

Comments Received

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

Cl **FM** SC **FM** P3 L1 # 29
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**
 Missing text of abstract and keywords
 SuggestedRemedy
 Abstract: The YANG module specifications for IEEE Std 802.3TM, also known as Ethernet, are contained in this standard, providing machine-readable YANG modules, node hierarchies, and functional descriptions.
 Keywords: Ethernet, IEEE 802.3.2TM, YANG, network management
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl **FM** SC **FM** P5 L8 # 30
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**
 Missing text of introduction
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace text in red with the following block: The standard provides YANG module definitions for IEEE Std 802.3-2015, specifically legacy CSMA/CD shared medium link, newer point-to-point and point-to-multipoint (including Ethernet Passive Optical Networks) links, and Power over Etehernet (PoE) interfaces.
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl **1** SC **1** P1 L1 # 63
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**
 Module style guide. In looking throught the draft it appears that the style used in the various modules is inconsistent. It would be a good idea to define a style guide.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Create a module style guide. Might consider adapting the one from IETF (RFC 6087 Appendix B) or BBF (see <https://wiki.broadband-forum.org/display/BBF/OD-360%3A+YANG+Template>)
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl **1** SC **1** P14 L3 # 31
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**
 Text of overview is missing
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use the following text (copied and adapted from 802.3.1):
 This document defines YANG modules for legacy shared (CSMA/CD) and dedicated links in point-to-point and point-to-multipoint architectures (Ethernet Passive Optical Networks, EPON), as well as Power over Ethernet (PoE) ports, as specified in IEEE Std 802.3-2012. Ethernet technology, as defined by the IEEE 802.3 Working Group, continues to evolve, with scalable increases in speed, new types of cabling and interfaces, and new features. This evolution may require changes in the managed objects in order to reflect this new functionality. This document, as with other documents issued by this working group, reflects a certain stage in the evolution of Ethernet technology. In the future, this document might be revised, or new documents might be issued, in order to reflect the evolution of Ethernet technology.
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl **1** SC **1** P14 L4 # 40
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**
 Here is a better overview. Replace the existing overview text with the following (stolen from 802.3.1):
 SuggestedRemedy
 This document supersedes and makes obsolete IEEE 802.3.1. Ethernet technology, as defined by the IEEE 802.3 Working Group, continues to evolve, with scalable increases in speed, new types of cabling and interfaces, and new features. This evolution may require changes in the managed objects in order to reflect this new functionality. This document, as with other documents issued by this working group, reflects a certain stage in the evolution of Ethernet technology. In the future, this document might be revised, or new documents might be issued, in order to reflect the evolution of Ethernet technology.
 The term "Ethernet-like interfaces" was historically used because the interfaces defined by the IEEE 802.3 Working Group were not considered "Ethernet" per se, but "Ethernet-like," because "Ethernet" was taken to mean "Ethernet version 2" according to the (DEC, Intel, Xerox) DIX "blue book." In the context of YANG (Yet Another Next Generation) management and YANG data modules, the terms "ethernet" and "Ethernet" are synonymous and interchangeable.
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Comments Received

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 1 SC 1.1 P14 L9 # 32
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Text of scope is missing (matches Scope in PAR)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use the following text: This standard defines YANG data models for IEEE Std 802.3 Ethernet.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.3 P14 L19 # 33
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Internet-Standard Management Framework only applies to MIB/SMNP and not YANG
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change title of 1.3 to "Summary of YANG-based management framework"
 Content of 1.3 is still needed: insert (TBD) at this time
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4 P14 L24 # 34
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Security considerations need text
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use the following text, adapted from <https://trac.ietf.org/trac/ops/wiki/yang-security-guidelines>

The YANG module defined in this standard is designed to be accessed via network management protocols, including NETCONF [RFC6241] or RESTCONF [RFC8040]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is Secure Shell (SSH) [RFC6242]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [RFC5246]. The NETCONF access control model [RFC6536] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or RESTCONF users to a pre-configured subset of all available NETCONF or RESTCONF protocol operations and content. There are a number of data nodes defined in this YANG module that are writable/creatable/deletable, i.e., have the config property set to true, which is the default setting. These data nodes may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Write operations (e.g., edit-config) to these data nodes without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations. Some of the readable data nodes in this YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control read access (e.g., via get, get-config, or notification) to these data nodes. Some of the RPC operations in this YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control access to these operations.

