

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 00 SC P L # 90002
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-226
 A list of tables is now allowed for long documents, and is vital for review.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide a list of tables.
 DVJ1-viii
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. A list, bookmarks for and description of all the clauses is included and conforms to the style of previous 802.3 amendments.

Cl 00 SC P L # 90004
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-228
 A list of tables is now allowed for long documents, and is vital for review.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide a list of tables.
 DVJ1-xxx
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. This style conforms to the style of previous 802.3 amendments.

Cl 00 SC P L # 90003
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-227
 A list of tables is now allowed for long documents, and is vital for review.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide a list of tables.
 DVJ1-xxix
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. This style conforms to the style of previous 802.3 amendments.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90027
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-251
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-7
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90014
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-238
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-6
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90013
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-237
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-6
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90018
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-242
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-6
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90011
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-235
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected in nine preceding paragraphs.
 DVJ1-5
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90012
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-236
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-6
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90015
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-239
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-6
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90021
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-245
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-7
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90026
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-250
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-7
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90023
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-247
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-7
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90022
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-246
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-7
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90024
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-248
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-7
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90028
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-252
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-7
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90029
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-253
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-7
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90030
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-254
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-8
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Refer db. Will remove extra term

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90005
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-229
 Inconsistent notation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Several steps:
 1) Provide the preceding text on "Numerical representation" in your notation clause.
 2) Enforce this convention on all of your added text.
 3) A disclaimer note that deprecates previous uses could be included, since the baseline document does things many ways.
 DVJ1-1

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Notation is explicit in the definition.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90020
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-244
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-7
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90025
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-249
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-7
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90017
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-241
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-6
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90019
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-243
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-6
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90016
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-240
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-6
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P L # 90031
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-255
 DA meaning is unclear: destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, or destination_address could be implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete this terms, as done above.
 DVJ1-8
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Scope of previous change was to fix capitalization only.

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P L # 90032
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-256
 Lack of capitalization (Ethernet is used in 802 as a proper noun).
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-8
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 ACCEPT.
 Consistent with a comment on an earlier draft. This is the last lower-case ""ethernet"" in 802.3ah apart from one in a comment to an example program in 61A.3, so we should fix both

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P L # 90033
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-257
 Acronym used, but not defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>FP
 DVJ1-8
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. "The abbreviation is used in 67A.3, it is properly introduced there, and it is not used anywhere else in 802.3."

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P L # 90034
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-258
 SA meaning is unclear: source address, Source Address, sourceField, or source_address could be implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete this term, as done above.
 DVJ1-9
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Scope of previous change was to fix capitalization only.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.1 P L # 90006
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-230
 Inconsistent and highly confusing notation throughout.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Several steps:
 1) Provide the preceding text on "Use of italics" in you notation clause.
 2) Enforce this convention on all of your added text.
 3) A disclaimer note that deprecates previous uses could be included, since the baseline document does things many ways.
 DVJ1-1
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. This is an amendment to 802.3 and thus cannot enforce a style on the entire document. The style used has stood past reviews and is consistent to previously done work in .3.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 01 SC 1.4.2 P L # 90007
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-231

Inconsistent and highly confusing notation throughout.

SuggestedRemedy

Several steps:

- 1) Provide the preceding text on "Field conventions" in your notation clause.
 - 2) Enforce this convention on all of your added text.
 - 3) Supplement this with text that describes the 802-specific bit notation, since its prevalence will make it difficult to ever change.
 - 4) A disclaimer note that deprecates previous uses could be included, since the baseline document does things many ways.
- DVJ1-2

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. This is an amendment to 802.3 and thus cannot enforce a style on the entire document. The style used has stood past reviews and is consistent to previously done work in .3.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.3 P L # 90008
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-232

Inconsistent and highly confusing constant notation throughout.

SuggestedRemedy

Several steps:

- 1) Provide the preceding text on "Field value conventions" in your notation clause.
 - 2) Enforce this convention on all of your added text.
 - 3) A disclaimer note that deprecates previous uses could be included, since the baseline document does things many ways.
- DVJ1-3

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. This is an amendment to 802.3 and thus cannot enforce a style on the entire document. The style used has stood past reviews and is consistent to previously done work in .3.

Cl 01 SC 1.5 P L # 90009
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-233

Inconsistent and highly confusing constant notation throughout.

SuggestedRemedy

Several steps:

- 1) Provide the preceding text on "Byte sequential formats" in your notation clause.
 - 2) Enforce this convention on all of your added text.
 - 3) A disclaimer note that deprecates previous uses could be included, since the baseline document does things many ways.
- DVJ1-3

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. This is an amendment to 802.3 and thus cannot enforce a style on the entire document. The style used has stood past reviews and is consistent to previously done work in .3.

Cl 04A SC 4A.1.1 P L # 91446
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1670

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>control
DVJ1-426

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
Comment is on unchanged text

Cl 04A SC 4A.1.1 P L # 91444
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1668

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>physical layer!
DVJ1-425

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
Comment is on unchanged text

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl **04A** SC **4A.1.1** P L # **91445**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1669
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>data link layer
 DVJ1-425
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text

Cl **04A** SC **4A.1.2** P L # **91448**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1672
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>physical layer
 DVJ1-426
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text

Cl **04A** SC **4A.1.2** P L # **91447**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1671
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>physical layer signaling
 DVJ1-426
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text

Cl **04A** SC **4A.1.2.1** P L # **91449**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1673
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-426
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text

Cl **04A** SC **4A.1.2.1** P L # **91450**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1674
 Too many name aliases:
 SA, source address, Source Address, etc. Also, not easy to parse and SA looks like a constant.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>sourceAddress
 DVJ1-426
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text

Cl **04A** SC **4A.1.2.2** P L # **91451**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1675
 Too many name aliases:
 SA, source address, Source Address, etc. Also, not easy to parse and SA looks like a constant.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>sourceAddress
 DVJ1-427
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 04A SC 4A.1.2.2 P L # 91452
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1676
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-427
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text

Cl 04A SC 4A.1.2.2 P L # 91453
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1677
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-427
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text

Cl 04A SC 4A.1.4 P L # 91454
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1678
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-428
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text

Cl 04A SC 4A.2.1 P L # 91455
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1679
 Quoted "references" are informative bibliography; useless in a normative environment.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Convert the code to C code, consistent with most other uses. Then, reference ANSI spec.
 DVJ1-428
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The use of Pascal as the descriptive language for the MAC has been accepted practice in the base standard for over 20 years. There is no need to convert to any other descriptive language. The references are useful in this context.

Cl 04A SC 4A.2.2 P L # 91456
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1680
 This "shall" cannot be tested tested for conformance.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the text, as shown.
 DVJ1-428
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The inclusion of this "shall" has no ill effect. The text parallels the base standard, as intended.
 Comment is on unchanged text

Cl 04A SC 4A.2.2.1 P L # 91457
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1681
 This "shall" cannot be tested tested for conformance.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the text, as shown.
 DVJ1-429
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The inclusion of this "shall" has no ill effect. The text parallels the base standard, as intended.
 Comment is on unchanged text

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

CI 04A SC 4A.2.2.1 P L # 91458
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1682
 This "shall" cannot be tested tested for conformance.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the text, as shown.
 DVJ1-429
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The inclusion of this "shall" has no ill effect. The text parallels the base standard, as intended.
 Comment is on unchanged text

CI 04A SC 4A.2.2.2 P L # 91459
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1683
 Why, oh why, on earth are you specifying things in Pasca,? No one knows this.
 Also, there is no stated reference manual for the language, so this cannot be used.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Convert the code to C code, consistent with most other uses.
 DVJ1-429
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The use of Pascal as the descriptive language for the MAC has been accepted practice in the base standard for over 20 years. There is no need to convert to any other descriptive language.
 Comment is on unchanged text

CI 04A SC 4A.2.2.4 P L # 91460
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1684
 This "shall" cannot be guaranteed: you can't legislated behaviors, you can only state facts.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the text, as shown.
 DVJ1-431
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The inclusion of this "shall" has no ill effect. The text parallels the base standard, as intended.
 Comment is on unchanged text

