TCDR is defined in {TBD, Clause 142} and has the value of less than {TBD} ns. Toff is defined in 141.7.13.1 and has the value of less than or equal to 128 ns (defined in Table 141–17 and Table 141–18). SuggestedRemedy (Ref. powell_3ca_1_0319) Eliminate bullet points 2 & 3 that include the TBDs. These items will be covered in other subclauses and comments to this draft. Thus, final text for 141.7.13 should read: Ton is defined in 141.7.13.1 and has the value of less than or equal to 128 ns (defined in Table 141–17 and Table 141–18). 					
	riate, according t) for 25 Gb/s PH	to the measurement procedu Ys."	ures of 52.9.9 fo	or 10 Gb/s PHYs and		is defined in 141. 141–17 and Tabl	7.13.1 and has the value e 141–18).	of less than or equ	al to 128 ns (defined in
esponse		Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE	Ε.			ACCE	PT.			
Use the	e following text:				C/ 141	SC 141.7.13.	1 P 76	L 31	# 501
Compli	iance with stress	ed receiver sensitivity is mai	ndatory for PMI	os listed in Table 141-7	Remein, D	luane	Futurewei	Technologie	
The str	essed receiver c	onformance test is intended	to screen agai	nst receivers with poor	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
distorte	ed but compliant	iming characteristics that co signal. To be compliant with specified bit error ratio at the	stressed receiv	ver sensitivity, the	Why is EBD?		257B symbol allow for To	off measurements v	hen we have a defined
		141-16, Table 141-19, or 14			Suggested	•			
	•	es of 52.9.9 for 10 Gb/s PH`	Ys and 88.8.10	for 25 Gb/s PHYs.			smitted may be any valid 257 as defined in 142.3.5		s." to "The data
Update	PICS				Response		Response Status C		
					ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.		
					Chang	je			
					"The c	lata transmitted r	nay be any valid 256B/25	7B symbols."	
					to				
					"The c	lata transmitted r	nay be any of the pattern	s listed in Table 88	-10."
			, , .						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 141 SC 141.7.13.1

Page 9 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:45 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

amer, Glen	Broadcom	L1	# 623	<i>Cl</i> 141 <i>SC</i> 141.7.14.2 Powell, William	P 79 Nokia	L 37	# 650
omment Type T Action item to update Figu definition of grant in .3ca).	Comment Status A re 141-3 (remove Grant Lo	ength signal as	141.7.14 it doesn't match the	Comment Type T Con Currrent text in this line has a ⁻ SuggestedRemedy	nment Status A TBD.		
uggestedRemedy				Replace "TBD" with "Table 14"	1-17 and Table 141-1	8."	
Update the figure and the		n in kramer_3ca	a_1_0319.pdf	Response Resp	oonse Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C			ACCEPT.			
Updated subclause (was 1	41.7.13.1, should be 141.	7.14)		C/ 141 SC 141.7.14.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 79 Charter Com	L 42 municatio	# 432
Update the figure and the use T _{rx_settling<td></td><td></td><td></td><td>A "should" statement that is no</td><td></td><td>optional requireme</td><td>ent: " … Conformance</td>}				A "should" statement that is no		optional requireme	ent: " … Conformance
141 SC 141.7.14.1	P 77	L 39	# 649	should be assured for an optic	al signal at TP7"		
owell, William	Nokia			SuggestedRemedy		l fan an andardad	
omment Type T	Comment Status A		141.7.14	Change to read "Conformance Response Resp	needs to be assured	d for an optical sig	gnal at 1P7"
RX settling time measurer Current text reads: "Treceiver_settling is deno optical power in the receiv ending at the moment that of its steady state average Treceiver_settling is prese	ted as the elapsed time be er at TP7 reaches the con the electrical signal after power, jitter (see {TBD}).	ditions specified the PMD at TP8	1 in 141.7.11 and	ACCEPT.			
uggestedRemedy							
(Ref. powell_3ca_1_0319) Change the text at the end the electrical signal after average power and jitter (s	l of the first sentence to re the PMD at TP8[i] reache	es within 15 % o	f its "steady state				
Change the second senter Treceiver_settling is prese [the new Fig. 141-3 from C	nted in Figure 141-3.						
esponse	Response Status C						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.							

C/ 141 SC 141.7.14.2

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 141	SC 141.7.15	P 79	L 53	# 655	C/ 141 SC 141.7.1	5 P 79	L 53	# 656		
Powell, Wi	illiam	Nokia			Powell, William	Nokia				
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		postdeadline	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		postdeadline; PICS		
There	is no home for To	dr or definition in D1.5			There is no home for	Tcdr or definition in D1.5				
Suggested	dRemedy				SuggestedRemedy					
D.C.										

Ref. powell_3ca_2_0319 Insert a new sub-clause 141.7.15 with the following text: 141.4.15 TCDR measurement

141.4.15.1 Definitions CDR lock time (denoted TCDR) is defined as a time interval required by the receiver to acquire phase lock on the incoming data stream. TCDR is measured as the time elapsed from the moment when the electrical signal after the PMD at TP8, as illustrated in Figure 141-3, reaches the conditions specified in 141.7.14 for receiver settling time to the moment when the signal phase is recovered and jitter is maintained for a network with BER of no worse than 10–2.

A PMA instantiated in an OLT becomes synchronized at the bit level within 400 ns (TCDR) after the appearance of a valid synchronization pattern (as defined in 142.1.3) at TP8.

141.15.2 Test specification

The test of the OLT PMA receiver TCDR time assumes that there is an optical PMD transmitter at the ONU with well known Ton time as defined in 141.7.13, and an optical PMD receiver at the OLT with well-known Treceiver_settling time as defined in 141.7.14. After Ton + Treceiver_settling time, the parameters at TP8 reach within 15% of their steady state values, measure TCDR as the time from the TX_ENABLE assertion, minus the known Ton + Treceiver_settling time, to the time the electrical signal at the output of the receiving PMA reaches up to the phase difference from the input signal of the transmitting PMA assuring BER of 10–2, and maintaining its jitter specifications. The signals transmitted throughout this test are the SP1 and SP2 patterns as illustrated in Figure 142-3, or the SP1, SP2, & SP3 patterns as illustrated in Figure 142-4.

Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Ref. powell_3ca_2_0319

Insert a new sub-clause 141.7.15 with the following text:

141.4.15 TCDR measurement 141.4.15.1 Definitions CDR lock time (denoted TCDR) is defined as a time interval required by the receiver to acquire phase lock on the incoming data stream. TCDR is measured as the time elapsed from the moment when the electrical signal after the PMD at TP8, as illustrated in Figure 141-3, reaches the conditions specified in 141.7.14 for receiver settling time to the moment when the signal phase is recovered and itter is maintained for a network with BER of no worse than 10-2. A PMA instantiated in an OLT becomes synchronized at the bit level within 400 ns (TCDR) after the appearance of a valid synchronization pattern (as defined in 142.1.3) at TP8. 141.15.2 Test specification The test of the OLT PMA receiver TCDR time assumes that there is an optical PMD transmitter at the ONU with well known Ton time as defined in 141.7.13, and an optical PMD receiver at the OLT with well-known Treceiver_settling time as defined in 141.7.14. After Ton + Treceiver settling time, the parameters at TP8 reach within 15% of their steady state values, measure TCDR as the time from the TX ENABLE assertion, minus the known Ton + Treceiver_settling time, to the time the electrical signal at the output of the receiving PMA reaches up to the phase difference from the input signal of the transmitting PMA assuring BER of 10-2, and maintaining its jitter specifications. The signals transmitted throughout this test are the SP1 and SP2 patterns as illustrated in Figure 142-3, or the SP1, SP2, & SP3 patterns as illustrated in Figure 142-4.

Response	Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRIN	CIPLE.
Add CDR to list of	abbreviations.
Use powell_3ca_2	2a_0319.pdf and place it at the end of the PMA subclause.
Update PICS acco	ordingly.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 141 SC 141.7.15 Page 11 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:45 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 141 SC 141.9.	1 <i>P</i> 81	L 2	# 502	C/ 142 SC 142.1		P 86	L1	# 504
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Te	echnologie		Remein, Duane		Futurewei Teo	chnologie	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type T	Comment	t Status A		
Should "IEC 61280-				Figure 142–2– ne	eds update			
4–2:2000" cross the	ine? Probably not.			SuggestedRemedy				
SuggestedRemedy Make the reference	non brooking			—				cs). In draft globally
	0			replace "Parity stag "TxParBuf" using p			PantyStagingBui	ner" (9x) with
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response	Response			
ACCEPT.				, ACCEPT IN PRINC				
Likely an editorial co	omment, no?				- 0040 - 166- 5			
C/ 141 SC 141.9.	3 P81	L 34	# 503	Use remein_3ca_3	a_0319.pdf for fig	gure reference.		
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Te	chnologie		Change				
				"The LDPC encode	r in Figure 142–6	o places the M-b	it FEC parity bit	s into the
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A							
		but Table 141-21	l does not describe	ParityStagingBuffe to				
	Comment Status A oss specified in T able 141–21"	but Table 141-21	l does not describe	ParityStagingBuffe to "The LDPC encode	for use by" r in Figure 142–6	6 places the M-b		
"channel insertion lo		but Table 141-21	l does not describe	ParityStagingBuffe to	for use by" r in Figure 142–6	6 places the M-b		
"channel insertion lo insertion loss. SuggestedRemedy		but Table 141-21	l does not describe	ParityStagingBuffe to "The LDPC encode buffer (<i>TxParBu</i>	for use by" r in Figure 142–6 f) for use by"	6 places the M-b	it FEC parity bit	
"channel insertion lo insertion loss. SuggestedRemedy Change ref to Table	oss specified in T able 141–21" • 141-1 through 141-5	but Table 141-21	l does not describe	ParityStagingBuffe to "The LDPC encode buffer (<i>TxParBu</i>	r for use by" r in Figure 142–6 f) for use by" v replace "Parity s	6 places the M-b staging buffer" (it FEC parity bit	s into the parity staging
"channel insertion lo insertion loss. SuggestedRemedy	e 141-1 through 141-5 Response Status C	but Table 141-21	l does not describe	ParityStagingBuffe to "The LDPC encode buffer (<i>TxParBu Afterwards, globally</i>	r in Figure 142–6 f) for use by" v replace "Parity s ng proper format	6 places the M-b staging buffer" (it FEC parity bit	s into the parity staging "ParityStagingBuffer"
"channel insertion lo insertion loss. SuggestedRemedy Change ref to Table Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	e 141-1 through 141-5 Response Status C IPLE.			ParityStagingBuffe to "The LDPC encode buffer (<i>TxParBu Afterwards, globally with "TxParBuf" us</i>	r in Figure 142–6 f) for use by" v replace "Parity s ng proper format	5 places the M-b staging buffer" (tting.	it FEC parity bit Fig 142-6) and	s into the parity staging
"channel insertion lo insertion loss. SuggestedRemedy Change ref to Table Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	e 141-1 through 141-5 Response Status C			ParityStagingBuffe to "The LDPC encode buffer (<i>TxParBu Afterwards, globally with "TxParBuf" usi <i>Cl</i> 142 SC 142.1 Kramer, Glen</i>	r in Figure 142–6 f) for use by" r replace "Parity s ng proper format .1.4	6 places the M-b staging buffer" (tting. P86 Broadcom	it FEC parity bit Fig 142-6) and	s into the parity staging "ParityStagingBuffer"
"channel insertion lo insertion loss. SuggestedRemedy Change ref to Table Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Change "Table 141-	oss specified in T able 141–21" 141-1 through 141-5 <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE. -21" to "Table 141-1 through Ta			ParityStagingBuffe to "The LDPC encode buffer (<i>TxParBu Afterwards, globally with "TxParBuf" usi C/ 142 SC 142.1 Kramer, Glen Comment Type T</i>	r in Figure 142–6 f) for use by" r replace "Parity s ng proper format .1.4 Comment	6 places the M-b staging buffer" (tting. P 86 Broadcom <i>t Status</i> A	it FEC parity bit Fig 142-6) and <i>L</i> 42	s into the parity staging "ParityStagingBuffer" # <u>628</u>
"channel insertion lo insertion loss. SuggestedRemedy Change ref to Table Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Change "Table 141-	oss specified in T able 141–21" 141-1 through 141-5 <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE. -21" to "Table 141-1 through Ta	able 141-5". Mak L1	e links live.	ParityStagingBuffe to "The LDPC encode buffer (<i>TxParBu Afterwards, globally with "TxParBuf" usi C/ 142 SC 142.1 Kramer, Glen Comment Type T Action item from Lo</i>	r in Figure 142–6 f) for use by" r replace "Parity s ng proper format .1.4 <i>Comment</i> ong Beach: "Subt	6 places the M-b staging buffer" (tting. P86 Broadcom t Status A traction for rollow	tit FEC parity bit Fig 142-6) and <i>L</i> 42 ver (144.3.6.8, P	s into the parity staging "ParityStagingBuffer" # <u>628</u> Page:172, Line: 52)"
"channel insertion lo insertion loss. SuggestedRemedy Change ref to Table Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Change "Table 141- Cl 141 SC 141.10 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR	 bass specified in T able 141–21" a 141-1 through 141-5 <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE. -21" to "Table 141-1 through Table 141-1 through Table 141-1 through Table Charter Comment Status A 	able 141-5". Mak L1	e links live.	ParityStagingBuffe to "The LDPC encode buffer (<i>TxParBu Afterwards, globally with "TxParBuf" usi C/ 142 SC 142.1 Kramer, Glen Comment Type T</i>	r in Figure 142–6 f) for use by" r replace "Parity s ng proper format 1.4 <i>Comment</i> ong Beach: "Subt nvinced we need	6 places the M-b staging buffer" (tting. P86 Broadcom t Status A traction for rollow	tit FEC parity bit Fig 142-6) and <i>L</i> 42 ver (144.3.6.8, P	s into the parity staging "ParityStagingBuffer" # <u>628</u> Page:172, Line: 52)"
"channel insertion los insertion loss. SuggestedRemedy Change ref to Table Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Change "Table 141- Cl 141 SC 141.10 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR PICS needed and m	 bass specified in T able 141–21" a 141-1 through 141-5 <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE. -21" to "Table 141-1 through Table 141-1 through Table 141-1 through Table Charter Comment Status A 	able 141-5". Mak L1	e links live.	ParityStagingBuffe to "The LDPC encode buffer (<i>TxParBu Afterwards, globally with "TxParBuf" usi C/ 142 SC 142.1 Kramer, Glen Comment Type T Action item from Lo</i>	r in Figure 142–6 f) for use by" r replace "Parity s ng proper format 1.4 <i>Comment</i> ong Beach: "Subt nvinced we need	6 places the M-b staging buffer" (tting. P86 Broadcom t Status A traction for rollow	tit FEC parity bit Fig 142-6) and <i>L</i> 42 ver (144.3.6.8, P	s into the parity staging "ParityStagingBuffer" # <u>628</u> Page:172, Line: 52)"
"channel insertion los insertion loss. SuggestedRemedy Change ref to Table Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Change "Table 141- Cl 141 SC 141.10 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR PICS needed and m	 bass specified in T able 141–21" a 141-1 through 141-5 <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE. -21" to "Table 141-1 through Table 141-1 through 141-1 th	able 141-5". Mak L1	e links live.	ParityStagingBuffe to "The LDPC encode buffer (<i>TxParBu With "TxParBuf" usi C/ 142 SC 142.1 Kramer, Glen Comment Type T Action item from Lo I am not entirely co operation is straigh SuggestedRemedy</i>	r in Figure 142–6 f) for use by" r replace "Parity s ng proper format 1.4 <i>Comment</i> ong Beach: "Subt nvinced we need forward.	5 places the M-b staging buffer" (tting. P86 Broadcom t Status A traction for rollov d any explanation 2.1.1.4 (see krar	bit FEC parity bit Fig 142-6) and <i>L</i> 42 ver (144.3.6.8, P n for subtraction mer_3ca_6_0319	s into the parity staging "ParityStagingBuffer" # <u>628</u> Page:172, Line: 52)" . The subtraction 9.pdf). Discuss at the
"channel insertion lo insertion loss. SuggestedRemedy Change ref to Table Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Change "Table 141- Cl 141 SC 141.10 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR PICS needed and m SuggestedRemedy	 bass specified in T able 141–21" a 141-1 through 141-5 <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE. -21" to "Table 141-1 through Table 141-1 through 141-1 th	able 141-5". Mak L1	e links live.	ParityStagingBuffe to "The LDPC encode buffer (<i>TxParBu Afterwards, globally with "TxParBuf" usi C/ 142 SC 142.1 Kramer, Glen Comment Type T Action item from Lo I am not entirely co operation is straigh SuggestedRemedy The explanation tex</i>	r in Figure 142–6 f) for use by" r replace "Parity s ng proper format 1.4 <i>Comment</i> ong Beach: "Subt nvinced we need forward.	6 places the M-b staging buffer" (tting. P86 Broadcom t Status A traction for rollow d any explanation 2.1.1.4 (see krar plain such funda	bit FEC parity bit Fig 142-6) and <i>L</i> 42 ver (144.3.6.8, P n for subtraction mer_3ca_6_0319	s into the parity staging "ParityStagingBuffer" # 628 Page:172, Line: 52)" . The subtraction 9.pdf). Discuss at the

C/ 142 SC 142.1.1.4

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.1.1.4	P 87	L 39	# 505	C/ 142 SC 142.1.	2 P88	L15	# 616
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Teo	chnologie		Remein, Duane	Futurewei Te	chnologie	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Delay
Stray period between pa function arguments"	arenthesis in Table 142-1 for	"Indicates prec	edence or a set of	AI #16 Delay Constr	aints		
SuggestedRemedy Strike the stray period Response	Response Status C			Due to the nature of	note with the following. the Nx25G-EPON PCS and PM xpected to be very little (< ± on		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Ξ.			Response	Response Status C		
Likely an editorial comm	ient, no?			, ACCEPT IN PRINCI	•		
Change (.) to ()				Replace the Editor's	note with the following:		
C/ 142 SC 142.1.1.4 Remein, Duane	P 87 Futurewei Teo	L 43 chnologie	# 506	is expected to be les	variation through the transmit s than 6 EQTs for channels op ls operating at 10.3125 GBd.		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A				is operating at 10.3123 GBu.		
Symbol for "is a membe is used in Figure 144-5	r of" and ""is not a member	of"" are not inclu	uded in Table 142–1 but	is expected to be les	variation through the receive p s than 2 EQTs for channels op	erating at 25.781	-EPON PCS and PMA 25 GBd and less than
SuggestedRemedy				5 EQ IS for channels	operating at 10.3125 GBd.		
Add both to the bottom	of the table.				delay limits are applicable only		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			corresponding 257-b	it block) located at the fixed off	set within the FE	C codeword.