Add references to [RFC6241], [RFC8040], [RFC6536], [RFC6242], [RFC5246] into informative section of the standard

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 1 SC 1.5 P14 L32 # 35
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Text of conformance subclause is missing
SuggestedRemedy
 Add the following statement: All YANG modules included in this standard are YANG 1.1 [RFC7950] compliant and pass automated checks using tools available at the time of publication.
 Add [RFC7950] to the list of normative references
Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 2 SC 2 P15 L10 # 36
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Remove entry for ANSI T1.231-1997 - there are no references to this document in this standrd
SuggestedRemedy
 Per comment
Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 2 SC 2 P15 L11 # 45
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Add to Normative Ref RFC 6087BIS (ss pg 26 line 3) and RFC 7223 (see pg 34 line 50).
SuggestedRemedy
 IETF RFC 6087BIS, Guidelines for Authors and Reviewers of YANG Data Model Documents, Bierman,A., March 5, 2017.
 IETF RFC 7223, A YANG Data Model for Interface Management, Bjorklund, M., May 2014.
Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 2 SC 2 P15 L12 # 46
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Should we list informative references also? Here is one I found in the draft.
SuggestedRemedy
 IETF RFC 3621, Power Ethernet MIB, Berger, A., December 2003.
Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 4 SC 4 P19 L3 # 41
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
Comment Type E Comment Status X
 At the very least we should include YANG
SuggestedRemedy
 Add: YANG Yet Another Generation
Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 4 SC 4 P19 L3 # 42
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
Comment Type E Comment Status X
 and NETCONF
SuggestedRemedy
 Add: NETCONF Network Configuration Protocol
Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 5 SC 5.3 P22 L1 # 43
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Draft is missing line numbers
SuggestedRemedy
 If adding line number is impossible in Frame then add an Editorial note explaining how comments are to ref table entries.
Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

CI 5 SC 5.3 P23 L1 # 44
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Tables should have a continuation title
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change all table titles that cross a page (all tables?) to the proper style.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 5 SC 5.4.2 P27 L50 # 60
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 A single level 3 section of almost 30 pages seems excessive.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a header between each Module giving the Module name. For example "5.4.2.1 Ethernet interface module"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 5 SC 5.4.1 P26 L8 # 37
 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Tree hierarchy as shown does not fit into page width and line wrapping occurs that impedes readability
 SuggestedRemedy
 Consider presenting tree hierarchy and YANG module itself in horizontal rather than standard vertical layout, for all modules. At least tree hierarchy will benefit from that substantially.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 5 SC 5.4.2 P28 L13 # 48
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 It strikes me as odd to have the WG Chair/ Vice-chair, acting TF Chair, and an incorrect name for an unofficial Editor" in the machine readable module. Same issue pg 47 line 14 and elsewhere.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Strike all this information (line 13-29) which is destined to be incorrect now or some day in the future.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 5 SC 5.4.2 P27 L41 # 47
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 " this statement strikes me a odd "the definitions in 5.3 shall take precedence" since 5.3 only contains a mapping table and defines nothing. There are several instance of this text in the draft.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change statement to "the mappings in 5.3 shall take precedence"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 5 SC 5.4.2 P28 L49 # 49
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 How can there be "Changes from previous" if this is "Initial revision of YANG model for IEEE 802.3 Ethernet interfaces. (line 46)?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Strkie lines 49-62.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P29 L39 # 50
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 There are several types of "Flow control" in the potential world of YANG. In some cases we are very specific (ex pg 30 line 5) but often we are not.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "Flow control" with "PAUSE frame based flow control" at the following locations:
 Plg 29 lines 39, 47, 55, & 63,
 Pg 30 line 51, 52, 55, 58, 63, 64,
 Pg 32 line 2, 3, 47, 52, 56,
 Pg 34 line 25, 26,
 Pg 36 line 23, 28, and 31.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P31 L11 # 51
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Most "TODO"s are in the form of comments, This one should be.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Pull "TODO - Or should the default just be left to vendor discretion?" out of description and into a comment.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P31 L21 # 53
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Grammer
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Force" to "Forces"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P31 L45 # 52
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Missing period
 SuggestedRemedy
 add after "capable of operating at".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P31 L47 # 54
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 sentence fragment beginning with "Allows the advertised ..."
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to read "This leaf allows the advertised ..."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 5 SC 5.4.2 P31 L63 # 55
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 reference "IEEE 802.3, xxx";
 Same on Pg 34 line 21
 SuggestedRemedy
 change to: reference "IEEE 802.3, Annex 31B";
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

