IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ FM SC FM Hajduczenia, Marek	P 1 Charter Comn	L 11 nunicatio	# 1		C/ 00 SC Powell, Bill	0	P 1 Nokia		L 17	# <u>119</u>	
Comment Type ER Match new PAR title SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A			AR		ge the title	Comment Status D, and project objecti e of our Draft D1.3 to o	ves have bee	en changed	to remove 100G	<i>PAR</i> i, it's
and 100 Gb/s Passive Management Paramete new PAR as approved The same change on p	•	al Layer Specific	ations and		Change the o Draft Standar Amendment: Physical Layo Management	current dra d for Ethe er Specifie Paramet	ernet cations and ers for 25 Gb/s,				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				50 Gb/s, and Networks	100 Gb/s	Passive Optical				
C/ FM SC FM Hajduczenia, Marek	P 8 Charter Comn	L 13 nunicatio	# 3		to: Draft Standar Amendment:	d for Ethe	ernet				
Comment Type E Update the name of the	Comment Status A e TF accordingly		P	AR	Physical Lay	Paramet	ers for 25 Gb/s and				
SuggestedRemedy Change "100G-EPON ⁻	Task Force" to "25&50G-EPC	ON Task Force"			Response ACCEPT.	·	Response Status	С			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.				See commer	ıt #1					
	.E. Task Force" to "Nx25G-EPOI	N Task Force"			See commer	n, # 1					

Proposed Responses

C/ 00 SC 0

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 00 SC 0 Powell, Bill	P 19 Nokia	L11	# 120	C/ 31A SC 31/ Hajduczenia, Marek	Α	P 23 Charter Comn	L 15 nunicatio	# 4	
,	mment Status A		PAF	•	R Commer	nt Status A	indinioatio		
Now that the PAR, CSD, and p time to change the title of our	project objectives hav			Missing SYNC_F	PATTERN MPCPD				
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			6 H		
Change the current draft title fi Draft Standard for Ethernet Amendment: Physical Layer Specifications Management Parameters for 2	and			00-18 SYNC_P unprotected area	a (SP) to all ONUs	.7 Used by OLT on the given POI	⁺to announce ele N Yes	ements of the FEC- "00-19 through 01-00"	"
50 Gb/s, and 100 Gb/s Passiv				-	-		liough of oo to		
Networks				Response ACCEPT.	Response	e Status C			
to: Draft Standard for Ethernet Amendment: Physical Layer Specifications	and			CI 31A SC 31A Kramer, Glen	A	P 23 Broadcom	L15	# 91	
Management Parameters for 2 50 Gb/s Passive Optical Netwo	25 Gb/s and			Comment Type T SYNC_PATTER	Commer N opcode is missir	nt Status A ng in Table 31A-1			
esponse Resp	ponse Status C			SuggestedRemedy					
ACCEPT. See comment #1				Opcode: 00-18. MAC Control fun Specified in: 144					
/ 00 SC 0 ajduczenia, Marek	P 89 Charter Com	<i>L</i> Imunicatio	# 28		: Notify the recipier s indicated by the p			ginning of	
	nment Status D			Response		e Status C			
The value of FEC_CW_EQ_S of a FEC codeword in Eqs: 25 codeword in LDPC(16952,143	Z does not seem to b 7 EQs is 18504 bits			ACCEPT IN PRI	, NCIPLE.	•			
uggestedRemedy				C/ 141 SC 141	1 2 7	P 38	L34	# 93	
The LDPC codeword size (169 is needed to figure out what th				Johnson, John	1.2.7	Broadcom	L 34	# 93	
at all				Comment Type T		nt Status A			
roposed Response Resp	oonse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			The meanings of	f US0/1 and DS0/1	are not defined i	n Table 141-7.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.			SuggestedRemedy					
Change size of FEC_CW_EQ	_SZ to <tbd> and m</tbd>	nark in red.		a. Downstream	the Downstream V wavelengths are dovelengths are defired	efined in Table 14	41-11.	avelength headers (b):	
AI for Mark and Glen to propos	se revision to the def	inition of this vari	able.	Response	-	e Status C			
				ACCEPT.	nesponse				
		annerel requires	T/technical E/editorial	G/gonoral		C/ 14	1	Page 2 of 43	

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 141 SC 141.2.7.1 P 39 L 34 # [182] Remein, Duane Huawei	C/ 141 SC 141.3.1.4 P41 L29 # 184 Remein, Duane Huawei
Comment Type T Comment Status A The footnote to tables 141-8 and 141-9 is incorrect "All OLT and ONU PMDs support the same coexistence mode, either X or G" SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "Paired OLT and ONU PMDs support the same coexistence mode, either X or G" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Table 141–8 and Table 141–9, change	Comment Type T Comment Status A 142.3 describes the receive PCS which does not turn any laser on or off. SuggestedRemedy Strike "and 142.3" While you're here fixe the xref {142.x.x.x} to 142.2.5.4.3 (in D1.3). Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment type changed to T.
All OLT and ONU PMDs support the same coexistence mode, either X or G to On an ODN, OLT and ONU PMDs support the same coexistence mode, either X or G	C/ 141 SC 141.3.2 P41 L52 # 185 Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Type T Comment Status A Given that each TP#[i] represents 2 TPs I believe there are more than "eight reference paints to heave in Figure 141.2"
2/ 141 SC 141.3.1.3 P41 L22 # [183] emein, Duane Huawei	points shown in Figure 141–2" SuggestedRemedy Strike "eight" (Engineers are typically able to count on their own)
Comment Type E Comment Status R Redundant statement in the same sentence "to the PMA defined in 142.4 to the PMA defined in 142.4"	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
SuggestedRemedy Strike the 2nd instance of "to the PMA defined in 142.4"	CI 141 SC 141.3.4 P43 L6 # 186 Remein, Duane Huawei
Response Response Status C REJECT.	Comment Type E Comment Status A Earlier PMD_UNITDATA[i].indication is defined as a primitive, we should be consistent. Same issue line 14.
After the strike the statement does not make sense. There are two different rates at which the PMA may operate.	SuggestedRemedy Change "message" to "primitive" Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.3.4

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 141 SC	\$ 141.3.5.1	P 43	L16	# 187	C/ 141	SC 141.3	.6	P 43	L 46	# 81
Remein, Duane		Huawei			Kramer, Gle	en		Broadcom		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A			Comment 7	<i>уре</i> т		Comment Status A		
duplication.		rly duplicated in 141.3.5.1 an						443 from Spokane: "Al for C consistently in Clause 141.		e a contribution to add
		AL_DETECT parameter shall ble 141–10 for Nx25G–EPOI		ccording to the	Suggested	Remedy				
"The value of	of the SIGNA	AL_DETECT parameter shall ble 141–10 for PMDs defined	be generated a		associa	ted with dif	ferent	ady treated consistently in (channels are distinguished t i.e., PMD_SIGNAL[i].indicat	by indexing the	associated
SuggestedReme	edy							s simply a boolean that take		
141.3.5.3: "T the conditior	The value of ns defined in	in 141.3.5.1 & 141.3.5.2 and the SIGNAL_DETECT para Table 141–10 for Nx25G–E 1.3.5.2 should then be combi	meter shall be g PON PMDs."	enerated according to			_	SIGNAL.request(tx_enable) (tx_enable) - 2 locations) should have '	'[i]" as well, e.g.,
Response ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			want to ONU ar	show two and the OLT	rrows The la	ed we want to be with Test F for SIGNAL_DETECT and t abels then would be for sign ation(SIGNAL_DETECT)	wo arrows for	tx_enable for every
141.3.5.3: "T generated a	Γhe value of ccording to t	in 141.3.5.1 & 141.3.5.2 and the SIGNAL_DETECT para the conditions defined in Tab 1.3.5.2 should then be combi	meter for Nx250 le 141–10."	–EPON PMDs shall be	PMD and for PMI	SIGNAL[1 tx enable a _SIGNAL[].indic rrows:)].requ	ation(SIGNAL_DETECT) ation(SIGNAL_DETECT) lest(tx_enable) lest(tx_enable)		
					But this	would mal	e the	figure too busy. So, I would	just leave it as	is.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In section 141.3.6, PMD_SIGNAL.request(tx_enable) should have "[i]" as well, e.g., PMD_SIGNAL[i].request(tx_enable) - 2 locations

C/ 141 SC 141.3.6

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

/ 141 SC 141.5.1 P44	L 39	# 5	C/ 141	SC 141.5.1	P 45	L1	# 94
ajduczenia, Marek Charter Co	mmunicatio		Johnson, J	John	Broadcom		
omment Type T Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
Hardly any need for 141.5.1 and 141.5.2, given the	nat there is no text i	n there right now.			Optical return loss tolerand		
uggestedRemedy				same as 10G-E S-EPON.	PON. The same values fo	r max ORL toleran	ice should be used for
Transmitter specification subclause in 10G-EPON from PMD tables and tie them with the measuren			Suggested	Remedy			
right now. There is also description of the relation					or Optical return loss tolera	ance (max) in Table	es 141-13, 14, 17 and
average power, which I believe we do not use (ar Receiver specification subclause in 10G-EPON (s				h a value of 15d			
from PMD tables and tie them with the measuren	,	inialive parameters	Response		Response Status C		
Suggest to copy text from 141.6.2 to 141.5.2, with			ACCE	PT.			
Text for 141.6.1 and 141.5.1 should be copied from 75.4.1) as applicable	om 10G-EPON (Cla	use 75, specifically	C/ 141	SC 141.5.1	P 45	L 1	# 95
sponse Response Status C			Johnson, J	John	Broadcom		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
See comment #188					nitter technology used for 1 ame values for RIN15OMA		
					tance should be used.	, Average laurion p	
141 SC 141.5.1 P44	L 40	# 188	Suggestea	Remedy			
mein, Duane Huawei				-	or PINISOMA (max) in Ta	blas 444 40 and 44	44.44
			Replac	Ce I DD values i	01 KINTSOWA (111ax) 11 Ta	bles 141-13 and 14	41-14 with a value of -
			128 dE	3/Hz.	· · · · · ·		
omment Type TR Comment Status A Section with no text Image: Comment Status Image: Comment Status			128 dE Replac	3/Hz. ce TBD values f	or Average launch power of	of OFF transmitter,	
Section with no text uggestedRemedy			128 dE Replac Tables Replac	B/Hz. ce TBD values f s 141-13 and 14 ce TBD values f	· · · · · ·	of OFF transmitter, 3m.	each channel (max) in
Section with no text ggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT			128 dE Replac Tables Replac value o	3/Hz. ce TBD values fr s 141-13 and 14 ce TBD values fr of -10 dB.	or Average launch power of 1-14 with a value of -39 dE or Transmitter reflectance	of OFF transmitter, 3m.	each channel (max) in
Section with no text uggestedRemedy	er class Nx25G-EPC	ON OLT PMD	128 dE Replac Tables Replac value d	B/Hz. ce TBD values fr s 141-13 and 14 ce TBD values fr of -10 dB.	or Average launch power of 1-14 with a value of -39 dE	of OFF transmitter, 3m.	each channel (max) in
Section with no text uggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high powe	er class Nx25G-EPC	ON OLT PMD	128 dE Replac Tables Replac value o	B/Hz. ce TBD values fr s 141-13 and 14 ce TBD values fr of -10 dB.	or Average launch power of 1-14 with a value of -39 dE or Transmitter reflectance	of OFF transmitter, 3m.	each channel (max) in
Section with no text ggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high powe transmitter shall comply with the parameters show	er class Nx25G-EPC	ON OLT PMD	128 dE Replac Tables Replac value d	B/Hz. ce TBD values fr s 141-13 and 14 ce TBD values fr of -10 dB.	or Average launch power of 1-14 with a value of -39 dE or Transmitter reflectance	of OFF transmitter, 3m.	each channel (max) in
Section with no text ggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power transmitter shall comply with the parameters show sponse Response Status C	er class Nx25G-EPC	ON OLT PMD	128 dE Replac Tables Replac value o <i>Response</i> ACCE	B/Hz. ce TBD values for s 141-13 and 14 ce TBD values for of -10 dB. PT. SC 141.5.1	or Average launch power of 1-14 with a value of -39 dE or Transmitter reflectance <i>Response Status</i> C	of OFF transmitter, 3m. (max) in Tables 14 <i>L</i> 1	each channel (max) in I1-13 and 141-14 with a
Section with no text ggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power transmitter shall comply with the parameters show esponse Response Status C	er class Nx25G-EPC	ON OLT PMD	128 dE Replac Tables Replac value o <i>Response</i> ACCE	B/Hz. ce TBD values for a 141-13 and 14 ce TBD values for of -10 dB. PT. SC 141.5.1 John	or Average launch power of 1-14 with a value of -39 dE or Transmitter reflectance <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 45	of OFF transmitter, 3m. (max) in Tables 14 <i>L</i> 1	each channel (max) in
Section with no text ggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power transmitter shall comply with the parameters show sponse Response Status C	er class Nx25G-EPC	ON OLT PMD	128 dE Replac Tables Replac value o <i>Response</i> ACCE <i>Cl</i> 141 Johnson, J <i>Comment</i> The sa	B/Hz. ce TBD values for s 141-13 and 14 ce TBD values for of -10 dB. PT. SC 141.5.1 John Type T ame OLT transm	or Average launch power of 1-14 with a value of -39 dE or Transmitter reflectance <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 45 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A hitter technology used for 1	of OFF transmitter, 3m. (max) in Tables 14 <i>L</i> 1 100GBASE-LR4 (E	each channel (max) in I1-13 and 141-14 with a # <u>96</u> ML) will be widely used
Section with no text ggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power transmitter shall comply with the parameters show sponse Response Status C	er class Nx25G-EPC	ON OLT PMD	128 dE Replac Tables Replac value o Response ACCE C/ 141 Johnson, J Comment The sa for Nx2	B/Hz. ce TBD values for s 141-13 and 14 ce TBD values for of -10 dB. PT. SC 141.5.1 John Type T ame OLT transm 25G-EPON. The	or Average launch power of 1-14 with a value of -39 dE or Transmitter reflectance <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 45 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A	of OFF transmitter, 3m. (max) in Tables 14 <i>L</i> 1 100GBASE-LR4 (E itter eye mask defi	each channel (max) in I1-13 and 141-14 with a # <u>96</u> ML) will be widely used
Section with no text ggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power transmitter shall comply with the parameters show sponse Response Status C	er class Nx25G-EPC	ON OLT PMD	128 dE Replac Tables Replac value o Response ACCE C/ 141 Johnson, J Comment The sa for Nx2	B/Hz. ce TBD values for s 141-13 and 14 ce TBD values for of -10 dB. PT. SC 141.5.1 John Type T ame OLT transm 25G-EPON. The hat this same eyestimes	or Average launch power of 1-14 with a value of -39 dE or Transmitter reflectance <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 45 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A hitter technology used for 1 e same values for Transm	of OFF transmitter, 3m. (max) in Tables 14 <i>L</i> 1 100GBASE-LR4 (E itter eye mask defi	each channel (max) in I1-13 and 141-14 with a # <u>96</u> ML) will be widely used
Section with no text ggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power transmitter shall comply with the parameters show sponse Response Status C	er class Nx25G-EPC	ON OLT PMD	128 dE Replac Tables Replac Value of Response ACCE Cl 141 Johnson, J Comment The sa for Nx2 Note ti Suggested Replac	3/Hz. ce TBD values for s 141-13 and 14 ce TBD values for of -10 dB. PT. SC 141.5.1 John Type T ame OLT transm 25G-EPON. The hat this same ey <i>IRemedy</i> ce TBD values for	or Average launch power of 1-14 with a value of -39 dE or Transmitter reflectance <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 45 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A hitter technology used for 1 e same values for Transm	of OFF transmitter, 3m. (max) in Tables 14 <i>L</i> 1 100GBASE-LR4 (E itter eye mask defi 0G-EPON. efinition in Tables	each channel (max) in I1-13 and 141-14 with a # <u>96</u> ML) will be widely used nition should be used. 141-13 and 141-14 with
Section with no text ggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power transmitter shall comply with the parameters show sponse Response Status C	er class Nx25G-EPC	ON OLT PMD	128 dE Replac Tables Replac Value of Response ACCE Cl 141 Johnson, J Comment The sa for Nx2 Note ti Suggested Replac	B/Hz. ce TBD values for s 141-13 and 14 ce TBD values for of -10 dB. PT. SC 141.5.1 John Type T ame OLT transm 25G-EPON. The hat this same ey <i>IRemedy</i> ce TBD values for e of {0.25, 0.4, 0	or Average launch power of 1-14 with a value of -39 dE or Transmitter reflectance <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 45 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A nitter technology used for 1 e same values for Transm re mask is also used for 10 or Transmitter eye mask d	of OFF transmitter, 3m. (max) in Tables 14 <i>L</i> 1 100GBASE-LR4 (E itter eye mask defi 0G-EPON. efinition in Tables	each channel (max) in I1-13 and 141-14 with a # <u>96</u> ML) will be widely used nition should be used. 141-13 and 141-14 with
Section with no text ggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power transmitter shall comply with the parameters show sponse Response Status C	er class Nx25G-EPC	ON OLT PMD	128 dE Replac Tables Replac value of <i>Response</i> ACCE <i>Cl</i> 141 Johnson, J <i>Comment</i> The sa for NX Note til <i>Suggested</i> Replac a value	3/Hz. ce TBD values for s 141-13 and 14 ce TBD values for of -10 dB. PT. SC 141.5.1 John Type T ame OLT transm 25G-EPON. The hat this same ey <i>IRemedy</i> ce TBD values for e of {0.25, 0.4, 0	or Average launch power of 1-14 with a value of -39 dE or Transmitter reflectance <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 45 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A hitter technology used for 10 e same values for Transmiter eye mask d 0.45, 0.25, 0.28, 0.4} UI. A	of OFF transmitter, 3m. (max) in Tables 14 <i>L</i> 1 100GBASE-LR4 (E itter eye mask defi 0G-EPON. efinition in Tables	each channel (max) in 11-13 and 141-14 with a # <u>96</u> ML) will be widely used nition should be used. 141-13 and 141-14 with