C/ 142 SC 142.1.2

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.1.3 P88 L24 # 507	C/ 142 SC 142.1.3 P88 L27 # 508				
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie				
Comment Type E Comment Status A Why is "(SP)" used to explain "FEC-unprotected area"? There is no lone "SP" in the figure.	Comment Type E Comment Status D buc Are these zones or elements? "Each SP element " buc buc buc				
SuggestedRemedy Strike the wayward "(SP)"	SuggestedRemedy Change "Each SP element" to "Each zone"				
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
	Change "Each SP element" to "Each SP zone"				
Change	C/ 142 SC 142.1.3 P88 L34 # 509 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie				
synchronization pattern (SP) zones. To	Comment Type E Comment Status D but Remove the editor's note. Discovery operations are well addressed in the draft and no D				
synchronization pattern zones.	additional details are needed in this overview section. SuggestedRemedy				
Change	per comment Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
The upstream burst begins with a FEC-unprotected area (SP), comprising several explicit zones, each playing a separate role: SP1 zone, optimized for laser on (Ton) and Automatic Gain Control (AGC, Tsettling); SP2 zone, optimized for Clock and Data Recovery (CDR,	C/ 142 SC 142.1.3.1 P89 L35 # 510 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie				
TCDR); and SP3 zone, optimized for the start-of-burst delimiter (SBD) pattern. Each SP element is a multiple of 257 bits, aligning with the PCS (defined in 142.2 and 142.3) line code of 256B/257B.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A What is "Tsetting"? The figure uses "Tsettling" while in 141.7.14.1 we use "Treceiver settling". We should be consistent.				
to	SuggestedRemedy				
The upstream burst begins with a synchronization pattern, which is not FEC protected. The	Use Tsettling throughout the draft (subscripted).				
synchronization pattern is comprised of: SP1 zone, optimized for laser on (Ton) and Automatic Gain Control (AGC, Tsettling); SP2 zone, optimized for Clock and Data Recovery (CDR, TCDR); and SP3 zone, optimized for the start-of-burst delimiter (SBD)	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
pattern. Each SP element is a multiple of 257 bits, aligning with the PCS (defined in 142.2 and 142.3) line code of 256B/257B.	Use T _{rx_settling} throughout the draft (subscripted).				

C/ 142 SC 142.1.3.1

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2.1 P90 L48 # 511	C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P95
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	Kramer, Glen Broadcom
Comment Type E Comment Status D bu	cket Comment Type T Comment Status A
The following statement is redundant with the last ending sentence of the para preceding just before this one. "The PCS bit transmission order is illustrated in Figure 142–5."	
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Strike and remove the redundant statement.	add to 1.5: QC_LDPC quasi-cyclic low-density parity code
Proposed Response Response Status W	
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Throughout the draft, replace "LDPC" with "QC-LDPC"
	Response Response Status C
C/ 142 SC 142.2.4 P92 L35 # 512	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	add to 1.5:
Comment Type TR Comment Status D FEC d	elay QC-LDPC quasi-cyclic low-density parity code
We should enforce a constant delay in the FEC Encoder, regardless of the size of the encoded FEC CW (i.e., even when the CW is shortened).	Throughout the draft, replace "LDPC" with "QC-LDPC"
SuggestedRemedy	
Add the following: "The FEC encoder shall have a constant delay for each FEC codeword including shortened codewords." Add requirement to PICS.	Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P95 Remein, Duane Futurewei Techn
•	Comment Type ER Comment Status D
Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.	Is the one double quoted u" (and p" on pg 96) correct?
REJECT.	SuggestedRemedy
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	Change to double prime (pg/ln: 95/52, 96/34, 96/35, 9
While reasonable, I fail to see how a simple word statement achieves just that. Also, it is not clear what the advantage of this proposal is for say, adding delay at the end of the bufor shortened codewords.	
	CI 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P96
	Remein, Duane Futurewei Tech
	Comment Type T Comment Status A
	Figure 142–6—FEC encoder we should id what's in th SD's
	SuggestedRemedy
	Change figure to match remein_3ca_5_0319 (or reme highlight can be omitted). Make the same modifications to Figure 142A-1.
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT.

E. Slic low-density parity code place "LDPC" with "QC-LDPC" P95 L53 # 513 Futurewei Technologie Comment Status D bucket d u" (and p" on pg 96) correct? s (pg/ln: 95/52, 96/34, 96/35, 95/36, 96/42 (x2)) Response Status W
place "LDPC" with "QC-LDPC" P95 L53 # 513 Futurewei Technologie Comment Status D bucket d u" (and p" on pg 96) correct? e (pg/ln: 95/52, 96/34, 96/35, 95/36, 96/42 (x2))
place "LDPC" with "QC-LDPC" P95 L53 # 513 Futurewei Technologie Comment Status D bucket d u" (and p" on pg 96) correct? e (pg/ln: 95/52, 96/34, 96/35, 95/36, 96/42 (x2))
place "LDPC" with "QC-LDPC" P95 L53 # 513 Futurewei Technologie Comment Status D bucket d u" (and p" on pg 96) correct? e (pg/ln: 95/52, 96/34, 96/35, 95/36, 96/42 (x2))
P95 L53 # 513 Futurewei Technologie Enturewei Technologie Comment Status D bucket d u" (and p" on pg 96) correct? bucket e (pg/ln: 95/52, 96/34, 96/35, 95/36, 96/42 (x2)) bucket
Futurewei Technologie Comment Status D bucket d u" (and p" on pg 96) correct? + + e (pg/ln: 95/52, 96/34, 96/35, 95/36, 96/42 (x2)) + +
d u" (and p" on pg 96) correct? e (pg/ln: 95/52, 96/34, 96/35, 95/36, 96/42 (x2))
e (pg/ln: 95/52, 96/34, 96/35, 95/36, 96/42 (x2))
Response Status W
P 96 L 1 # 514
Futurewei Technologie
Comment Status A
coder we should id what's in the FEC Encoder and what is in other
remein_3ca_5_0319 (or remein_3ca_5_0319.Fig 142-6.vsd, red). ations to Figure 142A-1.
Response Status C
า d

L25

641

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.	.2.5.1	P 101	L 38	# 640	C/ 142	SC 142	2.5.1	P 101	L 51	# 639	
Kramer, Glen		Broadcom			Kramer, G	len		Broadcom			
Comment Type T	Comment	t Status A		142.2.5.1	Comment	Туре ТГ		Comment Status A			ΙB
There is nothing u FEC_PAYLOAD_	undefined in the de SIZE	efinitions of FEC_	PARITY_SIZE	and	Action Suggested		late defini	ition of IBI (missing valu	e)		
SuggestedRemedy					00	ne following	definition:	:			
Replace "{10 TBD Replace "{56 TBD For both definitior		257 bits" with "Un	it: 257-bit block"			e: 258-bit b					
Response ACCEPT.	Response	Status C				scription: Thue: 0x0-(0A		58 constant holds th 	e value of the in	ter-burst idle patterr	1.
ACCEPT.					Response		R	esponse Status C			
C/ 142 SC 142.	.2.5.1	P101	L 41	# 515	ACCE	PT IN PRIN	CIPLE.				
Remein, Duane		Futurewei Tec	hnologie		Per co	omment + u	odate IBI i	in Figure 142–11/12 to I	BI258		
Comment Type TF		t Status A		142.2.5.1	C/ 142	SC 142	251	P102	L 2	# 456	
Value for FEC_PA	ARITY_SIZE (10),	and FEC_PAYL	JAD_SIZE (56)	need not be marked	Remein, D		2.J.1	Futurewei Te		# 430	
SuggestedRemedy					Comment	Туре ТЕ	2 (Comment Status A			IB
Strike offensive re	ed TBD and curly b	praces in two pla	ces.					nstant holds the value o			rrect
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	,	Status C			betwee	en inter burst en inter and Bl value: ne	burst).	m is only 257 bits long.	(Note all other in	istance put a dash	
See comment #64	40				Suggested	Remedy					
C/ 142 SC 142.	.2.5.1	P101	L 42	# 637	Chang "Desci		IBI consta	ant holds the value of th	e inter burst idle	pattern." to read	
Kramer, Glen		Broadcom						ant holds the value of th		pattern with a	
Comment Type TF	R Comment	t Status A		142.2.5.1				the lower 257 bits are no 32" with 32 subscripted		catenated with 32 x	0x0a)
TBDs in the defini	itions of FEC_PAR	RITY_SIZE and F	EC_PAYLOAD	SIZE constants.	Response		R	esponse Status C			
SuggestedRemedy					ACCE	PT IN PRIN	CIPLE.				
The provided valu Replace "Unit: 25	ues are correct. Re 7 bits" with "Unit: 2		and TBDs.		See co	omment #63	39				
Response	Response	Status C									
ACCEPT.											
See comment #64	40										

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.1 Page 16 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:45 PM

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

Remein, Duane	P102	L 4 # 457			SC 142.2.5.2	P102	L43	# 459
	Futurewei Technol	ogie	R	Remein, Dua	ne		i Technologie	
<i><i></i></i>	mment Status D			Comment Ty		Comment Status A		
In most of the constant/variab period. Technically I would cla should have a period. I notice	assify these statements as	sentences and they there	efore	pg 103 lir	ne 43, and 47	cess" really? I think	not. Same issue:	
•	e in the current standard bo	in forms are supported.	S	SuggestedRe	-			
SuggestedRemedy Throughout the draft add the e	anding period in each case	If staff object change w	ordina to	Change I	MCRS to PCS			
"This variable is defined in x.y			R	Response		Response Status C		
Proposed Response Res	ponse Status Z			ACCEPT	-			
REJECT.			C	2/ 142	SC 142.2.5.2	P103	L 7	# 460
This commont was W/ITHDD/	W/N by the commenter		R	Remein, Dua	ne	Futurewe	i Technologie	
This comment was WITHDRA	win by the commenter.		С	Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status D		bucket
Staff does remove the ending	periods in these statement	S.		,,		should be italicized.		
C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.2	P102	L 33 # 635			ne 7 "TxFifo[]"	£1"		
Kramer, Glen	Broadcom				ne 11 "ParityLe ne 16 "Payload			
Comment Type T Cor	mment Status A		ClkOut S	SuggestedRe				
ClkOut and ClkXfer are define	ed in terms of PMD output ra	ate. This is not correct as		per comr				
relationship should be the opp	posite: The PMD output rate	is driven by the PMA clo	ock.	Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status W		
Also, missing text on ONU loc	p-timing and the definitions	of PMA transmit clock.		•	, SED ACCEPT.			
SuggestedRemedy			_		00 / /0 0 0 0 0	D / D	1.5.1	"
		minute the the DMA	C	C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.2	P 103	L 34	# 430
Modify definitions of ClkOut as subclause as shown in krame		missing text to the PMA	H	łajduczenia,	Marek	Charter C	ommunicatio	
Modify definitions of ClkOut an subclause as shown in krame		missing text to the PMA		lajduczenia, Comment Ty _l		Charter C Comment Status A	communicatio	
Modify definitions of ClkOut an subclause as shown in krame	r_3ca_10_0319.pdf.			Comment Ty A "should	pe T	Comment Status A at is not intended to be		nent: " … from the array
Modify definitions of ClkOut an subclause as shown in krame Response Resp ACCEPT.	r_3ca_10_0319.pdf.	L34 # 458	c	Comment Ty A "should	be T I" statement the e sent to the Tx	Comment Status A at is not intended to be		nent: " from the array
Modify definitions of ClkOut an subclause as shown in krame Response Resp ACCEPT.	r_3ca_10_0319.pdf. ponse Status C	L 34 # 458	c	Comment Ty A "should should be SuggestedRe	be T I" statement that is sent to the Tx semedy	Comment Status A at is not intended to be	an optional requiren	nent: " from the array
Modify definitions of ClkOut at subclause as shown in krame Response Resp ACCEPT.	r_3ca_10_0319.pdf. ponse Status C P102	L 34 # 458	c s s	Comment Ty A "should should be SuggestedRe	be T I" statement that is sent to the Tx semedy	Comment Status A at is not intended to be Fifo"	an optional requiren	nent: " … from the array
Modify definitions of ClkOut at subclause as shown in krame Response Resp ACCEPT. Cl 142 SC 142.2.5.2 Remein, Duane	r_3ca_10_0319.pdf. ponse Status C P102 Futurewei Technol mment Status A	L 34 # 4 <u>58</u> ogie	c s s	Comment Ty A "should should be SuggestedRe Change t	be T d" statement the e sent to the Tx ernedy o read "from th	Comment Status A at is not intended to be Fifo" e array is sent to the T>	an optional requiren	nent: " from the array
Modify definitions of ClkOut at subclause as shown in krame Response Response ACCEPT. Cl 142 SC 142.2.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Control	r_3ca_10_0319.pdf. ponse Status C P102 Futurewei Technol mment Status A	L 34 # 4 <u>58</u> ogie	c s s	Comment Ty, A "should should be SuggestedRe Change t Response	be T d" statement the e sent to the Tx ernedy o read "from th	Comment Status A at is not intended to be Fifo" e array is sent to the T>	an optional requiren	nent: " … from the array
Modify definitions of ClkOut at subclause as shown in krame Response Resp ACCEPT. Cl 142 SC 142.2.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Con It would be good to ensure Clk	r_3ca_10_0319.pdf. ponse Status C P102 Futurewei Technole mment Status A kOut for each channel is ph Dut "in PHYs supporting mu	L 34 # <u>458</u> ogie nase aligned.	C S ClkOut R	Comment Ty, A "should should be SuggestedRe Change t Response	be T d" statement the e sent to the Tx ernedy o read "from th	Comment Status A at is not intended to be Fifo" e array is sent to the T>	an optional requiren	nent: " from the array
Modify definitions of ClkOut at subclause as shown in krame Response Resp ACCEPT. 27 142 SC 142.2.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Con It would be good to ensure Clk SuggestedRemedy Add to the description of ClkO PCS instance is phase aligned	r_3ca_10_0319.pdf. ponse Status C P102 Futurewei Technole mment Status A kOut for each channel is ph Dut "in PHYs supporting mu	L 34 # <u>458</u> ogie nase aligned.	C S ClkOut R	Comment Ty, A "should should be SuggestedRe Change t Response	be T d" statement the e sent to the Tx ernedy o read "from th	Comment Status A at is not intended to be Fifo" e array is sent to the T>	an optional requiren	nent: " from the array
Modify definitions of ClkOut at subclause as shown in krame Response Resp ACCEPT. 27 142 SC 142.2.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Con It would be good to ensure Clk SuggestedRemedy Add to the description of ClkO PCS instance is phase aligned	r_3ca_10_0319.pdf. ponse Status C P102 Futurewei Technol mment Status A kOut for each channel is ph Dut "in PHYs supporting mu d.	L 34 # <u>458</u> ogie nase aligned.	C S ClkOut R	Comment Ty, A "should should be SuggestedRe Change t Response	be T d" statement the e sent to the Tx ernedy o read "from th	Comment Status A at is not intended to be Fifo" e array is sent to the T>	an optional requiren	nent: " from the array
Modify definitions of ClkOut at subclause as shown in krame lesponse Res ACCEPT. If 142 SC 142.2.5.2 emein, Duane comment Type TR Con It would be good to ensure Clk uggestedRemedy Add to the description of ClkO PCS instance is phase aligned lesponse Res	r_3ca_10_0319.pdf. ponse Status C P102 Futurewei Technol mment Status A kOut for each channel is ph Dut "in PHYs supporting mu d.	L 34 # <u>458</u> ogie nase aligned.	C S ClkOut R	Comment Ty, A "should should be SuggestedRe Change t Response	be T d" statement the e sent to the Tx ernedy o read "from th	Comment Status A at is not intended to be Fifo" e array is sent to the T>	an optional requiren	nent: " from the array