CI 04A SC 4A.2.2.4 P L # 91461
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1685
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>layer management
 DVJ1-431
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text

CI 04A SC 4A.2.2.4 P L # 91462
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1686
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>physical layer
 DVJ1-431
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text

CI 04A SC 4A.2.3.2.4 P L # 91463
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1687
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-432
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

CI 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 P L # 91464
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1688
Too many name aliases:
DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
SuggestedRemedy
==>destinationAddress
DVJ1-432
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
Comment is on unchanged text

CI 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 P L # 91465
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1689
Too many name aliases:
DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
SuggestedRemedy
==>destinationAddress
DVJ1-433
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
Comment is on unchanged text

CI 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 P L # 91468
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1692
Too many name aliases:
DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
SuggestedRemedy
==>destinationAddress
DVJ1-433
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
Comment is on unchanged text

CI 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 P L # 91466
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1690
Excess capitalization; only capitalize the first word of headings
SuggestedRemedy
As corrected above
==>Individual addresses
==>Group addresses
DVJ1-433
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
Comment is on unchanged text

CI 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 P L # 91467
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1691
Excess capitalization; capitalizing Broadcast doesn't help specify its meaning.
SuggestedRemedy
As corrected above
DVJ1-433
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
Comment is on unchanged text

CI 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 P L # 91469
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1693
Too many name aliases:
DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
SuggestedRemedy
==>destinationAddress
DVJ1-433
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
Comment is on unchanged text

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 04A SC 4A.2.9 P L # 91470
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1694
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-435
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text

Cl 04A SC 4A.3.2 P L # 91471
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1695
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-437
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4 P L # 90036
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-260
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>auto-negotiation
 DVJ1-10
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4 P L # 90037
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-261
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>register
 DVJ1-10
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4 P L # 90039
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-263
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Basic/extended
 DVJ1-11
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4 P L # 90038
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-262
 Register names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As above:
 ==>mmdAccessControl
 ==>mmdAccessAddressData
 DVJ1-11
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4 P L # 90040
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-264
 Not properly centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the entries in the leftmost and right two columns.
 DVJ1-11
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90043
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-267
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-12
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90048
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-272
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-13
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90051
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-275
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-13
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90050
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-274
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-13
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90049
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-273
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-13
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90047
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-271

Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-13

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90046
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-270

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
SC is an abbreviation for self clearing
RO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-13

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90044
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-268

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-13

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90042
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-266

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-12

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90041
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-265

Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

As above:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-12

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90052
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-276

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
SC is an abbreviation for self clearing
RO is an abbreviation for read only
LL is an abbreviation for latching low
LH is an abbreviation for latching high
DVJ1-13

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90045
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-269
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-13
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1.12 P L # 90053
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-277
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-14
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P L # 90059
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-283
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-14
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P L # 90058
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-282
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-14
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P L # 90060
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-284
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRO is an abbreviation for read only
 LL is an abbreviation for latching low
 LH is an abbreviation for latching high
 DVJ1-14
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P L # 90057
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-281
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-14
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P L # 90054
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-278
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above.
 DVJ1-14
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P L # 90055
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-279
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-14
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 P L # 90056
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-280
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-14
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L # 90062
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-286
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-15
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L # 90063
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-287
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-15
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L # 90064
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-288
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-16
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L # 90065
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-289
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-16
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L # 90066
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-290
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnote to be:
 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
 DVJ1-16
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L # 90067
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-291
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>register.
 DVJ1-16
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L # 90061
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-285
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-15
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P L # 90069
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-293
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-17
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P L # 90072
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-296
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-17
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P L # 90073
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-297

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
DVJ1-17

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P L # 90070
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-294

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-17

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P L # 90068
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-292

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-16

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P L # 90071
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-295

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-17

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.2.3 P L # 90074
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-298

The Subclause,Status,Support columns are not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-17

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. This affects an existing table and changing the format of this table is beyond the scope of P802.3ah

Cl 22 SC 22.7.2.4 P L # 90075
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-299

The Subclause,Status,Support columns are not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-17

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 22D SC 22D.1 P L # 91475
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1699
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>register
 DVJ1-441
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22D SC 22D.4 P L # 91477
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1701
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>bit error ratio test
 DVJ1-445
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.
 Comment is actually on Annex 58A, not Annex 22D.

