C/ FM SC FM P1 **L1** # 1 C/ FM SC FM P9 **L1** Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A No line numbers in Front Matter Acknowledgements were neded in 802.3.1, not likely in 802.3.2 and not for now. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Add line numbers into Front Matter Remove. Consider adding it back when and if needed to acknowlege any public review on GIT? Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM P**5** / 1 Cl 1 SC 1 P13 L39 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Haiduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A Text under introduction box belongs to 802.3.1 Clause should start at the top of the page SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace three paragraphs with "TBD - to be added when the list of YANG modules is Insert page break before heading level 1 completed" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM **P7** L10 # 3 C/ 1 SC 1.1 P13 L47 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communicatio** Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communicatio** Comment Status A Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Type TR Missing TF officers YANG data models or YANG data modules? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add TF Chair and Chief Editor information It seems like we have teminology issue: the majority of use cases seem to gravitate towards "YANG modules" Response Response Status C Change all instances of "YANG data models" to "YANG modules" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Teminology definitions from RFC 6929: "data model: A data model describes how data is represented and accessed" and "module: A YANG module defines a hierarchy of nodes that can be used for NETCONF-based operations. With its definitions and the definitions it imports or includes from elsewhere, a module is self-contained and "compilable"."

It seems "data model" is kind of requirements designs while "data module" is an implementation with detailed defined nodes. In this case, if we want to say entire 802.3 ethernet, we can use "YANG data model", while if we go to specify functions by defining nodes/features, which is implementation of the model, it would better go for module.

Comment Type E

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

Hanging paragraph

Create new subclause 5.1 Introduction and move line 4 in there

Response Status C

Cl 5

locations.

SC 5.1

CI 3 SC<sub>3</sub> P17 **L1** # 7 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type ER Comment Status A Page numbers at the bottom of the page do not coincide with the page numbers displayed in PDF reader. For example, it is page 19 (as marked in pdf) but page 17 (as shown in PDF reader) SuggestedRemedy Make sure pages are aligned Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 3.1 CI 3 P17 **L8** # 8 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type TR Comment Status A There are a couple of terms which need to be defined and are missing now in [B6]: YANG module. YANG data node SuggestedRemedy TF needs to provide these definitions and their references. I assume these would come from IFTF RFCs? Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Teminology definitions from RFC 6929: "data model: A data model describes how data is represented and accessed" "YANG module: A YANG module defines a hierarchy of nodes that can be used for NETCONF-based operations. With its definitions and the definitions it imports or includes from elsewhere, a module is self-contained and "compilable"." CI 5 SC 5 P21 L4 # 10 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Status A

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type T Comment Status A Title of subclause 5.1 savs "Module structure" SuggestedRemedy Change to "YANG module structure" for consistency. The same in 6.3 Similarly, change "Module definition" to "YANG module definition". The same in 6.6 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. CI 5 SC 5.2 P21 L18 # 11 Charter Communicatio Haiduczenia. Marek Comment Type E Comment Status A Missing comma between "802.3" and "clause" SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 5 SC 5.2 P21 L22 # 12 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type T Comment Status A "attributes" or "managed objects"? We speak of "Clause 30 managed objects everywhere else SuggestedRemedy Change "and the attributes" to "and managed objects" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Table 5-1, remove "managed objects" from reference to Clause 30. Make similar changes in other tables. Change "YANG modules and the attributes" to "YANG modules, managed objects, and attributes" In Table 5-1, change "Data note(s)" to "Data node(s)". Make similar changes in other

P21

**L8** 

CI 5 SC 5.2 P21 L27 # 13 C/ 5 SC 5.2 P23 L49 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A The whole mapping between Clause 30 and YANG module nodes is hard to read and change"phy-statistics" in table 5-1 accroding to comment #3 follow in this structure. I would suggest to use the structure of the YANG module similar to SugaestedRemedy the one shown in 5.3.1, and add managed object / attribute(s) abd reference, if available. Apply the same logic to Table 5-1 and Table 5-2, as well as Table 6-1 in Clause 6 replace "/phy-statistics" with "/statistics/phy"; SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Per comment. Example below ACCEPT. module: ieee802-ethernet-interface See #20 for details augment /if:interfaces/if:interface: +--rw ethernet Changed from E to T +--rw auto-negotiation P23 CI 5 SC 5.2 # 23 | +--rw enable: -/-L52 +--rw duplex: oMACEntity/aDuplexStatus, IEEE Std 802.3, xxxxxxxxxxx Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies +--rw speed: -/-Comment Type T Comment Status A +--rw flow-control +--rw pause change"phy-statistics" in table 5-1 accroding to comment #3 +--rw direction: -/-SuggestedRemedy +--rw pfc {ethernet-pfc}? I +--rw enable: -/replace "/phy-statistics/lpi" with "/statistics/phy/lpi" +--rw force-flow-control: -/-Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See #20 for details Work with staff editor to verify whether it is possible to rotate pages with tables to landscape. Changed from E to T Cl 5 SC 5.2 P23 # 21 L12 CI 5 SC 5.2 P24 L12 # 24 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A change "frame-statistics" in table 5-1 accroding to comment #3 change "mac-control-statistics" in table 5-1 accroding to comment #3 SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy replace "/frame-statistics" with "/statistics/frame" replace "/mac-control-statistics" with "/statistics/mac-control" in Table 5-1 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. See #20 for details See #20 for details Changed from E to T Changed from E to T