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.3		L 36	# 461	C/ 142 SC 142.2.		P106	L1	# 652
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Tec	hnologie		Kramer, Glen	В	roadcom		
Comment Type E Missing articles.	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type TR Draft 1.4 added the for the receiving side		ion function F		postdeadling (), but it only showed it ansmitting side
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy				
Change "data received from xM "data received from the				1) Insert text "Reset 2) Move definition of	fResetScrambler()	function from	142.3.5.3 to 14	12.2.5.3
Proposed Response	Response Status W			3) Replace the body 142.2.5.3	of ResetScrambler	() function de	finition in 142.3	3.5.3 with a reference to
PROPOSED ACCEPT	·			Response	Response Sta	ntus C		
C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.3	P 104	L 44	# 624	ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.			
Kramer, Glen	Broadcom			1) Insert text "Reset	Scrambler()" to state	e RESET_XE	BUF in Fig 142-	10.
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			2) Copy definition of				
single 66b block and de	amble() functions are not defin escrambles it. Scramble() take	ed symattrically. es an array of 66	Descramble takes a b blocks and	3) Replace the body 142.2.5.3	of ResetScrambler	() function de	minition in 142.3	3.5.3 with a reference to
scrambles 4 blocks at o	once.			C/ 142 SC 142.2.	5.4.1	P 106	L 20	# 644
Showing both functions how these functions are	s operating on 66b is clearer a e defined in C49.	ind also would m	ake it consistent with	Kramer, Glen Comment Type TR	B Comment Sta			
SuggestedRemedy							ambiguous pred	cedence or operations:
	olace			C			ambiguouo prov	
1) In Figure 142-10, rep	JIACE							
xBuffer[3:0] <= Scramb with	ble(xBuffer[3:0])			TxNext = RATE_AD TxNext = IBI_EQ AN xIndex = 0				
xBuffer[3:0] <= Scramb with xBuffer[0] <= Scramble xBuffer[1] <= Scramble	ble(xBuffer[3:0]) e(xBuffer[0]) e(xBuffer[1])			TxNext = IBI_EQ AN xIndex = 0				
xBuffer[3:0] <= Scramb with xBuffer[0] <= Scramble	ble(xBuffer[3:0]) e(xBuffer[0]) e(xBuffer[1]) e(xBuffer[2])			TxNext = IBI_EQ AI	ND			
xBuffer[3:0] <= Scramb with xBuffer[0] <= Scramble xBuffer[1] <= Scramble xBuffer[2] <= Scramble xBuffer[3] <= Scramble 2) Use the following de Scramble(blk)	ble(xBuffer[3:0]) e(xBuffer[0]) e(xBuffer[1]) e(xBuffer[2]) e(xBuffer[3]) efinition of Scramble (symmetr			TxNext = IBI_EQ AN xIndex = 0 SuggestedRemedy	ND to the following: J_EQ OR			
xBuffer[3:0] <= Scramb with xBuffer[0] <= Scramble xBuffer[1] <= Scramble xBuffer[2] <= Scramble xBuffer[3] <= Scramble 2) Use the following de Scramble(blk) Description: This functi	ble(xBuffer[3:0]) (xBuffer[0]) (xBuffer[1]) (xBuffer[2]) (xBuffer[3]) finition of Scramble (symmetr ion accepts one 66-bit block < payload of the block, as desc	i>blk and per	forms the scrambling	TxNext = IBI_EQ AN xIndex = 0 SuggestedRemedy Cnage the transition TxNext = RATE_AD (TxNext = IBI_EQ A xIndex = 0) Response	ND to the following: J_EQ OR	ntus C		
xBuffer[3:0] <= Scramb with xBuffer[0] <= Scramble xBuffer[1] <= Scramble xBuffer[2] <= Scramble xBuffer[3] <= Scramble 2) Use the following de Scramble(blk) Description: This functi operation on the 64-bit	ble(xBuffer[3:0]) (xBuffer[0]) (xBuffer[1]) (xBuffer[2]) (xBuffer[3]) finition of Scramble (symmetr ion accepts one 66-bit block < payload of the block, as desc	i>blk and per	forms the scrambling	TxNext = IBI_EQ AN xIndex = 0 SuggestedRemedy Cnage the transition TxNext = RATE_AD (TxNext = IBI_EQ A xIndex = 0)	ID to the following: J_EQ OR ND	itus C		

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4.1

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4.3	P105 L34	# 462	C/ 142 SC 142.3.1		L 53	# 465
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Technologie		Remein, Duane	Futurewei Teo	chnologie	
Comment Type ER Comme	nt Status D	bucket	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		FEC dela
True or true (we are again using bo false/False (but only one False).	oth). 30 instances of true 6 of Tru	e. Same if true for	The FEC decoder sh for full length CWs)	ould enforce a constant delay (i.e., same delay	for shortened CWs ad
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy			
Pick one and be consistent.				he FEC decoder shall have a c	onstant delay fo	r each FEC codeword
Proposed Response Respons PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	e Status W		including shortened on Add requirement to F			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIP			Proposed Response	Response Status Z		
Use "true" and "false" when in the	middle of the sentence.		REJECT.			
C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4.3 Remein, Duane	P105 L36 Futurewei Technologie	# 463	This comment was V	/ITHDRAWN by the commenter	er.	
,	nt Status D	bucket		ail to see how a simple word st		
Grammar Grammar		DUCKEI	for shortened codewo	vantage of this proposal is for s ords.	say, adding dela	y at the end of the burst
SuggestedRemedy						
Change: "and data is being sent towards the "and the data is sent towards the F						
Proposed Response Respons	e Status W					
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	PLE.					
Change: "and data is being sent towards the "and data is sent towards the PMA						
C/ 142 SC 142.3	P105 L48	# 464				
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Technologie					
Comment Type E Comme	nt Status D	bucket				
Unclosed parenthetical						
SuggestedRemedy						
Change "(25/25G-EPON, 50/25G-EPON, a "(25/25G-EPON, 50/25G-EPON, a						
Proposed Response Respons PROPOSED ACCEPT.	e Status W					

C/ 142 SC 142.3.1

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.3.3 P108 L45 # 466	C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.1 P110 L28 # 468			
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie			
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A			
The Descrambler in CI 49.2.10 is 58 bits long, IBI_EQ is 72 bits. I assume that the lower 58 bits of IBI_EQ are being used	SBD257 is defined as a constant yet some TF members indicate that it can change burst to burst and should therefore be a variable.			
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy			
Change: "the descrambler is initialized with the unscrambled value of IBI_EQ" to "the descrambler is initialized with the lower 58 bits of the unscrambled value of IBI_EQ" <i>Response Response Status</i> C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change:	Move to 142.3.5.2. Globally change to Sbd257 (3x excluding SDs). Change the description from "The SBD257 constant represents the start-of-burst delimiter, and its value is equal to either SP2 or SP3, depending on the most recently provisioned synchronization pattern (see 142.1.3.1). Once provisioned, this value does not change and is treated as constant by the state diagram." to "The Sbd257 variable represents the most recently provisioned start-of-burst delimiter. Its value is equal to either SP2 or SP3, depending on the most recently provisioned start-of-burst delimiter. Its value is equal to either SP2 or SP3, depending on the most recently provisioned			
"the descrambler is initialized with the unscrambled value of IBI_EQ"	synchronization pattern (see 142.1.3.1)."			
to "the descrambler is initialized with the lower 58 bits of the unscrambled value of IBI_EQ, i.e., bits s[0] through s[57] as shown in Figure 142–14."	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
in 142.2.2 Scrambler, change	Move to 142.3.5.2. Change the description from:			
the scrambler is initialized with the unscrambled value of IBI_EQ (see 143.3.3.3) to	"The SBD257 constant represents the start-of-burst delimiter, and its value is equal to either SP2 or SP3, depending on the most recently provisioned synchronization pattern (see 142.1.3.1). Once provisioned, this value does not change and is treated as constant by the state diagram."			
10	to			
the scrambler is initialized with the unscrambled value of IBI_EQ (see 143.3.3.3), i.e., bits s[0] through s[57] as shown in Figure 142–5.	"The SBD257 variable represents the start-of-burst delimiter, and its value is equal to eith SP2 or SP3, depending on the most recently provisioned synchronization pattern (see 142.1.3.1). Once provisioned, this value does not change and is treated as constant by the second start of th			
the scrambler is initialized with the unscrambled value of 0x88-88-88-88-88-88-88-88-88-88-88-88-88-	state diagram."			
C/ 142SC 142.3.4P109L40# 467Remein, DuaneFuturewei Technologie	======== STAYS open for now			
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Need Figure 142-14 PCS receive bit ordering.				
SuggestedRemedy See remein_3ca_7_0319.pdf (also in .vsc format).				

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Note to Editor: make sure all lines are reproduced correctly (some are very thin).

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.1

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.2 P111 L18 # 469	C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.2 P111 L36 # 471				
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie				
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A				
This description of PayloadLeft is a bit misleading, it has nothing to do with the FEC CW _reaching_ it's max length.	While this definition is accurate it does nothing to help the reader understand what is going on without sending him or her in circles for additional definitions.				
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy				
Change: "This variable holds the number of EQs remaining until the FEC codeword payload reaches the maximum allowed length." to	Change: "storing up to FEC_CW_BLK_SZ 257-bit blocks" to read "storing one full FEC codeword in blocks of 257-bits."				
"This variable holds the number of EQs remaining until one maximum length FEC codeword payload has been sent to the xMII."	Response Response Status C				
Response Response Status C	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
ACCEPT.	Change: "storing up to FEC_CW_BLK_SZ 257-bit blocks" to read				
C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.2 P111 L30 # 470	"storing one full FEC codeword."				
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.3 P112 L40 # 472				
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie				
This description of RateAdjLeft is a bit misleading, as the current FEC CW doe not fill any gaps left by the removal of FEC CW Parity.	Comment Type T Comment Status R				
SuggestedRemedy	This function definition seems overly complex. It is only used in the Synchronizes SDs an always take the same argument for "buffer", which is not a FIFO.				
Change: "This variable holds the number of EQs remaining to be generated for the current FEC	Note this is also the only mention of PMA_UNITDATA.indication in the draft which shoul include a channel reference "[ch]"				
codeword to fill the gap left by the removal of FEC codeword parity data." to read "This variable holds the number of EQs remaining to be generated in the PCS Output	SuggestedRemedy				
Process to fill the gap left by the removal of FEC codeword parity data from the current FEC codeword ."	Change name to "ShiftInput(n), Change description to "This function inserts n new bits at the MSB of the RxInput buffer v				
Response Response Status C	the PMA_UNITDATA.indication[i]<256:0> primitive while removing the same number of bi at the LSB of the buffer. The ShiftInput() function is blocking and its execution takes exact				
ACCEPT.	n bit times at the given receiving line rate. Update Synchronization SDs.				
	Response Response Status C				
	REJECT.				

Unclear what the issue with the current definition really is (apart from overly complex, being subjective).

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.3

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

X 142 SC 142.3.5.4 P113 L1 # 473 Lamacia Durancia Durancia Durancia Durancia Durancia	C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.6 P113 L49 # 645				
temein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	Laubach, Mark Broadcom				
Comment Type TR Comment Status A PICS	Comment Type TR Comment Status A PIC				
How can a process implement itself? YASIP (Yet Another Self Implementing Process).	PCS BER monitor Process text is currently TBD.				
Suggested Remedy	SuggestedRemedy				
Change: "The OLT Synchronizer Process shall implement an instance of state diagram as depicted in Figure 142–15 for every enabled receive channel." to	Insert new BER monitoring function variables, text, and SD as per laubach_3ca_1_0319.pdf. Update Clause 45 registers used for EPON BER monitoring function as per laubach_3ca_2_0139.pdf.				
"The OLT shall implement an instance of Synchronizer Process as depicted in Figure	Response Response Status C				
142–15 for every enabled receive channel."	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Update PICS				
Update PICS accordingly	Use laubach_3ca_1_0319.pdf with the following changes:				
Image: Contract of the second seco	- "terminating iterations before reaching the maximum count (e.g., < 15)." to "terminating				
temein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	iterations without exceeding the maximum count (e.g., 15)." - "The ONU PCS shall perform the operation of the LDPC BER monitor shown in Figure				
	142-X." to "The ONU shall implement an instance of the LDPC BER monitor shown in				
Comment Type TR Comment Status A PICS	Figure 142-X for each active downstream channel."				
How can another process implement itself? YASIP (Yet Another Self Implementing Process).	Use laubach_3ca_2_0319.pdf with the following changes:				
SuggestedRemedy Change:	- in Table 45–216, 3.81.0, "10GBASE-PR or 10/1GBASE-PRX PCS" needs to be struck out				
"The ONU Synchronizer Process shall implement an instance of state diagram as depicted	C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.7 P114 L32 # 475				
in Figure 142–16 for every enabled receive channel." to "The ONU shall implement an instance of Synchronizer Process as depicted in Figure	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie				
142–16 for every enabled receive channel."	Comment Type ER Comment Status A				
Response Response Status C	potential number confusion: "56 257-bit blocks" is this 56,257-bit blocks with a missing comma or 56 x 257-bit blocks? The reader is left to wonder.				
ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy				
Update PICS accordingly.	Change to "fifty-six 257-bit blocks"				
Update PICS accordingly.	Change to "fifty-six 257-bit blocks" Response Response Status C				

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.7

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5. Remein, Duane	7 P114 Futurewei Tec	L 39 hnologie	# 476	C/ 142 SC 142.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P117 L1 Charter Communicatio	# 423
Comment Type TR How can any process YASIP (Yet Another S	Comment Status A implement itself? ielf Implementing Process).	Ū	PICS	Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comparison SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A	
SuggestedRemedy				Use hajduczenia_3ca_2_03	19.pdf	
Figure 142–17 for eve	cess shall implement an instan ry enabled receive channel." to nent an instance of Output Pro channel.")			P119 L10	# 478
Response	Response Status C			Remein, Duane	Futurewei Technologie	
ACCEPT.				Comment Type E C Don't need to callout the sa	Comment Status D	buck
Update PICS C/ 142 SC 142.4.1	P114	L 50	# 651	SuggestedRemedy Strike "(see Figure 143–1)"		
^p owell, William Comment Type T PMA control register f	Nokia Comment Status A or CL45				esponse Status W	
SuggestedRemedy				Wrong Clause (was 141, co	prrected to 143)	
Proposal to be available	le before the meeting starts.			C/ 143 SC 143.1	P119 L46	# 479
Response	Response Status C			Remein, Duane	Futurewei Technologie	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE.			Comment Type E C	Comment Status D	
Use slides 12-14 from	powell_3ca_1a.pdf				ntion for Conventions! They should a	all be in the Overview.
C/ 142 SC 142.4.1	P115	L 34	# 477	SuggestedRemedy		o <i>i</i>
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Tec	hnologie			s, 143.3.4.1 Conventions to 143.1.1 low priority in Cl 144.1 Overview	Conventions.
0	Comment Status A 9. The default state for CI 45 r encoder should follow that prin	0	nothing" is typically "0"	Proposed Response R REJECT.	esponse Status Z	
SuggestedRemedy	off 0 =on" to "Control: 1 = on			This comment was WITHD	RAWN by the commenter.	
Update PICS as need	ed.					
Response	Response Status C					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 143 SC 143.1 Page 23 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:45 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC	143.2.3	P120	L 45	# 540	the rea	adabilty in any wa	ay.		
Remein, Duane		Futurewei Te	chnologie		C/ 143	SC 143.2.3	P 152	L 51	# 619
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D			Remein, D	Duane	Futurewei Teo	chnologie	
In Cl 143 we channel	e need to di	stinguish MPRS channel fro			Comment AI #21	<i>Type</i> TR I Delay variability	Comment Status A		Delay
		sfied" designation.			Suggested	dRemedy			
pg/Line Curr 120/45 "MCI 121/25 "muli 125/42 "the 126/43 "on c 126/48 "on c 127/2 "the r 127/14 "skew 127/21 "over 131/14 "enve	ing instance rent text RS transmit tiple channen number of e different cha different cha eceive chan w of the rec r all channe elope on ch	els, envelopes may overlap" channels supported"			combi as not "The a mainta 25 Gb timing <i>Response</i> ACCE In 143	actual delay is im ned delay variation to interfere with actual delay is im ain a delay variat ps and ± three E ." FPT IN PRINCIPL 8.4.2, remove: "D	uring the normal operation of	than (TBD) EQ an implementation nore than ± two os so as not to in a registered ON	(see TBD 144.x.x.x) so on is expected to EQT when operating at iterfere with the MPCP U, the delay any EQ
135/24 "ch - 136/13 "num 137/8 "curre	channel in the of char of envelop	dex" (left Figure 143-11) nnels supported" e for channel c."			that th the su	nis EQ encounter Im of the two dela	Ax buffer is inversely correlate ed after leaving the EnvTx bu ays remain constant."	ffer in the transn	nitting MCRS, such that
137/45 "TX_ 137/49 "india 138/33 "(i.e. 139/2 "fill th 140/28 "for e 142/30 "curr 146/23 "for e 146/30 "data 151/10 "Bott 151/29 "ope 152/3 "rece 152/8 "asso	CLK signal cates that c , all channe le transmit each chann ent LLID fo each chann a from multin n the channe ration over ive channel ociated with	Is are idle)" channel when" el implemented" r that receive channel." el implemented." ple channels is" el rate asymmetry" a single channel"			timing variab any E0 jitter th	based on the dis ility of up to 64 E Q experiences in nat this EQ encou	Itent with: "The Multi-Point Co stribution of timestamps. The QTs. During the normal opera the EnvRx buffer is complem untered after leaving the Env7 the two delays remain constant	MCRS is design ation of a registe mentary to the ac Fx buffer in the to	ed to allow a delay ered ONU, the delay cumulated skew and

Globally replace "channel bonding" with "MCRS channel bonding"

Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Context is clear, IMO, and adding MCRS in each every listed instance does not help with

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 143 SC 143.2.3 Page 24 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:45 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC 143	.2.5.3	P 125	L 44	# 541	C/ 143	SC	143.3.1.3	P 131	L 36	# 542	
Remein, Duane		Futurewei Tec	hnologie		Remein, D	uane		Futurewei Teo	chnologie		
Comment Type T	Commen	t Status A			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D			
(160 ns due to the "If an application	ent is true it provic e structure of the h requires additional	neader).		it for the mechanism uffer rows can be	This st synchr don't s	onous	and only or	quitur "For multi-channel Mone TX_CLK is required." the	CRS systems th re is only one 1	e transmit XGMIIs a 0G channel ever (we	re ?
increased."					Suggested	Remed	dy				
SuggestedRemedy		11 A			Strike	the ser	ntence.				
	ence to read: "If an an be accommoda			kew mitigation, up to buffer rows."	Proposed I	Respor	nse	Response Status Z			
Response		e Status C	g		PROP	OSED	REJECT.				
ACCEPT IN PRIM	,				This co	ommen	it was WITI	HDRAWN by the commente	er.		
				kew mitigation, up to +- number of buffer rows."			eric section nentation.	, so multiple instances of X	GMIIs are possi	ble in some non-Nx2	25G-
C/ 143 SC 143	.3.1.1.1	P130	L 1	# 622	C/ 143	SC	143.3.2	P 132	L38	# 543	
Kramer, Glen		Broadcom			Remein, D	uane		Futurewei Teo	chnologie		
Comment Type T	Commen	t Status A			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		b	ucket
	143-2 are never i	ntroduced or refe	renced in text.		The xF	Ref to T	able 143– cross the li				
SuggestedRemedy					Suggested						
"Depending on th		speed, the PLS_[DATA.request pi	imitive maps to one or	per coi						
multiple xMII tran	smit interfaces (se	e Table 143-1)."			Proposed I	Respor	nse	Response Status W			
	sentence just before MAC operating a		NATA indication	primitive maps to one	PROP	OSED	ACCEPT.				
	eceive interfaces (primitive maps to one	C/ 143	SC	143.3.2.1	P133	L 50	# 544	
Response	Response	Status C			Remein, D	uane		Futurewei Teo	chnologie		
ACCEPT.					Comment [®] Table [®]		T to 6, what i	Comment Status A s the meaning of the offens	ive highlighting?)	
					Suggested	Remed	lv				
					••		offensive hi	ghlighting.			
					Response			Response Status C			
					•	PT IN F	PRINCIPLE	•			
								+ add footnote to tables ind location and calculated val			in

remove "arrow" in Tables 143-4 through 6.