Cl 30 SC 30.1 P L # 90077
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-301
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>control.
 DVJ1-18
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.1 P L # 90078
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-302
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>link aggregation.
 DVJ1-18
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.11 P L # 90239
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-463
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>physical medium entity
 DVJ1-66
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.11 P L # 90238
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-462
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>Layer management
 DVJ1-66
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.11.1.1.10 P L # 90247
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-471
 Don't hide the basic properties.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>Range[]
 DVJ1-70
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.1.1.2 P L # 90240
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-464
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>UNKNOWN...
 DVJ1-67
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.1.1.3 P L # 90241
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-465
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>UNKNOWN...
 DVJ1-67
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.1.1.4 P L # 90242
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-466
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>TRUE...
 DVJ1-68
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.1.1.5 P L # 90243
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-467
 Enumerated values are listed, but not defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Complete the tbd's.
 DVJ1-68
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.1.1.5 P L # 90244
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-468
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>ENABLED...
 DVJ1-68
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.11.1.1.6 P L # 90245
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-469
 Don't hide the basic properties.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>Range[].
 DVJ1-69
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.1.1.9 P L # 90246
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-470
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>UNKNOWN...
 DVJ1-70
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.2.1.2 P L # 90249
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-473
 Enumerated values are listed, but not defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Complete the tbd's.
 DVJ1-71
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.2.1.2 P L # 90250
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-474
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>ENABLED...
 DVJ1-71
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.2.1.2 P L # 90248
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-472
 Missing line.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>ATTRIBUTE
 DVJ1-71
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.2.1.3 P L # 90251
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-475
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>PS_DOWN_NOT_READY...
 DVJ1-72
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.11.2.1.5 P L # 90252
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-476
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-73
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.2.1.7 P L # 90253
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-477
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>NO_LINK...
 DVJ1-73
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.2.1.8 P L # 90254
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-478
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-74
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.2.1.9 P L # 90255
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-479
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-74
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90081
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-305
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>link aggregation.
 DVJ1-19
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90080
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-304
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>aggregation port.
 DVJ1-19
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90079
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-303
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>systems.
 DVJ1-19
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90082
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-306
 Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>standard.
 DVJ1-20
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90085
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-309
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>midspan.
 DVJ1-20
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90083
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-307
 Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>standard.
 DVJ1-20
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90084
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-308
 Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>standard.
 DVJ1-20
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90087
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-311
 Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>standard.
 DVJ1-21
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90086
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-310
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>multi-point.
 DVJ1-21
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.3 P L # 90089
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-313
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>system.
 DVJ1-22
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.3 P L # 90088
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-312
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>midspan
 DVJ1-22
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.5 P L # 90090
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-314
 Excess capitalization; only the first word of a heading is capitalized
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>PHY error monitor capability (optional).
 DVJ1-22
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.1.1.20 P L # 90092
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-316
 Routines are always differentiated by their parenthesis.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>LayerMgmtTransmitCounters()
 DVJ1-23
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.1.1.20 P L # 90091
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-315
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-23
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.1.1.20 P L # 90094
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-318
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>rate matching.
 DVJ1-23
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.1.1.20 P L # 90093
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-317
 Routines should be differentiated by italics.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>LayerMgmtTransmitCounters()
 DVJ1-23
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.1.1.35 P L # 90095
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-319
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 TRUE—...
 FALSE—...
 DVJ1-23
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.1.1.35 P L # 90096
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-320
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>TRUE
 ==>FALSE
 DVJ1-23
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.1.1.36 P L # 90097
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-321
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>UNKNOWN...
 DVJ1-24
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P L # 90098
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-322
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>OTHER...
 DVJ1-24
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P L # 90099
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-323
 Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>standard.
 DVJ1-24
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 P L # 90100
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-324
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>auto-negotiation.
 DVJ1-25
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.3.2 P L # 90101
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-325
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>control.
 DVJ1-25
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.10 P L # 90118
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-342
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-29
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.11 P L # 90119
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-343
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-29
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.12 P L # 90120
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-344
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-30
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.13 P L # 90121
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-345
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-30
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.14 P L # 90123
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-347
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-30
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is
 in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would
 make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.14 P L # 90122
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-346
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-30
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.14 P L # 90124
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-348
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-30
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.15 P L # 90125
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-349
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-31
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.15 P L # 90127
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-351
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-31
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.15 P L # 90126
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-350
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-31
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.16 P L # 90129
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-353
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-31
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.16 P L # 90128
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-352
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-31
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.16 P L # 90130
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-354
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-31
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.17 P L # 90132
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-356
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-32
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.17 P L # 90131
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-355
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-32
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.17 P L # 90133
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-357
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-32
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.18 P L # 90134
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-358
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-32
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.18 P L # 90136
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-360
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-32
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.18 P L # 90135
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-359
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-32
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.2 P L # 90104
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-328
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>control sublayer.
 DVJ1-26
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.2 P L # 90102
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-326
 Enumerated values are listed, but not defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Complete the tbd's.
 DVJ1-26
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.2 P L # 90103
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-327
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>ENABLED...
 DVJ1-26
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.22 P L # 90137
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-361
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-33
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.23 P L # 90138
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-362
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-33
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.24 P L # 90139
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-363
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-34
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.3 P L # 90106
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-330
 No need for quotes around defined constants.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Delete the quotes (as illustrated above) or
 2) Use non-conflicting longer nonconflicting enumeration names, such as MP_OLT...
 DVJ1-26
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.3 P L # 90107
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-331
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>multi-point.
 DVJ1-26
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.3 P L # 90105
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-329
 Enumerated values are not described.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fill in the tbd's.
 DVJ1-26
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.4 P L # 90108
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-332
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>logical link
 DVJ1-27
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.5 P L # 90110
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-334
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-27
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.5 P L # 90109
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-333
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-27
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.6 P L # 90112
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-336
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>multi-point
 DVJ1-28
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.6 P L # 90111
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-335
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>UNREGISTERED...
 DVJ1-27
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.7 P L # 90113
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-337
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-28
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.7 P L # 90114
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-338
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>control.
 DVJ1-28
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.8 P L # 90115
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-339
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-28
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.8 P L # 90116
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-340
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-28
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.9 P L # 90117
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-341
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum rate: ...
 DVJ1-29
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.2.1 P L # 90141
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-365
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>UNREGISTERED...
 DVJ1-34
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.2.1 P L # 90140
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-364
 Enumerated values are not described.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fill in the tbd's.
 DVJ1-34
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.10 P L # 90156
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-380
 The verbose sentence is hard to follow.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with a compact list
 DVJ1-39
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.11 P L # 90159
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-383
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-40
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.11 P L # 90157
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-381
 The verbose sentence is hard to follow.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with a compact list
 DVJ1-39
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.11 P L # 90158
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-382
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-40
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.13 P L # 90161
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-385
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-40
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.13 P L # 90160
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-384
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-40
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.15 P L # 90162
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-386
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-41
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.15 P L # 90163
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-387
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-41
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.16 P L # 90164
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-388
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-41
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.16 P L # 90165
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-389
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-41
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.17 P L # 90166
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-390
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-42
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.17 P L # 90167
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-391
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-42
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.18 P L # 90168
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-392
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-42
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.19 P L # 90171
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-395
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-42
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.19 P L # 90169
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-393
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-42
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.19 P L # 90170
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-394
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-42
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.2 P L # 90142
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-366
 Enumerated values are not described.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fill in the tbd's.
 DVJ1-35
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.2 P L # 90143
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-367
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>ENABLED...
 DVJ1-35
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.20 P L # 90172
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-396
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-43
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.21 P L # 90175
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-399
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-43
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.21 P L # 90174
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-398
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-43
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.21 P L # 90173
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-397
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-43
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.22 P L # 90178
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-402
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-44
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.22 P L # 90176
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-400
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-43
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.22 P L # 90177
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-401
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-44
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.23 P L # 90180
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-404
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-44
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.23 P L # 90181
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-405
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-44
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.23 P L # 90179
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-403
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-44
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.24 P L # 90184
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-408
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-45
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.24 P L # 90183
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-407
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-45
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.24 P L # 90182
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-406
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-45
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.25 P L # 90186
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-410
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-45
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.25 P L # 90185
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-409
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-45
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.25 P L # 90187
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-411
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-45
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.26 P L # 90188
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-412
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-46
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.27 P L # 90189
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-413
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-46
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.27 P L # 90190
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-414
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-46
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.27 P L # 90191
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-415
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-46
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.28 P L # 90192
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-416
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-46
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.29 P L # 90194
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-418
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-47
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.29 P L # 90195
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-419
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-47
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.29 P L # 90193
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-417
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-47
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.3 P L # 90144
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-368
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>PASSIVE...
 DVJ1-35
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.30 P L # 90196
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-420
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-47
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.31 P L # 90199
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-423
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-48
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.31 P L # 90197
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-421
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-48
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.31 P L # 90198
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-422
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-48
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.33 P L # 90202
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-426
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-49
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.33 P L # 90200
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-424
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-48
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.33 P L # 90201
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-425
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-49
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.35 P L # 90203
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-427
 The verbose sentence is hard to follow.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with a compact list
 DVJ1-49
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.37 P L # 90204
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-428
 The verbose sentence is hard to follow.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with a compact list
 DVJ1-50
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.39 P L # 90205
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-429
 The verbose sentence is hard to follow.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with a compact list
 DVJ1-51
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.4 P L # 90147
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-371
 Enumerated values are listed, but not defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Complete the tbd's.
 DVJ1-36
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.4 P L # 90146
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-370
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>LINK_FAULT...
 DVJ1-36
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.41 P L # 90206
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-430
 The verbose sentence is hard to follow.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with a compact list
 DVJ1-52
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.42 P L # 90208
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-432
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-52
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.42 P L # 90207
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-431
 The verbose sentence is hard to follow.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with a compact list
 DVJ1-52
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.42 P L # 90209
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-433
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-53
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.43 P L # 90210
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-434
The verbose sentence is hard to follow.
SuggestedRemedy
Replace with a compact list
DVJ1-53
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.43 P L # 90212
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-436
Too many name aliases:
DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
SuggestedRemedy
==>destinationAddress
DVJ1-53
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.43 P L # 90211
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-435
This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
SuggestedRemedy
==>lengthType.
DVJ1-53
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.44 P L # 90213
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-437
The verbose sentence is hard to follow.
SuggestedRemedy
Replace with a compact list
DVJ1-54
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.44 P L # 90215
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-439
Too many name aliases:
DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
SuggestedRemedy
==>destinationAddress
DVJ1-54
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.44 P L # 90214
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-438
This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
SuggestedRemedy
==>lengthType.
DVJ1-54
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.45 P L # 90218
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-442
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-55
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.45 P L # 90217
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-441
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-55
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.45 P L # 90216
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-440
 The verbose sentence is hard to follow.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with a compact list
 DVJ1-54
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.46 P L # 90219
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-443
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-55
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.5 P L # 90149
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-373
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-36
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.5 P L # 90148
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-372
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-36
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in
 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.6 P L # 90150
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-374
 The verbose sentence is hard to follow.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with a compact list
 DVJ1-37
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.7 P L # 90151
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-375
 The verbose sentence is hard to follow.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with a compact list
 DVJ1-37
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.7 P L # 90152
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-376
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-38
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.7 P L # 90153
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-377
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-38
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.9 P L # 90154
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-378
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-38
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.9 P L # 90155
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-379
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-38
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.2 P L # 90220
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-444
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>UNKNOWN...
 DVJ1-56
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.2 P L # 90222
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-446
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>point to point emulation.
 DVJ1-56
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.2 P L # 90221
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-445
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>reconviliation sublayer
 DVJ1-56
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.3 P L # 90223
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-447
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-56
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.4 P L # 90224
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-448
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-57

