

802.3ah Ethernet in the First Mile  
April 2004 Interim Meeting  
Santa Clara, California United States

Task Force Minutes

Scott Simon, Recording Secretary

---

**TF INTERIM MEETING      MONDAY, APRIL 12**

*Howard opens the session, introductions are made, the agenda is reviewed.*

*Howard exhibits the IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws on Patents in Standards (AKA the call for patents)*

*Howard points out that those attendees who are not physically present in the meeting room, but have called in, are free to join the debate. Votes will be taken only amongst those physically present in the meeting room.*

*The Task Force spends the bulk of the day resolving comments on Draft 3.2. Motions and discussion regarding the comments received on the draft and the comment resolutions have been recorded in the comment database.*

**SESSION CONCLUDES**

---

**TF INTERIM MEETING      TUESDAY, APRIL 13**

*Howard opens the session.*

*The Task Force spends the bulk of the day resolving comments on Draft 3.2. Motions and discussion regarding the comments received on the draft and the comment resolutions have been recorded in the comment database.*

---

**1. Motion to reject all comments against unchanged text except for:**

- a) those comments already considered at this meeting
- b) the following comment numbers: 52, 53, 122, 124, 798, 117

Moved: Hugh Barrass  
Second: Michael Beck

**Motion to amend the motion in question by adding “DVJ-254, DVJ-256, DVJ-449” to the end of bullet point b.**

Moved: Wael Diab  
Second: Scott Simon

*Technical motion, requires  $\geq$  75% in favour to pass*

Among those present:

**Y:9 N:0 A:0**

#### **MOTION TO AMEND PASSES**

**Motion to amend the motion in question by adding “DVJ-1153, DVJ-1164, DVJ-1334, DVJ-1347” to the end of bullet point b.**

Moved: Hugh Barrass

Second: Scott Simon

*Technical motion, requires  $\geq$  75% in favour to pass*

Among those present:

**Y:4 N:0 A:2**

#### **MOTION TO AMEND PASSES**

**Motion to amend the motion in question by adding “DVJ-369, DVJ-472” to the end of bullet point b.**

Moved: Bob Grow

Second: Hugh Barrass

*Technical motion, requires  $\geq$  75% in favour to pass*

Among those present:

**Y:9 N:0 A:2**

#### **MOTION TO AMEND PASSES**

**Motion to amend the amended motion in question by changing “DVJ-449” to “DVJ-1707”.**

Moved: Michael Beck

Second: Hugh Barrass

*Technical motion, requires  $\geq$  75% in favour to pass*

Among those present:

**Y:8 N:0 A:1**

#### **MOTION TO AMEND PASSES**

**Motion to amend the motion in question by adding “DVJ-{1724, 1684, 1683, 1682, 1681, 1680, 1679}” to the end of bullet point b.**

Moved: David James

Second: none

#### **MOTION FAILS FOR LACK OF A SECOND**

*Technical motion, requires  $\geq$  75% in favour to pass*

Among those present:

**Y:11 N:2 A:1**

#### **MOTION PASSES AS AMENDED**

##### ***Final text of motion:***

**Motion to reject all comments against unchanged text except for:**

- a) those comments already considered at this meeting
  - b) the following comment numbers: 52, 53, 122, 124, 798, 117, DVJ-254, DVJ-256, DVJ-1707, DVJ-1153, DVJ-1164, DVJ-1334, DVJ-1347, DVJ-369, DVJ-472
-

**2. Motion to allow the editors to resolve the comments contained in the file provided by David James with the exception of:**

a) comments already resolved by previous actions of the Task Force

b) comment #'s:

Moved: Hugh Barrass

Second: Michael Beck

**Motion to amend the motion in question by adding:**

**“If the comment is rejected because it would conflict with established 802.3 notational conventions, the response field will contain: ‘The project editorial team of this clause concluded that the suggested remedy would conflict with established 802.3 notational conventions.’ In all cases, the editor will include a reference to Motion #2 of the April ‘04” Santa Clara meeting in the response.”**

Moved: David James

Second: Tom Dineen

**Motion to call the question**

Moved: Bob Grow

Second: Ed Belli

*Question called, requires  $\geq 66\%$  in favour to pass*

Among those present:

**Y:12 N:0 A:1**

**QUESTION CALLED**

*Technical motion, requires  $\geq 75\%$  in favour to pass*

Among those present:

**Y:6 N:5 A:2**

**MOTION TO AMEND FAILS**

**Motion to amend the motion in question by removing bullet point b**

Moved: Geoff Thompson

Second: Scott Simon

*Technical motion, requires  $\geq 75\%$  in favour to pass*

Among those present:

**Y:8 N:1 A:2**

**MOTION TO AMEND PASSES**

*Technical motion, requires  $\geq 75\%$  in favour to pass*

Among those present:

**Y:9 N:1 A:1**

**MOTION PASSES AS AMENDED**

**Final text of motion:**

**Motion to allow the editors to resolve the comments contained in the file provided by David James with the exception of comments already resolved by previous actions of the Task Force.**

---

**3. Motion to add a note to 65.2.3.3.1 using the text found on slide 5 of kramer\_1\_0404.pdf.**

Moved: Glen Kramer

Second: Hugh Barrass

*Technical motion, requires  $\geq 75\%$  in favour to pass*

Among those present:

**Voice vote taken, no dissent noted**

**MOTION PASSES**

---

**4. MOTHER OF ALL MOTIONS**

*Produce draft 3.3 based on changes authorized at this meeting*

*Conduct a 15 day sponsor re-circulation ballot on the changed material and unresolved negative comments.*

*Request EC conditional approval to submit to RevCom.*

*If necessary, hold conference call on May 12 to resolve 3rd re-circulation ballot comments.*

Moved: Scott Simon

Second: Michael Beck

*Technical motion, requires  $\geq 75\%$  in favour to pass*

Among those present:

**Y:12 N:0 A:0** (vote is unanimous)

**MOTION PASSES**

---

***Thanks from the Task Force to Geoff Thompson for arranging the meeting location.***

***Next meeting: Wed 5/12/04, via teleconference. A message will be sent to the reflector for details.***

**MEETING ADJOURNS**