Cl	143
SC	143.3.2.1

Page 25 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:45 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.2 P135 L42 # 638 Kramer, Glen Broadcom	C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.3 P136 L7 # 547 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
Comment Type T Comment Status A Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication) in the future, references to other applications-specific parameters are to be added in this subclause. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Just remove this note. There are no draft changes needed at this time. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. A	Comment Type T Comment Status A Apparently IEI_EQ mean Inter-Envelope Idle somewhere, but not here. SuggestedRemedy Change "Inter-Envelope Idle" to "IEI_EQ" (in italics of course) Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.3 P135 L49 # 545 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie	C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.3 P136 L32 # 548 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie
Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Style, ADJ_BLOCK_SIZE s/b in italics in description.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A The effective MAC rate is also dependent on the number of channels being used and the rate of that channel. And what is the "nominal MAC rate" anyway? It is not defined.
SuggestedRemedy per comment Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy Change: "The effective MAC rate is equal to <nominal mac="" rate="">" to "The instantaneous MAC rate within a single envelope is equal to <xmii rate="">"</xmii></nominal>
C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.3 P135 L51 # 546 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Is there some real good reason to send the reader in an reef wild goose chase?	Change "The effective MAC rate" to "The effective MAC rate (per channel)"
SuggestedRemedy Change "(see 143.3.3.2)" to "(For Nx25G-EPON see 143.4.1.3)". Do the same at the following locations (Pg/Line): 136/14, 136/34.	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Insert a para in 143.3.3.2 at the end:	
NOTE-References to future application-specific parameters are be added only to this subclause.	

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.3 Page 26 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:45 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.3 P136 L39 # 549	C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.3 P137 L30 # 551
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket	Comment Type ER Comment Status D
We are very inconsistent with the use of "ch" and "c" and seem to use these two variables	rCol and rRow are modified in 143.4.1.3.2 but this is not mentioned here.
interchangeable and/or without definition (for "c" anyway). I would like to suggest we adopt one and us it consistently. However, this is probably better left until after getting into WG	SuggestedRemedy
Ballot so I will withdraw this comment against D1.5 (assuming we intent to go to WG ballot	Add a cross reference to each "Also see 143.4.1.3.2"
with 1.6).	Proposed Response Response Status Z
Note there are 16 instances of "[c]" and 27 of "[ch]" with possible a few other variants of each so I will probably suggest changing "c" to "ch".	REJECT.
We are also quite inconsistent with including the [x] (where x = ch, c or something else) in	
variable definitions.	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
SuggestedRemedy	The definitio is correct for generic section. Nx25G-EPON specific section overrides these
If anyone has an objection to this please voice it now.	definitions. Otherwise, the generic section would not be really generic.
Proposed Response Response Status W	C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.4 P137 L44 # 552
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
Replace all "c" designators of channel with "ch"	Comment Type T Comment Status A
C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.3 P137 L1 # 550	The is no "TX_CLK signal for channel c" there is only one TX_CLK.
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Strike "for channel c"
Elsewhere we state that EnvRx or EnvTx have 32 rows (Fig 143-11/12) Here we state it is	Response Response Status C
64. We should be more precise	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
SuggestedRemedy	Modify defintion as follows
Change:	
"The number of rows is 64, as determined by" to "The number of rows can be up to 64, as determined by the expected skew remediation	TxClk[c]
and"	Type: Boolean Description: The TxClk[c] variable represents the MCRS transmit clock for channel c.
Proposed Response Response Status Z	Each TxClk[c] clear on read variable is set to true on each edge, rising and falling, of the
Toposed Response Response Status Z	TX CLK signal (see Table 143–1).

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.4 Page 27 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:46 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC	C 143.3.3.6.	P140	L12	# <u>553</u>		C/ 143	SC 143.3.4.3	3 <i>P</i> 144	L 25	# 556
Remein, Duane		Futurewei Teo	chnologie			Remein, Dua	ne	Futurew	ei Technologie	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A			PICS	Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status	۱.	
· · ·		f Implementing Process).				If OutClk the fregu	is set True of ency of TX_C	n each positive edge of LK"	TX_CLK this cannot	be true; "and runs at ha
SuggestedReme	edy					SuggestedRe	• –			
Change: "The MCRS	Input Proce	ss shall implement the state	e diagram as dep	icted in Figure			erroneous p	hrase		
143–12." to			o .	Ū.		Response		Response Status	•	
	shall impler	nent the Input Process as d	epicted in Figure	143–12."		•	IN PRINCIPI			
Response		Response Status C								
ACCEPT.	•							ead variable is set to Tr ncy of TX_CLK."	ue on each rising ec	lge of TX_CLK and
Update PIC:	S C 143.3.3.6.2	2 <i>P</i> 140	L 25	# 554		to				
Remein, Duane		Futurewei Teo	-	# 334		"The Out	Clk clear on r	ead variable is set to tru	ie on each rising ed	ge of TX_CLK."
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A			PICS	C/ 143	SC 143.3.4.3	3 P144	L 51	# 557
YASIP (Yet	Another Sel	f Implementing Process).				Remein, Dua	ne	Futurew	ei Technologie	
SuggestedReme Change:	edy					Comment Ty "from a x		Comment Status)	buck
143–13." to		ocess shall implement the s	-		e	SuggestedRe	emedy o "from an xN	111"		
Response		Response Status C				Proposed Re		Response Status V	A.	
ACCEPT.							SED ACCEPT	•	v	
Update PIC	S									
Cl 143 SC Remein, Duane	C 143.3.4.3	P 144 Futurewei Teo	L 12 chnologie	# 555						
	ER	Comment Status A	I3.3.3.2" to see ii	n 143.3.3.2. Cha	nge					
51	pplication-sp	DECITIC ENVEX DETINITION IN 14								
The is no "a the ref to SuggestedReme	edy	Decinic Envicix definition in 14								
	edy	Response Status C								

C/ 143 SC 143.3.4.3 Page 28 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:46 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC 143.3.4.4 P145 L28 # 558	C/ 143 SC 143.3.4.5.2 P146 L27 # 560
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A PIC
In IsHeader we note what "0xFB" is, here we do not.	YASIP (Yet Another Self Implementing Process).
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Add comment to line so it reads:	Change:
"return (eq<7:0> == 0xF8 AND // Start Control Code /S/"	"The MCRS Output Process shall implement the state diagram as depicted in Figure 143–16." to
Response Response Status C	"The shall implement the MCRS Output Process as depicted in Figure 143–16."
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C
Just because a value happen to be 0xFB, it does not mean in this particular context it is the	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Start Control Code. These are TXC bits and the value 0xFB means that the following 8 octets consist of 5 control codes and 3 data octets, which is what one would expect if we have a misaligned header.	Change: "The MCRS Output Process shall implement the state diagram as depicted in Figure 143–16." to
Add "// Control bits" to the first line of code	"The ONU and OLT MCRS shall implement the MCRS Output Process as depicted in
C/ 143 SC 143.3.4.5.1 P146 L16 # 559	Figure 143–16."
C/ 143 SC 143.3.4.5.1 P146 L16 # 559 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie </td <td>Update PICS</td>	Update PICS
Comment Type TR Comment Status A PICS	C/ 143 SC 143.3.4.5.2 P147 L30 # 420
YASIP (Yet Another Self Implementing Process).	Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status R
Change: "The MCRS Receive Process shall implement the state diagram as depicted in Figure	Figure 143–15 caption "MCRS Receive Function, Receive Process state diagram" seems wrong - it is in output section
143–15." to "The MCRS shall implement the Receive Process as depicted in Figure 143–15."	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C	Change Figure 143–15 caption to read "MCRS Receive Function, Output Process state diagram"
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C
Change:	REJECT.
"The MCRS Receive Process shall implement the state diagram as depicted in Figure 143–15." to "The ONU and OLT MCRS shall implement the Receive Process as depicted in Figure	Caption is correct as is
143–15."	
Update PICS	
Upuale FIUS	

C/ 143 SC 143.3.4.5.2

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC 143.4.1	P150	L11	# 561	C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.	2 <i>P</i> 151	L 7	# 563
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Tech	hnologie		Remein, Duane	Futurew	ei Technologie	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
	n? "The data and delimiters a , 25.7G or 25G or somethin		s to clock reference."	50/25G-EPON system	ssing 25/10 and 50/25 sys, the asymmetric data	ate is achieved via t	he MCRS channel
SuggestedRemedy Strike b)				(DC0 and DC1), but o	here two MCRS channe hly a single MCRS chan am and downstream MC	nel UC0 is active in t	
Response	Response Status C			25 Gb/s."			
ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.1 Kramer, Glen	P 150 Broadcom	L 26	# 621	channel number asym direction (DC0 and	metry, where two MCRS	channels are active	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket				am direction. In 50/25G- es at the data line rate of
New line character is mis	ssing before "The 50/50G-EF	PON architectu	re" and before "When	25 Gb/s."			
two channels"				Response	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy							
				ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
Add two new line charact	ters. Also move the paragrap	oh on lines 31-3	34 to be after the Table			vmmetric data rate is	s achieved via the MCRS
Add two new line charact 143-7.		oh on lines 31-3	34 to be after the Table	Change to: "In 50/250 channel number asym	-EPON systems, the as metry, where two MCRS	channels are active	in the downstream
Add two new line charact 143-7.	ters. Also move the paragrap Response Status W	oh on lines 31-3	34 to be after the Table	Change to: "In 50/250 channel number asym direction (DC0 and D0 direction. In 50/25G-8	-EPON systems, the as metry, where two MCRS 1), but only a single MC PON systems, upstrean	channels are active RS channel UC0 is a	active in the upstream
Add two new line charact 143-7. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.1	Response Status W	L 44	34 to be after the Table # <u>562</u>	Change to: "In 50/250 channel number asym direction (DC0 and D0	-EPON systems, the as metry, where two MCRS 1), but only a single MC PON systems, upstrean	channels are active RS channel UC0 is a	e in the downstream active in the upstream ICRS >>>channels
Add two new line charact 143-7. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.1 Remein, Duane	Response Status W P150 Futurewei Tech	L 44		Change to: "In 50/250 channel number asym direction (DC0 and D0 direction. In 50/25G-I operate<<< at the dat	-EPON systems, the as metry, where two MCRS 1), but only a single MC PON systems, upstrean a rate of 25 Gb/s." P151	channels are active RS channel UC0 is a n and downstream M	in the downstream active in the upstream
Add two new line charact 143-7. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.1 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR	Response Status W	L 44 hnologie	# 562	Change to: "In 50/25G channel number asym direction (DC0 and DC direction. In 50/25G-t operate<<< at the dat <i>CI</i> 143 SC 143.4.2 Remein, Duane <i>Comment Type</i> E	-EPON systems, the as metry, where two MCRS 1), but only a single MC PON systems, upstrean a rate of 25 Gb/s." P151	channels are active RS channel UC0 is a n and downstream M <i>L</i> 43 ei Technologie	e in the downstream active in the upstream MCRS >>>channels # <u>564</u> buck
Add two new line charact 143-7. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.1 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR We need not mention that	Response Status W P150 Futurewei Tech Comment Status A at UC0 can be used for ONU	L 44 hnologie	# 562	Change to: "In 50/25G channel number asym direction (DC0 and DC direction. In 50/25G-t operate<<< at the dat <i>CI</i> 143 SC 143.4.2 Remein, Duane <i>Comment Type</i> E	-EPON systems, the as metry, where two MCRS (1), but only a single MC PON systems, upstream a rate of 25 Gb/s." P151 Futurew Comment Status D	channels are active RS channel UC0 is a n and downstream M <i>L</i> 43 ei Technologie	e in the downstream active in the upstream MCRS >>>channels # <u>564</u> buck
Add two new line charact 143-7. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 143 SC 143.4.1.1 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR We need not mention that channel) SuggestedRemedy Strike ", ONU discovery"	Response Status W P150 Futurewei Tech Comment Status A at UC0 can be used for ONU	L 44 hnologie	# 562	Change to: "In 50/25G channel number asym direction (DC0 and D0 direction. In 50/25G-t operate<<< at the dat <i>Cl</i> 143 <i>SC</i> 143.4.2 Remein, Duane <i>Comment Type</i> E What is so special ab <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	-EPON systems, the as metry, where two MCRS (1), but only a single MC PON systems, upstream a rate of 25 Gb/s." P151 Futurew Comment Status D	channels are active RS channel UC0 is a n and downstream M <i>L</i> 43 ei Technologie ne synchronization"?	e in the downstream active in the upstream MCRS >>>channels # <u>564</u> buck
Add two new line charact 143-7. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 143 SC 143.4.1.1 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR We need not mention that channel) SuggestedRemedy	Response Status W P150 Futurewei Tech Comment Status A at UC0 can be used for ONU Response Status C	L 44 hnologie	# 562	Change to: "In 50/25G channel number asym direction (DC0 and DC direction. In 50/25G-t operate<<< at the dat <i>Cl</i> 143 <i>SC</i> 143.4.2 Remein, Duane <i>Comment Type</i> E What is so special ab <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> use lower case	-EPON systems, the as metry, where two MCRS (1), but only a single MC (2), but only a single MC (2), but only a single MC (2), constants, upstream (2), constants, upstream	channels are active RS channel UC0 is a n and downstream M <i>L</i> 43 ei Technologie ne synchronization"?	e in the downstream active in the upstream MCRS >>>channels # <u>564</u> buck