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.5 P L # 90225
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-449
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-57
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.6 P L # 90226
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-450
Uniform notation for clarity.
Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>
Maximum increment rate: ...
DVJ1-57

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.7 P L # 90227
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-451
Uniform notation for clarity.
Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>
Maximum increment rate: ...
DVJ1-57

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.8 P L # 90228
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-452
Uniform notation for clarity.
Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>
Maximum increment rate: ...
DVJ1-58

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.12 P L # 90234
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-458
Uniform notation for clarity.
Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>
Maximum increment rate: ...
DVJ1-64

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.14 P L # 90235
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-459
Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above,
==>UNKNOWN...
DVJ1-65

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.15 P L # 90236
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-460
Uniform notation for clarity.
Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>
Maximum increment rate: ...
DVJ1-65

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.16 P L # 90237
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-461
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-66
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.2 P L # 90229
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-453
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>MT_GLOBAL...
 DVJ1-60
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.4 P L # 90231
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-455
 Bad spacing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>Mb/s
 DVJ1-61
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. This formatting issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.4 P L # 90230
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-454
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>OTHER...
 DVJ1-61
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.6 P L # 90233
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-457
 Uniform notation for clarity.
 Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>
 Maximum increment rate: ...
 DVJ1-64
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.6 P L # 90232
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-456
 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above,
 ==>OTHER...
 DVJ1-64
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 31A SC 31A. P L # 90701
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-925
 Excessive capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Correct, as shown above.
 DVJ1-147
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text

Cl 43B SC 43B.1 P L # 90703
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-927
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>client
 DVJ1-148
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, MAC Client is consistently used in the text of Clause 43, of which this is an annex.

Cl 43B SC 43B.1 P L # 90702
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-926
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-148
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, Length/Type is consistently used in the text of Clause 43, of which this is an annex.

Cl 45 SC 45. P L # 90257
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-481
 Excessive capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Correct, as shown above.
 DVJ1-76
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate.

Cl 45 SC 45.1.1 P L # 90258
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-482
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>station management.
 DVJ1-76
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate.

Cl 45 SC 45.1.1 P L # 90259
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-483
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>physical layer
 DVJ1-76
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P L # 90260
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-484

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-77

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P L # 90261
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-485

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-77

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P L # 90262
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-486

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-77

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P L # 90263
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-487

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-77

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P L # 90264
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-488

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-77

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.1 P L # 90267
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-491

The table is continued, but there is no continuation note.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the table continuation variable.
DVJ1-78

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
This formatting issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.1 P L # 90265
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-489
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>register
 DVJ1-77
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.1 P L # 90266
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-490
 The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-78
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.11 P L # 90290
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-514
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-81
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.11 P L # 90287
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-511
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-81
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.11 P L # 90286
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-510
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-81
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.11 P L # 90291
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-515
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
 SC is an abbreviation for self clearing
 DVJ1-81
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.11 P L # 90289
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-513
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-81
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.11 P L # 90288
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-512
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-81
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P L # 90296
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-520
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRO is an abbreviation for read only
 DVJ1-83
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P L # 90292
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-516
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-83

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P L # 90293
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-517
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-83

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P L # 90294
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-518
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-83

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P L # 90295
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-519
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-83
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90299
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-523
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-84
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90306
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-530
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-85
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90302
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-526
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
 RO is an abbreviation for read only
 n/a not applicable
 DVJ1-84

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90300
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-524
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-84

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90303
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-527
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragementations that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-85

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90298
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-522

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-84

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90297
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-521

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-84

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90305
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-529

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-85

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90304
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-528

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-85

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90301
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-525

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-84

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90308
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-532

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
SC is an abbreviation for self clearing
DVJ1-85

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90307
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-531
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-85
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 P L # 90314
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-538
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRO is an abbreviation for read only
 LL is an abbreviation for latching low
 DVJ1-86
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 P L # 90310
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-534
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-86
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 P L # 90312
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-536
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-86
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 P L # 90313
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-537
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-86
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 P L # 90309
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-533
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragementations that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-86
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 P L # 90311
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-535

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-86

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 P L # 90318
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-542

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-87

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 P L # 90315
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-539

Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-87

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 P L # 90317
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-541

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-87

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 P L # 90319
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-543

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over
DVJ1-87

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 P L # 90316
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-540

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-87

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 P L # 90321
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-545

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-87

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 P L # 90325
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-549

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
RO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 P L # 90322
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-546

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-87

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 P L # 90320
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-544

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-87

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 P L # 90323
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-547

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 P L # 90324
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-548

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18 P L # 90327
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-551

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18 P L # 90328
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-552

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18 P L # 90329
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-553

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18 P L # 90330
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-554

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18 P L # 90326
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-550

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 P L # 90272
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-496

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-79

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 P L # 90271
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-495
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-79
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 P L # 90269
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-493
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-78
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 P L # 90273
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-497
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
 SC is an abbreviation for self clearing
 DVJ1-79
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 P L # 90268
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-492
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-78

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 P L # 90270
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-494
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-78

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20 P L # 90331
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-555
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-89
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20 P L # 90332
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-556

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-89

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20 P L # 90335
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-559

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-89

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20 P L # 90336
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-560

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-89

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20 P L # 90334
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-558

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-89

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20 P L # 90333
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-557

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-89

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.22 P L # 90340
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-564

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-90

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.22 P L # 90337
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-561

Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-90

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.22 P L # 90338
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-562

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-90

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.22 P L # 90341
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-565

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-90

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.22 P L # 90339
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-563

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-90

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.26 P L # 90345
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-569

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-91

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.26 P L # 90343
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-567

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-91

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.26 P L # 90346
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-570

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-91

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.26 P L # 90342
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-566

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-91

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.26 P L # 90344
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-568

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-91

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P L # 90348
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-572

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P L # 90352
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-576

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P L # 90347
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-571

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P L # 90351
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-575

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P L # 90350
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-574

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P L # 90349
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-573

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90358
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-582

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90355
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-579

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90356
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-580

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90357
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-581
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-93
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90363
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-587
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-93
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90362
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-586
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-93
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90360
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-584
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-93
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90364
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-588
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
 DVJ1-93
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90354
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-578
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-93
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90353
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-577

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90359
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-583

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90361
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-585

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 P L # 90368
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-592

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 P L # 90369
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-593

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 P L # 90367
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-591

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 P L # 90366
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-590

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 P L # 90370
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-594

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 P L # 90365
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-589

Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 P L # 90375
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-599

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 P L # 90374
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-598

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 P L # 90373
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-597

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 P L # 90372
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-596

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 P L # 90371
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-595

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 P L # 90376
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-600

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
 DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.33 P L # 90381
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-605

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.33 P L # 90382
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-606

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
 DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.33 P L # 90379
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-603

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.33 P L # 90380
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-604
The right column is not centered.
SuggestedRemedy
Center the right column.
DVJ1-95
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.33 P L # 90378
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-602
Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
SuggestedRemedy
==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-95
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.33 P L # 90377
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-601
Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
SuggestedRemedy
Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-95
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.34 P L # 90384
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-608
Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
SuggestedRemedy
==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-96
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.34 P L # 90385
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-609
Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
SuggestedRemedy
Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-96
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.34 P L # 90386
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-610
The right column is not centered.
SuggestedRemedy
Center the right column.
DVJ1-96
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.34 P L # 90387
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-611

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-96

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.34 P L # 90388
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-612

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
DVJ1-96

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.34 P L # 90383
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-607

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-96

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P L # 90391
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-615

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-97

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P L # 90396
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-620

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
DVJ1-97

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P L # 90395
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-619

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-97

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P L # 90394
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-618
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-97
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P L # 90392
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-616
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-97
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P L # 90390
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-614
 The table is continued, but there is no continuation note.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the table continuation variable.
 DVJ1-96
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - This formatting issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P L # 90389
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-613
 The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-96

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - This formatting issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P L # 90393
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-617
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-97
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 P L # 90402
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-626
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
 SC is an abbreviation for self clearing
 DVJ1-98
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 P L # 90398
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-622
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-98
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 P L # 90399
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-623
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-98
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 P L # 90401
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-625
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-98
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 P L # 90397
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-621
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-98