CI 5 SC 5.4.2 P34 L46 # 56
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 grammer "Discontinuities in the values of this counters in this" (occurs 8 x)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change from:
 "Discontinuities in the values of this counters in" to:
 "Discontinuities in the values of the counters in"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 5 SC 5.4.2 P40 L31 # 57
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Grammer "This count effective comprises"
 SuggestedRemedy
 change effective to effectively
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 5 SC 5.4.2 P45 L42 # 58
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Sentence fragment "Group of statistics specific to MAC Control ..."
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add "A" to the beginning of the sentence.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 5 SC 5.4.2 P46 L50 # 59
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Does this module that is "not anticipated to be widely implemented" really belong in the main standard? Perhaps a normative appendix would be more appropriate.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Move module to a new normative Annex 5B.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7 P79 L1 # 83
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 "config" is given with its default value "true"
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove statement "config true".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7 P79 L39 # 84
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 "config" is given with its default value "true"
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove statement "config true".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7 P79 L55 # 85
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 "config" is given with its default value "true"
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove statement "config true".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

CI 7 SC 7 P80 L29 # 73
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 "config" is given with its default value "true"
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove statement "config true".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7 P81 L59 # 77
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 "config" is given with its default value "true"
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove statement "config true".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7 P80 L55 # 74
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 "config" is given with its default value "true"
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove statement "config true".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7 P83 L39 # 78
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 "config" is given with its default value "true"
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove statement "config true".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7 P81 L10 # 75
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 "config" is given with its default value "true"
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove statement "config true".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7 P84 L2 # 79
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 "config" is given with its default value "true"
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove statement "config true".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7 P81 L30 # 76
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 "config" is given with its default value "true"
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove statement "config true".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7 P84 L25 # 80
 Zhuang, Yan Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 statement "min-elements" is given with its default value "0"
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove statement "min-elements 0".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

CI 7 SC 7.3.2 P72 L14 # 62
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Run-on lines. When I compare this module to those in 5.4.2 those in 5.4.2 are much easier to read due to consistent indenting.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Follow indenting style of modules in 5.4.2
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7.3.2 P73 L10 # 66
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Figure what?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Figure 76-4
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7.3.2 P72 L38 # 64
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Change "it is Ethernet PON .."
 SuggestedRemedy
 to: "it is an Ethernet PON ..."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7.3.2 P73 L12 # 67
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 setaside?
 reservedLLIDs?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Spell-check the module.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7.3.2 P72 L45 # 65
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Lots of words, all correct, but what exactly is an LLID?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace the description with:
 "A unique identifier for a MAC within an EPON network. Logical Link Identifiers (LLIDs) are dynamically assigned by the OLT during the registration process. For a complete description of how the LLID is used in an EPON device see IEEE Std 802.3 subclause 65.1.3.3 or 76.2.6.1.3."
 This is derived from 64.1.2 Position of Multipoint MAC Control within the IEEE 802.3 hierarchy: "Within the EPON Network, MACs are uniquely identified by their LLID which is dynamically assigned by the registration process"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 7 SC 7.3.2 P73 L16 # 68
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 What is this "typedef mpcp-maximum-queue-count-per-report"? My understanding is that the maximum number of queues that can be in a REPORT message defined by 802.3 is fixed at 8.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the item or provide a precise reference where it comes from.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments Received

IEEE P802.3cf D1.0 YANG Data Model Definitions 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P73 L35 # 69
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This statement is incorrect: "Typically the number of logical links expected in a PON is equal the number of ONUs, which is 32-64, plus an additional entry for broadcast LLID. At the ONU the number of LLIDs for an interface is one."

SuggestedRemedy
Strike.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P73 L38 # 70
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Stylistically most closing curly braces appear to be on a new line.

SuggestedRemedy

Add new line and appropriate indentation before "}"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 7 SC 7.3.2 P73 L43 # 71
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Stylistically most descriptive text begins on the line following the keyword "description"

SuggestedRemedy

Ensure the keyword is followed by a newline and the descriptive text is properly indented throughout the module.

Proposed Response Response Status O