C/ 143 SC 143.4.2

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC 143.4.2 P151 L45 # <u>565</u>	C/ 143 SC 143.4.2 P151 L52 # <u>566</u>
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket
Read and write pointers are not just set at ONU registration. "Such delay margin is established at the ONU registration time by proper setting of MCRS EnvRx read and write pointers at the OLT and the ONU."	This sentence doesn't seem to flow with the para. "The following are the ONU rules for setting the EnvRx write and read pointers:" SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Start it on a new para.
Change to: "Such delay margin is established at the ONU registration time and by proper setting of MCRS EnvRx read and write pointers at the OLT and the ONU at the start of a burst transmission."	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response Status Z	C/ 143 SC 143.4.2 P152 L28 # 618
REJECT.	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	Comment Type E Comment Status A 63 AI #20 MCRS Time Sync line 10 63 <
The rest of this subclause explains that there are special steps taken only on unregistered ONUs (see ONU step 2.b and OLT step 1.b on page 152). These steps establish the initial delay margin. After the ONU is registered, the delay margin is maintained automatically,	SuggestedRemedy Strike "see (TBD))"
because it is already part of RTT.	Response Response Status C
because it is already part of RTT. C/ 143 SC 143.4.2 P151 L51 # 617	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This TBD has nothing to do with the AI to MCRS timing delay. This TBD was simply
Cl 143 SC 143.4.2 P151 L51 # 617 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This TBD has nothing to do with the AI to MCRS timing delay. This TBD was simply intended to be a reference to subclause that described the discovery windows. That
Cl 143 SC 143.4.2 P151 L51 # 617 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This TBD has nothing to do with the AI to MCRS timing delay. This TBD was simply
Cl 143 SC 143.4.2 P151 L51 # 617 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie 617 Comment Type T Comment Status A AI #20 MCRS Time Sync line 30 & 50. There is no real need to discuss FEC delay in Cl 143 (RS). Cl 143 Cl 143	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This TBD has nothing to do with the AI to MCRS timing delay. This TBD was simply intended to be a reference to subclause that described the discovery windows. That subclause did not exist at the time of the MCRS writing, but it does exist now. Replace TBD with 144.1.1.3
Cl 143 SC 143.4.2 P151 L51 # 617 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie 617 Comment Type T Comment Status A AI #20 MCRS Time Sync line 30 & 50. There is no real need to discuss FEC delay in Cl 143 (RS). 617	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This TBD has nothing to do with the AI to MCRS timing delay. This TBD was simply intended to be a reference to subclause that described the discovery windows. That subclause did not exist at the time of the MCRS writing, but it does exist now. Replace TBD with 144.1.1.3 C/ 143 SC 143.4.2 P152 L37 # 643
Cl 143 SC 143.4.2 P151 L51 # 617 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Enterthy and the second	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This TBD has nothing to do with the AI to MCRS timing delay. This TBD was simply intended to be a reference to subclause that described the discovery windows. That subclause did not exist at the time of the MCRS writing, but it does exist now. Replace TBD with 144.1.1.3 C/ 143 SC 143.4.2 P152 L37 # 643 Kramer, Glen Broadcom
Cl 143 SC 143.4.2 P151 L51 # 617 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie End of the second secon	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This TBD has nothing to do with the AI to MCRS timing delay. This TBD was simply intended to be a reference to subclause that described the discovery windows. That subclause did not exist at the time of the MCRS writing, but it does exist now. Replace TBD with 144.1.1.3 C/ 143 SC 143.4.2 P152 L37 # 643 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket
Cl 143 SC 143.4.2 P151 L51 # 617 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie End of the second secon	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This TBD has nothing to do with the AI to MCRS timing delay. This TBD was simply intended to be a reference to subclause that described the discovery windows. That subclause did not exist at the time of the MCRS writing, but it does exist now. Replace TBD with 144.1.1.3 C/ 143 SC 143.4.2 P152 L37 # 643 Kramer, Glen Broadcom
Cl 143 SC 143.4.2 P151 L51 # 617 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Comment Type T Comment Status A AI #20 MCRS Time Sync line 30 & 50. There is no real need to discuss FEC delay in Cl 143 (RS). SuggestedRemedy Strike the phrase ", which introduces a near-constant (± {TBD} EQT) delay" in 2 places. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Accept in PRINCIPLE. Accept in Principle	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This TBD has nothing to do with the AI to MCRS timing delay. This TBD was simply intended to be a reference to subclause that described the discovery windows. That subclause did not exist at the time of the MCRS writing, but it does exist now. Replace TBD with 144.1.1.3 <i>CI</i> 143 <i>SC</i> 143.4.2 <i>P</i> 152 <i>L</i> 37 <i>#</i> 6 43 <i>K</i> ramer, Glen Broadcom <i>Comment Type</i> ER <i>Comment Status</i> D <i>buckee</i> The following sentence on lines 37-38 is duplication of the sentence on lines 8-9: "In an unregistered ONU, upon every update of a write pointer associated with the receive channel with the lowest index, the read pointer is also updated according to the following
Cl 143 SC 143.4.2 P151 L51 # 617 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Enter the comment Type T Comment Status A AI #20 MCRS Time Sync line 30 & 50. There is no real need to discuss FEC delay in Cl 143 (RS). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Strike the phrase ", which introduces a near-constant (± {TBD} EQT) delay" in 2 places. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Accept in PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This TBD has nothing to do with the AI to MCRS timing delay. This TBD was simply intended to be a reference to subclause that described the discovery windows. That subclause did not exist at the time of the MCRS writing, but it does exist now. Replace TBD with 144.1.1.3 C/ 143 SC 143.4.2 P152 L37 # 643 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket The following sentence on lines 37-38 is duplication of the sentence on lines 8-9: "In an unregistered ONU, upon every update of a write pointer associated with the receive channel with the lowest index, the read pointer is also updated according to the following equation:"
Cl 143 SC 143.4.2 P151 L51 # 617 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Enterthy and the second	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This TBD has nothing to do with the AI to MCRS timing delay. This TBD was simply intended to be a reference to subclause that described the discovery windows. That subclause did not exist at the time of the MCRS writing, but it does exist now. Replace TBD with 144.1.1.3 <i>CI</i> 143 <i>SC</i> 143.4.2 <i>P</i> 152 <i>L</i> 37 <i>#</i> <u>643</u> Kramer, Glen Broadcom <i>Comment Type</i> ER <i>Comment Status</i> D <i>bucket</i> The following sentence on lines 37-38 is duplication of the sentence on lines 8-9: "In an unregistered ONU, upon every update of a write pointer associated with the receive channel with the lowest index, the read pointer is also updated according to the following equation:" The second sentence was not present in the accepted contribution.
Cl 143 SC 143.4.2 P151 L51 # 617 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Enterthy and the second	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This TBD has nothing to do with the AI to MCRS timing delay. This TBD was simply intended to be a reference to subclause that described the discovery windows. That subclause did not exist at the time of the MCRS writing, but it does exist now. Replace TBD with 144.1.1.3 <i>CI</i> 143 SC 143.4.2 P152 L37 # 643 Kramer, Glen Broadcom <i>Comment Type</i> ER <i>Comment Status</i> D <i>bucket</i> The following sentence on lines 37-38 is duplication of the sentence on lines 8-9: "In an unregistered ONU, upon every update of a write pointer associated with the receive channel with the lowest index, the read pointer is also updated according to the following equation:" The second sentence was not present in the accepted contribution. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 143
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 143.4.2
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

P 3/

Page 31 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:46 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC	143.4.2	P 152	L 38	# 567	C/ 143	SC 143.4.	2	P152	L 40	# <u>568</u>
Remein, Duane		Futurewei Teo	hnologie		Remein, Dua	ne		Futurewei Tec	hnologie	
omment Type	т	Comment Status A			Comment Ty	pe TR		Comment Status D		
with the receivent the following e SuggestedRemed This is in the f	ve channel equation:" /y	tered transmitting, upon ev with the lowest index, the re dPointer item above. Strike	ead pointer is al	so updated according to	built into MCRS re "above ru designed	the ONU M cceive path ules", furthe with a larg	ICRS " which ermore er En	ed that "The above set of ru receive path and a similar ch is hardly true as the num e EPAM can clearly assum vRx there will be more thar ed "built-in margin of 64 EC	delay of 32 EQ ober 32 is never e a value of 64 o 32 EQ delay.	T is built into the OLT mentioned in the so if a device is
esponse		Response Status C			SuggestedRe	emedy				
ACCEPT.		(determiı path."	Rx buffer on the structure of the struct	size o	ned with the above rules er f the EnvRx buffer, typically to 128 EQT (typically 64 E	/ 32 EQ) built in				
					Proposed Re	sponse		Response Status Z		
					REJECT					
					This com	iment was	WITH	DRAWN by the commente	r.	
					of 32 EQ into the (T is built in	to the recei	ara it is claimed that "The a ONU MCRS receive path a ve path." which is hardly tru rules",	and a similar de	elay of 32 EQT is built
					REBUTTAL: The number is mentioned twice, in the equations in ONU step 2.b a step 1.b $(0x20 = 32)$					
								EPAM can clearly assume will be more than 32 EQ d		o if a device is designe
					size. If th nominal	e buffer ha delay is hal	s 64 e f the l	l delay through EnvRx is es entries (row) the delay is 32 ouffer, so that we can corre 2EQT, 32EQT] cannot be c	2, which is what ct both positive	we have now. The and negative skews.
					COMME	NT: Later ir	n the p	oara it is stated "built-in ma	rgin of 64 EQT"	is also incorrect
					trip, whic		32 EC	e talks about the total nom T in the ONU EnvRx and 3 draft.		

C/ 143 SC 143.4.2

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

0.440	D.(50		// [====			D.(50		
C/ 143 SC 143.4.2 Remein, Duane	P 152 Futurewei Teo	L44	# 569	C/ 144 SC 1 Remein, Duane	44	P 156 Futurewei Teo	L1	# 571
		nnologie			_		annologie	
	Comment Status D perience" should be "the delay	any EQ experie	ences"	Comment Type If we insist on	E defining TL	Comment Status A As (or FLAs) then we shou	Ild insist they be	used.
and "two delays remain co SuggestedRemedy	ered" should be "that this EQ e		nt"	"MPMC" excep	ace "Multipo ot in first use lultipoint MA	oint MAC Control" or "Multi e, figures and titles. AC Control (MPMC)" consi Response Status C		trol (MPMC)" with
per comment				ACCEPT.	,			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W T.			C/ 144 SC 1	44.1	P156	L 21	# 572
from Cl 76.1.2, "1 TQ" was changed to " PMA. A	P153 Futurewei Teo Comment Status A (to be removed prior to publicat "TBD EQ". In Cl 76.1.2 this app ed." it has served it purpose. Response Status C	ion): in the abov		SuggestedRemedy In Cl 144 after protocol (MPC	pg 156 line P)" with "MI lultipoint co	Futurewei Tec Comment Status A As (or FLAs) then we shou 19 replace "Multipoint cor PCP" except in figures and ntrol protocol (MPCP)" cor Response Status C P156 Futurewei Tec Comment Status A	Ild insist they be htrol protocol" or d subclause titles hsistently.	"Multipoint control
CI 143 SC 143.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR PICS needed and mis SuggestedRemedy	P154 Charter Comn <i>Comment Status</i> A ssing	L1 nunicatio	# 424	SuggestedRemedy At this location (CCP)" Everywhere els	change "C se in Cl 144	me in different ways. hannel control protocol (C replace any variant of cha can remain as is.	,	
Use hajduczenia_3ca	a_3_0319.pdf			Response		Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCEPT IN P				
NOOLI I.				Per comment - Control Protoc		age 156/21, "Multipoint co	ntrol protocol (M	PCP)" to "Multipoint

C/ 144	Page
SC 144.1	3/13/2

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 1	44.1	P156	L 27	# 574		C/ 144 SC
Remein, Duane		Futurewei Teo	chnologie			Remein, Duane
Comment Type Clause 141 doe	E Comme es not define a PH	e <i>nt Status</i> D Y "Physical Layer	devices defined i	n Clause 141"	bucket	Comment Type MCRS has
SuggestedRemedy Change to "Phy	, ysical Layer device	es defined in Claus	e 141 and Claus	e 142"		SuggestedReme change "MC the MAC)"
Proposed Respons PROPOSED A		se Status W				Proposed Respo
C/ 144 SC 1	44.1.1	P156	L 30	# 516		
Remein, Duane		Futurewei Teo	chnologie			C/ 144 SC
Comment Type	E Comme	ent Status A				Kramer, Glen
If we insist on a SuggestedRemedy	defining TLAs (or F ,	LAs) then we shou	uld insist they be	used.		Comment Type Action item
Move the acrim to multipoint", " "P2MP" except	nonious definition o 'point-to multipoint' : in figures and sub itle use "Point-to m	", "point-to-multipo	int" (or any other emove an parent	stray variants) v	vith	SuggestedReme Use the text subclause ti Response
Response	Respon	se Status C				ACCEPT.
ACCEPT.						
C/ 144 SC 1	44.1.1.1	P156	L 42	# 517		Cl 144 SC Kramer, Glen
Remein, Duane		Futurewei Teo		<i>"</i> 017		
Comment Type	TR Comme	ent Status A				Comment Type
This statement	is not quite correc		am data collision	s, only a single (ONU is	The MAC C addresses t
allowed to trans						SuggestedRem
are controlled s instant."	avoid upstream da such that only a sin later in the para.					The propose and 144-4 w new figures MPCP subc diagrams ar
Response	Respon	se Status C				All the prop
ACCEPT IN PF	-					This contrib MPCP") an
	avoid upstream da n such a way that o					Response ACCEPT.

C/ 144	SC 144.1.1.2	P 157	L 26	# 518
Remein, Du	lane	Futurewei Tec	hnologie	
Comment 7 MCRS	51	Comment Status D defined in this clause		bucke
Suggested change the MA	"MCRS (below t	he MAC)" to "Multi-Channel	Reconciliation	Sublayer (MCRS, below
Proposed F PROPC	Response DSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Cl 144 Kramer, Gle	SC 144.1.1.3	P 157 Broadcom	L 49	# 631
Suggestedl Use the	tem to provide "(Remedy	Comment Status A DNU Discovery and Regsitra se 144.1.1.3 as shown in kra		· · ·
Response ACCEF	·	Response Status C		
C/ 144	SC 144.1.3	P159	L 7	# 633
Kramer, Gle	en	Broadcom		
address Suggested The pro and 14 new fig	AC Control block ses two action ite Remedy oposed solution is 4-4 will show CC ures showing jus	Comment Status A diagram shows MPCP, but of the sto show both MPCP and s to present block diagrams P and MPCP as just two box t the MPCP (OLT and ONU) more new figures, showing	I CPP on Figure in hierarchical r es, without any block diagrams	es 144-3 and 144-4. manner. Figures 144-3 r internal details. A two s are to be added to the
diagran All the _l	ns are to be adde	o more new figures, showing ad to the CCP subclause. as and the new figures are sh ovides solutions for action it	nown in the krai	mer_3ca_8_0319.pdf.
				5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Response		n MAC delay variability). Response Status C		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 144	Pa
SC 144.1.3	3/*

Page 34 of 54 8/13/2019 6:38:46 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.1.4	4 P159	L 50	# 519	C/ 144 S	SC 144.1.4.1	P 160	L 40	# 522
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Teo	chnologie		Remein, Duan	e	Futurewei Tec	hnologie	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status D		bucke
Is this statement cor interface with any M	rect? Per Fig 144-1 it is not. "T AC Clients."	he Multipoint MA	AC Control does not	Missing a				
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRei	medy			
Strike the statement.				Change: "MCS inte	rface is an inte	erface between MAC Contro	I sublayer and M	IAC Control Client
Response	Response Status C			above it (s	see Figure 144	–3 and Figure 144–4). The o		
ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.					pe of this standard." to: interface between the MAC	Control sublaye	er and the MAC Control
	hange "MAC CLIENT" sitting ab	ove OAM to "M	PMC CLIENT". Same			re 144–3 and Figure 144–4) utside the scope of this stand		and behavior of the
change in Clause 14				Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status W		
C/ 144 SC 144.1.4		L 52	# 520	PROPOS	ED ACCEPT.			
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Teo	chnologie		C/ 144 S	SC 144.1.4.1	P160	L 44	# 523
Comment Type E Change "using servio	Comment Status D ce" to "using the service"		bucket	Remein, Duan		Futurewei Tec		
SuggestedRemedy	0			Comment Typ		Comment Status A		
per comment						use 144 the term "MAC Cont PMC Client. It would be bet		
Proposed Response	Response Status W					ears 32x in the Clause.		
PROPOSED ACCEP	эт.			SuggestedRei	medy			
		/ 00			MPMC Client	and "ONU MPMC Client" a		Where OLT/ONU is
C/ 144 SC 144 1 4	11 P160		# 521	cloar bace	d on context i	n the naragraph this may	he chartened to	"MPMC Client"
C/ 144 SC 144.1.4 Remein, Duane		L 38 chnologie	# 521			n the paragraph this _may_		
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Teo			There are	several locati	ons in the text where "MAC (
	Futurewei Teo Comment Status D		# <u>521</u> bucket	There are	several locati			
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Teo Comment Status D e (MCS) Interface or			There are be change Additional	several locationed: (Pg/Ln) 15	ons in the text where "MAC (9/3, 159/52, and 160/40-46.	Control Client" is	s correct and should not
Remein, Duane Comment Type E MAC Control Service MAC Control Service SuggestedRemedy	Futurewei Teo Comment Status D e (MCS) Interface or e (MCS) interface			There are be change Additional pg 198 lin	several locati ed: (Pg/Ln) 15 notes: e 18 change "	ons in the text where "MAC (Control Client" is	correct and should not
Remein, Duane Comment Type E MAC Control Service MAC Control Service SuggestedRemedy Pick one and be con	Futurewei Teo Comment Status D e (MCS) Interface or e (MCS) interface			There are be change Additional pg 198 lin	several locati ed: (Pg/Ln) 15 notes: e 18 change "	ons in the text where "MAC (9/3, 159/52, and 160/40-46.	Control Client" is	correct and should not
Remein, Duane Comment Type E MAC Control Service MAC Control Service SuggestedRemedy Pick one and be con Proposed Response	Futurewei Teo Comment Status D e (MCS) Interface or e (MCS) interface sistent. Response Status W			There are be change Additional pg 198 lin pg 198 lin <i>Response</i>	several locati ed: (Pg/Ln) 15 notes: e 18 change "	ons in the text where "MAC 0 9/3, 159/52, and 160/40-46. local MAC Control Client" to local MAC Control Client" to <i>Response Status</i> C	Control Client" is	correct and should not
Remein, Duane Comment Type E MAC Control Service MAC Control Service SuggestedRemedy	Futurewei Teo Comment Status D e (MCS) Interface or e (MCS) interface sistent. Response Status W			There are be change Additional pg 198 lin pg 198 lin <i>Response</i> ACCEPT	several locatii ed: (Pg/Ln) 15 notes: e 18 change " e 29 change " IN PRINCIPLE	ons in the text where "MAC 0 9/3, 159/52, and 160/40-46. local MAC Control Client" to local MAC Control Client" to <i>Response Status</i> C	Control Client" is	correct and should not