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 P L # 90400
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-624
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-98

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 P L # 90406
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-630
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-100
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 P L # 90405
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-629
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-100
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 P L # 90404
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-628
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-100
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 P L # 90403
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-627
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-99
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 P L # 90408
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-632
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 a RO is an abbreviation for read only
 DVJ1-100
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 P L # 90407
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-631
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-100
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 P L # 90413
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-637
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-101
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 P L # 90412
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-636
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-101
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 P L # 90409
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-633
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-101
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 P L # 90411
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-635
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-101
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 P L # 90414
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-638
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRO is an abbreviation for read only
 DVJ1-101
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 P L # 90410
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-634
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-101
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 P L # 90417
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-641
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-102
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 P L # 90420
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-644
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRO is an abbreviation for read only
 DVJ1-103
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 P L # 90418
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-642
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-103
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 P L # 90416
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-640
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-102
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 P L # 90415
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-639
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-102
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 P L # 90419
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-643
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-103

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4 P L # 90277
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-501
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-79
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4 P L # 90278
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-502

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-79

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4 P L # 90276
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-500

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-79

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4 P L # 90274
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-498

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-79

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4 P L # 90275
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-499

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-79

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 P L # 90279
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-503

Unclear notation distinction. Sometimes 1.4.1 means a bit number, othertimes it might mean a subclause number. The distinction is subtle in many cases, and can often be confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

Two things:
1) When used to prepresent a bit ==> (1.4.1)
2) When used to represent a subclause==> (see 1.4.1)
Here and throughtout.
DVJ1-80

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 P L # 90280
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-504

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-80

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 P L # 90281
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-505

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-80

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 P L # 90285
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-509

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-80

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 P L # 90282
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-506

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-80

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 P L # 90283
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-507

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-80

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 P L # 90284
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-508

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-80

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 P L # 90422
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-646

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-104

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 P L # 90426
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-650

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
RO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-104

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 P L # 90425
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-649

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-104

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 P L # 90421
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-645

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-104

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 P L # 90423
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-647

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-104

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 P L # 90424
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-648

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-104

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 P L # 90432
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-656

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 P L # 90427
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-651

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-104

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 P L # 90428
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-652

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 P L # 90429
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-653

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 P L # 90430
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-654

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 P L # 90431
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-655

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42 P L # 90438
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-662

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
RO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-106

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42 P L # 90434
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-658
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-105
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42 P L # 90433
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-657
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-105
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42 P L # 90435
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-659
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-105
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42 P L # 90437
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-661
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-106
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42 P L # 90436
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-660
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-106
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P L # 90439
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-663
 The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-107
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P L # 90445
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-669
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-107
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P L # 90441
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-665
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-107
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P L # 90444
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-668
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-107
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P L # 90440
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-664
 The table is continued, but there is no continuation note.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the table continuation variable.
 DVJ1-107
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P L # 90446
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-670
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
 RO is an abbreviation for read only
 DVJ1-107
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P L # 90442
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-666
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-107
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P L # 90443
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-667

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-107

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44 P L # 90450
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-674

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-108

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44 P L # 90451
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-675

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-108

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44 P L # 90449
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-673

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-108

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44 P L # 90448
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-672

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-108

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44 P L # 90447
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-671

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-108

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.46 P L # 90452
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-676

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-109

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.46 P L # 90456
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-680

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-109

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.46 P L # 90453
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-677

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-109

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.46 P L # 90455
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-679

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-109

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.46 P L # 90454
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-678

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-109

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.48 P L # 90459
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-683

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-110

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.48 P L # 90460
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-684
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-110
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.48 P L # 90461
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-685
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRO is an abbreviation for read only
 DVJ1-110
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.48 P L # 90458
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-682
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-110
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.48 P L # 90457
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-681
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-110

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.50 P L # 90464
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-688
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-110

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.50 P L # 90465
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-689
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-111
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.50 P L # 90466
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-690
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRO is an abbreviation for read only
 DVJ1-111
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.50 P L # 90462
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-686
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-110
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.50 P L # 90463
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-687
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-110
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.52 P L # 90470
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-694
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-111
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.52 P L # 90467
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-691
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-111
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.52 P L # 90469
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-693
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-111
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.52 P L # 90471
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-695

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-111

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.52 P L # 90468
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-692

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-111

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.54 P L # 90472
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-696

Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-112

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.54 P L # 90473
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-697

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-112

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.54 P L # 90474
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-698

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-112

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.54 P L # 90475
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-699

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-112

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.54 P L # 90476
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-700

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-112

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.54 P L # 90477
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-701

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
RO is an abbreviation for read only
LH is an abbreviation for latching high
DVJ1-112

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.56 P L # 90480
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-704

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-113

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.56 P L # 90483
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-707

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
RO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-113

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.56 P L # 90482
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-706

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-113

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.56 P L # 90479
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-703

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-113

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.56 P L # 90478
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-702
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-113
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.56 P L # 90481
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-705
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-113
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.57 P L # 90487
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-711
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-114
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.57 P L # 90488
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-712
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-114
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.57 P L # 90485
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-709
 The table is continued, but there is no continuation note.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the table continuation variable.
 DVJ1-114
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - This formatting issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.57 P L # 90489
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-713
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-114
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 P L # 90490
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-714

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-114

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 P L # 90491
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-715

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
RO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-114

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 P L # 90486
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-710

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-114

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 P L # 90484
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-708

The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:
1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
DVJ1-114

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formatting issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P L # 90492
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-716

The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:
1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formatting issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P L # 90493
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-717

The table is continued, but there is no continuation note.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the table continuation variable.
DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formatting issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 P L # 90499
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-723

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
SC is an abbreviation for self clearing
DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 P L # 90496
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-720

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 P L # 90494
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-718

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 P L # 90498
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-722

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 P L # 90497
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-721

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 P L # 90495
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-719

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 P L # 90506
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-730

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 P L # 90505
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-729

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 P L # 90508
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-732

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 P L # 90510
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-734

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read onlyh
DVJ1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 P L # 90507
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-731

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 P L # 90509
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-733

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P L # 90512
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-736

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P L # 90513
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-737

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P L # 90511
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-735

Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P L # 90516
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-740

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
RO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P L # 90515
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-739

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P L # 90514
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-738

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19 P L # 90518
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-742

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19 P L # 90520
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-744

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19 P L # 90521
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-745

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19 P L # 90522
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-746

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
RO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19 P L # 90517
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-741

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19 P L # 90519
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-743

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 P L # 90523
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-747

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-121

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 P L # 90524
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-748

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-121

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 P L # 90526
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-750

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-121

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 P L # 90525
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-749

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-121

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 P L # 90528
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-752

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
DVJ1-121

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 P L # 90527
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-751

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-121

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.21 P L # 90529
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-753

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-122

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.21 P L # 90531
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-755

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-122

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.21 P L # 90533
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-757

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over
DVJ1-122

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.21 P L # 90532
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-756

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-122

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.21 P L # 90530
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-754

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-122

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.22 P L # 90534
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-758

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-122

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.22 P L # 90535
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-759

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-122

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.22 P L # 90538
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-762

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over
DVJ1-122

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.22 P L # 90536
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-760

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-122

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.22 P L # 90537
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-761

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-122

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 P L # 90539
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-763

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-123

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 P L # 90543
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-767

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over
DVJ1-123

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 P L # 90542
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-766
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-123
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 P L # 90540
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-764
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-123
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 P L # 90541
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-765
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-123
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.24 P L # 90547
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-771
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-124
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.24 P L # 90548
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-772
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRO is an abbreviation for read only
 NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over
 DVJ1-124
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.24 P L # 90546
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-770
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-124
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.24 P L # 90545
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-769

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.24 P L # 90544
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-768

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-123

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 P L # 90551
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-775

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 P L # 90550
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-774

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 P L # 90552
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-776

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 P L # 90553
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-777

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over
DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 P L # 90549
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-773

Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26 P L # 90557
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-781

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26 P L # 90558
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-782

