Cl **1** *SC* **1**   *P* **1** *L* **1** # 152

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **X**

What happened to the legacy module? Was it decided to exclude this module from this work?

SuggestedRemedy

n/a

Proposed Response *Response Status* **O**

Cl **5** *SC* **5.3**   *P* **26** *L* **1** # 173

Zhuang, Yan   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **TR** *Comment Status* **X**

data nodes merged in updated nmda-style modules.
the same for:
p62, line 1, sub-clause 6.3.
p160, line 1, sub-clause 8.3

SuggestedRemedy

replace mapping tables with tables in 'revised mapping tables' file.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **O**

Cl **5** *SC* **5.4.2.1**   *P* **32** *L* **10** # 153

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **X**

General comment on style used in all YANG modules. It has been suggested that 802.3cf rationalized the style of its YANG clauses. This has since become a potential item for 802.1 to address in all 802 projects. We should note this action is being considered and may be adopted by 802.3cf.
Note that the proposed resolution does not address what will happen if these guidelines are not adopted. It would probably be a good idea for the TF to agree on a strategy to address this possibility.

SuggestedRemedy

Add editors note before each YANG section:
Editors note: Guideline for 802 YANG modules are potentially being developed under the auspices of 802.1. If these guidelines are completed prior to Sponsor ballot these may be adopted by P802.3cf and applied to this module.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **O**

Cl **5** *SC* **5.4.2.1**   *P* **33** *L* **15** # 154

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **T** *Comment Status* **X**

inconsistent style used for keyword "units" in the draft, sometimes the unit value is in quotes (as on pg 33/ln 15), other times it is not (as on pg 39/ln 26), still other times it is in single quotes (as on pg 73 ln 43).

SuggestedRemedy

use without quotes consistently (note RFC 6020 indicates the unit value is a string but usually omits quotes).

Proposed Response *Response Status* **O**

Cl **5** *SC* **5.4.2.1**   *P* **33** *L* **36** # 174

Zhuang, Yan   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **TR** *Comment Status* **X**

warning in yanglint validation: "Value "iana-if-type:ethernetCsmacd" is not valid for the node "type" (ietf-interfaces:type = 'iana-if-type:ethernetCsmacd')" .
Related lines:
p37, L38, sub-clause 5.4.2.1.
p55, L1,26, sub-clause 5.4.2.2.
p56, L1,14, sub-clasue 5.4.2.2

SuggestedRemedy

Changing the when expressions to "derived-from-or-self(../if:type, 'ianaift:ethernetCsmacd')"

Proposed Response *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7** *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* *L* # 167

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **X**

inconsistent style used for keyword "if-feature" in draft. Sometimes a single line is used sometime a two line style is used. The two line style can cross page boundaries reducing readability.

SuggestedRemedy

Use single line version throughout as shown in RFC 6020.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general *Cl* **7** Page 1 of 5
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn *SC* **7.3.2** 10/31/2017 8:02:05 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **36**   *L* **39**   # 155

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **X**

inconsistent style used for feature name after keyword "if-feature" sometime the name is in quotes other time it is without quotes.

SuggestedRemedy

use without quotes consistently (Note RFC 6020 omits quotes in all examples).

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **90**   *L* **10**   # 170

Zhuang, Yan   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **X**

nmda style transition for EPON, Ethernet interfaces, pse, ELO modules. The same reason for modules in following clause:
sub-clause 5.4.2, page 32, line 13,
sub-clase 6.5.2, page 66, line 10,
sub-clase 8.5.2, page 166,line 10.

SuggestedRemedy

replace the ieee802-ethernet-pon module with epon module on github link
https://github.com/yanzhuang/8023EthernetYANG/blob/master/standard/ieee/802.3/draft/ieee802-ethernet-pon.yang

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **90**   *L* **32**   # 149

Hajduczenia, Marek   Charter

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **X**

"eth-old" just looks offensive for anybody working on CSMA/CD. Change prefix into something more neutral.

SuggestedRemedy

change all instances of "eth-old" prefix to "eth-legacy"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **91**   *L* **13**   # 156

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **X**

inconsistent style used for keyword "type" in draft. Sometimes a single line is used as in "type keyword {", other times a two line style is used as in "type <LF> keyword {". Two line style may cross page boundaries reducing readability.

SuggestedRemedy

Use single line version throughout as shown in RFC 6020.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **91**   *L* **14**   # 157

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **X**

inconsistent style used for keyword "type" in draft. Sometimes a single line is used, sometime a two line style is used. Two line style may cross page boundaries reducing readability.

SuggestedRemedy

Use single line version throughout as shown in RFC 6020.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **92**   *L* **42**   # 158

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **X**

inconsistent style used for keyword "default" in draft. Sometimes a single line is used, sometime a two line style is used. Two line style may cross page boundaries reducing readability.