C/ 144 SC 144.1.4.1

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.1.4.1	P160	L 49	# 524	C/ 144 SC	\$ 144.1.4.3	P 161	L 23	# 526
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Tech	nnologie		Remein, Duane		Futurewei Tee	chnologie	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		
indication_operand_list	NTROL.indication(opcode, t) nor MCS:MA_CONTROL.re and_list)are defined anywhere		ion_address,	mac_service frame_chec	e_data_unit, k_sequence	, reception_status) nor MA	C:MA_DATA.red	
uggestedRemedy Strike the "MCS:"				frame_chec	k_sequence	urce_address, mac_service)) are defined anywhere in		
Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z			SuggestedReme Strike the "N	,			
	THDRAWN by the commenter			Proposed Respo REJECT.	onse	Response Status Z		
7 144 SC 144.1.4.2	_CONTROL, MCS is jst an inst	L10	# 525			HDRAWN by the commente		n. Text is correct as is.
emein, Duane	Futurewei Tech	nnologie				· · · · ·		
Comment Type TR	Futurewei Tech Comment Status D	nnologie		CI 144 SC Remein, Duane	C 144.2	P 162 Futurewei Teo	L1	# 527
Comment Type TR Neither MCI:MA_CON indication_operand_lisi opcode, request_opera		uest(destinati	on_address,	Remein, Duane Comment Type	E re consider r	P162	L 1 chnologie	# 527
Comment Type TR Neither MCI:MA_CON indication_operand_lisi opcode, request_opera	Comment Status D TROL.indication(opcode, t) nor MCI:MA_CONTROL.rec	uest(destinati	on_address,	Remein, Duane Comment Type Assuming w	E ve consider r tted here.	P162 Futurewei Teo Comment Status A	L 1 chnologie	# 527
Comment Type TR Neither MCI:MA_CON indication_operand_list opcode, request_opera uggestedRemedy Strike the "MCI:"	Comment Status D TROL.indication(opcode, t) nor MCI:MA_CONTROL.rec and_list) are defined anywhere	uest(destinati	on_address,	Remein, Duane Comment Type Assuming w use transmi SuggestedReme	E re consider r tted here.	P162 Futurewei Teo Comment Status A	L1 chnologie forwarded it wo	# 527
Neither MCI:MA_CON indication_operand_list opcode, request_opera SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D TROL.indication(opcode, t) nor MCI:MA_CONTROL.rec	uest(destinati	on_address,	Remein, Duane Comment Type Assuming w use transmi SuggestedReme Change "so Response	E re consider r tted here. edy urce of the f	P162 Futurewei Teo Comment Status A received frames can also be orwarded frames" to "source Response Status C	L1 chnologie forwarded it wo	# 527
Comment Type TR Neither MCI:MA_CON indication_operand_list opcode, request_opera suggestedRemedy Strike the "MCI:" Proposed Response REJECT.	Comment Status D TROL.indication(opcode, t) nor MCI:MA_CONTROL.rec and_list) are defined anywhere	uest(destinati in 31	on_address,	Remein, Duane Comment Type Assuming w use transmi SuggestedReme Change "so	E re consider r tted here. edy urce of the f	P162 Futurewei Teo Comment Status A received frames can also be orwarded frames" to "source Response Status C	L1 chnologie forwarded it wo	# 527

C/ 144 SC 144.2 Page 36 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:46 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.2	P162	L 2	# 528	C/ 144	SC 144.2.1	P 162	L12	# 529
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Teo	hnologie		Remein, Du	ane	Futurewei Te	chnologie	
Comment Type ER Comm	nent Status A			Comment T	vpe E	Comment Status D		bucke
What exactly does this mean "The context of the MAC."?	nis block is respons	ible for handling	the MPCP in the	162/12	"the" or "The" 'Control Parse 'Timestamp fie		line/following te>	xt)
SuggestedRemedy					Control Multip			
Change to "This block is response	sible for bringing ON	IUs on-line.		162.14	'timestamp drif	t"		
Response Respo	nse Status C				'REGISTER_R 'timestamp val	EQ MPCPDU"		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						request primitive"		
(tribus a) d) and a)				166/15	Envelope Activ	vation Process"		
Strike c), d), and e)						PDU transmission"		
C/ 144 SC 144.23	P163	L 8	# 634		.LID equal" 'maximum dela	av the ONU"		
Kramer, Glen	Broadcom				DISC_PLID val			
Comment Type E Comm	nent Status A					and BCAST_PLID values,"		
Typo in the definition of RttCurre					'MsgEnvGroup Change this:	is generated"		
						ay monitor and react to the c	hanges in the st	ate of the downstream
SuggestedRemedy				and/or u	ipstream chani	nels, allowing ONU notify the	OLT of observe	d or expected channel
Replace "QEQT" with "EQT"						ample, MAC Control Client ma	ay have ability to	detect failure of one of
Response Respo	nse Status C				receivers.	Client at the OLT about a loc	al channel state	change the Channel
ACCEPT.						ms the following sequence o		change, the onamici
Fixed clause and subclause (14	1 pot 1454			"The M	AC Control Clie	nt may monitor and react to t	he changes in th	
Fixed clause and subclause (14	+, NOL 1454)					stream channels, allowing the		
						e changes. For example, the of the channel receivers.		ent may have ability to
						trol Client at the OLT about a	local channel s	tate change, the
						col performs the following see	quence of steps:	"
						Client at the ONU" Client in the OLT"		
						Client in the OLT		
				Suggested				
				00		The" as appropriate.		
				Proposed R	0			
					SED ACCEPT	Response Status W		

C/ 144 SC 144.2.1

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

	44.2.1	P162	L 20	# 636	C/ 144	SC 144.2.	1.2	P162	L 37	# 530
ramer, Glen		Broadcom			Remein, D		_	Futurewei Te	chnologie	
comment Type		Comment Status A			Comment			nent Status A		
		s TBD values for DRIFT_T n text revealed that there a		the MPCP Control		0 0	ed statemen	t says "{TBD refere	nce to Clause 14	12 needed}."
		arser state diagrams.			Suggested					
Currently thes	se state diad	grams are not showing that	t the MPCP inter	faces with multiple	Replac	ce the evil red	highlighted	text with "142.4.3"		
MAC instance		ise RTT[PLID] without any				42 add:				
came from.						3 Loop-timing			OLT is the ON	U transmit clock track
Also, the times	stamp proce	essing is not quite right. WI	hile the text som	ewhere else says that	the Of	NU receive clo	ck. Jitter tra	nsfer masks are de	fined in 141.6.2.	
a large timesta diagrams did r		expected when we receive	e a new PLID MF	PCPDU, the state						n, the PMA received GHz. The loop timing
uagrams du r		u.						A received clock by		
	•	the maximum timestamn d	Irift 2 EOT for 25	G receive channels	Undat	e PICS.				
I am proposing the make the maximum timestamp drift 2 EQT for 25G receive channels and 3 EQT for 10G receive channels. Normally, at 25G, we should expect zero drift and at				Response		Pospo	neo Status C			
	10G we can expect a drift of +- 1, since some upstream EQs (6.4 ns @ 10G) can land in the middle of an EQT (always 2.56 ns). A small safety margin is added, since a timestamp				Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
		NU deregistration.	ay margin is add	ed, since a timestamp						
Lise undated o	e updated definitions of DRIFT_THOLD constant, ProcessTimestamp() function, and		See co	omment #635						
		state diagrams as shown			C/ 144	SC 144.2.	1.3	P162	L 43	# 531
esponse	I	Response Status C			Remein, D	luane		Futurewei Te	chnologie	
ACCEPT.					Comment	Туре Т	Comn	nent Status D		
					Per ou	ir agreed style	this should	be Msdu		
					Suggested	Remedy				
					Globa	lly replace with	n proper styl	e		
					Proposed		Respo	nse Status Z		
					REJECT.					
					This c	omment was	WITHDRAW	N by the commenter	er.	
					Is it re	ally a technica	al comment?			

C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.3

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

P 162 Futurewei Tec	L 46 hnologie	# 532		
Comment Status D s should be Opcode			Comment Type T Comment Status A Per our agreed style this should be TimestampOpcode	
he word opcode in the draft. <i>Response Status</i> Z HDRAWN by the commenter		are as there are lots of	SuggestedRemedy Globally replace with proper style Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Is it really a technical comment? See comment #534	
P 163	L7 hnologie	# 533	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Comment Type T Comment Status A Per our agreed style this should be TimestampDrift SuggestedRemedy Globally replace with proper style Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C C	
Comment Status A	J.	# <u>534</u>	Cl 144 SC 144.3.1.1 P165 L3	
	Futurewei Tech Comment Status D s should be Opcode e with Opcode *i.e., with prop he word opcode in the draft. Response Status Z HDRAWN by the commenter omment? P163 Futurewei Tech Comment Status A Response Status C P163 Futurewei Tech Comment Status A s should be SupportedOpcod	Futurewei Technologie Comment Status D s should be Opcode e with Opcode *i.e., with proper style) use cathe word opcode in the draft. Response Status Z HDRAWN by the commenter. mment? P163 L7 Futurewei Technologie Comment Status A Response Status C P163 L16 Futurewei Technologie Comment Status A s should be SupportedOpcode oper style	Futurewei Technologie Comment Status a should be Opcode e with Opcode *i.e., with proper style) use care as there are lots of the word opcode in the draft. Response Status Z HDRAWN by the commenter. HDRAWN by the commenter. P163 L7 # 533 Futurewei Technologie Comment Status A Response Status C P163 L16 # 534 Futurewei Technologie Comment Status A should be SupportedOpcode should be SupportedOpcode	Futurewei Technologie Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status A a with Opcode "i.e., with proper style) use care as there are lots of he word opcode in the draft. Per our agreed style this should be TimestampOpcode Response Status Z Comment Type T Comment Status C HDRAWN by the commenter. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Is it really a technical comment? See comment #534 P163 L7 # 533 Futurewei Technologie Comment Type T Comment Status A Per our agreed style this should be TimestampDrift SuggestedRemedy Globally replace with proper style Response Status C Comment Type T P163 L16 # 534 Futurewei Technologie Comment Type Comment ? Response Status A Sc 144.3.1.1 P165 P163 L16 # 534 Futurewei Technologie Comment Status A Comment Status A Sc 144.3.1.1 P165 S should be SupportedOpcode SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Sugges

Changing supported_opcode to SupportedOpcodes + make changes in state diagrams. Similar change from timestamp_opcode to TimestampOpcodes

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 144 SC 144.3.1.1 Page 39 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:46 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.1.1 P165 L41 # 538	Cl 144 SC 144.3.1.1 P166 L50 # <u>539</u>
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D
Won't this cause false timestamp drift errors? "The time reference point for the timestamp value is the transmission time of the Envelope Start Header (ESH) of the envelope that includes the MPCPDU (see 143.3.2). In situations where multiple MPCPDUs are transmitted within a single envelope, all these MPCPDUs shall have the same timestamp value, referencing the transmission time of ESH." Each MPCPDU will be off by 8 EQTs from the previous MPCPDU when processed. assuming DRIFT_THOLD is reasonably small this will cause an error if to many (3?) PMCPDUs are included in the same burst. The error will be even more pronounced (2.5x) in 10G US links.	This maybe true if physics is non-deterministic. "this delay may be different on different channels" SuggestedRemedy Use a more deterministic statement: "this delay is different on different channels" Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
Reconsider this statements	If you're 10 feet from the OLT, the delay difference is below the precision threshold. Current
Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.	statement is correct as is.
REJECT.	C/ 144 SC 144.3.1.1 P167 L20 # 575
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
Reconsidered, no changes needed.	Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket When did FTTH become a movement? "the movement when"
CI 144 SC 144.3.1.1 P166 L20 # 448 Knittle, Curtis CableLabs CableLabs Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket "movement" should be "moment" Suggested Demody.	SuggestedRemedy Change "movement to "moment" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
SuggestedRemedy Replace "movement" with "moment" Proposed Response Response Status W	C/ 144 SC 144.3.1.1 P167 L21 # 449 Knittle, Curtis CableLabs CableLab
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket "movement" should be "moment"
	SuggestedRemedy Replace "movement" with "moment"
	Proposed Response Response Status W

C/ 144 SC 144.3.1.1

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.1.4 Remein, Duane	P 167 Futurewei Tecl	L 24	# 576	<i>Cl</i> 144 <i>SC</i> 144.3.1.1 Knittle, Curtis	P 167 CableLabs	L 35	# 450
Comment Type ER Why is this para inden There appear to be do SuggestedRemedy For lines 23 - 33 use u equation (Style EU,Eq	Comment Status A ted? Why are equations inters ts after TUP and t1 on lines 30 nindented text (Style T,Text in uationUnnumbered in FM) for as should be on a separate line	persed with te & 32. FM) for all pla each equation	in text and unnumbered (anything with the form	Comment Type ER "send" should be "sent" SuggestedRemedy Replace "send" with "se Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Comment Status D		bucket
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C			C/ 144 SC 144.3.1.1 Remein, Duane	P 168 Futurewei Te	L 38 chnologie	# 579
Cl 144 SC 144.3.1.4 Remein, Duane Comment Type E "GATE generation Pro Generation Process" SuggestedRemedy per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	Futurewei Tech Comment Status A cess" should be "GATE Gener Response Status C _E.	ation process"		Comment Type E "This large difference de SuggestedRemedy change to "This large is Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I change to "This large dif	difference detected" Response Status W	n place for "is" wa	bucket as suggested)
Cl 144 SC 144.3.1.4 Remein, Duane Comment Type E "All MPCPDUs send b	Futurewei Tech Comment Status D y the	L 35	# <mark>578</mark> bucket				
OLT on unicast PLID I SuggestedRemedy change to "All MPCPE OLT on unicast PLIDs Proposed Response	Us sent by the						

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 144 SC 144.3.1.1 Page 41 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:46 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.1.2 P168 L45 # 620 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie	C/ 144 SC 144.3.2.3 P169 L19 # 581 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Delay; Plo AI #27 Delay variability	.
SuggestedRemedy Change: "The actual delay is implementation dependent; however, a complying implementation sha maintain a delay variation of no more than <tbd eqs=""> through the MAC." to "The actual delay is implementation dependent; however, a complying implementation is expected to maintain a delay variation of no more than ± two EQT when operating at 25</tbd>	SuggestedRemedy change ONUs to ONU
Gbps and ± three EQT when operating at 10 Gbps through the MAC. Response Response Response Status C	C/ 144 SC 144.3.2.3 P169 L20 # 451 Knittle, Curtis CableLabs CableLabs
Replace conent of 144.3.1.2 with: "The MPCP protocol relies on strict timing based on distribution of timestamps. A compliant implementation needs to guarantee a constant delay through the MAC and PHY in order to maintain the correctness of the timestamping mechanism. The actual delay is implementation dependent; however, a complying implementation shall maintain the combined delay variation through the MAC and PHY of less than one EQT for channels operating at 25.78125 GBd and less than two EQTs for channels operating at 10.3125 GBd."	Extraneous 's' in "ONUs" SuggestedRemedy Remove the 's' Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 144 SC 144.3.2.3 P169 L21 # 452
·	_ Knittle, Curtis CableLabs
Cl 144 SC 144.3.2 P169 L1 # 580 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie	Comment Type ER Comment Status A "An" should be "A"
Comment Type E Comment Status R This explanation of LLID type should come earlier in the clause, we've already mention PLID and MLID several time.	SuggestedRemedy Replace "An ULID" with "A ULID" Response Response Status C
(I can bring this comment into WG ballot Draft 2.0 if desired). SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Move sections 144.3.2.1 through 144.3.2.4 under 144.1.1.2 where we explain the entire concept of LLID (so they become 144.1.1.2.1 144.1.1.2.4). Remove 144.3.2.	
Response Response Status C REJECT.	
It was discussed before.	

C/ 144 SC 144.3.2.3

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.3	D470	140	# 050		SC 144	• •	D470	L20	# 440
Kramer, Glen	P 170 Broadcom	L16	# 653	C/ 144 Hajduczeni		3.3	P 170 Charter Com		# 418
Comment Type TR Generally, when the a set of correspondin for forward to, what fi BCAST_ULID by def won't know what to d So, having predefine as a special case of specific rules). SuggestedRemedy Remove BCAST_UL Remove Broadcast U	Comment Status A NMS provisions an ULID into an g rules that tells the ONU how t Itering to apply, etc.). If an ONU ault, but there are no rules provi o. d BCAST_ULID is not helpful. A multicast ULID and be provision	o handle traffic c is required to ac sioned for BCAS broadcast ULID	on that ULID (what UNI ccept traffic on ST_ULID, the ONU 0 can simply be terated	Comment "All uni succes text co Suggested To avo table: " succes Response ACCE	Type TF registered 0 ssful registr ntains requ <i>Remedy</i> bid unneces 'All unregis ssful registr PT IN PRIN bid unneces	ONUs ation, ireme sary r tered (ation, ICIPLI sary r	Comment Status A shall only accept envelopes an ONU shall no longer acc nts repeated from the Table epetition, rewrite the text int ONUs only accept envelope an ONU does no longer acc Response Status C	with DISC_PL ept envelopes v 144–1. o a statement a s with DISC_PL cept envelopes o a statement a	with DISC_PLID." - this and leave PICS in the LID values. Upon with DISC_PLID." and leave PICS in the
Update PICS accord Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status C				SSful registr	ation,	an ONU no longer accepts P170 Charter Com	envelopes with	
Update PICS Change				Comment	Туре ТЕ	-	Comment Status A MPCPDU structure and nee		PICS
0x10-00 to 0xFF-FE				follows	e "The MP	IPCP	structure is shown in Figure DU structure shall be as sho		
to 0x10-00 to 0xFF-FF				Response ACCEI All spe	PT IN PRIN	ICIPLI DUs a	Response Status C E. already have requirements c	overing generic	c format of MPCPDU. No
				Remov	ve PICS				

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4	4 P170	L 38	# 582	C/ 144 SC 144.3.4	.1 P172	L 34	# 585
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Tec	hnologie		Remein, Duane	Futurewei Te	echnologie	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
0 11	"Opcode:" should be italicized as			We can be more prec	cise "this old fragment is trans	smitted first"	
	ther field definitions we could us	e non-italics.		SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Use Italics style.				change to "some or a	Il of this old fragment is trans	mitted first"	
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed Response	Response Status Z		
PROPOSED ACCEI	•			REJECT.			
				This comment was W	ITHDRAWN by the commen	ter.	
C/ 144 SC 144.3.4		L39	# 583	The Suggested Rem	edy increases ambiguity, as it	annears that it is	ok to transmit a little
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Tec	nnologie		piece of the queued f	ragment and then transmit ot		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket	still remains queued.			
·	is with non-zero value of the LLI	Jileid		C/ 144 SC 144.3.4	.3 P175	L10	# 586
uggestedRemedy	e allocations with a non-zero valu	in for the LLID field"		Remein, Duane	Futurewei Te	echnologie	
Proposed Response				Comment Type E	Comment Status D		buck
PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W			The following field na 175/10 "Opcode"	me should be in italics (pg/lin	e name)	
				180/50 "LLID"			
C/ 144 SC 144.3.4		L 28	# 584	SuggestedRemedy			
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Tec	nnologie		per comment			
Comment Type T	Comment Status A specific that "for the Envelope H	loodor"		Proposed Response	Response Status W		
	specific that for the Envelope in	leader		PROPOSED ACCEP	Т.		
	- CH.			C/ 144 SC 144.3.4	.3 <i>P</i> 176	L10	# 587
33	.011			Remein, Duane	Futurewei Te	echnologie	
Change to "for the E	Posponso Status				Comment Status A		
Change to "for the E Response	Response Status C			Comment Type TR			
Change to "for the E	Response Status C				ime units are much more imp	oortant than Laser	OnTime units.
Change to "for the E esponse	Response Status C					portant than Laser	OnTime units.
Change to "for the E Response	Response Status C			Apparently LaserOffT SuggestedRemedy Under "LaserOnTime	ime units are much more imp		OnTime units.
Change to "for the E Response	Response Status C			Apparently LaserOffT SuggestedRemedy Under "LaserOnTime "The value of LaserO	ime units are much more imp	its of EQT." to	OnTime units.
Response	Response Status C			Apparently LaserOffT SuggestedRemedy Under "LaserOnTime "The value of LaserO	ime units are much more imp :" change ffTime is expressed in the un	its of EQT." to	OnTime units.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4.3