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over
DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26 P L # 90556
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-780

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26 P L # 90555
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-779

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26 P L # 90554
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-778

Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.27 P L # 90559
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-783

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.27 P L # 90561
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-785

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.27 P L # 90560
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-784

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.27 P L # 90564
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-788

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over
DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.27 P L # 90562
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-786

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.27 P L # 90563
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-787

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.28 P L # 90565
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-789

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.28 P L # 90566
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-790

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.28 P L # 90567
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-791

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.28 P L # 90569
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-793

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over
DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.28 P L # 90568
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-792

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.4 P L # 90501
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-725

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.4 P L # 90500
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-724
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-117
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.4 P L # 90503
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-727
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-118
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.4 P L # 90504
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-728
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRO is an abbreviation for read only
 DVJ1-118
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.4 P L # 90502
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-726
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-118
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.1 P L # 90573
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-797
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-127
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.1 P L # 90570
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-794
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-127
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.1 P L # 90571
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-795

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-127

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.1 P L # 90572
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-796

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-127

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.1 P L # 90574
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-798

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-127

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.1 P L # 90575
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-799

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
SC is an abbreviation for self clearing
DVJ1-127

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.1.1 P L # 90576
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-800

An s in isolation is confusing, particularly given the use of s for other purposes, as in book(s) or s in equations (see 63B.3.1). There is no need to abbreviate here, as there are no table width constraints or figure boxes that mandate conservation of horizontal space.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>seconds
DVJ1-128

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 P L # 90613
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-837

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-135

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 P L # 90617
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-841
List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy
Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
DVJ1-135

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 P L # 90616
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-840
Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy
Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-135

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 P L # 90615
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-839
The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy
Center the right column.
DVJ1-135

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 P L # 90614
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-838
Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy
Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-135

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 P L # 90612
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-836
Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy
Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-135

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.11 P L # 90618
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-842
Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy
Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-135

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.11 P L # 90619
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-843

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-135

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.11 P L # 90620
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-844

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-135

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.11 P L # 90621
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-845

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-136

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.11 P L # 90622
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-846

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over
DVJ1-136

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.12 P L # 90627
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-851

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRO is an abbreviation for read only
MW is an abbreviation for multi-word
DVJ1-136

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.12 P L # 90624
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-848

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-136

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.12 P L # 90623
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-847

Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-136

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.12 P L # 90626
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-850

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-136

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.12 P L # 90625
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-849

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-136

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.13 P L # 90629
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-853

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-137

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.13 P L # 90631
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-855

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-137

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.13 P L # 90630
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-854

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-137

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.13 P L # 90632
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-856
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRO is an abbreviation for read only
 DVJ1-137
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.13 P L # 90628
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-852
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-136
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.2 P L # 90579
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-803
 The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use something else to represent a null value.
 DVJ1-128
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.2 P L # 90578
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-802
 This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.
 DVJ1-128

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format
 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.2 P L # 90577
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-801
 This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.
 Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.
 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.
 DVJ1-128
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.3 P L # 90583
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-807
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-129
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.3 P L # 90584
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-808
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRO is an abbreviation for read only
 DVJ1-129
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.3 P L # 90582
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-806
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-129
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.3 P L # 90581
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-805
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-129
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.3 P L # 90580
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-804
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-129
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.5 P L # 90586
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-810
 This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.
 DVJ1-130
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.5 P L # 90585
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-809

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.
Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.
- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.
DVJ1-129

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.5 P L # 90587
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-811

The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value.

SuggestedRemedy

Use something else to represent a null value.
DVJ1-130

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.6 P L # 90588
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-812

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-130

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.6 P L # 90590
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-814

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-130

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.6 P L # 90589
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-813

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-130

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.6 P L # 90591
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-815
The right column is not centered.
SuggestedRemedy
Center the right column.
DVJ1-130
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.6 P L # 90592
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-816
Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
SuggestedRemedy
Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-130
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.6 P L # 90593
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-817
List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
SuggestedRemedy
Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
DVJ1-130
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 P L # 90599
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-823
List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
SuggestedRemedy
Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
RO is an abbreviation for read only
LH is an abbreviation for latching high
DVJ1-132

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 P L # 90596
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-820
Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
SuggestedRemedy
Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-131

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 P L # 90597
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-821
The right column is not centered.
SuggestedRemedy
Center the right column.
DVJ1-132
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 P L # 90598
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-822

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-132

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 P L # 90594
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-818

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-131

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 P L # 90595
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-819

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-131

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 P L # 90603
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-827

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-133

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 P L # 90604
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-828

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
DVJ1-133

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 P L # 90602
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-826

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-133

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 P L # 90601
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-825
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-133
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 P L # 90600
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-824
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-133
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 P L # 90605
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-829
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
 DVJ1-133
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 P L # 90606
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-830
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-133

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 P L # 90607
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-831
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-133
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 P L # 90610
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-834
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-134
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 P L # 90609
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-833
The right column is not centered.
SuggestedRemedy
Center the right column.
DVJ1-134
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 P L # 90611
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-835
List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
SuggestedRemedy
Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
DVJ1-134
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 P L # 90608
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-832
Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
SuggestedRemedy
Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-133
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2 P L # 90636
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-860
The right column is not centered.
SuggestedRemedy
Center the right column.
DVJ1-138
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2 P L # 90633
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-857
Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
SuggestedRemedy
Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-137

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2 P L # 90637
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-861
List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
SuggestedRemedy
Change initial footnotes to be:
a RO is an abbreviation for read only
DVJ1-138
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2 P L # 90634
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-858
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-137
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2 P L # 90635
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-859
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-137
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2.1 P L # 90638
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-862
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>forward error correction
 DVJ1-138
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 P L # 90639
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-863
 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
 ==>reset
 ==>autoNegotiateEnable
 (etc.)
 DVJ1-138

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 P L # 90641
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-865
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-138

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 P L # 90642
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-866
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-138
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 P L # 90640
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-864
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-138
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 P L # 90644
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-868
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
 DVJ1-138
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 P L # 90643
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-867
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-138
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3.1 P L # 90645
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-869
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>forward error correction
 DVJ1-138
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.4 P L # 90648
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-872
 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
 DVJ1-139
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.4 P L # 90649
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-873
 The right column is not centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the right column.
 DVJ1-139
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.4 P L # 90647
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-871

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.
DVJ1-139

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.4 P L # 90646
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-870

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-139

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.4 P L # 90650
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-874

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:
aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over
DVJ1-139

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.6 P L # 90651
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-875

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:
==>reset
==>autoNegotiateEnable
(etc.)
DVJ1-139

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.6 P L # 90653
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-877

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.
DVJ1-140

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.6 P L # 90654
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-878

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.
DVJ1-140

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.6 P L # 90655
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-879
 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.
 DVJ1-140
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.6 P L # 90656
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-880
 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change initial footnotes to be:
 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write
 NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over
 DVJ1-140
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.6 P L # 90652
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-876
 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Include a distinct value column.
 DVJ1-139
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5 P L # 90658
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-882
 The title is too long and will (most likely) overflow automatic TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make it smaller, as suggested above.
 DVJ1-141
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate.

Cl 45 SC 45.5 P L # 90657
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-881
 The split between Clause and 45 makes this confusing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put a nonbreaking space in here.
 DVJ1-141
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - This formatting issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

Cl 45 SC 45.5.4.3 P L # 90663
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-887
 The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Enlarge this column width.
 DVJ1-141
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.4.3 P L # 90661
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-885

These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column.
DVJ1-141

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.4.3 P L # 90662
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-886

The Value/Comment field is blank and useless.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:
1) Place appropriate content within this cell.
2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".
DVJ1-141

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.4.3 P L # 90660
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-884

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them.
DVJ1-141

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P L # 90689
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-913

The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:
1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
DVJ1-143

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P L # 90687
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-911

Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:
1) Place appropriate content within this cell.
2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".
DVJ1-143

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P L # 90686
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-910

These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column.
DVJ1-143

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P L # 90685
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-909
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-143
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P L # 90688
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-912
 The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Enlarge this column width.
 DVJ1-143
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.16 P L # 90691
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-915
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-143
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.16 P L # 90693
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-917
 Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Place appropriate content within this cell.
 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".
 DVJ1-143

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.16 P L # 90694
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-918
 The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Enlarge this column width.
 DVJ1-143

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.16 P L # 90692
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-916
 These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column.
 DVJ1-143
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.17 P L # 90697
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-921

These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column.
DVJ1-144

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.17 P L # 90698
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-922

Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:
1) Place appropriate content within this cell.
2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".
DVJ1-144

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.17 P L # 90699
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-923

The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.