Page 5 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:17 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 141 SC 141.5.1	P 46	L1	# 97	C/ 141 SC 141.5.2	P 47	L1	# 98
lohnson, John	Broadcom			Johnson, John	Broadcom		
	ment Status A			Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
The TF agreed at the May 2018 (OMA), each channel (max). M power, each channel (max). Re	laximum TX output p	ower is defined b	by Average launch	(APD in TO-can). The	eiver technology will be used e same value of receiver refle		
SuggestedRemedy			r for baonground	SuggestedRemedy			
Remove line for Optical Modula and 141-18.	ation Amplitude (OMA	A), each channel	(max) in Table 141-14	value of -12 dB.	or Receiver reflectance (max) in Tables 141-1	15 and 141-16 with a
	onse Status C			Response	Response Status C		
ACCEPT.				ACCEPT.			
ACCEPT.				C/ 141 SC 141.5.2	P 47	L11	# 7
2/ 141 SC 141.5.1	P 46	L 30	# 6	Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Com	municatio	
ajduczenia, Marek	Charter Comn	municatio		Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		
Comment Type T Com Missing parameters in Table 14	nment Status A			Given that 10G upstre	eam PMD definition (OLT Rx) code, can parameters define		
SuggestedRemedy				no additional mapping	/ adaptation? Given that the	raw BER (per-Fl	EC) is lower than in 10
,	141–14 with {TRD}			EPON, it seems numl reference	pers need to be updated at lea	ast, using Clause	e 75 numbers for
Replace empty entries in Table	. ,						
Response Resp	onse Status C			SuggestedRemedy			
,	. ,			SuggestedRemedy Per comment			
Response Resp	onse Status C	if there are any e	empty entries after this	SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C LE.		
Response Res	onse Status C	if there are any e		SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE.	ameters in Table	e 141–15 and Table
Response Res	onse Status C e 141–14 with {TBD},		empty entries after this # 189	SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Add a footnote to the 141–16 with the follow	LE. column using 10G-EPON par <i>v</i> ing text: "Individual 10G-EPC	N PMD parame	ters are reused withou
Response Res	onse Status C e 141–14 with {TBD}, P 44 Huawei			SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Add a footnote to the 141–16 with the follow	LE.	N PMD parame	ters are reused withou
esponse Response Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table meeting. / 141 SC 141.5.2 emein, Duane comment Type TR Comment Type R	onse Status C 141–14 with {TBD},			SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Add a footnote to the 141–16 with the follow	LE. column using 10G-EPON par <i>v</i> ing text: "Individual 10G-EPC	N PMD parame	ters are reused withou
Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table meeting. 141 SC 141.5.2 remein, Duane Comment Type TR Comment Type Section with no text	onse Status C e 141–14 with {TBD}, P 44 Huawei			SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Add a footnote to the 141–16 with the follow change at a higher pro-	LE. column using 10G-EPON par ving text: "Individual 10G-EPC e-FEC bit error ratio shown in	N PMD parame Table 141–[15/1	ters are reused withou 16]"
Response Response Response Response Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table meeting. Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Com Section with no text SuggestedRemedy	onse Status C 141–14 with {TBD}, P44 Huawei ament Status A	L 44	# 189	SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Add a footnote to the 141–16 with the follow change at a higher pro- Cl 141 SC 141.5.2	LE. column using 10G-EPON par ving text: "Individual 10G-EPC e-FEC bit error ratio shown in P 48	N PMD parame Table 141–[15/1	ters are reused withou 16]"
Response Response Response Response Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table meeting. Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Com Section with no text SuggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class N	onse Status C 141–14 with {TBD}, P44 Huawei oment Status A	L 44 MD receiver shall	# <u>189</u>	SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Add a footnote to the 141–16 with the follow change at a higher pro- Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Johnson, John Comment Type T	LE. column using 10G-EPON par ving text: "Individual 10G-EPC e-FEC bit error ratio shown in P48 Broadcom Comment Status A	DN PMD parame Table 141–[15/1 <i>L</i> 1	ters are reused withou 16]" # <u>99</u>
Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table meeting. C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Comment Type TR ComgestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class N parameters shown in Table 141 shall comply with the parameter	onse Status C 141–14 with {TBD}, P44 Huawei ament Status A Ix25G-EPON OLT PM 1-15. A high power c brs shown in Table 14	L44 MD receiver shall class Nx25G-EPC	# <u>189</u>	SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Add a footnote to the 141–16 with the follow change at a higher pro- Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Johnson, John Comment Type T The TF agreed at the (max). Maximum RX	LE. column using 10G-EPON par ving text: "Individual 10G-EPC e-FEC bit error ratio shown in P48 Broadcom Comment Status A May 2018 meeting to not spe output power is defined by Av	DN PMD parame Table 141–[15/1 L1 cify Receive pow	ters are reused withou 16]" # <u>99</u> wer, each channel (OM
Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table meeting. C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Section with no text SuggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class N parameters shown in Table 141 shall comply with the parameter Table references should be live	onse Status C 2 141–14 with {TBD}, P44 Huawei oment Status A Ix25G-EPON OLT PM 1-15. A high power c prs shown in Table 14 2.	L44 MD receiver shall class Nx25G-EPC	# <u>189</u>	SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Add a footnote to the 141–16 with the follow change at a higher pro- Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Johnson, John Comment Type T The TF agreed at the (max). Maximum RX	LE. column using 10G-EPON par ving text: "Individual 10G-EPC e-FEC bit error ratio shown in P48 Broadcom Comment Status A May 2018 meeting to not spe	DN PMD parame Table 141–[15/1 L1 cify Receive pow	ters are reused withou 16]" # <u>99</u> wer, each channel (OM
Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table meeting. C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Section with no text SuggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class N parameters shown in Table 141 shall comply with the parameter Table references should be live Response Response	onse Status C 141–14 with {TBD}, P44 Huawei ament Status A Ix25G-EPON OLT PM 1-15. A high power c brs shown in Table 14	L44 MD receiver shall class Nx25G-EPC	# <u>189</u>	SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Add a footnote to the 141–16 with the follow change at a higher pro- Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Johnson, John Comment Type T The TF agreed at the (max). Maximum RX (max). Refer to johns SuggestedRemedy	LE. column using 10G-EPON par ving text: "Individual 10G-EPO e-FEC bit error ratio shown in P48 Broadcom Comment Status A May 2018 meeting to not spe output power is defined by Av on_3ca_1a_0518, slide 17 fo	DN PMD parame Table 141–[15/1 L1 cify Receive pov rerage receive pov r background.	ters are reused withou 16]" # <u>99</u> wer, each channel (OM ower, each channel
Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table meeting. Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Section with no text SuggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class N parameters shown in Table 141 shall comply with the parameter Table references should be live	onse Status C 2 141–14 with {TBD}, P44 Huawei oment Status A Ix25G-EPON OLT PM 1-15. A high power c prs shown in Table 14 2.	L44 MD receiver shall class Nx25G-EPC	# <u>189</u>	SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Add a footnote to the 141–16 with the follow change at a higher pro- Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Johnson, John Comment Type T The TF agreed at the (max). Maximum RX (max). Refer to johns SuggestedRemedy	LE. column using 10G-EPON par ving text: "Individual 10G-EPC e-FEC bit error ratio shown in P48 Broadcom Comment Status A May 2018 meeting to not spe output power is defined by Av	DN PMD parame Table 141–[15/1 L1 cify Receive pov rerage receive pov r background.	ters are reused withou 16]" # <u>99</u> wer, each channel (OM ower, each channel
Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table meeting. CI 141 SC 141.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Section with no text SuggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class N parameters shown in Table 141 shall comply with the parameter Table references should be live Response Response	onse Status C 2 141–14 with {TBD}, P44 Huawei oment Status A Ix25G-EPON OLT PM 1-15. A high power c prs shown in Table 14 2.	L44 MD receiver shall class Nx25G-EPC	# <u>189</u>	SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Add a footnote to the 141–16 with the follow change at a higher pro- Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Johnson, John Comment Type T The TF agreed at the (max). Maximum RX (max). Refer to johns SuggestedRemedy Remove line for Rece	LE. column using 10G-EPON par ving text: "Individual 10G-EPO e-FEC bit error ratio shown in P48 Broadcom Comment Status A May 2018 meeting to not spe output power is defined by Av on_3ca_1a_0518, slide 17 fo	DN PMD parame Table 141–[15/1 L1 cify Receive pov rerage receive pov r background.	ters are reused withou 16]" # <u>99</u> wer, each channel (OM ower, each channel

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gen	eral required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 141	Page 6 of 43
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 141.5.2	11/14/2018 1:26:17 AM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 141 SC 141.6.1 Remein, Duane	P 49 Huawei	L 40	# 190	C/ 141 SC · Remein, Duane	41.6.1	P 51 Huawei	L1	# 192
<i>comment Type</i> TR Section with no text	Comment Status A			Comment Type Footnotes for	E Table 14	Comment Status A 1-17 appear on next page	without a table cor	ntinuation header.
parameters shown in		ass Nx25G-EPC	ON ONU PMD	creates a con the table. <i>Response</i>	blem, the	e table could be extended header or shortened so the Response Status C		
ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCEPT IN F			_	
X 141 SC 141.6.1 ohnson, John	P 50 Broadcom	L1	# 101		141.6.2	editor to investigate options P53 Huawei	L 2	# 194
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		
used. SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD values	N. The same values for Trans for Transmitter eye mask defir 0.45, 0.34, 0.38, 0.4} UI. Add	nition in Tables 1	41-17 and 141-18 with	with both table stressed sens PMDs shall m lause 141 ON	e 141-19 itivity, ref eet the s U PMDs,	requirement leads one to and 20: "The signaling spe lectance, and signal detec pecifications defined in T a per measurement technic	eed, operating wav at for receivers form able 141–19 and T	elength, overload, ning part of the ONU able 141–20 for C
esponse ACCEPT.	Response Status C		<u> </u>	reflectance, a	he signal nd signal	ing speed, operating wave detect for receivers formir n Table 141–19 or Table 1	ng part of the ONU	PMDs shall meet the
/ 141 SC 141.6.1	P 50	L 1	# 100			les defined in 141.7.		
ohnson, John	Broadcom			Proposed Respon		Response Status W		
Nx25G-EPON. The s	Comment Status A mitter technology used for 100 same values for RIN15OMA, A ctance should be used.			PROPOSED				
uggestedRemedy	clance should be used.				41.6.2	P 53	L 7	# 8
,	for RIN15OMA (max) in Tables	s 141-17 and 14	I-18 with a value of -	Hajduczenia, Mare			mmunicatio	
128 dB/Hz. Replace TBD values	for Average launch power of C			51	TR hold is no	Comment Status A ot defined in Table 141-11		
	41-18 with a value of -45 dBm. for Transmitter reflectance (ma	ax) in Tables 141	-17 and 141-18 with a			n Table 141-11 to "Table 14	41–19 or Table 14 ⁻	1–20" (2 locations on
esponse	Response Status C			page 53)				
ACCEPT.				Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
•	red ER/editorial required GR/ dispatched A/accepted R/reje Subclause, page, line	u .		0	drawn		141 141.6.2	Page 7 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