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4.6	6 P1 79	L 48	# 630	C/ 144	SC 144.3.5	P183	L 34	# 589
Kramer, Glen	Broadcom			Remein, D	uane	Futurewei Te	chnologie	
comment Type T	Comment Status A		PICS	6 Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		bucket
	b have additional flags to cont CPDU definition needs to expl scoveryInfo flags.			and FI	EC unprotected a	erence "see 144.3.4.7" giver areas of US burst.	n the previous ex	planation of SP1/2/3
uggestedRemedy				Suggested	e xRef to 143.1.3	2		
30 y	RY MPCPDU definition as sho	own in kramer_3	3ca_3_0319.pdf	Proposed				
(changes are tracked)				•	OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
Response	Response Status C				USED ACCEPT			
ACCEPT.				C/ 144	SC 144.3.5	P 183	L 38	# 590
Update PICS				Remein, D	uane	Futurewei Te	chnologie	
	D400	10	# 500	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R		
2/ 144 SC 144.3.4.7 emein, Duane	Futurewei Teo	L 3 chnologie	# 588	SYNC	PATTERN MPC	SP MPCPDU or only those s CPDU is received" ed ONU doesn't listen to othe		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A				C_PLID.			guess this only applied
	there is only one value for Synerally, the SYNC_PATTERN			Suggested	IRemedy			
	qual to DISC_PLID (see 144.3				-	ATTERN MPCPDU sent to the	he DISC_PLID is	received"
	be freely changed by the OLT ng DISC_PLID envelopes.	. Note that reg	istered ONUs are	Response		Response Status C		
	Ig DISC_FLID envelopes.			, REJE(
SuggestedRemedy Change as follows:				Conto	utia alaan Nia ah			
"The OLT announces t the LLID equal to DISC Italicize LLID in the abo	the synchronization pattern to C_PLID (see 144.3.3) before is ove ist sentence in this para "until	ssuing a DISCO	OVERY message.	Conte	xt is clear. No ch	anges needed.		
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	.E.							
Change								
	all the required synchronization T, continues to use the same	•						
То								
	all the required synchronization T, continues to use the same anged by the OLT).							
•	ed ER/editorial required GR/ spatched A/accepted R/reject			0	Z/withdrawn	C/ 14 SC 14		Page 45 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:46

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5 Kramer, Glen	P 185 Broadcom	L 1	# 642	C/ 144 Hajduczeni	SC 144.3.5.1 a, Marek	P186 Charter	<i>L</i> 11 Communicatio	# 429
Comment Type TR Co In Figure 144-17, the use of th fiueld values.	mment Status A he "+" sign is confusing	, as it may imply a	addition of multiple		uld" statement th	Comment Status A at is not intended to be at the end of a discov	e an optional requirem	nent: " extra margin
The footnote 1 is wrong. Disc SuggestedRemedy 1) Change footnote 1 to "Mes 2) Use " " (concatenation) inst	sages sent on disocver tead of "+"	y PLID (DISC_PL	_	Suggested Chang Response ACCEF	e to read "extra n	nargin reserved at the Response Status (
 Show MPCPDU field name Also, the boxes representir more visible. 			l, so that arrows are	<i>Cl</i> 144 Remein, Di	SC 144.3.5.3	P187 Futurew	vei Technologie	# 591
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 1) Change footnote 1 to "Mes 2) Use " " (concatenation) ins 3) Show MPCPDU field name 4) The boxes representing the visible.	tead of "+" es exactly as defined in	144.3.4	that arrows are more	codewo Suggested	his is true where ord" Re <i>medy</i> per each FEC co	Comment Status there are FEC CWs G odeword" Response Status	GrantMargin has none.	. "per each FEC
		L15	# 421		SC 144.3.5.3	P187	۲ L21	# 592
	Charter Comm	unicatio		C/ 144	00 144.3.3.3	P187		1 002
lajduczenia, Marek	Charter Comm mment Status A DISCOVERY MPCPDU ave BCAST_PLID and D SC_PLID would be mor	is transmitted on NSC_PLID. Based	d on description in	Remein, Du Comment T Is this ONU d	iane Type TR receipt of DISCO	Futurew Comment Status	vei Technologie	ermined at the time of
Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Co Figure 144–17 indicates that looking at Table 144-1, we ha Table 144-1, it seems that DI	Charter Comm mment Status A DISCOVERY MPCPDU ave BCAST_PLID and D SC_PLID would be mor	is transmitted on NSC_PLID. Base e appropriate for	d on description in DISCOVERY	Remein, Du Comment Is this ONU d Suggested	iane <i>Type</i> TR receipt of DISCO receipt of DISCO receipt of DISCO receipt of DISCO	Futurew Comment Status	vei Technologie A message "Value: Dete	ermined at the time of

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.3 Page 46 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:46 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.3 P187 L23 # 593 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie <th>C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.5 Remein, Duane</th> <th>P188 Futurewei Te</th> <th>L24 chnologie</th> <th># 595</th>	C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.5 Remein, Duane	P 188 Futurewei Te	L 24 chnologie	# 595
Comment Type TR Comment Status D This note is some what confusing. What is meant by "Separate" grants? And Also "latter" grant?	Italicize MsgBurstSync.Count	nment Status D	-	buck
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy per comment			
Reword as follows: "If an ONU receives a grant whose start time is less than GrantMargin, that grant is discarded." italicize GrantMargin	Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT.	oonse Status W		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P188 Charter Com	L 32 municatio	# 428
Change in intent - the note covers overlapping grants.	Comment Type T Con	nment Status A		
======================================	A "should" statement that is no synchronization pattern should			ent: "
Cl 144 SC 144.3.5.3 P187 L38 # 594 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	SuggestedRemedy Change to read "synchronization	on pattern is balance	d or not"	
Comment Type E Comment Status A Wording	Response Resp ACCEPT.	oonse Status C		
SuggestedRemedy	C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.6	P189	L 6	# 596
Change: "This variable indicates the local time at the ONU, at which it REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU is	Remein, Duane	Futurewei Te		
to be transmitted." to "This variable indicates the local time at which the ONU should transmit the REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU."	Comment Type TR Con YASIP (Yet Another Self Imple	nment Status A ementing Process).		PIC
Response Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "This variable indicates the local time at the ONU, at which it REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU is to be transmitted."	Change: "The Discovery Process in the Initiation state diagram shown "The OLT shall implement a si 144–18."	in Figure 144-18." to	, U	
to	Response Resp	oonse Status C		
"This variable indicates the local time at which the REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU is to be transmitted by the ONU."	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
	Update PICS			
	Change: "The Discovery Process in the Initiation state diagram shown "The OLT MPCP shall impleme Figure 144–18."	in Figure 144-18." to		
YPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/	general	C/ 14	14	Page 47 of 54

The invited med required Envediced of vgener	87 144	1 490 47 01 04	
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 144.3.5.6	3/13/2019 6:38:46 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.7 P189 L 47 # 597 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie	C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.8 P190 L35 # 598 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
Comment TypeTRComment StatusAPICSYASIP (Yet Another Self Implementing Process).	Comment TypeTRComment StatusAPICSYASIP (Yet Another Self Implementing Process).
SuggestedRemedy Change: "The Discovery Process in the OLT shall implement multiple instances of the Registration Completion state diagram shown in Figure 144–19 where each instance is associated with a unicast PLID being registered." to "The OLT shall implement multiple instances of the OLT Registration Completion state discount of the output of the	SuggestedRemedy Change: "The Discovery Process in the ONU shall implement a single instance of the ONU Registration state diagram shown in F figure 144–20." to "The ONU shall implement a single instance of the ONU Registration state diagram as shown in Figure 144–20."
diagram shown in Figure 144–19 where each instance is associated with a unicast PLID being registered."	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Update PICS
Update PICS Change: "The Discovery Process in the OLT shall implement multiple instances of the Registration Completion state diagram shown in Figure 144–19 where each instance is associated with	Change: "The Discovery Process in the ONU shall implement a single instance of the ONU Registration state diagram shown in F figure 144–20." to "The ONU MPCP shall implement a single instance of the ONU Registration state diagram as shown in Figure 144–20."
a unicast PLID being registered." to "The OLT MPCP shall implement multiple instances of the OLT Registration Completion state diagram shown in Figure 144–19 where each instance is associated with a unicast PLID being registered."	C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.8 P191 L1 # 626 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A ONU Registration state diagram needs to check whether the ONU is allowed to register in the given discovery window. This check should be based on granted upstream channels, Rssi limits, X/G coexistence options, and allowed line rates.

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

definitions as shown in kramer_3ca_4_0319.pdf.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.8

Modify the ONU Registration state diagram (Fig 144-20) and add the necessary variable

Response Status C

Page 48 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:46 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.6 P191 L41 # 599 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.3 P192 L40 # 600 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewe
Comment Type ER Comment Status A It must be later by now " <subclause be="" introduction="" later="" supplied="" text="" to="">"</subclause>	Comment Type E Comment Status A Wording
Replace the evil red highlighted text with the text from remein_3ca_2_0319.pdf Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Use remein_3ca_2_0319.pdf with the following changes: - Change "for this standard but may be based" to "for this standard, but may be based" - change "The upstream granting process begins when the OLT MPMC Client defines a transmission opportunity for an ONU and compiles a GATE message using the MsgGate (see 144.3.4.1) which is sent to the MPMC layer via the MSCR. This generates a GATE message using the process defined in the GATE Generation state diagram (see 144.3.6.7) which is transmitted to the subtended ONUs." to "The upstream granting process begins when the OLT MPMC Client defines a GATE message using the MsgGate structure (see 144.3.4.1), which is sent to the MPMC sublayer via the MCSR interface. This generates a GATE MPCPDU using the process defined in the GATE Generation state diagram (see 144.3.6.7). The GATE MPCPDU is transmitted to an ONU." - change "as defined in the GATE reception" to "as defined in the GATE Reception" - change "as defined in the GATE reception" to "as defined in the GATE Reception"	SuggestedRemedy Change "LLID: LLID value of a an envelope descriptor StartTime: Start time of given envelope. Within a single burst, all envelope descriptions have the same EnvStartTime value. The StartTime is expressed in units of EQT. Length: The length of the envelope, including the envelope header. The Length value is expressed in units of EQ." to "LLID: The LLID value of the envelope. StartTime: The Start time of the envelope. Within a single burst, all envelopes have the same EnvStartTime value. The StartTime is expressed in units of EQT. Length: The length of the envelope, including the envelope header. The Length value is expressed in units of EQ." Response Response Starts C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "LLID value of a an envelope descriptor" to "LLID value of an envelope descriptor Change all text instances of EnvStartTime to StartTime and check state diagrams in Clause 144. Change "Start time of given envelope." to "Start time of the given envelope."
- change "This will result in an envelope" to "This results in an envelope"	C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.3 P192 L51 # 601 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
 change "CTRL[ch].request begin generated in" to "CTRL[ch].request being generated in" change "in the DS direction." to "in the downstream direction." change "does use the envelope descriptor" to "does use the envelope descriptors" 	Comment Type E Comment Status D "EnvList[]" should be "EnvList[ch]" (as is used in Description. SuggestedRemedy per comment
- change "similar to complementary state diagrams" to "similar to respective state diagrams"	Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.
	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
PE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/c	general C/ 144 Page 49 of 5-

C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.3

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC	C 144.3.6.5	P193	L 20	# 602	C/ 144	SC 144.3.6.	.7	P193	L 46	# 604
Remein, Duane	e	Futurewei Teo	chnologie		Remein, Du	uane		Futurewei Te	chnologie	
Comment Type	F TR	Comment Status A			Comment 7	Туре Е	Comment	t Status A		
GATE mes	sages are rec	quired for each ONU not just	t on an OLT bas	sis.	In mos	t all cases we i	refer to GATE	not Gate		
SuggestedRem	nedy				Suggested	Remedy				
		generate GATE MPCPDUs	with a periodicit	y of less than		the draft and cl it refers to a G			TE" (or even wor	se gate to GATE)
		generate GATE MPCPDUs EOUT."	for each active	ONU with a periodicity	Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIP		Status C		
Response		Response Status C			Scrub t	the draft and cl	hange the word	d "Gate" to "GA [.]	TE" (or even wor	se gate to GATE)
ACCEPT IN	N PRINCIPLE				C/ 144	SC 144.3.6.	8	P194	L39	# 605
Change					Remein, Du		.0	Futurewei Te		# 003
GATE_TIM	IEOUT. This i	enerate GATE MPCPDUs v timer counts down time remain T				h variable/field		t Status A ChMap.		
GATE mess To	sage in the C				Suggestedi Change	<i>Remedy</i> e to MsgGate.0	ChannelMap (3	3x)		
To Each instan a periodicity	nce of the OL	T Gate Generation state dia GATE_TIMEOUT. This tim ATE MPCPDU by the given	er counts down	time remaining before a	Change Response ACCEF	e to MsgGate.0	Response PLE.	Status C		
To Each instan a periodicity forced gene	nce of the OL y of less than eration of a G	T Gate Generation state dia GATE_TIMEOUT. This tim ATE MPCPDU by the given	er counts down instance of this	time remaining before a state diagram.	Change Response ACCEF	e to MsgGate.0	Response PLE.	Status C	4-21, KEEP_ALI	VE_GATE state)
To Each instan a periodicity forced gene C/ 144 So Remein, Duane	nce of the OL cy of less than eration of a G C 144.3.6.6	T Gate Generation state dia GATE_TIMEOUT. This tim ATE MPCPDU by the given P193 Futurewei Tec	er counts down instance of this L45	time remaining before a	Change Response ACCEF	e to MsgGate.(PT IN PRINCIF mment, also m SC 144.3.7	Response PLE.	Status C	L 37	VE_GATE state) # 426
To Each instar a periodicity forced gene 2/ 144 SC Remein, Duane Comment Type	nce of the OL cy of less than eration of a G C 144.3.6.6 e E	T Gate Generation state dia GATE_TIMEOUT. This tim ATE MPCPDU by the given P193	er counts down i instance of this <i>L</i> 45 chnologie	time remaining before a state diagram. # 603	Change Response ACCEF Per cor C/ 144 Hajduczeni Comment T	e to MsgGate.(PT IN PRINCIF mment, also m SC 144.3.7 a, Marek <i>Type</i> T R	Response PLE. ake change or Comment	Status C n line 17 (Fig 14 P 197 Charter Comu t Status A	L 37 municatio	# <u>426</u> PIC
To Each instan a periodicity forced gene 2/ 144 SC temein, Duane Comment Type xRef to an S	nce of the OL y of less than eration of a G C 144.3.6.6 e E xRef to an	T Gate Generation state dia GATE_TIMEOUT. This tim ATE MPCPDU by the given P193 Futurewei Tec Comment Status A	er counts down i instance of this <i>L</i> 45 chnologie	time remaining before a state diagram. # 603	Change Response ACCEF Per cor Cl 144 Hajduczeni Comment T Text or	e to MsgGate. PT IN PRINCIF mment, also m SC 144.3.7 a, Marek Type TR n Discovery pro	Response PLE. ake change or Comment	Status C n line 17 (Fig 14 P 197 Charter Comu t Status A	L 37	# <u>426</u> PIC
To Each instan a periodicity forced gene 7 144 So emein, Duane comment Type xRef to an x uggestedRem	nce of the OL y of less than eration of a G C 144.3.6.6 e E xRef to an nedy	T Gate Generation state dia GATE_TIMEOUT. This tim ATE MPCPDU by the given P193 Futurewei Tec Comment Status A	er counts down i instance of this <i>L</i> 45 chnologie	time remaining before a state diagram. # 603	Change Response ACCEF Per cor Cl 144 Hajduczeni Comment T Text or Suggested	e to MsgGate. PT IN PRINCIF mment, also m SC 144.3.7 a, Marek Type TR n Discovery pro- Remedy	Response PLE. ake change or <i>Comment</i> pocess in multi-r	Status C n line 17 (Fig 14 P197 Charter Com t Status A rate systems is	L 37 municatio needed and miss	# <u>426</u> PIC
To Each instan a periodicity forced gene 7 144 SC emein, Duane comment Type xRef to an > suggestedRem Change xRef	nce of the OL y of less than eration of a G C 144.3.6.6 e E xRef to an nedy	T Gate Generation state dia GATE_TIMEOUT. This tim ATE MPCPDU by the given P193 Futurewei Tec Comment Status A 144.3.6.6 points to 144.3.5	er counts down i instance of this <i>L</i> 45 chnologie	time remaining before a state diagram. # 603	Change Response ACCEF Per cor Cl 144 Hajduczeni Comment T Text or Suggested	e to MsgGate. PT IN PRINCIF mment, also m SC 144.3.7 a, Marek Type TR n Discovery pro Remedy njduczenia_3ca	Response PLE. ake change or <i>Comment</i> pocess in multi-r	Status C n line 17 (Fig 14 P197 Charter Com t Status A rate systems is	L 37 municatio needed and miss	# 426 PIC
To Each instan a periodicity forced gene 2/ 144 SC Remein, Duane Comment Type xRef to an > SuggestedRem Change xRe Response	nce of the OL y of less than eration of a G C 144.3.6.6 e E xRef to an nedy	T Gate Generation state dia GATE_TIMEOUT. This tim ATE MPCPDU by the given P193 Futurewei Tec Comment Status A 144.3.6.6 points to 144.3.5 6 to 144.3.4.4 Response Status C	er counts down i instance of this <i>L</i> 45 chnologie	time remaining before a state diagram. # 603	Change Response ACCEF Per cor Cl 144 Hajduczeni Comment 1 Text or Suggested Use ha	e to MsgGate. PT IN PRINCIF mment, also m SC 144.3.7 a, Marek Type TR n Discovery pro Remedy njduczenia_3ca	Response PLE. ake change or <i>Comment</i> occess in multi-r _5_0319.pdf +	Status C n line 17 (Fig 14 P197 Charter Com t Status A rate systems is	L 37 municatio needed and miss	# <u>426</u> PIC
To Each instar a periodicity forced gene Cl 144 SC Remein, Duane Comment Type xRef to an S SuggestedRem Change xRe Response ACCEPT IN	nce of the OL cy of less than eration of a G C 144.3.6.6 E xRef to an nedy Ref in 144.3.6. N PRINCIPLE	T Gate Generation state dia GATE_TIMEOUT. This tim ATE MPCPDU by the given P193 Futurewei Tec Comment Status A 144.3.6.6 points to 144.3.5 6 to 144.3.4.4 Response Status C	er counts down i instance of this <i>L</i> 45 chnologie	time remaining before a state diagram. # 603	Change Response ACCEF Per cor Cl 144 Hajduczeni Comment T Text or Suggestedi Use ha require	e to MsgGate. PT IN PRINCIP mment, also m SC 144.3.7 a, Marek Type TR n Discovery pro Remedy ijduczenia_3ca ments	Response PLE. ake change or <i>Comment</i> occess in multi-r _5_0319.pdf +	Status C n line 17 (Fig 14 P197 Charter Comm t Status A rate systems is add new PICS	L 37 municatio needed and miss	# <u>426</u> PIC