SuggestedRemedy

Use single line version throughout as shown in RFC 6020.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **7**
SC **7.3.2**

Page 2 of 5
10/31/2017 8:02:05 PM

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **92**   *L* **42**   # 159

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **X**

inconsistent style used for keyword "default" in draft. Sometimes default value is in quotes (as on pg 92 ln 42) other time there are no quotes (as on pg 42 ln 6.

SuggestedRemedy

use without quotes consistently (Note RFC 6020 indicates the default value is a string but usually omits quotes).

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **93**   *L* **12**   # 160

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **X**

Incorrectly marked comments; pg/ln, 93/12-13,

SuggestedRemedy

mark lines 12 & 13 as comments

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **93**   *L* **21**   # 151

Hajduczenia, Marek   Charter

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **X**

Meaningless editorial notes. We keep on adding notes to satisfy one commenter's desire to push people to review the draft. It is a voluneer project and if someone is inclined to review and correct, they will submit comment. Likewise, if the original commenter feels the need to improve text, he is welcome to submit a comment against the draft, without the need for rather vague and pointless comments in the module

SuggestedRemedy

Remove

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **93**   *L* **51**   # 150

Hajduczenia, Marek   Charter

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **X**

OLT and ONU roles are defined as "server" and "client", respectively. In the world of SDN where everything seems to be going, it is more like a "controller" and "client"

SuggestedRemedy

Change all instances of "server" to "controller" when referencing OLT in Clause 7.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **98**   *L* **3**   # 168

Zhuang, Yan   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **X**

The grouping 'trx-threshold-crossing-notification' is not used in the module after its definition

SuggestedRemedy

remove this grouping

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **101**   *L* **18**   # 169

Zhuang, Yan   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **X**

No definition for featur "trx-power-level-reporting-supported". Missing description and reference.

SuggestedRemedy

would ask author to add description and reference information.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **7**   Page 3 of 5
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **7.3.2**   10/31/2017 8:02:05 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **101**   *L* **18**   # 161

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **X**

This feature statement appear to be incorrect and possibly missing significant content. "feature trx-power-level-reporting-supported;"

SuggestedRemedy

I believe the Feature statement should be of the form:
  feature trx-power-level-reporting-supported {

  ….
  }

Add missing content including description, reference etc. (I'm not sure what the author intended or I would provide it here).

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **101**   *L* **19**   # 162

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **X**

inconsistent style used for keyword "feature" in draft. Sometimes a single line is used sometime a two line style is used. Two line style may cross page boundaries reducing readability.

SuggestedRemedy

Use single line version throughout as shown in RFC 6020.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **104**   *L* **13**   # 163

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **X**

indenting inconsistent in draft reducing readability (ex pg /ln104/12, 110/46, 125/27, 133/49, 134/21, 140/33, 144/15, 144/50, 154/57).

SuggestedRemedy

Use 2 space indenting consistently so that "{" causes subsequent lines to be indented by 2 spaces and "}" removes 2 spaces from indenting.
Indent strings an additional 2 spaces if starting new line and one additional space after initial line of string as such:
  "This is the first line on a long string that
   continues on a second line and then ends.";

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **127**   *L* **14**   # 171

Zhuang, Yan   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **X**

missing "description" for fec-capability leaf.

SuggestedRemedy

would ask author to add description.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **7**   *SC* **7.3.2**   *P* **133**   *L* **57**   # 164

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **X**

When style used for keyword "config" includes a line feed it sometime causes the parameter value (true or false) to be on a different page. If would be easier to read if the keyword and the value were on a single line.

SuggestedRemedy

Use single line version so as not to cross pages.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **7**
SC **7.3.2**

Page 4 of 5
10/31/2017 8:02:05 PM

Cl **8**   *SC* **8.5.2**   *P* **166**   *L* **14**   # 172

Zhuang, Yan   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **X**

inconsist module name in namespace "urn:ieee:std:802.3:yang:ethernet-link-oam"

SuggestedRemedy

should be "urn:ieee:std:802.3:yang:ieee802-ethernet-link-oam"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **8**   *SC* **8.5.2**   *P* **168**   *L* **40**   # 165

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **X**

check commented line pg 168 line 40. Is this intentional and correct?

SuggestedRemedy

If intentional add editors note with the explanation of why it is commented.
If unintentional remove "//"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

Cl **8**   *SC* **8.5.2**   *P* **184**   *L* **22**   # 166

Remein, Duane   Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **X**

Large comment block with no explanation pg 184/22 - 185/21.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove comment block or add explanation

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **8**   Page 5 of 5
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **8.5.2**   10/31/2017 8:02:05 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line