% 141 SC 141.6.2 P53 L20 # 9 lajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio	Cl 141 SC 141.7.4 P55 L34 # 196 Remein, Duane Huawei
omment Type TR Comment Status A No 50GBASE-PQG-U2 in Table 141-19?	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
In Sugarse-Pag-02 in Table 141-19?	Is the phrase "any valid encoded 256B/257B data stream" meant to imply a scramble stream also? If so we should be explicit.
It is defined in Table 141–17, and should be included in Table 141-19 as well	SuggestedRemedy
esponse Response Status C	Change to "any valid 256B/257B encoded and scrambled data stream (see 142.2)."
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.
Add 50GBASE-PQG-U2 to Table 141-19	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
/ 141 SC 141.7 <i>P</i> 55 <i>L</i> 3 # 105 Dhnson, John Broadcom	Looking at .3av, no reference to scrambling was being made.
omment Type T Comment Status A	C/ 141 SC 141.7.6 P55 L43 # 103
TBD Corner frequencies should be based on 10G-EPON for 10G receivers (see 75.7) and	Johnson, John Broadcom
on 100CBASE P4 (coo 99 9 5 3) for 25C and 50C receivers	
on 100GBASE-LR4 (see 88.8.5.3) for 25G and 50G receivers.	Comment Type T Comment Status A
ggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status A OMA test procedure is required.
<i>iggestedRemedy</i> Change first sentence to read: "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at	OMA test procedure is required. SuggestedRemedy Use the OMA test procedure as defined in 88.8.4.
uggestedRemedy Change first sentence to read: "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at frequencies below receiver corner frequencies (i.e., 10 MHz for 25.78125 GBd receiver and	OMA test procedure is required. SuggestedRemedy
uggestedRemedy Change first sentence to read: "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at frequencies below receiver corner frequencies (i.e., 10 MHz for 25.78125 GBd receiver and 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd receiver) are filtered at the measurement unit." esponse Response Status C ACCEPT.	OMA test procedure is required. SuggestedRemedy Use the OMA test procedure as defined in 88.8.4. Response Response Status
ggestedRemedy Change first sentence to read: "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at frequencies below receiver corner frequencies (i.e., 10 MHz for 25.78125 GBd receiver and 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd receiver) are filtered at the measurement unit." sponse Response Status ACCEPT. 141 SC 141.7 P55 L3 # 195	OMA test procedure is required. SuggestedRemedy Use the OMA test procedure as defined in 88.8.4. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace TBD with "See 88.8.4" and use proper formatting. C/ 141 SC 141.7.7 P55 L47 # 104
ggestedRemedy Change first sentence to read: "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at frequencies below receiver corner frequencies (i.e., 10 MHz for 25.78125 GBd receiver and 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd receiver) are filtered at the measurement unit." sponse Response Status C ACCEPT. 141 SC 141.7 P55 L3 # 195 mein, Duane Huawei mment Type T Comment Status A	OMA test procedure is required. SuggestedRemedy Use the OMA test procedure as defined in 88.8.4. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace TBD with "See 88.8.4" and use proper formatting. C/ 141 SC 141.7.7 P55 L47 # 104 Johnson, John Broadcom
ggestedRemedy Change first sentence to read: "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at frequencies below receiver corner frequencies (i.e., 10 MHz for 25.78125 GBd receiver and 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd receiver) are filtered at the measurement unit." sponse Response Status C ACCEPT. 141 SC 141.7 P55 L3 # 195 mein, Duane Huawei Huawei To comment Status A This sentence seems out of place "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at frequencies below receiver corner frequencies	OMA test procedure is required. SuggestedRemedy Use the OMA test procedure as defined in 88.8.4. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace TBD with "See 88.8.4" and use proper formatting. C/ 141 SC 141.7.7 P55 L47 # 104
AuggestedRemedy Change first sentence to read: "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at frequencies below receiver corner frequencies (i.e., 10 MHz for 25.78125 GBd receiver and 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd receiver) are filtered at the measurement unit." exponse Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. 141 SC 141.7 P55 L3 # 195 emein, Duane Huawei omment Type T Comment Status A This sentence seems out of place "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at frequencies below receiver corner frequencies (i.e., {TBD}) are filtered at the measurement unit."	OMA test procedure is required. SuggestedRemedy Use the OMA test procedure as defined in 88.8.4. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace TBD with "See 88.8.4" and use proper formatting. C/ 141 SC 141.7.7 P55 L 447 # 104 Johnson, John Broadcom Comment Type T
uggestedRemedy Change first sentence to read: "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at frequencies below receiver corner frequencies (i.e., 10 MHz for 25.78125 GBd receiver and 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd receiver) are filtered at the measurement unit." esponse Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. 141 SC 141.7 P55 L3 # 195 emein, Duane Huawei Huawei This sentence seems out of place "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at frequencies below receiver corner frequencies	OMA test procedure is required. SuggestedRemedy Use the OMA test procedure as defined in 88.8.4. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace TBD with "See 88.8.4" and use proper formatting. C/ 141 SC 141.7.7 P55 L47 Johnson, John Broadcom Comment Type T Comment Status A RIN_OMA test procedure is required.
ProgressedRemedy Change first sentence to read: "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at frequencies below receiver corner frequencies (i.e., 10 MHz for 25.78125 GBd receiver and 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd receiver) are filtered at the measurement unit." esponse Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. 141 SC 141.7 P55 L3 # 195 mein, Duane Huawei Fuawei 195 mment Type T Comment Status A This sentence seems out of place "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at frequencies below receiver corner frequencies (i.e., {TBD}) are filtered at the measurement unit." ImagestedRemedy Move to 141.7.12 where it is more appropriate Move to 141.7.12 where it is more appropriate ImagestedRemedy	OMA test procedure is required. SuggestedRemedy Use the OMA test procedure as defined in 88.8.4. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace TBD with "See 88.8.4" and use proper formatting. C/ 141 SC 141.7.7 P55 L47 Johnson, John Broadcom Comment Type T Comment Status A RIN_OMA test procedure is required. SuggestedRemedy Use the RIN20OMA test procedure as definied in 88.8.7 with the exception that the o
uggestedRemedy Change first sentence to read: "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at frequencies below receiver corner frequencies (i.e., 10 MHz for 25.78125 GBd receiver and 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd receiver) are filtered at the measurement unit." esponse Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. 141 SC 141.7 P55 L3 # 195 emein, Duane Huawei Huawei Domment Type T Comment Status A This sentence seems out of place "When measuring jitter at TP1[i] and TP5[i], it is recommended that jitter contributions at frequencies below receiver corner frequencies (i.e., {TBD}) are filtered at the measurement unit." UggestedRemedy Move to 141.7.12 where it is more appropriate Maximum appropriate	OMA test procedure is required. SuggestedRemedy Use the OMA test procedure as defined in 88.8.4. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace TBD with "See 88.8.4" and use proper formatting. Cl 141 SC 141.7.7 P55 L47 Johnson, John Broadcom Comment Type T Comment Status A RIN_OMA test procedure is required. SuggestedRemedy Use the RIN20OMA test procedure as definied in 88.8.7 with the exception that the oreturn loss is 15 dB.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn C/ 141 SC 141.7.7 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 8 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:17 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 141 SC 141.7.	.8 P56	L 3	# 106	C/ 141 SC 141.7.	14.1 P59	L15	# 201
Johnson, John	Broadcor	n		Remein, Duane	Huawei		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		
	e mask references should be	e based on 100GBA	ASE-LR4 for 25GBd OLT	"Tx_Enable" should	be "Tx_Enable[i]"		
IX and on 25GBAS SuggestedRemedy	SE-LR for 25Bd ONU TX.			SuggestedRemedy per comment			
Change the sentend "The required trans the transmitter eye method shall be acc	mitter pulse shape characte diagram as shown in Figure	ristics are specified 86-4 for PQ type P	in the form of a mask of MDs, and the test	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C			C/ 141 SC 141.9 Ferretti, Vince	P 61 Corning	L 28	# 159
				Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		
Change the sentend "The required transi form of a mask of th shall be according t	mitter pulse shape characte he transmitter eye diagram a	ristics for PQ type F as shown in Figure 8	PMDs are specified in the 86-4 and the test method	Re-write of of section informative fiber and SuggestedRemedy	n 141.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and I cable charactertistics		normative and
C/ 141 SC 141.7.	.13.1 P57	L 25	# 197	Normative reference	e for dispersion removed from	141.9 paragraph.	
Remein, Duane	Huawei			Response	Response Status C		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.		
51	Comment Status A can be more accurate regar	ding the Upstream	data during Ton to Tcdr.			nce to G.652-201	6.
In Figure 141-3 we		ding the Upstream	data during Ton to Tcdr.	See Ferretti_3ca_1a	_1112.pdf, use "dated" referer		
In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to "S		love the Toff dimen	-		_1112.pdf, use "dated" referer	nce to G.652-201	6. # <u>160</u>
In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to "S align with Ton and T	can be more accurate regar Synchronization Pattern". M	love the Toff dimen	-	See Ferretti_3ca_1a C/ 141 SC 141.91	_1112.pdf, use "dated" referen P 61		
In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to "S align with Ton and T	can be more accurate regar Synchronization Pattern". M Tcdr dimensions not the sign	love the Toff dimen	-	See Ferretti_3ca_1a Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section	1112.pdf, use "dated" referer P 61 Corning	L 42	# 160
In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to "S align with Ton and T Response ACCEPT. Cl 141 SC 141.7.	can be more accurate regar Synchronization Pattern". M Tcdr dimensions not the sign <i>Response Status</i> C	love the Toff dimen	-	See Ferretti_3ca_1a Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section informative fiber and SuggestedRemedy	<u>1112.pdf, use "dated" referen</u> P61 Corning <i>Comment Status</i> A n 141.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and	L 42 141.9.3 to define	# 160
In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to "S align with Ton and T Response ACCEPT. C/ 141 SC 141.7. Remein, Duane	can be more accurate regar Synchronization Pattern". M Tcdr dimensions not the sigu <i>Response Status</i> C .13.2 <i>P</i> 58	love the Toff dimen nal base-line.	sion line down slightly to	See Ferretti_3ca_1a Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section informative fiber and SuggestedRemedy	P61 Corning Comment Status A n 141.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and I cable charactertistics	L 42 141.9.3 to define	# 160
In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to "S align with Ton and T Response ACCEPT. C/ 141 SC 141.7. Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Figure 141-4 appea	can be more accurate regar Synchronization Pattern". M Tcdr dimensions not the sign <i>Response Status</i> C .13.2 <i>P</i> 58 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> A ars to redefined TP4[i] and, g	love the Toff dimennal base-line.	sion line down slightly to # 198 obal) turns on all	See Ferretti_3ca_1a Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section informative fiber and SuggestedRemedy Updated table refere	P61 Corning Comment Status A n 141.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and I cable charactertistics ence from Table 141.21 to Tab Response Status C	L 42 141.9.3 to define	# 160
In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to "S align with Ton and T Response ACCEPT. Cl 141 SC 141.7. Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Figure 141-4 appea channels at the sam	can be more accurate regar Synchronization Pattern". M Tcdr dimensions not the sign <i>Response Status</i> C .13.2 <i>P</i> 58 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> A	love the Toff dimennal base-line.	sion line down slightly to # 198 obal) turns on all	See Ferretti_3ca_1a Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section informative fiber and SuggestedRemedy Updated table reference Response	P61 Corning Comment Status A n 141.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and I cable charactertistics ence from Table 141.21 to Tab Response Status C	L 42 141.9.3 to define	# 160
In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to "S align with Ton and T Response ACCEPT. CI 141 SC 141.7. Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Figure 141-4 appea channels at the san SuggestedRemedy Change "Tx_Enable Remove TP4[i], MD	can be more accurate regar Synchronization Pattern". M Tcdr dimensions not the sign <i>Response Status</i> C .13.2 <i>P</i> 58 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> A ars to redefined TP4[i] and, g ne time so measurement of	love the Toff dimen- nal base-line. <i>L</i> 5 given Tx_Enable (gl individual channels f the system, TP3 is	sion line down slightly to # <u>198</u> obal) turns on all is impossible as shown.	See Ferretti_3ca_1a Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section informative fiber and SuggestedRemedy Updated table refere Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	P61 Corning Comment Status A n 141.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and I cable charactertistics ence from Table 141.21 to Tab Response Status C	L 42 141.9.3 to define	# 160
In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to "S align with Ton and T Response ACCEPT. Cl 141 SC 141.7. Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Figure 141-4 appea channels at the sam SuggestedRemedy Change "Tx_Enable Remove TP4[i], MD	can be more accurate regar Synchronization Pattern". M Tcdr dimensions not the sign <i>Response Status</i> C .13.2 <i>P</i> 58 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> A ars to redefined TP4[i] and, g ne time so measurement of e" to "Tx_Enable[i]" D to the right (it is not part of	love the Toff dimen- nal base-line. <i>L</i> 5 given Tx_Enable (gl individual channels f the system, TP3 is	sion line down slightly to # <u>198</u> obal) turns on all is impossible as shown.	See Ferretti_3ca_1a Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section informative fiber and SuggestedRemedy Updated table refere Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	P61 Corning Comment Status A n 141.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and I cable charactertistics ence from Table 141.21 to Tab Response Status C	L 42 141.9.3 to define	# 160

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 141 SC 141.91 Page 9 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:17 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

S/ 141 SC 141.92 P61 L47 # 161 erretti, Vince Corning	C/ 142 SC 142.1.3.1 P68 L50 # 85 Kramer, Glen Broadcom
	Comment Type T Comment Status A
Re-write of of section 141.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and 141.9.3 to define normative and informative fiber and cable charactertistics	"a concatenation of x bits of SP1 (x is between 1 and 257) and (257-x) bits of SP2" This text is poorly formed, as the first parenthetical expression meant to be an explanation of x and the second parenthetical expression meant to represent a number.
SuggestedRemedy Added normative and informative information fiber and cable dispersion uincluding informative table with nominal wavelengths of UW and DW channels	SuggestedRemedy Replace the text with this: " "concatenation of x bits of SP1 and y bits of SP2, where x is between 1 and 257, and x + y = 257" (Show x and y in italics)
Response Response Status C	Response $Response Status C$
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT.
See comment #159	C/ 142 SC 142.2 P69 L34 # 45
% 141 SC 141.93 P62 L1 # 162	Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
erretti, Vince Corning	Comment Type T Comment Status A
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Figure 142-5 is missing
Re-write of of section 141.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and 141.9.3 to define normative and informative fiber and cable charactertistics	SuggestedRemedy
	Mark it as TBD at this time.
SuggestedRemedy Removed Table 141.20 as it should have been in section 141.92. Removed references to splitter and fiber specifications as they are not needed	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	See comment #77
See comment #159	C/ 142 SC 142.2 P70 L1 # 77 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Broadcom
X 142 SC 142.1.3 P66 L52 # 107 aubach, Mark Broadcom	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Transmit bit order (Figure 142-5) is missing
Comment Type T Comment Status A	SuggestedRemedy Insert figure 142-5 as shown in kramer_3ca_4_1118.pdf
"Figure 142-1" is not introduced in any preceding text.	Response Response Status C
"Figure 142-1" is not introduced in any preceding text. SuggestedRemedy Editor's choice to add a sentence in the appropriate preceding clause on Page 65 prior to the mention of Figure 142-2 on line 16 that introduces the Figure 142-1.	ACCEPT.