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.4.1	P198	L6	# 632	C/ 144	SC 144.4.1.1		P198	L 46	# 609
Kramer, Glen	Broadcom	-•		Remein, Dua		F	Futurewei Te		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Si	tatus A	U U	
once. Emphasizing that emphasis is made for o unicast MAC address in	these are unicast messages ther messages. Is the intenti istead of a well-known MAC	s is confusing be on here to requi Control address	ecause no such ire the CCPDUs to use	ONUs lef path and	t on that chanr transmitter for	nel. This would the given chan	make it diffic	ult to bring up a	el when there are no PON. "The OLT data e are other ONUs anel."
0	e globally-assigned DA 01-80	0-02-00-00-01.		SuggestedRe	medy				
	y "unicast" in CCPDU contex move the word "unicast"	xt (unicast MAC	address or unicast	Change t "The OLT OLT prov	data path and	d transmitter for	the given ch	annel remains a	active based solely on
Response	Response Status C			Response		Response St	atus C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	E.			ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	E.			
C/ 144 SC 144.4.1	ast" in each references insta P198 Euturourei Too	L7	# 606	remain ad				e given channel receive the data	may a transmitted on this
emein, Duane	Futurewei Tec	chhologie		C/ 144	SC 144.4.1.3		P 199	L 41	# 608
comment Type ER	Comment Status D		bucket						
				Remein, Dua	ne	F	-uturewei Te	chnologie	
What is meant here by	the term "channel lineup"? C		ity (which I would define	Remein, Duai Comment Typ		F Comment St		chnologie	
What is meant here by t as synonymous with ch SuggestedRemedy in 7 places change: "channel lineup" to			ity (which I would define	Comment Typ This state ONUs lef path and	ernent is almost on that chanr receiver for the	Comment St st implying the C nel. This would e given channel	<i>tatus</i> A DLT shuts do make it diffic I may remain	own an US chan	nel when there are no PON. "The OLT data are
What is meant here by t as synonymous with ch SuggestedRemedy in 7 places change: "channel lineup" to "channel status"	the term "channel lineup"? C	gh the Discover	ity (which I would define	Comment Typ This state ONUs lef path and	be TR ement is almost t on that chan receiver for the Us configured	Comment St st implying the C nel. This would e given channel	<i>tatus</i> A DLT shuts do make it diffic I may remain	own an US chan ult to bring up a active if there a	PON. "The OLT data
What is meant here by t as synonymous with ch SuggestedRemedy in 7 places change: "channel lineup" to "channel status" Locations (line): 7, 11, 1	the term "channel lineup"? C annel lineup) is known throug	gh the Discover	ity (which I would define	Comment Typ This state ONUs lef path and other ON SuggestedRe Change to	the TR ment is almost ton that channer receiver for the Us configured <i>medy</i> o: totata path and	Comment Si st implying the C hel. This would e given channel to transmit data	tatus A DLT shuts do make it diffic I may remain a on this upst	own an US chan ult to bring up a a active if there a tream channel."	PON. "The OLT data
What is meant here by i as synonymous with ch SuggestedRemedy in 7 places change: "channel lineup" to "channel status" Locations (line): 7, 11, 1 Proposed Response	the term "channel lineup"? C annel lineup) is known throug 14, 17, 20, 30, 33 (all pg 198)	gh the Discover	ity (which I would define	Comment Typ This state ONUs lef path and other ON SuggestedRe Change to "The OLT provisioni Response	the TR ment is almost ton that channer receiver for the Us configured <i>medy</i> o: totata path and	Comment Si st implying the C hel. This would e given channel to transmit data d receiver for th Response St	tatus A DLT shuts do make it diffic I may remain a on this upst e given chan	own an US chan ult to bring up a a active if there a tream channel."	PON. "The OLT data are
What is meant here by t as synonymous with cha SuggestedRemedy in 7 places change: "channel lineup" to "channel status" Locations (line): 7, 11, 1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	the term "channel lineup"? C annel lineup) is known throug 14, 17, 20, 30, 33 (all pg 198) <i>Response Status</i> W <i>P</i> 198 Futurewei Tec <i>Comment Status</i> D	gh the Discover	ity (which I would define y process.	Comment Typ This state ONUs lef path and other ON SuggestedRe Change t "The OLT provisioni Response ACCEPT Remove	the TR ment is almost ton that channe receiver for the Us configured medy to: data path and ng."	Comment Si st implying the C hel. This would e given channel to transmit data d receiver for th Response St E.	tatus A DLT shuts do make it diffic I may remain a on this upst e given chan atus C iver for the gi	own an US chan ult to bring up a a active if there a tream channel." nel remains act	PON. "The OLT data are ive based solely on OL ay remain active if there
What is meant here by t as synonymous with ch SuggestedRemedy in 7 places change: "channel lineup" to "channel status" Locations (line): 7, 11, 1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 144 SC 144.4.1 Remein, Duane Comment Type ER What is this "CCPDU"? SuggestedRemedy	the term "channel lineup"? C annel lineup) is known throug 14, 17, 20, 30, 33 (all pg 198) <i>Response Status</i> W <i>P</i> 198 Futurewei Tec <i>Comment Status</i> D	gh the Discover) <i>L</i> 8 chnologie	ity (which I would define y process. # 607	Comment Typ This state ONUs lef path and other ON SuggestedRe Change t "The OLT provisioni Response ACCEPT Remove	the TR ment is almost ton that channe receiver for the Us configured medy to: data path and ng."	Comment Si st implying the C hel. This would e given channel to transmit data d receiver for th Response St E.	tatus A DLT shuts do make it diffic I may remain a on this upst e given chan atus C iver for the gi	wn an US chan ult to bring up a a active if there a tream channel." nel remains act	PON. "The OLT data are ive based solely on OL y remain active if there

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 144 SC 144.4.1.3 Page 51 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:46 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.4.1.3 P199 L48 # 610	C/ 144 SC 144.4.1.5 P200 L30 # 612					
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie					
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Why enable a channel to disable it??	Comment Type E Comment Status D b Wording					
SuggestedRemedy Change: "enable" to "disable"	SuggestedRemedy Change:					
Response Response Status C	"allowing ONU notify the OLT" to "allowing the ONU to notify the OLT"					
C/ 144 SC 144.4.1.3 P199 L52 # 611	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie						
Comment Type TR Comment Status R	C/ 144 SC 144.4.2 P200 L 45 # 629 Kramer, Glen Broadcom					
Steps 2 & 4 create a window of uncertainty where the ONU may have disabled an US channel but is still receiving grants for it.	Comment Type T Comment Status D Action item "to rewrite the definitions of CCPDUs using template from MPCPDUs (see					
unnestedRemedv	144.3.4)."					
Change 2) from:	SuggestedRemedy					
Change 2) from: "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the	Replace subclause 144.4.2 with the text and drawings provided in kramer_3ca_7_0319					
Change 2) from: "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU."	Replace subclause 144.4.2 with the text and drawings provided in kramer_3ca_7_0319 Proposed Response Response Status W					
Change 2) from: "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." Change the last sentence of 3) from	Replace subclause 144.4.2 with the text and drawings provided in kramer_3ca_7_0319					
Change 2) from: "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." Change the last sentence of 3) from "ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel." to	Replace subclause 144.4.2 with the text and drawings provided in kramer_3ca_7_0319 Proposed Response Response Status W					
Change 2) from: "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." Change the last sentence of 3) from "ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel." to "The ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the	Replace subclause 144.4.2 with the text and drawings provided in kramer_3ca_7_0319 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
Change 2) from: "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." Change the last sentence of 3) from "ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel." to "The ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel and ignore any subsequent grants received." and change 4) from:	Replace subclause 144.4.2 with the text and drawings provided in kramer_3ca_7_0319 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT					
Change 2) from: "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." Change the last sentence of 3) from "ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel." to "The ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel and ignore any subsequent grants received." and change 4) from: "4) MAC Control Client in the OLT stops granting the upstream channel UCn on the target	Replace subclause 144.4.2 with the text and drawings provided in kramer_3ca_7_0319 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
Change 2) from: "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." Change the last sentence of 3) from "ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel." to "The ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel and ignore any subsequent grants received." and change 4) from: "4) MAC Control Client in the OLT stops granting the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU only when the given upstream channel is confirmed to have been disabled on the	Replace subclause 144.4.2 with the text and drawings provided in kramer_3ca_7_0319 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. ======== GLEN to address the "as explained in {TBD}." issue CI 144 SC 144.4.3.5 P207 L7 # 613 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Comment Type TR Comment Status D					
 Change 2) from: "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." Change the last sentence of 3) from "ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel." to "The ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel and ignore any subsequent grants received." and change 4) from: "4) MAC Control Client in the OLT shall stop granting the upstream channel UCn on the 	Generation of the second seco					
 Change 2) from: "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." Change the last sentence of 3) from "ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel." to "The ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel and ignore any subsequent grants received." and change 4) from: "4) MAC Control Client in the OLT stops granting the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "4) MAC Control Client in the OLT shall stop granting the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to 	Replace subclause 144.4.2 with the text and drawings provided in kramer_3ca_7_0319 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. ===== GLEN to address the "as explained in {TBD}." issue CI 144 SC 144.4.3.5 P207 L7 # 613 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Comment Type TR Comment Status D MsgChRequest not defined in "CC_REQUEST CCPDU, as defined in 144.4.2.1" or					
 Change 2) from: "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." Change the last sentence of 3) from "ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel." to "The ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel and ignore any subsequent grants received." and change 4) from: "4) MAC Control Client in the OLT stops granting the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to 	Generation of the second seco					
 Change 2) from: "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." Change the last sentence of 3) from "ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel." to "The ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel and ignore any subsequent grants received." and change 4) from: "4) MAC Control Client in the OLT stops granting the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "4) MAC Control Client in the OLT shall stop granting the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU when the given upstream channel is confirmed to have been disabled on the ONU." to 	Geplace subclause 144.4.2 with the text and drawings provided in kramer_3ca_7_0319 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. ======= GLEN to address the "as explained in {TBD}." issue CI 144 SC 144.4.3.5 P207 L7 # 613 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Comment Status D MsgChRequest not defined in "CC_REQUEST CCPDU, as defined in 144.4.2.1" or anywhere else I could search for. Same issue for MsgChResponse.					
 Change 2) from: "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." Change the last sentence of 3) from "ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel." to "The ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel and ignore any subsequent grants received." and change 4) from: "4) MAC Control Client in the OLT stops granting the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU only when the given upstream channel is confirmed to have been disabled on the ONU." Update PICS and format variables in the above appropriately. 	Replace subclause 144.4.2 with the text and drawings provided in kramer_3ca_7_0319 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. ======= GLEN to address the "as explained in {TBD}." issue CI 144 SC 144.4.3.5 P207 L7 # 613 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Comment Type TR Comment Status D MsgChRequest not defined in "CC_REQUEST CCPDU, as defined in 144.4.2.1" or anywhere else I could search for. Same issue for MsgChResponse. SuggestedRemedy					
 Change 2) from: "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." Change the last sentence of 3) from "ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel." to "The ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel and ignore any subsequent grants received." and change 4) from: "4) MAC Control Client in the OLT stops granting the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "4) MAC Control Client in the OLT shall stop granting the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to Update PICS and format variables in the above appropriately. 	Replace subclause 144.4.2 with the text and drawings provided in kramer_3ca_7_0319 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. ====== GLEN to address the "as explained in {TBD}." issue CI 144 SC 144.4.3.5 P207 L7 # 613 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Comment Status D MsgChRequest not defined in "CC_REQUEST CCPDU, as defined in 144.4.2.1" or anywhere else I could search for. SuggestedRemedy Add a suitable definitions					
 "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT continues to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." to "2) MAC Control Client in the OLT may continue to grant the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU." Change the last sentence of 3) from "ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel." to "The ONU also purges any pending upstream transmission envelopes scheduled for the now disabled upstream channel and ignore any subsequent grants received." and change 4) from: "4) MAC Control Client in the OLT stops granting the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU only when the given upstream channel is confirmed to have been disabled on the ONU." to "4) MAC Control Client in the OLT shall stop granting the upstream channel UCn on the target ONU when the given upstream channel is confirmed to have been disabled on the ONU." Update PICS and format variables in the above appropriately. 	Replace subclause 144.4.2 with the text and drawings provided in kramer_3ca_7_0319 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 144 SC 144.4.3.5 Page 52 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:47 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 1	144.4.3.5	P 207	L18	# 427	C/ 144	SC 1	44.4.3.6	P 207	L 22	# 625
Hajduczenia, Mare	ek	Charter Comm	nunicatio		Kramer, G	len		Broadcom		
Comment Type	T Co	omment Status A			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		
	s. However, the	CPDU Processing State ere are also references Id be aligned			state d		shown in I	e OLT shall implement mu Figure 144–29 where each		
SuggestedRemed	'y				י חו וא	"heina re	aistorad"	does not mean that it was	successfully rea	istered Alos we may
Change all ins diagram" (2 in		P Processing state diag 44.4.3.6)	ram" to "CCPDL	J Processing state	want to		perators t	o switch channles in mutip		
Response	Re	sponse Status C			Suggested	lRemedy	,			
ACCEPT.								being registered." with "with		ed MLID."
C/ 144 SC 1	144.4.3.6	P 207	L20	# 654				after the "with each regist lso allow instances of CCF		e diagrams to be
Kramer, Glen		Broadcom			associ	iated with	n broadca	st or multicast MLIDs, if ar	ny are defined. In	such instances,
Comment Type	TR Co	omment Status A		postdeadline: PICS				r expiration events is o ntence ("The ONU shall'		
protocol. On o On the other s automatic retra	one side, we wa side, we need (ansmission is i	r that we had an archite anted CCP to specify tim CCP to support multicas not possible. Comment se, which is not good for	ner-based autom t and broadcast #625 had to res	natic retransmission. operation, where such sort to an	Response ACCE		RINCIPLE	Response Status C	,	
		etransmission of frames		ruption is not in scope	<i>Cl</i> 144 Remein, D		44.4.3.6	P 208 Futurewei Te	L 26 echnologie	# 614
SuggestedRemed	y				Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		
		e cc_timer and associat			No def	finition fo	or ActionR	esponseCode (should this	s be ActionResul	tCode?)
Processing SD in the OLT. In effect, the decision to retransmit or not, how soon, and how many times will be deferred to CCP Client. The client can also decide whether CC_REQUEST goes on unicast or multicast MLID and how to handle missed response(s)			S <i>uggested</i> Add a		, definition					
in multicast su				,	Response			Response Status C		
New OLT state	e diagram is sł	nown in kramer_3ca_12	0319.pdf				RINCIPLE	,		
	es are also refle	ected in the updated blo			Chang	je Action	Response	Code to ActionResultCod	e to match name	in Table 144–10
Response	Re	sponse Status C								

ACCEPT. Update PICS

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 144 SC 144.4.3.6 Page 53 of 54 3/13/2019 6:38:47 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.5 25/50G-EPON Task Force 6th Task Force review comments

<u></u>					"
C/ 144	SC 144.5	P 2	09	L1	# 425
Hajduczen	ia, Marek	Charte	er Com	municatio	
Comment PICS	<i>Type</i> TR needed and mise	Comment Status sing	Α		
Suggested Use ha	<i>lRemedy</i> ajduczenia_3ca_	_4_0319.pdf			
Response ACCE		Response Status	С		

C/ 144 SC 144.5