## Approved Responses

## IEEE P802.3cf D0.1 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

change "/frame-statistics/" in table 5-2 according to comment #8

SuggestedRemedy

 $replace \ "/frame-statistics/csmacd{csma-cd}" \ with \ "/statistics/frame/csmacd{csma-cd}" \ in table 5-2.$ 

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See #20 for details

Changed from E to T

Cl 5 SC 5.3.1 P25 L6 # 14

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Tree hierarchy contains a lot of nodes marked with "?" which is not explained anywhere in the text

SuggestedRemedy

Add introductory note explaining the meaning of "?" symbol in tree hierarchy

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #15

Cl 5 SC 5.3.1 P25 L6 # 15

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Tree hierarchy contains a lot of nodes followed by data type (?) information - the arrangement of these data types is rather haphazard. Some of them are also non-standard and created within the module

SuggestedRemedy

As far as listing data types is concered, consider adding ":" after node name, followed by space and data type Alternatively move all data type definitions farther to the right and align them into a single column for better readability

As far as non-standard data types are concerned, suggest to add reference (hyperlink?) to their definition within YANG module - this will at least help reader under what "pause-fc-direction-type" is (for example)

Similar changes needed in 6.6.1

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert a reference to RFC 6087BIS (https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-netmod-rfc6087bis-12) (informative) for definitions of individual symbols.

Action item to Yan to provide reference.

Changed from E to T

See comment #20 for details

CI 5 SC 5.3.1 P25 L47 # 20 CI 5 SC 5.3.2 P38 L57 # 27 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A There are 3 statictics containers ("frame-statistics", "phy-statitics", "mac-control-statistics"). update codes for statistics in ethernet-interface module Merge them into a single "statistics" container and rename these 3 containers by removing SuggestedRemedy "-statitics". with latest "ieee802-ethernet-interface.yang" Update the table 5-1 and YANG codes in 5.3.2 accordingly. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. change to: +--ro statistics C/ 5 SC 5.3.2 P**50** L58 # 28 +--ro frame Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies +- ... Comment Type T Comment Status A +--ro phy +... change codes for statistics in ethernet-interface-legacy module +--ro mac-control SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C replace "eth-if:frame-statistics" with "eth-if:statistics/eth-if:frame" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C This is comment #Yan-Definitions for reference. ACCEPT. Changed from E to T Changed from E to T CI 5 P**26** L26 SC 5.3.1 # 25 See comment #20 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies CI 5A SC 5A P73 **LO** # 18 Comment Type T Comment Status A Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies provide a "statistics" container to hold types of different statistics under the ethernet-legacy module Comment Type Ε Comment Status A No line numbers SuggestedRemedy create a "statitics" container and move the "frame-statistics" container under it. Rename SuggestedRemedy the "frame-statistics" to "frame" container Add line numbers Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

CI 5A SC 5A.2 P73 L0 # 19 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies Comment Type Т Comment Status A Missing managed objects in modules from other standards in annex of Relationship to other standards SuggestedRemedy Add managed objects: dot3HCInPFCFrames and dot3HCOutPFCFrames from ETHERLIKE MIB, etherStatsOctets and (etherStatsUndersizePkts+etherStatsFragments). Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 6.1 P55 L6 CI 6 # 16 Charter Communicatio Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Comment Status A Subclause 6.1 and 6.2 contain the same type of information: overview SuggestedRemedy Remove heading 6.2 - there is no difference between Introduction and Overview anyway Response Response Status C ACCEPT. CI 6 SC 6.3 P55 L25 # 17 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type TR Comment Status A Ethernet interface YANG module is named "ieee802-ethernet-interface" and "ieee802ethernet-interface-legacy". "ieee802-pse" skips "ethernet" which is important from marketing and identification purposes

Change module names in Clause 6 adding "ethernet" between "ieee802" and "pse"

Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line