C/ 142 SC 142.2

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

Laubach, Mark	P 70 Broadcom	L 2	# 108	C/ 142 SC 142.2.2 P70 L38 # 82 Kramer, Glen Broadcom
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type T Comment Status A
There is space for the or shown, it is blank and r	drawing for Figure 142-5 "Trar	nsmit bit orderin	g", but nothing is	Scrambler defined in C49 only scrambles 64-bit blocks of data, not the 66 bits. (The sync headers are not scrambled). Also, we don't say anything about the scrambler synchronization for each upstream burst.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy
Provide the figure if ava Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL See comment #77	ailable or an Editor's note mer <i>Response Status</i> C E.	ntioning the inte	ntional absence.	 Replace "Each 66-bit block is scrambled using the scrambling function defined in 49.2.6." with "The payload of each 66-bit block is scrambled using the scrambling function defined in 49.2.6." 2) Add new paragraph following the above sentence:
C/ 142 SC 142.2.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 69 Charter Comm	L 44 nunicatio	# 47	"In the ONU, at the beginning of each burst, the scrambler is initialized with the unscrambled value of IBI_EQ (see 143.3.3.3)." 3) Add a new paragraph at the end of section 142.3.3 Descrambler:
<i>Comment Type</i> TR This subclause has the	Comment Status A e total of 3 sentences			"In the OLT, at the beginning of each burst, the descrambler is initialized with the unscrambled value of IBI_EQ (see 143.3.3.3)." Response Response Status C
SuggestedRemedy				ACCEPT.
Change first two senter	nces to read as follows			
	des a 72-bit tx_raw vector into block type fields in Figure 49- 5, and 0x4B.			C/ 142 SC 142.2.4 P70 L52 # 132 Powell, Bill Nokia Nokia Image: Status R Image: Stat
There are no other exc	eptions listed in this subclause	e		sentence: using LDPC(16952,14392) FEC, defined
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy
, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL				there is no reason to introduce specific LDPC-related notation here; propose to rewrite: using the FEC Encoder specified in 142.2.4.1.
	e "The PCS bit transmission o sion order is illustrated in Figu		n Figure 142–5." to	Response Response Status C REJECT.
C/ 142 SC 142.2.1.1	P 70	L1	# 170	This is the only location where LDPC codeword size is defined in a simple manner
Vey, Jun Shan	ZTE TX			
Comment Type TR Figure 142-5 is missing	Comment Status A			
SuggastadBamadu				
SuggestedRemedy Restore the figure				
	Response Status C E.			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4 Page 11 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:17 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4 P	70 L52	# 135	C/ 142 SC 142.2.4	. P 70	L 53	# 134	
owell, Bill Nok	ia		Powell, Bill	Nokia			
omment Type TR Comment Statu	s R		Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			
We think that there are a lot of issues with be significantly improved by first describing	g the full FEC matrix, an			ents apply to various instances	Ū		
puncturing, shortening, and interleaving in	the light sequence.			is generally used to describe r into a sequence that is inter			
uggestedRemedy My colleagues and I volunteer to re-write s interested parties) if we could get the sour			The current use of "in	nterleaver" and "de-interleaver	r" should be rever	sed in theses sections.	
will exist in D1.4) in MS Word docx, RTF,			6	etwork" and "reverse omega r	network" are also	used in these sections	
We will discuss our proposed plan and not write.	tation offline with interes	ted parties before our re-	where: - omega network corresponds to de-interleaver and				
If this is renerally accepted by the group (a	and the editor to provide	the text in one of these	- reverse omega net	vork corresponds to "interleav	'er"		
formats), we can skip all of our other follow relative to D1.3.				d be clearer to use interleaver of the omega network and reve			
esponse Response Status	s C		SuggestedRemedy				
REJECT.			Proposed changes:				
No changes required at this time.			Change all instances - Change "interleave - Change "de-interlea		ws:		
	70 L52	# 49	-				
ajduczenia, Marek Cha Cha Comment Type T Comment Statu	rter Communicatio			twork" to "de-interleaver" nega network" to "interleaver"			
We are still missing an Annex to provide a		52,14392) FEC encoding.	Proposed Response	Response Status Z			
uggestedRemedy			REJECT.				
Add a new Annex 142A with the title "Enco Change "gives an example of {TBD} FEC	oding example for LDPC Encoding" to "gives an e	(16952,14392) FEC" xample of	This comment was V	VITHDRAWN by the commen	ter.		
LDPC(16952,14392) FEC encoding" Is content included in 142.2.4.5 Example o used as an encoding example?	of initial control seed sec	uence intended to be	C/ 142 SC 142.2.4 Powell, Bill	. P 70 Nokia	L 53	# 133	
esponse Response Status	s C		Comment Type ER	Comment Status A			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			reference to non-exis	ting section: 142.2.2.5.1			
Add a new Annex 142A with the title "Enco interleaving"	•		SuggestedRemedy Add section or remov	ve reference			
Change "gives an example of {TBD} FEC LDPC(16952,14392) FEC encoding and in	Encoding" to "gives an e aterleaving"	example of	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status C			
Move content from 142.2.4.5 into new Anr			ACCEPT IN PRINCI	- LL.			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	C/ 142	Page 12 of 43	
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 142.2.4	11/14/2018 1:26:17 AM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1	P 71 Nokia	L 2	# 136	C/ 142 SC Powell, Bill	142.2.4.1	P 71 Nokia	L 3	# 137				
<i>Comment Type</i> ER sentence: produced	Comment Status R			Comment Type	ER to channel	Comment Status A encoding is						
uggestedRemedy rewrite: generated b	y the FEC Encoder			SuggestedRemed rewrite: to the		der is						
esponse REJECT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C						
Not clear what the prop	oosed change achieves.				142.2.4.1	P 71	L 5	# 139				
/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1	P 71	L 3	# 138	Powell, Bill		Nokia						
owell, Bill	Nokia			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R						
omment Type TR	Comment Status R			sentence:	where M is	the number of parity-check	bits.					
	voluted; it would make most s			SuggestedRemed	ły							
	ecified by an mxn shift-matrix word length: N* = nZ and the			rewrite: wh	ere M is th	e number of "transmitted" p	arity-check bits.					
	I to specify $k = n-m$, and $K^* =$			Response		Response Status C						
	ne definition of the code and i			REJECT.								
	, where K <= K_max <= K*, a nd not transmitted - this way,			Unclear of wh	at the purp	ose of "transmitted" is and	what the change	e achieves.				
	encoder. The first M = M* - 5 naining parity-check bits do n				142.2.4.1	P 71		# 143				
need a puncturing mod	lule in the encoder). Using thi			Powell. Bill	142.2.4.1	Nokia	214	# [143				
parameters P and S.	, <u>-</u>			,	тр	Comment Status R						
uggestedRemedy		Proposal: specify the full-length LDPC code in 142.2.4.1. Avoid any discussion about				formation bits is specified	a maximum number of information bits is specified, but can this be any number, or is it multiple of 8, 16,? Should one also specify a minimum number of information bits?					
Proposal: specify the fu												
Proposal: specify the fu puncturing and shorten	ing here. Move this to 142.2.4				16, …? Sh							
	ing here. Move this to 142.2.4			multiple of 8, SuggestedRemed	16, …? Sh <i>l</i> y		imum number of	f information bits?				
Proposal: specify the fu puncturing and shorten is generally better than	ing here. Move this to 142.2. on p. 71, lines 3-25.			multiple of 8, SuggestedRemed	16, …? Sh <i>l</i> y	ould one also specify a min	imum number of	f information bits?				
Proposal: specify the fu puncturing and shorten is generally better than esponse REJECT.	ing here. Move this to 142.2. on p. 71, lines 3-25.	4.3. The descript	ion on p. 75, lines 5-18	multiple of 8, SuggestedRemed discussion an	16, …? Sh <i>l</i> y	ould one also specify a min	imum number of	f information bits?				

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 Powell, Bill	P 71 Nokia	L18	# 144	Cl 142 SC 142.2. Powell, Bill		P 74 Jokia	L 48	# 148
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D			Comment Type TR	Comment St			
21	er of parity-check bits after p	uncturing M (M =	= 3072 - 512 = 2560) [.]	Fig. 142-7 - the labe			If the systematic	c part of this
SuggestedRemedy	of pullty offeet bits after p	unotuning, in (in	0012 012 2000),	"codeword" represer	nts the input to the	encoder, ther	n the label "trans	smitted user bits" is
,	already been defined on p. 7	71. line 5 [.] it may r	not be necessary to	inaccurate, as the e "Transmitted Parity				
	the number of transmitte			transmission. At the				
Proposed Response	Response Status Z			SuggestedRemedy				
REJECT.								a second/third figure,
	HDRAWN by the commenter				lockwise interleavin nts; (de)interleaving	g; encoding, a of the parity	i.e., determination	onts; implicit zero- on of the first 10 256-bi smission of the K user
C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1	P71	L 20	# 145	Response	Response Sta	atus C		
Powell, Bill	Nokia			REJECT.				
Comment Type E sentence: shortening	Comment Status R			A specific solution /	set of changes wou	uld be welcom	ne, please.	
SuggestedRemedy Will provide suggested	change before meeting			Cl 142 SC 142.2. Powell, Bill		P 72 Iokia	L 21	# 147
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Comment Type TR right column shifts	Comment St	atus D		
No change at this time.				SuggestedRemedy				
C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1	P71	L 24	# 146					ermutation. The matrix it of B (repeated shifts).
Powell, Bill	Nokia			Proposed Response	Response Sta	atus Z		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			REJECT.				
21	supports highest code rate l	Rmax = Kmax/Nn	nax = 0.849.	This comment was	WITHDRAWN by th	ne commente	r.	
sentence: The encoder								
sentence: The encoder SuggestedRemedy								
sentence: The encoder SuggestedRemedy please note the differen	ce in the maximum rate; pro ate up to Rmax = Kmax/Nm							
sentence: The encoder SuggestedRemedy please note the differen								

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.2 Page 14 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:17 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142	SC 142.2.4.3	P 75	L15	# 149	C/ 142	SC 142.2.4.4	P 75	L 45	# 152
Powell, Bill		Nokia			Powell, Bill		Nokia		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D			Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status A		
seem to punctur	be necessary to these. There is	ts are sent to the punctu compute the P 256-bit par no option for a different pu o include a puncturing block	ity-check bit seq ncturing rate, an	uence and then to	make mo	re sense to sta d using an 8-s	f 12 local interleavers not ate that the first 10 256-bit pa tage 256x256 reversed omeg	rity-check bit se	gments are de-
SuggestedF	Remedy				SuggestedRe	medy			
Remove Proposed R	e puncturing bloc	k Response Status Z					256-bit parity-check bit segme I omega network, where each		0
REJEC	,	Response Status Z			Response		Response Status C		
REJEC	1.				ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLI	, I.		
This co	mment was WIT	HDRAWN by the commenter	er.						
C/ 142	SC 142.2.4.4	P 75	L37	# 150	Use the fo	ollowing text			
Powell, Bill		Nokia	-••				ty-check bit segments are de		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status R			230X2301	eversed oneq	a network, where each segm	ient nas its own	seed.
The pro	posed de-interle	aver/interleaver is a module	that has 256 da	ta inputs, 256 data	C/ 142	SC 142.2.4.4	P 75	L 45	# 151
		and a "fixed/pre-defined" cy			Powell, Bill		Nokia		
17). Fig inputs.	j. 142-8 seems to	o imply that a massively par	allel structure is	needed with 57 * 256	Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status D		
SuggestedF	Remedy						interleaver given that Fig		
00	,	orward to present one de-int	erleaver unit and	then associate the			make sense to first discuss th	ne parity-check	bit interleaver
	with the segment	•			SuggestedRe	,			
Response		Response Status C			Sentence	: The parity-ch	eck bit de-interleaver		
REJEC	т.	,			Proposed Res REJECT.	sponse	Response Status Z		
A speci	fic solution / set	of changes would be welcor	ne, please.				HDRAWN by the commenter		

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.4 Page 15 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:17 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

7 142 SC 142	.2.4.4	P 75	L 50	# 153	C/ 142	SC ·	142.2.4.4	P 76	L 30	# 155
owell, Bill		Nokia			Powell, Bill			Nokia		
Comment Type T	R Comm	nent Status R			Comment T	уре	т	Comment Status R		
stated that the or output from the r output at the both data can be fed t	nega network au ght - the suppor om; all in all this o the right side t	rting figure shows t s is a very vague s to obtain the invers	that data is input that data is input a pecification. Also se at the left side	from the left side and at the top and that it is the statement that the is true in the sense of	intercor as a pa	nnectio rallel-s portant	ons in the e witch follow t to note th	ut it does not contain releva ight interconnection blocks wed be an interconnection b at the parallel-switch is cont	are identical (or lock, that is rep	ne may as well draw thi eated eight times. It is
AND and OR gat			is using hardware	e; it is hard to operate	Suggested	Remed	'y			
SuggestedRemedy					No spe	cific fix	proposed	at this time		
,		re-write of section	142 2 4		Response			Response Status C		
	• •		142.2.4		REJEC	Т.				
Response	Respor	nse Status C								
REJECT.					A speci	fic solu	ution / set c	of changes would be welcon	ne, please.	
A specific solution	n / set of chang	es would be welco	me, please.		<i>Cl</i> 142 Powell. Bill	SC ·	142.2.4.4	Р 76 Nokia	L 46	# 156
7 142 SC 142	.2.4.4	P 76	L10	# 154	,	_	_			
owell, Bill		Nokia			Comment T		Т	Comment Status R		
Comment Type T Fig. 142-9 - there	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	nent Status A Iraw two interleave	rs that are then re	emoved. Also, for a		t the s		o data inputs, two data outp uch, and introduce notation		
				nterleaved" prior to	Suggested	Remed	ly			
Deinterleaver.	e figure caption	is also misleading,	, as this is the Pa	rity-Check Bit	No spe	cific fig	ure change	e proposed at this time		
SuggestedRemedy					Response			Response Status C		
Remove two cros	sed out interlea	ivers			REJEC	т.				
Response	Respor	nse Status C			A speci	fic solu	ution / set c	of changes would be welcon	ne, please.	
ACCEPT.	, (0300)									
ACCEFT.										

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.4 Page 16 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:17 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

	# 53	C/ 142 SC 142.2.5	P 81	L10	# 55
Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio		Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Comm	unicatio	
Comment Type TR Comment Status A		Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
It is absolutely not clear Figure 142–11 is supposed to represent. switch is programmed to be 1, then this switch performs a swap of the input bits, otherwise, the input will be pass-through as 142–11". But it is not clear which one is the 0 and which one is the	shown in Figure	transcoded and scramble more coherent	bit 257 being one implies th d." could be included where		
	e i seuing.	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Either additional text is needed, or skip the reference to the said s	witch altogether.	Change "(bit 257 is one)" transcoded and scramble	to "(bit 257 is one, indicating ສ)"	g that this 257-b	it block has been
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z		
Remove Figure 142-11 and statement "as shown in Figure 142–11	1"	This comment was WITH	DRAWN by the commenter.		
C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.5 P77 L2 Powell, Bill Nokia	# 157	Cl 142 SC 142.2.5.1 Kramer, Glen	P 81 Broadcom	L14	# 74
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Sentence: and i - 0,, 127 - the regular numbering thus far st the permutation, an index starting at 0 can be useful, but it is not c also start at 1.		Comment Type T	Comment Status D wice. On time it is defined a	s 258-bit value,	EBD the other time it is
SuggestedRemedy		SuggestedRemedy			
Rewrite: and i = 0,, 127.			2.5.1, but replace Value wit 5.1, just reference 142.2.5.		>32"
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.		Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.5 P77 L6 Powell, Bill Nokia	# 158	Comment is against 142.2	2.5.1, page: 81, line: 14 (refe	erences were fix	(ed)
Comment Type T Comment Status R		Comment type was chang	ed from E to T		
	e simplified. Given that				
The description of the permutation is overly complex and should b the permutation is the same for all eight stages, it is not necessary function of the stage parameter k. Note also the reuse of the parar zeroed bits), and k, related to the number of information bits.					
The description of the permutation is overly complex and should b the permutation is the same for all eight stages, it is not necessary function of the stage parameter k. Note also the reuse of the para zeroed bits), and k, related to the number of information bits.					
The description of the permutation is overly complex and should b the permutation is the same for all eight stages, it is not necessary function of the stage parameter k. Note also the reuse of the para zeroed bits), and k, related to the number of information bits.					
The description of the permutation is overly complex and should b the permutation is the same for all eight stages, it is not necessary function of the stage parameter k. Note also the reuse of the parar zeroed bits), and k, related to the number of information bits. SuggestedRemedy					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.1 Page 17 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:17 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.		L 48	# 204		C 142.2.5.2		P 82	L 28	# 205
Remein, Duane	Huawei			Remein, Duane	9		Huawei		
<i>Comment Type</i> T What is a "FEC Delim	Comment Status D niter"? This term is undefined.		revisit	Comment Type Oops! Cmt		Comment S misguided. Apo		Editor.	
SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC CW	DELIM"			SuggestedRem Change :	nedy				
Proposed Response	Response Status O			"This FIFO greater." to	:	r SP_LENGTH	-	ITY_SIZE elemer	nts, whichever is
	ct, FEC delimiter is an object . ents the value of FEC delimiter			Response ACCEPT.		Response S	tatus C		
Stream. It makes no s	ense al an.			C/ 142 S	C 142.2.5.2	2	P 82	L 52	# 18
Change "FEC Delimit	er" to "burst delimiter bit patter	m"		Hajduczenia, M	larek		Charter Com	municatio	
C/ 142 SC 142.2.5	2 P82	L10	# 58	Comment Type	, T	Comment S	Status A		
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Comr	nunicatio		"257-bit pay	yload vector	" - block versus	s vector - in s	ome locations, we	e speak of multi-bit
Comment Type T	Comment Status A							listinction intende would differentiat	d here, i.e., different te these?
"SP1_RepeatCount" a	and similar do not exist anymo	re		SuggestedRem	nedy				
Change "of SP1_Rep	ble 144-8) is meant here? eatCount, SP2_RepeatCount	and SP3_Repea	tCount" to "Count value	Reading through various locations in the draft, it seems block and vector are used interchanagbly and we could collapse terminology to "block" only, which is more common today in the draft					
for SP1, SP2, and SF	23" - use proper formatting			Response		Response S	tatus C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCEPT IN		.E.			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	PLE.							.	
Diego was not implen	hould all be replaced with SP nented completely (- Repeat C th + propagate through)			Use the ter consistency	```	12 Instances) II	n all instance	s of "vector" (75 i	nstances) for