SuggestedRemedy

Enlarge this column width.
DVJ1-144

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.17 P L # 90696
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-920

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them.
DVJ1-144

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.2 P L # 90666
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-890

These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column.
DVJ1-141

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.2 P L # 90665
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-889

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them.
DVJ1-141

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

CI 45 SC 45.5.5.2 P L # 90667
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-891
The Value/Comment field is blank and useless.

SuggestedRemedy

- Either:
1) Place appropriate content within this cell.
2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".
DVJ1-141

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P L # 90670
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-894
These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason.

SuggestedRemedy

- Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column.
DVJ1-142

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P L # 90671
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-895
Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless.

SuggestedRemedy

- Either:
1) Place appropriate content within this cell.
2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".
DVJ1-142

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P L # 90669
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-893
The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

- Center them.
DVJ1-142

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P L # 90673
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-897
The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

- Do the following:
1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
DVJ1-142

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

CI 45 SC 45.5.5.3 P L # 90672
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-896
The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.

SuggestedRemedy

- Enlarge this column width.
DVJ1-142

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P L # 90675
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-899
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-142
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P L # 90676
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-900
 These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column.
 DVJ1-142
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 P L # 90677
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-901
 Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Place appropriate content within this cell.
 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".
 DVJ1-142
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 P L # 90679
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-903
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-142
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 P L # 90680
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-904
 These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column.
 DVJ1-142
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 P L # 90683
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-907
 The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-143
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 P L # 90682
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-906
 The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Enlarge this column width.
 DVJ1-142
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 P L # 90681
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-905
 Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Place appropriate content within this cell.
 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".
 DVJ1-142
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 56 SC 56.1 P L # 90713
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-937
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>8 point
 DVJ1-149
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. This is consistant with other similar diagrams.

Cl 56 SC 56.1 P L # 90709
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-933
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>passive optical networks
 DVJ1-149
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1 P L # 90708
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-932
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>physical medium dependent
 DVJ1-149
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1 P L # 90706
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-930
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>control
 DVJ1-149
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1 P L # 90710
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-934
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>reconciliation
 DVJ1-149
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 56 SC 56.1 P L # 90711
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-935
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>operations, administration, and maintenance
 DVJ1-149
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1 P L # 90707
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-931
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>physical layers
 DVJ1-149
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1 P L # 90712
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-936
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>P2P topologies
 DVJ1-149
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1 P L # 90705
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-929
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>media access control.
 DVJ1-149
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.2 P L # 90715
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-939
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>physical medium attachment
 DVJ1-150
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.2 P L # 90714
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-938
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>optical network units
 DVJ1-150
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.2.1 P L # 90718
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-942
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>optical line terminall
 DVJ1-150
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.2.1 P L # 90716
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-940
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>multi-point
 DVJ1-150
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 56 SC 56.1.2.1 P L # 90717
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-941
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>control protocol
 DVJ1-150
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.2.2 P L # 90719
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-943
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>reconciliation sublayer
 DVJ1-151
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.2.2 P L # 90720
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-944
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>clientl
 DVJ1-151
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P L # 90723
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-947
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>upstreaml
 DVJ1-151
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P L # 90726
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-950
 The Rate, Nominal, and Clause columns should be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-152
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P L # 90721
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-945
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>physical layer
 DVJ1-151
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P L # 90724
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-948
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>downstreaml
 DVJ1-151
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P L # 90725
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-949
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>passive optical network downstream
 DVJ1-151
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P L # 90728
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-952
 Blank cells cannot be easily differentiated from TBDs, which they represent elsewhere in this draft (subclause numbers that have not yet been specified, for example).
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==> Place an em dash in these cells.
 DVJ1-152
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Consistent with previous tables.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P L # 90727
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-951
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==> Nominal reach.
 DVJ1-152
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P L # 90722
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-946
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>bidirectional
 DVJ1-151
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 57 SC 57. P L # 90730
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-954
 Excessive capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Correct, as shown above.
 DVJ1-154
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name of the subclause.

Cl 57 SC 57.1.1 P L # 90731
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-955
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>operations, administration, and maintenance
 DVJ1-154
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name of the subclause.

Cl 57 SC 57.1.4 P L # 90732
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-956
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>link aggregation
 DVJ1-155
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name of the subclause as defined in Clause 43.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.1.4 P L # 90733
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-957
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>control
 DVJ1-155
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name of the subclause as defined in Clause 31.

Cl 57 SC 57.1.5.2 P L # 90734
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-958
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>discovery
 DVJ1-155
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this usage is consistent in 1.4 and the balance of 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.1.5.3 P L # 90735
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-959
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>flow control
 DVJ1-156
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name of the subclause as defined in Clause 31.

Cl 57 SC 57.2.1 P L # 90736
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-960
 The intermixture of lower-case and ALLCAPS callouts is discouraged by IEEE Style manual.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Eliminate the ALLCAPS callouts, here and throughout.
 DVJ1-156
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this style is widely used by 802.3.

Cl 57 SC 57.2.11.4 P L # 90744
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-968
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>discovery
 DVJ1-167
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-958.

Cl 57 SC 57.2.12 P L # 90745
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-969
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>unidirectional
 DVJ1-168
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.2.2 P L # 90737
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-961
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>link aggregation!
 DVJ1-156
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-956.

Cl 57 SC 57.2.2 P L # 90738
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-962
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>control
 DVJ1-156
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-959.

Cl 57 SC 57.2.4 P L # 90739
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-963
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>discovery
 DVJ1-157
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-958.

Cl 57 SC 57.2.5.1.4 P L # 90740
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-964
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-158
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.2.6 P L # 90741
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-965
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>control
 DVJ1-161
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, it is the proper name of the function.

Cl 57 SC 57.2.8.2.2 P L # 90742
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-966
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-163
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this parameter is patterned after those in Clause 2.

Cl 57 SC 57.2.8.2.2 P L # 90743
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-967
 Inconsistent name with respect to the definition.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>Slow_Protocols_Multicast
 DVJ1-163
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - despite some inconsistency, no ambiguity exists as to the value of the address

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.3.1.1 P L # 90746
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-970
 Bad reference pointer; I can't find the OAMPDU subtype in 802.3-2002 Table 43B-3.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>Point to appropriate revision (perhaps this one?).
 DVJ1-168
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - Annex 43B will be changed concurrently with the publication of 57
 thereby avoiding reference issues.

Cl 57 SC 57.3.1.1 P L # 90747
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-971
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-168
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.3.1.2 P L # 90748
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-972
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-169
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.3.1.3 P L # 90751
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-975
 This appears to be some abstract define statement, not a message.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Eliminate here, expand where used.
 DVJ1-172
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-974.

Cl 57 SC 57.3.1.3 P L # 90752
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-976
 What kind of code is that? This doesn't appear to be C code.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Define the formal coding style.
 2) Delete these lines
 DVJ1-172
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-974.

Cl 57 SC 57.3.1.3 P L # 90750
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-974
 This appears to be some abstract define statement, not a message.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Eliminate here, expand where used.
 DVJ1-172
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-974.

Cl 57 SC 57.3.1.3 P L # 90749
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-973
 What kind of arithmetic is being used? This doesn't appear to be C code, with the
 illegitimate use of '/' and '=' assignments, and the syntax is unknown to me.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Define the syntax.
 2) Delete these lines
 DVJ1-172
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. An alias is used to beautify
 otherwise cluttered state diagrams. Furthermore, the notation used is consistent with IEEE
 802.3-2002/Table 21-2.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.3.1.3 P L # 90753
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-977
 This appears to be some abstract define statement, not a message.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Eliminate here, expand where used.
 DVJ1-172
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-974.