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.2 Page 18 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:17 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

142 SC 142.2.5.2 P83 L8 # 86 amer, Glen Broadcom	C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P83	L 41	# 60
	riajuuczenia, Marek	Charter Com	municatio	
omment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T	Comment Status A	manicatio	
Definition of SP_LENGTH has some issues: We only use all caps for constants and buffer names. SP_LENGTH is a variable, so	••	o option for running 10Gb/s	in upstream, MII c	an be of 25GMII or
probably should be called SpLength. Also, we do not use field names SP1_RepeatCount, SP2_RepeatCount and SP3_RepeatCount anymore.	SuggestedRemedy			
uggestedRemedy		from the 25GMII" to "Input		
Use the following definition:	-	ed in NextTxVector where 2	5GMII IS listed exp	DIICITIY.
•	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status C		
SpLength TYPE: integer		L.		
The SpLength variable represents the length of the synchronization pattern as determined by the most recent settings of SP1Length, SP2Length, and SP3Length provisioned in an		from the 25GMII" to "Input ed in NextTxVector where 2		
ONU (see 144.3.4.4 and 144.3.4.6).	C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.3	P 84	L10	# 15
esponse Response Status C	Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Com	municatio	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
Use the following definition: SpLength TYPE: unsigned integer	adds an element at the	are typically described using end of the FIFO, while pop with "Append" and "GetHe	removes the head	l element. Not clear
The SpLength variable represents the length of the synchronization pattern as determined by the sum of the most recent settings of SP1Length, SP2Length, and SP3Length	SuggestedRemedy			
provisioned in an ONU (see 144.3.4.4 and 144.3.4.6).	Change .Append to .Pu Change .GetHead to .P			
No need to use signed integer, since it is never expected to be a negative value. Update SDs to match new SpLength spelling.	Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status Z		
142 SC 142.2.5.2 P83 L14 # 83 amer, Glen Broadcom	This comment was WIT	HDRAWN by the comment	er.	
omment Type T Comment Status A				
SpIndex used in different places to represent two very different concepts. In C143, it represents the intex of a sync pattern and can take values of 1, 2, or [3]. In C142, it represents index of an individual sync pattern 257b block and can range from 0 to a few hundred. While not a technical error, it just makes a confusing spec.				
IggestedRemedy				
in C143, replace all instances of "SpIndex" with "SpSeq" for SP sequence. Keep SpIndex in C142.				
esponse Response Status C				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
In C144, replace all instances of "SpIndex" with "SpSeq"				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.3 Page 19 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:18 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.	2.5.3 <i>P</i> 84	L 43	# 16	C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.	A 1	P 84	L 52	# 13
lajduczenia, Marek	Charter Cor		# 10	Hajduczen		4.1	Charter Com		# []3
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment		Comme	nt Status A		
Transcode functio 256B/257B transc	n definition is not technically coloring", but rather performs trans 6B/257B-encoded block			"into a does r	single 72-bit tx				eally anywhere, so it ether, it is all that
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
То	orms 64B/66B to 256B/257B tra	Ū		Consid				a single 72-bit veo nce in 142.2.1 is i	ctor" really needed - seem
This function trans block	codes four 64B/66B-encoded b	locks into a single	256B/257B-encoded	Response		Respons	e Status C		
	Doononoo Statua			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				e the first insta formatting.	nce of "tx_ra	w vector" to "tx_ra	aw vector (see 49	9.2.13.2.2)" and use
C/ 142 SC 142. Hajduczenia, Marek	2.5.3 P84 Charter Cor	L 44 nmunicatio	# 17	C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.	4.1	P 84	L 54	# 14
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Hajduczen			Charter Com	municatio	
	ion: takes an array of four scran cks are scrambled or not.	nbled 66-bit blocks	- the function does not		end of a transr		<i>nt Status</i> A clear what transm	nission is being re	eferred to in here?
SuggestedRemedy					am burst?				
Change takes an array of f to	our scrambled 66-bit blocks				•	r an upstrean	n transmission sl	ot is meant here,	or something
takes four 64B/66	B-encoded blocks			Response		Respons	e Status C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.			
ACCEPT.				Chang	e				
				at the	end of a transm	nission			
				to					
				at the	end of an upstr	eam burst			

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4.1

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4.1 P84 L54 # 67	C/ 142 SC 142.2	.5.4.1 P86	L 32	# 66		
Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio	Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter	Communicatio			
Comment Type TR Comment Status D What is a "64B/66B" block? Similarly, what is a "256B/257B block"? 64B/66B describes encoding operation or a line code, not a block. A block is either 64-bit or 66-bit long, not 64B/66B bit long. It is a misnomer SuggestedRemedy Change all instances of "256B/257B block" to "256B/257B-encoded block" (3 instances, it speaks to the size and structure at the same time) Change all instances of "64B/66B block" to "64B/66B-encoded block" (4 instances) Change all instances of "256B/257B vector" to "256B/257B-encoded block" (1 instance) Proposed Response Response Status Z	Comment Type TR In state PROCESS TxInput<256:0>, wi XBUFFER[3:0] is tt XBUFFER[3:0])) a operations are perf scrambled before it imply: "Four 64B/66 256B/257B block a The order of operat though - transcodin	Comment Status A _DATA, it is clear that agg th 257 bit indicating wheth en scrambled and written nd then nothing else happe ormed on TxInput vector. It is FEC encoded? That is B blocks are accumulated nd copied to the FEC Enco ions, though (first scrambli g maps between well know	A rregated data is transco er data is scrambled or onto itself (XBUFFER[3 ens with the XBUFFER s the transcoded vector what the description in d, scrambled, and transco oder." ing, then transcoding) is wn sequences, while sc	not. However, 3:0] <= Scramble(content. All further r expected to be 142.2.5.4.1 would coded into a single s questionable, rambling adds a level		
REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	believe sequence s	mization after which transc hould be first transcoded f scrambled, and only then	rom 4 x 72 bit vectors i			
	SuggestedRemedy					
Cl 142 SC 142.2.5.4.1 P86 L11 # 64 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type T Comment Status A Likely wrong name of the block: WAIT_FOR_66B - at this level, we are collecting vectors (72-bit) from xGMII and only encode them after that, in ACCUMULATOR state, using ENCODE() function. Note also definitions of variables in NEXT_VECTOR state (TxNext, TxPrev) which clearly	TxInput<256:0> <= XBUFFER[3:0] <= to read XBUFFER[3:0] <=	n state PROCESS_DATA, Transcode(XBUFFER[3:0] Scramble(XBUFFER[3:0] Transcode(XBUFFER[3:0] Scramble(XBUFFER[3:0])])	perations		
state these are 72-bit vectors.	·	、	,			
SuggestedRemedy Change WAIT_FOR_66B to WAIT_FOR_72B state name, since is reflects more correctly what happens here	to match the logical order of assignment into the TxInput vector, i.e., first we transcode and overwrite the XBUFFER with the resulting value, and then use this value to perform scrambling and write the resulting (scrambled) value into TxInput vector for further processing in the following states.					
Response Response Status C		0				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Change					
Change WAIT_FOR_66B to WAIT_FOR_VECTOR state name		ks are accumulated, scrar nd copied to the FEC Enco		into a single		
	to					
		ks are accumulated, trans		into a single		
	Response	Response Status	C			
	ACCEPT IN PRINC	CIPLE.				
	Comment #544 from PROCESS_DATA,	n Spokane was not impler change	mented properly. In Fig	142-13, in State		
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/			C/ 142	Page 21 of 43		

TTL. ITViecifica required Erveditoria required Orvgene		1 age 21 01 43	
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 142.2.5.4.1	11/14/2018 1:26:18 AM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

TxInput<256:0> <= Trar XBUFFER[3:0] <= Scra	nscode(XBUFFER[3:0]) mble(XBUFFER[3:0])			C/ 142 Hajduczeni	SC 142.2.5 a, Marek		P 85 Charter Com	L 25 municatio	# 20
to				Comment		Comment		IFO or FEC Encoder"	
XBUFFER[3:0] <= Scra TxInput<256:0> <= Trar	mble(XBUFFER[3:0]) nscode(XBUFFER[3:0])			Suggested Strike	-				
C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4. Hajduczenia, Marek	2 P85 Charter Comm	L 16 nunicatio	# 19	Response ACCE	۲.	Response	Status C		
Comment Type T There is no such thing a	Comment Status D as "FEC parity codeword"		revisit						
SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC parity" where this term exists	or "FEC codeword parity" - t	here are two instan	ces in the draft						
Proposed Response	Response Status O								
In 142.2.5.4.3, remove	'FEC parity codeword" to "P/ 'indicating a FEC to be inserted in the data stre								
C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4. Hajduczenia, Marek	3 P85 Charter Comn	L 25 nunicatio	# 21						
Comment Type T Unnecessary detail: "Or	Comment Status R n each transition of the CLK_	OUT to True"							
SuggestedRemedy Simplify to "On each CL	K_OUT, "								
Response REJECT.	Response Status C								
trigger is. Even if in the	clear-on-read Boolean varia introduction text, we want to transition happens on rising	treat CLK_OUT as	a clock, we still						

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4.3 Page 22 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:18 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.4.	3 <i>P</i> 85	L 27	# 24	towa PMA
Hajduczeni	ia, Marek	Charter Com	municatio		
the wh needs	ext reads way too ole purpose of th only to outline th	Comment Status D much like blow by blow reader e SD to begin with: people be e operation, and not read ou	know how to read ut the SD as it ope	conditions and the text erates:	C/ 142 Laubach, <i>Commen</i> PMA 15, a
beginn	ing of a transmis	lock is equal to SP[0] and T sion, A_SIGNAL.request is set to	C C		using some to do
turned 258-bit EBD a	block is	r 257-bits of the 258-bit bloc s True, indicating the end of			Suggeste I don addir PMA
reques bits of 258-bit	t is set to False i the t block are sent to	ndicating that the laser need the PMA. If the retrieved 2			Respons ACC
parity of		to be inserted in the data str	ream, 257-bits of	the parity are retrieved	See
STAGI data, tl	he lower	d sent to the PMA. In all oth lock retrieved from the TX_			C/ 142 Hajducze
Suggested	Remedy				Commen Wror
If the ret transm the ret	iissing, laser is tu rieved 258-bit blo	lock indicates the start of th rned off and data is being s ck indicates the end of the l is turned off and end of the	ent towards the P burst and the ONI	MA for transmission. If U is currently	Suggeste Char impa Respons

transmissing, the laser is turned off and end of the burst delimiter is sent towards the PMA for transmission. If the retrieved 258-bit block indicates the FEC parity placeholder, the calculated FEC parity is sent towards the PMA for transmission, irrespective of the actual state of the laser. Otherwise, data from the TX_FIFO is sent towards the PMA for transmission.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Change to read:

If the retrieved 258-bit block indicates the start of the burst and the ONU is currently not transmitting, laser is turned >>[off]->[on]<< and data is being sent towards the PMA for transmission. If the retrieved 258-bit block indicates the end of the burst and the ONU is currently transmitting, the laser is turned off and end of the burst delimiter is sent towards the PMA for transmission. If the retrieved 258-bit block indicates the FEC parity placeholder, the calculated FEC parity and 10 bits of burst delimiter bit pattern are sent

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

vards the PMA for transmission. Otherwise, data from the TX FIFO is sent towards the A for transmission.

C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.4.3	P 85	L 28	# 110
Laubach, N	/lark	Broadcom		

ent Type T Comment Status A

A_SIGNAL.request is used in this paragraph. However, when defined on page 91, line a PMA_SIGNAL[i].request form is used. We should consider being consistent with ng the '[i]' form in this clause. Also, the use of '[i]' should be defined/explained newhere, similar to PMD primitives on Page 40, line 37, clause 141.31. Not sure what to inside SD Figure 142-15, page 88, line 22.

stedRemedy

on't have proposed text at this time. If not cleaned up by other comment(s), suggest ling an Editor's note somewhere that the mentions the need for consistency, etc. for the A * primitives.

Response	Response Status	C

CEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

e comment #24

C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.4.	3 P88	L11	# 22
Hajduczenia	, Marek	Charter Comn	nunicatio	
Comment Ty	/pe T	Comment Status A		

ong state name: WAIT_FOR_257B

stedRemedy

ange to WAIT_FOR_CLK to avoid encoding block size in state names - it does not pact state diagram operation

Response Status C ise

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to WAIT_FOR_BLOCK

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4.3 Page 23 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:18 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.3.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 86 Charter Comn	L 45	# 23	C/ 142 SC 142.3.5 Kramer, Glen	.2 P90 Broadcom	L12	# 88
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R	lanoatio		Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
51	gures extracted from 142.2	.2.5.		<i>,</i>	x_buffer. All other buffers in .3	ca use names in	all caps.
SuggestedRemedy	J			SuggestedRemedy			
It is not clear what text a	nd what figures are intender ns, and accompanying text. be olaced in here <i>Response Status</i> C			Add the following def RX_BUFFER TYPE: Array of 10 bit	5	most recently rec	ceived from the PMA
REJECT.				sublayer.			
				Change rx_buffer to I	RX_BUFFER throughoyut the	draft.	
Al for Mark and Bill to co	ver FEC Decoder during the	e rewrite the FE	C section.	Response	Response Status C		
C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.1	P 89	L18	# 26	ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.		
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Comn	nunicatio		Per comment, but wit	h proper capitalization for vari	able. i.e., RxBuffe	er + update other buffer
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		EBD	name styles.			
EBD is already defined ir	142.2.5.1			C/ 142 SC 142.3.5	.2 P90	L14	# 80
SuggestedRemedy				Kramer, Glen	Broadcom		
Change definition to read	l: "See 142.2.5.1." - make li	nk live		Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Unused variable defi	nitions and incorrect variable n	ames	
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemedy			
Copy definition from 142 link live.	.3.5.1 to 142.2.5.1. In 142.3	.5.1, use "See 1	142.2.5.1." and make	1) Delete definition of 2) Delete definition of		FocDocodoFoilu	70
AI for Duane and Mark to	look at this for 11/14.				blace "FecDecodeSucceeded"		
C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.1	P89	L 30	# 27	Response	Response Status C		
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Comn			ACCEPT.			
Comment Type T FEC_CW_SZ is not defir	Comment Status A ned anywhere before.						
SuggestedRemedy Strike the editorial note							
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C						

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.2 Page 24 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:18 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.4.1 P92 L18 # 111	C/ 142 SC 142.4 P93 L39 # 112
Laubach, Mark Broadcom	Laubach, Mark Broadcom
Comment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
There is space for the drawing for Figure 142-18 "OLT Synchronizer state diagram", but nothing is shown, it is blank and no editor's note. Also shouldn't the "S" be lower case?	On the transmit side, the EBD is sent outside (after) the FEC codeword and not processed by the FEC encoder. On the receive side, the EBD must not be processed by
SuggestedRemedy	FEC_Decode(). An alteration of the state transitions is needed in this SD.
Provide the figure if available or an Editor's note mentioning the intentional absence	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W	Change the title of box "CHECK_EBD" to "FEC_DECODE". Move the END_OF_BURST box to the left and extend the left side of the CHECK IDLE box to the left. Move the arrow
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	labled "PMAUDI[i] = EBD" to the left and extend the top so that it is now connecting
Mark text and figure in RED to attract attentiion, Editor does not have a figure to place at this time. I believe Duane was supposed to contribute these missing pieces.	CHECK_IDLE with END_OF_BURST. Change the conditions from "PMAUDI[i] = EBD" to "RxClk * !RxIdle * PMAUDI[i] = EBD". Change the label on the arrow from CHECK_IDLE to FEC_DECODE from "RxClk * !RxIdle" to "else". Change the remaining "else" under "FEC_DECODE" to "UCT".
*** See remein_3ca_4_1118.pdf for the appropriate figure	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Change the title of box "CHECK_EBD" to "FEC_DECODE".
	Move the END_OF_BURST box to the left and extend the left side of the CHECK_IDLE box to the left.
	Move the arrow labled "PMAUDI[i] = EBD" to the left and extend the top so that it is now connecting CHECK_IDLE with END_OF_BURST.
	Change the conditions from "PMAUDI[i] = EBD" to "RxClk * !RxIdle * PMAUDI[i] = EBD".
	Change the label on the arrow from CHECK_IDLE to FEC_DECODE from "RxClk * !RxIdle" to "RxClk * !RxIdle * PMAUDI[i] != EBD * PMAUDI[i] != SBD".
	Change the remaining "else" under "FEC_DECODE" to "UCT".
	AI: need still to cover the case of failed EBD detection (Mark?) - may also put an editorial note under the figure.

C/ 142 SC 142.4

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 Powell, Bill	SC 143.2.5	Р 103 Nokia	L11	# 121
Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status R		MCRS
Figure 1	43-6 still shows	four 25 Gb/s channels designannel bonding, with peak ag		
SuggestedF	Remedy			
		and UC3 from the diagram, e two 25 Gb/s channels in		UC0 & UCn, defining
Response		Response Status C		
REJEC [®]	Т.			
	are examples in ocated in 143.4	a generic section of MCRS d	escription. All N	x25G-EPON specific
present channel	ations there was independent (i.	ttsburgh meeting: "During the a general consensus to mal e., upper number of channels 02.3ca PHYs, the number of	ke the entire MP s unspecified) a	RS specification
C/ 143	SC 143.2.5	P103	L 11	# 115
Laubach, M	ark	Broadcom		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status R		MCRS
and text	need to catch	1 100 to 50 Gb/s and chanels up with this. Page 103, Figur ge 105, Line 3 Figure 143-8,	e 143-6, Page 1	03 Line 42, Page 104
SuggestedF	Remedy			
		ent round suggest adding ar on) indicating that this work n		
Response		Response Status C		
REJEC [®]	Т.			
	are examples in ocated in 143.4	a generic section of MCRS d	escription. All N	x25G-EPON specific
present channel	ations there was independent (i.	ttsburgh meeting: "During the a general consensus to mal e., upper number of channels 02.3ca PHYs, the number of	the entire MP unspecified) a	RS specification

C/ 143	SC 143.2.5	P103	L 41	# 122
Powell, Bill		Nokia		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		MCRS
The par	ragraph below fig	gure 143-6 still refers to		

"four chanels with instantaneous transmission rate of 25, 50, 75, or 100 Gb/s..."

SuggestedRemedy

Change last sentence in this paragraph to read:

"For example, a MAC instance connected to an MCRS with two channels of 25 Gb/s each can achieve an instantaneous transmission rate of 25 or 50 Gb/s by varying, in real time, the number of channels that are bonded to send data from a single LLID."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

These are examples in a generic section of MCRS description. All Nx25G-EPON specific stuff is located in 143.4

Per TF minutes from Pittsburgh meeting: "During the discussion following the above two presentations there was a general consensus to make the entire MPRS specification channel independent (i.e., upper number of channels unspecified) and make a formal requirement that for P802.3ca PHYs, the number of channels shall be equal to either 1 or 2."

In Figure 143–18, remove "..." at the PHY level.

C/ 143	SC 143.2.5.1	P103	L 47	# 171
Wey, Jun Sł	nan	ZTE TX		

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

This clause gives an example of dynamic channel bonding using the partially overlapping envelopes scenario in Fig 143-6. It would be helpful to readers if this fact is mentioned.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the sentence:

"The dynamic channel bonding is achieved by interleaving data belonging to a single LLID (i.e., data from a single MAC instance) over multiple envelopes on multiple MCRS channels, as illustrated in Figure 143–7."

To the following:

"The dynamic channel bonding is achieved by interleaving data belonging to a single LLID (i.e., data from a single MAC instance) over multiple envelopes on multiple MCRS channels. Figure 143–7 illustrates a dynamic channel bonding example based on the partially overlapping envelopes scenario in Figure 143-6."

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general	required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 143	Page 26 of 43
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected R	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 143.2.5.1	11/14/2018 1:26:18 AM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC 143.	3.2 <i>P</i> 110	L 54	# 116	C/ 143	SC 143.3.3	P114	4 L	30	# 118
_aubach, Mark	Broadcom			Laubach, M	ark	Broadc	om		
Comment Type TF	Comment Status D			Comment 7	ype T	Comment Status	A		
112 line 17, yet th	re mentioned here in the text, but ey are shown in Table 143-4, 143 E and which is K?			122 line	e 30, Clause 143	" needs to be change .3.4.	d to the appro	priate figure t	tle. Same for Page
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	•			6	
	iption of bits 46 and 47 Table 143	3-3 to define bit 4	6 as F and bit 47 as K		me of submitting	g this comment, I don		he figure title	should be.
Change "Reserve	d" to the 802.3 adopted term for " neck up with other 802.3 folks bef	this is being used	outside the standard".	Response ACCEF	T IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status	C		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Use "M	CRS transmit fu	nctional block diagran	n" for Figure 1	43-12	
	EPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Use "M	CRS receive fun	ctional block diagram	" for Figure 14	3-15	
Change the Desc	iption of bits 46 and 47 Table 143	3-3 to define bit 4	6 as E and bit 47 as K.	C/ 143	SC 143.3.3.4	P11		22	# 207
AI for Mark to con	ne up with the .3-approved langua	age for allocation	of bits for external use.	Remein, Du		Huawe			
C/ 143 SC 143	3.2.1 P112	L 40	# 117	Comment T		Comment Status	R		
aubach, Mark	Broadcom	2.10	"		grammar				
Comment Type T For consistency, t Type" doesn't ma names as needed	Comment Status A he terms here should match the t tch "Start Control Code" as define for consistency.	erms in Table 14 d in Table 143-3.	3-3, e.g."Block Field Suggest aligning	"All or s	ome number of	lower bits of EnvPam EnvPam lower bits"			
SuggestedRemedy	·			Response REJEC	Ŧ	Response Status			
Editor's choice for	consistency.			REJEC	1.				
Response	Response Status C			Text rea	ads fine as it is.				
, ACCEPT IN PRIN	1			C/ 143	SC 143.3.3.5	P11	7 L	37	# 78
Change "Disals E	ald Turnell to llOtent Constral Codell	·	na a a w cadil in Table	Kramer, Gle	en	Broadc	om		
Change "Block Field Type" to "Start Control Code" + change "R" to "reserved" in Table 143–4, Table 143–5, and Table 143–6			channe	n definition of Er	Comment Status invStartHeader() is incomer of 2 and introduce	orrect now, sir			
				Suggested	Remedy				
				change Also re	d code in red.	nown in kramer_3ca_{ "int" and add a return it col)"			
				Response ACCEF	Ϋ́Τ.	Response Status	C		
COMMENT STATUS:	equired ER/editorial required GR D/dispatched A/accepted R/reje	J .		0	Z/withdrawn		C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.5		Page 27 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:1

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3 Kramer, Glen	3.5 P118 Broadcom	L 6	# 79	C/ 143 S Hajduczenia, M	C 143.4.1.3.2 larek	P 130 Charter Comr	L 30 nunicatio	# 30
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type	e T	Comment Status A		
instead of wCol to be	GetFillerEQ, only code is provide e consistent with other functions			This is not representat		sented by 1-bit integers - a	an integer requir	es 1 bit for sign
is missing too.				SuggestedRem	nedv			
SuggestedRemedy Add function definition	on and modify the function code	as shown in k	amer_3ca_6_1118.pdf.	Strike "If th by 1-bit inte		s implemented, the varial	bles rRow and w	Row are represented
Response	Response Status C			Response	F	Response Status C		
ACCEPT.				•	N PRINCIPLE.			
C/ 143 SC 143.4. Hajduczenia, Marek	1.3.1 P130 Charter Comm	L 13 nunicatio	# 29		mization is imple	emented, the variables rR	ow and wRow a	re represented by 1-bit
•				integers."				
Comment Type T Definitions need sor	Comment Status A ne back reference to where the g	given values a	e first defined	to "If this optin unsigned ir		emented, the variables rR	ow and wRow a	re represented by 1-bit
SuggestedRemedy				unsigned in	itegers.			
Insert the following t	ovt under 1/2 / 1 2							
5	nstants, variables, and functions	, see 143.3.3 (trasmit direction) and					
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.							
Use the following tex	xt							
For definitions of con 143.3.4 (receive dire	nstants, variables, and functions action).	, see 143.3.3 (transmit direction) and					

C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.3.2

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.3.3 P130 L35 # 31	C/ 143 SC 143.4.4.3 P131 L11 # 32
lajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio	Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
There is very little value on specyfing the ENV_RX values in such an unclear manner. We should specify the maximum value and leave any optimizations for implementers to figure	Given that 25GMII and XGMII have the same width and operate only on different clock rates, there is no need to adjust MCRS operation for 10Gb/s
out	SuggestedRemedy
Suggested Remedy	Strike 143.4.4.3 and associated subclauses
Strike 143.4.1.3.3, use the maximum value specified in 143.3.4.3 (64)	Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C	ACCEPT.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 144 SC 144.1.4.1 P138 L1 # 172
Strike 143.4.1.3.3, use the maximum value specified in 143.3.4.3 (64).	Wey, Jun Shan ZTE TX
In 143.3.4.3, in ENV_RX,	Comment Type TR Comment Status R
change "The maximum number of rows is 64, as determined by the size of EPAM field in Envelope	In the Layered diagram, there's OAM function between MAC Client and MPMC. It seems the OAM function should also be shown in Fig 144-4, but it's not.
Header (see 143.3.2). For some applications, fewer rows may be sufficient (see application- specific ENV_RX definition in 143.3.3.2)."	SuggestedRemedy
to	Discuss and clarify
"The number of rows is 64, as determined by the size of EPAM field in Envelope Header (see 143.3.2)."	Response Response Status C
(500 140.0.2).	REJECT.
On page 116/22, change	
All or some number of lower bits of EnvPam are also used as the row index for the	The addition of OAM in 144-3 and 144-4 does not add anything to the operation of MPMC
ENV_RX buffer into which the received data is to be written (see 143.3.4).	C/ 144 SC 144.1.4.1 P138 L34 # 76
Το	Kramer, Glen Broadcom
	Comment Type T Comment Status A
EnvPam is also used as the row index for the ENV_RX buffer into which the received data is to be written (see 143.3.4).	When we define primitive abbreviations MCSI/MCSR, MCII/MCIR, and MADI/MADR, we need to be more precise with the arguments. We only use operand_list in our state
/ 143 SC 143.4.4.1 P131 L7 # 33	diagrams, while the base definitions of MA_DATA and MA_CONTROL include additional arguments.
ajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio	SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Expand the definitions of MCSI/MCSR, MCII/MCIR, and MADI/MADR to include the list of
Given that 25GMII and XGMII operate using the same set of primitives, everything we need is alreday covered in 143.3.1.1, specifically in Table 143–1 and Table 143–2	arguments and add cross-references to the base definitions of MA_DATA in clause 4 and MA_CONTROL in Clause 32. Use the text as shown in kramer_3ca_3_1118.pdf.
uggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C
Strike 143.4.4.1 and 143.4.4.2	ACCEPT.
Response Response Status C	
ACCEPT.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 144 SC 144.1.4.1 Page 29 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:18 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.2	P 140	L 8	# 173	C/ 144 SC 144	.2.1.1 <i>P</i> 140	L 32	# 209		
Vey, Jun Shan	ZTE TX			Remein, Duane	Huawei				
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment Type TF	Comment Status	N Contraction of the second seco			
REPORT Generatio shown in Figures 14	n/Reception Process functional I4-3 or 144-4.	block is describe	ed in the text, but not	EQT is used but r	never defined.				
uggestedRemedy				WAKE UP FOLK	SI: this definition points out t	he face that EQT cha	nges based on xMII rat		
Discuss and clarify				SuggestedRemedy					
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Remove item e) on				EQT TYPE: real nu This constant is	s equivalent to the time requine Nx25G-EPON device. For				
				Response	Response Status	;			
				ACCEPT IN PRIN	, ICIPLE.				
				Add new definition	ns in 1.4xxx (editor to find th	e right place), as follow	ws		
				EQT: The unit of measurement of time for time-related parameters specified in Clause 1 Multipoint MAC Control. Each EQT is equal to the time required to transmit one EQ between the MCRS and the PCS across 25GMII, and equal to 2.56 ns.					
				EQ: The unit of m transfers, i.e., 72	easurement of volume of inf bits.	ormation. Each EQ is	equal to two 25GMII		
				Add EQT into abb	previations in 1.5				
				that EQT is ALW/ the OLT is lined to clock. All times (ti expressed in EQT	QT specifically to represent E AYS 2.56 ns (see comment is to the 25Gb/s TX clock and ir mestamp, startTime, laserO There is nothing that ever is rate-dependent breaks mo	#378 from San Diego) the ONU it is locked n/Off times) are linked needs to be expresse	. LocalTime counter in to 25Gb/s receive t to this clock, so are d in time units of 6.4 n		
				Rather than add E better to define it	EQT/EQ as a constant and e as a new unit.	mbedd somewhere in	Clause 144/143, it is		

C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.1

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

Remein, Duane	Р141 Нuawei	L 4	# <u>210</u>	<i>Cl</i> 144 Remein, Du	SC 144.2.1 . ane	5 P142 Huawei	L 12	# 212
Comment Type T This definition is for RTTo	Comment Status A delta not RTT.			Comment Ty The vari	,	Comment Status A	t definitions and is th	us ambiguous.
SuggestedRemedy Change: "The RTT value" to: "The RTTdelta value"				"operan	a concise def d_list A set	inition in 144.2.1.3 for this of parameters carried in th and 144.3.6.3		CPDU."
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C			Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIP	Response Status C PLE.		
Per comment + apply ital	ics to variable name.			See con	nment #76			
C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.3 Remein, Duane	P 141 Huawei	L 29	# 211					
	Comment Status A t does not appear in the ind wild goose chase; "(see ON prence is needed.							
	tate diagram in 144.3.5.8)' er in 144.3.5.3, Figure 144		144-23)"					
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C							
	tate diagram in 144.3.5.8)' er in 144.3.5.3, Figure 144		144-23)"					
	avit from DECISTEDED of	tate to UNREGIS	TERED state with the					
In Figure 144-23, add an condition "timestampDrift								

C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.5

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 144	SC 144.2.1.6	P142	L 35	# 214
Remein, D	Duane	Huawei		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

What does "MCIR[PLD] refer to? Presumably only MCIRs arriving on the PLID but this is never explained. Furthermore per Fig 144-3 the Control Multiplexer is fed from the ONU Registration Process, how can the Reg-Req happen before the PLID had been assigned in Discovery? In INSERT_TIMESTAMP is a malformed assignment action "Timestamp = LocalTime + RTT[PLID]" but RTT is not available to the ONU which is required to implement the SD so I'm left wondering how this can occur? Lastly 144.2 claims to be "Protocol-independent", and PLID is only associated with MPCP.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "[PLID]" in exit from WAIT_FOR_MPCPDU. Change the definition of RTT on pg 141 from:

"RTT

TYPE: 24-bit unsigned integer

This variable holds the measured Round Trip Time to the ONU. The RTT value is represented in units of EQT." to:

"RTT[]

TYPE: 24-bit unsigned integer

In the OLT this variable holds the measured Round Trip Time to the ONU (in units of EQT) and is referenced via the PLID. In the ONU this variable is always set to zero." Globally replace (case sensitive, whole word) "RTT" with "RTT[PLID]"

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Since the comment touches on multiple items, a few detailed explanations are in order

*** What does "MCIR[PLID] refer to? Presumably only MCIRs arriving on the PLID but this is never explained.

That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple instances of GATE Generation or Registration Completion processes. As the Control Multiplexor gets a request for a specific MPCPDU transmission, it needs to perform certain action that is dependent on which exact instance the request arrived from. For example, it needs to increase the advertised timestamp by the instance-specific RTT value. So, we get PLID instance information from MCIR[PLID](...) primitive. We use the same approach in many places. For example, in C143, MCRS Input SD: MCRS_CTRL[wCol].Request(...) – is a request received for channel "wCol".

*** Furthermore per Fig 144-3 the Control Multiplexer is fed from the ONU Registration Process, how can the Reg-Req happen before the PLID had been assigned in Discovery?

Figure 144-3 describes OLT block diagram. Figure 144-4 describes the ONU. Before the ONU completed its discovery, it operates with DISC_PLID, which from Control Multiplexor SD point of view is just another instance of an interface to a higher layer block. This fact will be addressed by adding an explicit statement to the definition of RTT, indicating that at the

OLT, RTT[DISC_PLID] is always zero.

*** In INSERT_TIMESTAMP is a malformed assignment action "Timestamp = LocalTime + RTT[PLID]" but RTT is not available to the ONU which is required to implement the SD so I'm left wondering how this can occur?

Nothing is malformed in this action. In the ONU, RTT[PLID] is always zero. This fact will be addressed by adding an explicit statement to the definition of RTT, indicating that at the ONU, RTT[PLID] is always zero.

*** Lastly 144.2 claims to be "Protocol-independent", and PLID is only associated with MPCP.

Replace "[PLID]" with "[LLID]", so we can process requests from either PLID-related interfaces (MPCP discovery, MPCP granting) or MLID-related interfaces (CCP). Our MAC Control never sees any data frames.

C/ 144	SC 144.3.1.1		P 143	L 7	# 92
Kramer, G	ilen		Broadcom		
_		_			

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The section on ranging and time synchronization is empty. A new text is provided. Also, there needs to be a section related to time synchronization in C143 MCRS.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Use text in kramer_3ca_2_1118.pdf for subclause 144.3.1.1 (note the changed title) 2) Include a new sub-clause "143.2.6 MCRS Time synchronization" as shown in kramer_3ca_1_1118.pdf

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

1) per kramer_3ca_2_1118.pdf, plus change in TE definition "TB, TC, TD, and TE," to "TA, TB, TC, and TD,"

2) per kramer_3ca_1_118.pdf

C/ 144	SC 144.3.2.2	P143	L 30	# 215
Remein, Dua	ne	Huawei		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status A		

We clearly state that PLIDs are unique but don't for MLIDs, which also must be unique.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "a single MLID value" to: "a single unique PLID value"

Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "a single MLID value" to: "a single unique MLID value"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 144	Page 32 of 43
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 144.3.2.2	11/14/2018 1:26:18 AM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.2.4 P143 L51 # 216 Remein, Duane Huawei	Cl 144 SC 144.3.3 P144 L8 # 217 Remein, Duane Huawei
Comment Type T Comment Status D It should be clear that multicast ULIDs are excluded from GLID grants.	Comment Type T Comment Status A This definition of LLID = 0x0000 should be broader that just GATE and MCRS_CTRL.request primitives
SuggestedRemedy Change: "or a ULID value" to: "or a unicast ULID value" Change on line 52: "PLID, MLID, or ULID," to: "PLID, MLID, or unicast ULID,"	SuggestedRemedy Change: "A reserved PLID value indicating an empty EnvAlloc[n] field in a GATE MPCPDU. ESC_PLID is also used in MCRS_CTRL.request primitive to mark the end of upstream burst." to: "A reserved LLID value indicating an unused or empty LLID or MPCPDU field which
Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	includes an LLID. In particular the ESC_PLID is used in the GATE MPCPDU to indicate an empty EnvAlloc[n] field and in the REPORT MPCPDU to indicate an empty LLIDstatus field. The ESC_PLID is also used in MCRS_CTRL.request primitive to mark the end of an upstream burst." Response Status C
There is no separate class of multicast ULIDs. All ULIDs are provisioned in ONUs by NMS. If NMS provisions the same ULID value in several ONUs, then this ULID becomes multicast ULID in the downstream. An ONU would never know if any particular ULID is assigned to it exclusively or not. However, in the upstream, the OLT may grant each such ULID separately, because GATEs always come under unique PLID envelopes, so only one ONU would response to an envelope allocation that has multicast ULID. So, our architecture is flexible and no special restrictions are needed, as noting breaks. If anyone doesn't want to grant unicast IULIDs, then they just should not.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "A reserved PLID value indicating an empty EnvAlloc[n] field in a GATE MPCPDU. ESC_PLID is also used in MCRS_CTRL.request primitive to mark the end of upstream burst." to: "The ESC_PLID is used in the GATE MPCPDU to indicate an empty EnvAlloc[n] field or in the REPORT MPCPDU to indicate an empty LLIDstatus field. The ESC_PLID is also used in MCRS_CTRL.request primitive to mark the end of an upstream burst."

The outline of 144.3.4 does not match that agree in cmt # 548.

SuggestedRemedy

Follow the outline per the comment (i.e., kramer_3ca_3_0918)

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Current outline follows Opcode value allocated to each and every message.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC	144.3.4	P145	L13	# 90	C/ 144	SC 144.:	.4.1	P145	L 50	# 221
Kramer, Glen		Broadcom			Remein, D	uane		Huawei		
Comment Type	TR (Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Со	mment Status A		embedded-shall
		e transmitted from least s his way was a mistake. It	0	0	the Ge follows "Wher	eneric MPCF s:" what is the multiple chains in the second se	DU and sh e point of a nnels are	of a requirement; "The all be as shown in Figu a requirement within a assigned in a single G ant Start Time and sha	ure 144–8 with d requirement? ATE MPCPDU,	the transmission on
		eld is transmitted and rece eld is transmitted most sig			Suggested	Remedy				
57B.1 OAMPI most significa significant oct	DUs: "When on nt octet is tra ets."	consecutive octets are use nsmitted first, followed by	ed to represent a	a numerical value, the	each o	n multiple ch channel shal	start at Gr	assigned in a single G ant Start Time and sha ingle GATE MPCPDU	all have the leng	th as necessary" to:
SuggestedRemed						as necessa				
Replace "Octe with	ets within eac	h field are transmitted from	m least significa	int to most significant."	Response		Res	ponse Status C		
"When conse		are used to represent a n lowed by successively les		0		PT IN PRIN				
Response ACCEPT.	R	esponse Status C	-		each c to: "Wher	hannel shal	start at Gr annels are	assigned in a single G ant Start Time and sha assigned in a single G ant start time and have	all have the leng	th as necessary" the transmission on
					Chang	ما مرماه (م		an and langths. Only t		

Channels don't have Start times and lengths. Only transmissions on each channel can be characterized by start times and transmission lengths.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4.1 Page 34 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:18 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

	SC 144.3.4.1	P146	L 27	# 222	Cl 144 Remein D		144.3.4.3	P148	L 54	# 223
There is a grant is no is set to p as much o already bo SuggestedRe Change: "This flag given LLII	no mention of ilso a small an ot large enoug rohibit fragme of the remainir een allocated s medy informs the O D." to:	Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> A the active state of this flag. nbiguity here. If a frame has h to transmit the entire rema ntation, what should the ON g fragment as possible as the so there is no need to avoid NU whether it is allowed to find	ining fragment, a U do? I submit the buffer on the f transmitting the f ragment new frag	and the Fragment flag hat it should transmit receive side has iragment. mes transmitted on the	"The C Suggested Chang "The C "The C Response REJE	Type nal indic DLT sho dRemed ge: DLT sho DLT doo	ould not gra dy ould not gra es not grar	ant" to:		embedded-shali
on the giv Add at the entire rem	en LLID. Whe		ew fragments are	e prohibited."	require	ement. behavi	Without the	e second "should" there ed exactly the same app P149	will be no normativ	
		ere ensure that "Fragmentati	on" does not spli	t the line.	Remein, D	Duane		Huawei		
Change b	IN PRINCIPLI ullet 3) to read	d as follows			& Tab both fi	ave two le 144–	-7. This be the openin	comes especially confu	sing when reading	ion Fields"; Table 144–4 144.3.5 which refers to eader if these fields used
frames tra fragmenti previous e value of th	ansmitted on thing new frames	0), the ONU is dis ns queued in this	allowed from LLID since the	Reque In the "Regis In Figu "conte	I.3.4.3 F est Infor 2nd & 3 ster Rec ure 144 ent = Pe	REGISTER rmation". 3rd para of quest Inforn I–15—Disc ending Enve	REQ description chan 144.3.5 Discovery Proc mation". overy handshake messa elopes + Discovery Info elopes + Register Requ	ess change "Disco age exchange chan rmation +" to	very Information" to

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4.3

Response Status C

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC *	144.3.4.3	P149	L 23	# 225		4.3.4.6	P 153	L 9	# 234
Remein, Duane		Huawei			Remein, Duane		Huawei		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A			Comment Type		mment Status A		
		ally a time then this should	be in EQT not E	Q.	What does this of the Discovery		an; "Discovery Informat lag field."?	ion field presents	s the internal structur
SuggestedRemed	-				SuggestedRemedy		-		
Change in 2 p "in the units of "in the units of	f 1 EQ" to:				Change:	mation field p	resents the internal str	ucture of the Dise	covery Information fl
Response		Response Status C			field." to:				
ACCEPT.	·				•		ernal structure of the D	iscovery Informa	ation flag field."
					Response		ponse Status C		
C/ 144 SC '	144.3.4.4	P 151	L 2	# 228	ACCEPT IN PR	INCIPLE.			
Remein, Duane		Huawei			Per comment +	change title c	f Table 144-7 to read "	Discovery Inform	nation field"
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		embedded-shall	C/ 144 SC 14	4.3.4.7	P 154	L 36	# 236
Optional indication of the OLT sho		quirement ("should" under t"	a "shall"):		Remein, Duane	4.3.4.7	Huawei	230	# 230
SuggestedRemed	ly				Comment Type	FR Co	mment Status A		
Change: "The OLT sho	•	t" to:			The SYNC_PA ⁻ SuggestedRemedy	TERN MPCF	PDU should be required	I.	
"The OLT doe	es not grant"				Change				
Response REJECT.		Response Status C			"Generic MPCP		rther defined as follows be as shown in Figure		ails defined as follow
The first "shall	l" is the mea	and form at requirement.	The eccend "che	uld" is hohovier	Response	Res	ponse Status C		
requirement. \	Without the	sage format requirement. second "should" there will t exactly the same approac	e no normative		ACCEPT.				
C/ 144 SC ·	144.3.4.4	P151	L 4	# 229					
Remein, Duane		Huawei							
<i>Comment Type</i> Wording "This	E s is an 16-bit	Comment Status A field, value-encoded to inc	licate the numbe	r of times"					
SuggestedRemed	ly								
change to "Th	nis 16-bit fiel	d's value indicates the num	ber of times" in	5 places					
Response ACCEPT IN P		Response Status C							
Change to "This is a 16-b	oit field, valu	e-encoded to indicate the r	number of times"						

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4.7 Page 36 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:18 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4.7	P154	L 39	# 237	C/ 144	SC 144.3.	4.7	P 155	L12	# 266
Remein, Duane	Huawei			Remein, Du	ane	Н	uawei		
Comment Type TR Comment	Status A			Comment T	уре т	Comment Sta	atus R		
Is this a case of crossed names? "PatternInfo: This is a 16-bit field, wit Table 144-8 is not referenced.	h individual bits	defined per Spl	nfo field value"			sense to have Octe fo as the case may			0> and use bit 15 of
SuggestedRemedy				Admitte	dly this is a s	somewhat trivial cha	ange for H	W but is more stra	ight forward imho.
Change all (3-4) instances of "Pattern Change: "defined per SpInfo field value" to: "defined per Table 144-8"	nInfo" to "SpInfo) "		bit of the Change	e 144–8 char e Sync Patte e "c)" to read	ern value." "Value: This is a 32	-octet field	l, containing right-j	ies the last (index 256) justified bits 0 through
Response Response a ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #40. Also, change:	Status C			Pattern is show	is carried in n in Sync Pa	the SpInfo field. The ttern placement in	e allocation Fable 144	n of remaining 255 -9.	bere bit 256 of the Sync bits in the Value field 0>, and SP<255:248>.
"defined per SpInfo field value" to: "defined per Table 144-8"				Response REJEC	Т.	Response Sta	tus C		
C/ 144 SC 144.3.4.7 Remein, Duane	P 154 Huawei	L 48	# 265			n is precisely optimi PATTERN was first			This was discussed in
Comment Type TR Comment	Status D			C/ 144	SC 144.3.	5	P156	L 8	# 267
Table 144-8 should make it clear tha	t Count must be	the same for e	ach MPCPDU in a set.	Remein, Du	ane	н	uawei		
SuggestedRemedy				Comment T	ype E	Comment Sta	atus A		
Add to "Indicates the number of Synd 3."	Pattern eleme	nts in a burst. T	ne valid values are 2 or	Fix xRe	f. 77.3.6.1				
"The count field is the same for all S' Pattern (SP1, SP2 and optionally SP	3)."			SuggestedF Change	2	4–7 (included in ren	nein_3ca_	1_1118.pdf)	
Follow whatever decision is taken on Proposed Response Response		51, SP2 and SP	3.	Response ACCEP	T IN PRINC	Response Sta IPLE.	tus C		
REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by	the commenter	r.		See cor	mment #38				
This comment was WITHDRAWN by MPCPDU is just a data unit and as s their values. The target behavior is a requirements in text are needed.	uch, has no noti	ion of past or fu							

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5	P156	L 8	# 38	C/ 144 SC 144.3.5 P156 L29 # 270
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Comr	nunicatio		Remein, Duane Huawei
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment Type TR Comment Status A
Missing reference updat	tes in lines 8 and 23			Time should be in time units not bits "Laser On
SuggestedRemedy				Time and Laser Off Time fields, where both values are expressed in the units of 1 EQ"
Replace 77.3.6.1 with 14 Replace 77.3.6.3 with 14				SuggestedRemedy Change "1 EQ" to "EQT".
Response	Response Status C			Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.				ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
C/ 144 SC 144.3.5	P 156 Huawei	L10	# 268	No need to repeat. Unit are defined where fields are specified.
Remein, Duane				Strike ", where both values are expressed in the units of 1 EQ"
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D	- dina ati a a		C/ 144 SC 144.3.5 P157 L9 # 40
	supported in the downstean	n direction.		Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type T Comment Status A
Change: "the given transmission	direction" to:			No such field: SpInfo
"the downstream direction				SuggestedRemedy
(included in remein_3ca				Change all instances to PatternInfo
Proposed Response	Response Status Z			Response Response Status C
REJECT.				ACCEPT.
This comment was WITI	HDRAWN by the commente	er.		C/ 144 SC 144.3.5 P157 L32 # 273
C/ 144 SC 144.3.5	P156	L 23	# 269	Remein, Duane Huawei 273
Remein, Duane	Huawei			Comment Type T Comment Status D
Comment Type E Fix xRef. 77.3.6.3	Comment Status A			In REGISTER message SP3Length should reference footnote 3.
				SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Change to Table 144–4.				per comment
0				Proposed Response Response Status Z
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			REJECT.
	Ξ.			This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
See comment #38				SP3Length is not an optional field - it is always present, but if only two zones are present, it is set to 0.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5	P157	L 4 9	# 39	C/ 144 SC	144.3.5.1	P160	L33	# 275
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Comm			Remein, Duane		Huawei		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		EQT
Note uses wrong field	name: SPCount is no more					measured in EQTs is stated		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedReme	•	ting at 25G for a 10G ONU it	WIII DE CIOSELTO	0 512 US.
Change SpCount to C	ount (see Table 144–8)			00		aches to fixing this. One wo	uld ha ta dafina	
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C LE.			DISCOVER EQT. Anoth	Y_MARGIN	in ns and convert to EQT in to leave this as a constant ve difference depending on the	the SD by doing with a value of 8	g an integer division by 0,078 and change the
Change SpCount to <i< td=""><td>>Count field</td><td></td><td></td><td>suggested.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></i<>	>Count field			suggested.				
C/ 144 SC 144.3.5	P158	L 27	# 41	Response		Response Status C		
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Comm	unicatio		REJECT.				
SuggestedRemedy Remove the figures				so are expre	essed in EQ	(timestamp, startTime, laser T. There is nothing that ever is rate-dependent breaks m	needs to be exp	pressed in time units of
Response	Response Status C			C/ 144 SC	144.3.5.3	P161	L 41	# 240
ACCEPT.				Remein, Duane		Huawei		
				Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		
						s variable indicates the ONL PDU is to be transmitted." M		
				SuggestedReme	edy			
				transmitted"	to:	the ONU local time at which the LocalTime at which the 0	_	
				REGISTER_	_REQ MPC			
				Response		Response Status C		
				ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE	Ξ.		
				Change "Thi time at the C		ndicates the ONU local time"	to "This variabl	e indicates the local

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.3

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.4 P162 L9 # 243	C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.7 P164 L3 # 249 Remein, Duane Huawei
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
Clarification "e) The FEC Parity overhead"	These two requirements can be combined.
SuggestedRemedy Add " including the FEC_CW_DELIM." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. FEC_CW_DELIM is a constant that has a value 0x3CA (970), so adding it to the statememnt does not make much sense ("FEC Parity overhead including 970"???).	SuggestedRemedy Change: "The Discovery Process in the OLT shall implement multiple instances of the Registration Completion state diagram shown in Figure 144–22. Each instance of the Registration Completion state diagram shall be associated with the unicast PLID being registered." to: "The Discovery Process in the OLT shall implement multiple instances of the Registration Completion state diagram shown in Figure 144–22 where each instance is associated with a unicast PLID being registered."
Use the following updated statement: "The FEC Parity overhead, including the 10-bit FEC codeword delimiter"	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.6 P163 L3 # 247	C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.8 P165 L22 # 255
Remein, Duane Huawei	Remein, Duane Huawei
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
"instance the Discovery Initiation" should be "instance of the OLT Discovery Initiation"	Exit criteria from PASS_DISC_TO_CLIENT reading "LocalTime = ReqStart" is incorrect.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
per comment	Change to "LocalTime ≥ RegStart" (i.e., use greater than or equal symbol).
Response Response Status C	Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "shall implement a single instance the Discovery Initiation" to "shall implement a single instance of the Discovery Initiation"	The MPCP_PROCESSING_DLY is the time that the ONU is given to generate a response such as generating REPORT after receiving a GATE. The commenter's suggestion is for the ONU to generate REGISTER_REQ in such a way that the ONU still has MPCP_PROCESSING_DLY time left to spare. That is, it makes ONU processing

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.8

requirement more stringent by MPCP_PROCESSING_DLY, decreasing the time ONU has to react to the message received. Note that the REGISTER_REQ message is typically generated in software and requires a lot of internal processing (such as reading its RSSI in .3ca, parsing and processing Discovery Information field, and deciding if the ONU is

allowed to participate in this discovery).

See comment #254 for the fix.

Page 40 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:18 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

	D 405	1.00	# 054		SC 144.3.5.8	DACE	1.05	# 057
Remein, Duane	3.5.8 P165 Huawei	L 22	# 254	C/ 144 Remein, D		P 165 Huawei	L 25	# 257
	Comment Status A ASS_DISC_TO_CLIENT assum gStart and any time the ONU ne				a new Sync Pat	Comment Status A tern MPCPDU prior to comp nse time of OLT to Register		
SuggestedRemedy Change: "LocalTime = ReqS "RegStart: <= Loca replaced with RegS		Y" (Note this ass	umes ReqStart is	registra (see ex descrip Proces previou	ation attempt by (kit from ISSUE_F otion of the Disco is really begins w	ONUs that have not received REGISTER_REQ in Fig 144- very and Sync Pattern mess rith the Sync Pattern MPCPE This information should come	d the Register me 23). This should ages. Furtherm DU not the DISCO	essage to be aborted I be noted in the ore the Discovery OVERY MPCPDU as ir
144.3.6.1 with a cr		445.5.5 and repla		Suggested	Remedy			
Response	Response Status C					3.pdf (also available in MS W 23 are not subscripted in this		
ACCEPT IN PRING	CIPLE.			Response		Response Status C		
Current state diagr RegStart to LocalT	am operates as intended. To im ime >= RegStart	prove on operatic	on, change LocalTime =	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.		
				Insert t	he following text	in 144.3.5 as shown in reme	in_3a_1_1118.p	df
PASS_DISC_TO_ the Client in time to when ONU need to MsgRegisterReq fr second case, we a The ONU is allowe MsgRegisterReq, s	overy is passed to the MAC Cont CLIENT state. Two things may h participate in this discovery attro- send the REGISTER_REQ MP om the client. In the first case, w bort the attempt and go back to d to use all available time until th so if this time is larger than MPC need to artificially restrict ONU to	happen: (1) we ge empt, or (2) local CPDU, but the S we proceed with the waiting for SYNC he ReqStart to ge P_PROCESS_D	t MsgRegisterReq from Time reached the time D did not get the discovery. In the PATTERNs.	SYNC separa SP2, a burst (Discov SP1, S are and effectiv	_PATTERN MPC te SYNC_PATTE nd optionally SP see 144.3.4.7). R ery Window are a SP2, and optional nounced within the rely configure the	begins with the announceme PDU exchange between the ERN MPCPDUs are sent by 3 portions of the FEC unprot lepeat counts for SP1, SP2, announced within the DISCO ly SP3 outside of the Discov he REGISTER MPCPDU. Co Sync Pattern structure and NC PATTERN MPCPDU is	OLT and the ON the OLT, annour ected area in the and optionally S OVERY MPCPDL rery Window (nor ombined, this allo optimize it for th	NU. Two or three noting the value of SP1, head of the upstream P3 during the J. Repeat counts for rmal granting operation ows the OLT to e specific OLT receive
C/ 144 SC 144.3 Remein, Duane	Huawei	L 22	# 253	registra that reg	ation of an ONU i	responding to a previous Dis red and the ONU waits for a	covery Window	(see Figure 144-23)
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A					Ū		
Undefined variable	ReqStart appears 4x.			Chang	•	fies" with "Upon completion	or the Spvalue a	announcement, the OL

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with RegStart which is well defined.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

signals"

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.8 Page 41 of 43 11/14/2018 1:26:18 AM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

•						
C/ 144	SC	144.3.6.1	P1	65	L 47	# 259
Remein, D	uane		Huaw	ei		
Comment		TR	Comment Status	••		EQTs
			measured in EQTs ng at 25G for a 100			84 us. However this is only er to 41 us.
Suggested	Remed	ły				
MPCP EQT.	_PROC Anothe	CESS_DLY	to leave this as a c	o EC	T in the SD by d ant with a value	oing an interger division by of 6,400 and change the Other solutions could be
Response			Response Status	С		
REJEC	CT.					
is >>A counte receive so are	LWAYS or in the clock. expres	S<< 2.56 ns OLT is line All times (t sed in EQT	long (see commered to the 25Gb/s TX timestamp, startTimestamp, start	nt #3 Colo ne, I hat	378 from San Die ck and in the ON aserOn/Off times ever needs to be	rate. We decided that EQT ago meeting. LocalTime IU it is locked to 25Gb/s are linked to this clock, expressed in time units of grams in C144.
C/ 144	SC	144.3.6.1	P 1	66	L 8	# 260
Remein, D	uane		Huaw	ei		
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status	Α		
Clarific	ation "	e) The FEC	Parity overhead (s	ee ·	<tbd???>)"</tbd???>	
Suggested Replac			>)" with "including F	EC	_CW_DELIM."	
Response ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLE	Response Status	С		

Use the following updated statement: "The FEC Parity overhead (2560 parity bits + 10 bits of FEC codeword delimiter per each FEC codeword)"

C/ 144	SC 144.3.6.1	P 166	L 47	# 262
Remein, D	luane	Huawei		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		EQTs

GATE_TIMEOUT measured in EQTs is stated to be 50 ms. However this is only true if the ONU is operating at 25G for a 10G ONU it will be closer to 125 ms.

SuggestedRemedv

There are several approaches to fixing this. One would be to define GATE_TIMEOUT in ns and conver to EQT in the SD by doing an interger division by EQT. Another would be to leave this as a constant with a value of 19,531,250 and change the note to indicate the time difference depending on the ONU rate. Other solutions could be suggested.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

We introduced EQT specifically to represent EQ time at 25Gb/s rate. We decided that EQT is >>ALWAYS<< 2.56 ns long (see comment #378 from San Diego meeting. LocalTime counter in the OLT is lined to the 25Gb/s TX clock and in the ONU it is locked to 25Gb/s receive clock. All times (timestamp, startTime, laserOn/Off times) are linked to this clock, so are expressed in EQT. There is nothing that ever needs to be expressed in time units of 6.4 ns. Saying that EQT is rate-dependent breaks most state diagrams in C144.

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

Tramer, Glen		L 3	# 89	C/ 144 SC 14	4.4	P 171	L 53	# 68
	Broadcom			Hajduczenia, Marek	Cł	narter Commu	nicatio	
	Comment Status A			Comment Type	R Comment Sta	tus A		
	nd 144-27 use EnvList[ChIn		s no standalone	Missing content	of 144.4 Channel Contro	ol Protocol sub	oclause	
variable Chindex. This va	ariable is a subfield of MsgE	nvDescriptor.		SuggestedRemedy				
GrantStartTime, EnvStart manner and it is confusin Finally, MsgEnvDescripto	ructures that have start time tTime, StartTime. These nau ig to have different field nam or actually carries a group of	mes are not use nes to represent	d in a consistent the same concept.	behavioral assu contribution fron	ber hajduczenia_3ca_2_ mptions, etc. included in n Glen and myself. e of existing ChStatus va	hajduczenia_	3ca_1_1118.p	odf. This is a joint
name would be MsgEnvG	proup.			Response	Response Stat	us C		
ggestedRemedy			0	ACCEPT IN PR	INCIPLE.			
2) In SDs 144-26 and 144 EnvList[MsgEnvDescripto	4-27, replace MsgEnvDescri 4-27, replace EnvList[ChInd or.ChIndex] (3 locations tota ields that carry start times, re	ex] with al)		Use hajduczenia	a_3ca_2a_1118.pdf			
The exact list of changes	is shown in kramer_3ca_7_	_11_18.pdf						
esponse	Response Status C							
1) In SDs 144-26 and 144 2) In SDs 144-26 and 144			ivGroup					
 2) In SDs 144-26 and 144 EnvList[MsgEnvGroup.Cl 3) Use StartTime for all fi 	4-27, replace EnvList[ChInd hIndex] (3 locations total) ields that carry start times, r	ex] with						
 In SDs 144-26 and 144 EnvList[MsgEnvGroup.Cl Use StartTime for all fi structure they are part of. 	4-27, replace EnvList[ChInd hIndex] (3 locations total) ields that carry start times, r	ex] with egardless of what	at message or					
 2) In SDs 144-26 and 144 EnvList[MsgEnvGroup.Cl 3) Use StartTime for all fi structure they are part of. 144 SC 144.3.6.3 	4-27, replace EnvList[ChInd hIndex] (3 locations total) ields that carry start times, r	ex] with						
 2) In SDs 144-26 and 144 EnvList[MsgEnvGroup.Cl 3) Use StartTime for all fi structure they are part of. 	4-27, replace EnvList[ChInd hIndex] (3 locations total) ields that carry start times, r P167	ex] with egardless of what	at message or					
2) In SDs 144-26 and 144 EnvList[MsgEnvGroup.Cl 3) Use StartTime for all fi structure they are part of. / 144 SC 144.3.6.3 emein, Duane omment Type TR This definition seems bac EnvList[ch] list has any e True for IsEmpty if the FI	4-27, replace EnvList[ChInd hIndex] (3 locations total) ields that carry start times, r P167 Huawei Comment Status A ckwards "EnvList[ch].IsEmpinyelopes descriptors, other	ex] with egardless of what L19 ty(): this function wise, false is retu	at message or # 263 n returns true if urned;" Why return					
2) In SDs 144-26 and 144 EnvList[MsgEnvGroup.Cl 3) Use StartTime for all fi structure they are part of. / 144 SC 144.3.6.3 emein, Duane omment Type TR This definition seems bac EnvList[ch] list has any en True for IsEmpty if the FI Also this does not appeard diagram.	4-27, replace EnvList[ChInd hIndex] (3 locations total) ields that carry start times, re P167 Huawei Comment Status A ckwards "EnvList[ch].IsEmp invelopes descriptors, other FO is not empty?	ex] with egardless of what L19 ty(): this function wise, false is retu	at message or # 263 n returns true if urned;" Why return					
 2) In SDs 144-26 and 144 EnvList[MsgEnvGroup.Cl 3) Use StartTime for all fi structure they are part of. / 144 SC 144.3.6.3 emein, Duane omment Type TR This definition seems bac EnvList[ch] list has any et True for IsEmpty if the FI Also this does not appear diagram. uggestedRemedy Change to: "EnvList[ch].list 	4-27, replace EnvList[ChInd hIndex] (3 locations total) ields that carry start times, re P167 Huawei Comment Status A ckwards "EnvList[ch].IsEmp invelopes descriptors, other FO is not empty?	ex] with egardless of what <i>L</i> 19 ty(): this functior wise, false is retu- se in Envelope A ns true if EnvLis	at message or # 263 n returns true if urned;" Why return activation state					
2) In SDs 144-26 and 144 EnvList[MsgEnvGroup.Cl 3) Use StartTime for all fi structure they are part of. 144 SC 144.3.6.3 emein, Duane comment Type TR This definition seems bac EnvList[ch] list has any ei True for IsEmpty if the FI Also this does not appear diagram. uggestedRemedy Change to: "EnvList[ch].Is any envelopes descriptor	4-27, replace EnvList[ChInd hIndex] (3 locations total) ields that carry start times, re- P167 Huawei Comment Status A ckwards "EnvList[ch].IsEmpl invelopes descriptors, other FO is not empty? r to be consistent with it's us sEmpty(): this function retur	ex] with egardless of what <i>L</i> 19 ty(): this functior wise, false is retu- se in Envelope A ns true if EnvLis	at message or # 263 n returns true if urned;" Why return activation state					
2) In SDs 144-26 and 144 EnvList[MsgEnvGroup.Cl 3) Use StartTime for all fi structure they are part of. / 144 SC 144.3.6.3 emein, Duane omment Type TR This definition seems bac EnvList[ch] list has any ei True for IsEmpty if the FI Also this does not appear diagram. uggestedRemedy Change to: "EnvList[ch].Is any envelopes descriptor	4-27, replace EnvList[ChInd hIndex] (3 locations total) ields that carry start times, re P167 Huawei Comment Status A ckwards "EnvList[ch].IsEmpt invelopes descriptors, other FO is not empty? r to be consistent with it's us sEmpty(): this function returners, otherwise, false is returner	ex] with egardless of what <i>L</i> 19 ty(): this functior wise, false is retu- se in Envelope A ns true if EnvLis	at message or # 263 n returns true if urned;" Why return activation state					

C/ 144 SC 144.4