Cl 57 SC 57.3.1.5 P L # 90754
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-978
 An s in isolation is confusing, particularly given the use of s for other purposes, as in book(s) or s in equations (see 63B.3.1). There is no need to abbreviate here, as there are no table width constraints or figure boxes that mandate conservation of horizontal space.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>seconds
 DVJ1-173
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. In addition, timers in 802.3 always use an abbreviation for the interval (e.g., s, ms, us, ns, etc.)

Cl 57 SC 57.4.1 P L # 90755
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-979
 No need to mention the SA.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>deleted
 DVJ1-178
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. In addition, while mention is unnecessary, it is also doesn't hurt either.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.1 P L # 90758
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-982
 This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.
 DVJ1-179
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.1 P L # 90756
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-980
 Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-179
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. DA is a well-known abbreviation and is found in D3.2/1.5.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.4.1 P L # 90757
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-981

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.
 Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.
- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.
 DVJ1-179

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90767
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-991

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, DestinationField, etc. Also, not easy to parse and DA looks like a constant.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress, here and throughout.
 DVJ1-181

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90760
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-984

Too large font.

SuggestedRemedy

Use 8-point font for all text, including "Common...", as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
 DVJ1-180

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90761
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-985

Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.
 DVJ1-180

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90764
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-988

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
 DVJ1-180

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90762
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-986

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.

SuggestedRemedy

The value for the field should be placed on the right, as done in changed illustration.
 DVJ1-180

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90771
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-995
There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence)..
SuggestedRemedy
Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-181
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90763
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-987
Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.
SuggestedRemedy
Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
DVJ1-180
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. In addition, canonical format is widely used within 802.3.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90769
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-993
This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
SuggestedRemedy
==>lengthType.
DVJ1-181
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90759
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-983
Excess capitalization.
SuggestedRemedy
Ocetes==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
DVJ1-180
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90768
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-992
Too many name aliases:
SA, source address, Source Address, etc. Also, not easy to parse and SA looks like a constant.
SuggestedRemedy
==>sourceAddress, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
DVJ1-181
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90770
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-994
Vague cross-reference: Slow_Protocols_Type is a constant, not a field value.
SuggestedRemedy
More accurate reference, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-181
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

CI 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90772
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-996
 There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-181
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90773
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-997
 The FCS all caps is confusing, since this has also been listed as an acronym. All caps normally implies a constant value also.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-181
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90765
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-989
 Nonstandard font size (I think).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use #8 point Arial, as done in changed illustration.
 DVJ1-180
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90766
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-990
 Unclear text; the field name should be on the left, not an unnecessary a-z) listing. Also, the field values cannot be easily cross-referenced later.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a definition-like style, as done in changed illustration.
 DVJ1-181
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 SC 57.4.3 P L # 90774
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-998
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-183
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90780
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1004
 Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-184
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90776
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1000
 Too large font.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-184
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90775
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-999
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-184
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90777
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1001
 Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-184
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90778
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1002
 Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-184
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90779
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1003
 Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-184
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90786
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1010
 There is no need to capitalize "OAM Configuration" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-185
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90789
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1013

There is no need to capitalize "Vendor Specific Info" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughout.
 DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90790
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1014

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

- Either:
- 1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.
 Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.
 - 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
 - 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.
- DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90791
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1015

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.
 DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90785
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1009

There is no need to capitalize "State" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughout.
 DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90783
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1007

There is no need to capitalize "OAM Version" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughout.
 DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90787
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1011
 There is no need to capitalize "OAMPDU Configuration" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-185
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90788
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1012
 There is no need to capitalize "OUI" field names, since this really refers to something else (a specific value assigned by the IEEE, not this specific field).
SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-185
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90782
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1006
 There is no need to capitalize "Information Length" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-184
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90781
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1005
 There is no need to capitalize "Information Type" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-184
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.2 P L # 90794
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1018
 Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.
SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-186
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.2 P L # 90792
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1016
 Excess capitalization.
SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-186
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.2 P L # 90805
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1029

There is no need to capitalize "Event Running Total" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-187

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.2 P L # 90796
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1020

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:
1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
2) Use this new illustration.
DVJ1-186

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.2 P L # 90804
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1028

There is no need to capitalize "Error Running Total" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-187

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.2 P L # 90800
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1024

There is no need to capitalize "Event Time Stamp" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-187

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.2 P L # 90795
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1019

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:
1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.
2) Use this new illustration.
DVJ1-186

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.2 P L # 90797
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1021

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:
1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
2) Use this new illustration.
DVJ1-186

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 P L # 90799
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1023
 There is no need to capitalize "Event Length" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-187
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 P L # 90801
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1025
 There is no need to capitalize "Window" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-187
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 P L # 90802
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1026
 There is no need to capitalize "Threshold" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-187
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 P L # 90803
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1027
 There is no need to capitalize "Errors" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-187
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 P L # 90793
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1017
 Too large font.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughtout.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-186
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 P L # 90798
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1022
 There is no need to capitalize "Event Type" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-186
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.3 P L # 90806
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1030
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-188
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - Octets is consistent with other uses throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.3 P L # 90811
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1035
 Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-188
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.3 P L # 90812
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1036
 There is no need to capitalize "Variable Branch" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughout.
 DVJ1-188
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.3 P L # 90809
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1033
 Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-188
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - Format of name/value of fields is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.3 P L # 90808
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1032
 Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-188
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - Field centering is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.3 P L # 90807
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1031
 Too large font.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-188
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - Font size is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.3 P L # 90813
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1037

There is no need to capitalize "Variable Leaf" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughout.
DVJ1-188

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.3 P L # 90810
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1034

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:
1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
2) Use this new illustration.
DVJ1-188

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 P L # 90814
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1038

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:
1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
2) Use this new illustration.
DVJ1-189

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Octets is consistent with other uses throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 P L # 90817
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1041

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:
1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.
2) Use this new illustration.
DVJ1-189

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - Format of name/value of fields is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 P L # 90816
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1040

Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:
1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.
2) Use this new illustration.
DVJ1-189

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Field centering is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 P L # 90818
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1042

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:
1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
2) Use this new illustration.
DVJ1-189

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 P L # 90820
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1044
 There is no need to capitalize "Branch" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-190
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 P L # 90821
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1045
 There is no need to capitalize "Leaf" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-190
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 P L # 90815
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1039
 Too large font.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-189
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Font size is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 P L # 90822
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1046
 There is no need to capitalize "Width" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-190
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 P L # 90823
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1047
 There is no need to capitalize "Value" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-190
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 P L # 90819
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1043
 Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-189
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.5 P L # 90829
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1053
 Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-191
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.5 P L # 90827
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1051
 Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-191
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - Format of name/value of fields is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.5 P L # 90828
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1052
 Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-191
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.5 P L # 90825
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1049
 Too large font.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-191
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Font size is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.5 P L # 90830
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1054
 There is no need to capitalize "OAM Remote Loopback command" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-191
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.5 P L # 90824
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1048
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-191
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Octets is consistent with other uses throughout Clause 57.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.5 P L # 90826
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1050
 Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-191
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Field centering is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P L # 90833
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1057
 Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-193
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Field centering is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P L # 90837
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1061
 There is no need to capitalize "OUI" field names, since the capitalization has specific other meanings, defined by the IEEE/RAC.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use lower-case and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-193
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P L # 90835
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1059
 Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-193
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P L # 90831
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1055
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-193
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Octets is consistent with other uses throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P L # 90832
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1056
 Too large font.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-193
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Font size is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P L # 90836
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1060
 Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-193
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P L # 90838
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1062
 This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.
 Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.
 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.
 DVJ1-194
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P L # 90840
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1064
 The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use something else to represent a null value.
 DVJ1-194
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Furthermore, the value zero is not defined as a null value.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P L # 90834
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1058
 Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.
 2) Use this new illustration.
 DVJ1-193
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - Format of name/value of fields is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P L # 90839
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1063
 This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.
 DVJ1-194
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.5.2.1 P L # 90842
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1066

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.
 DVJ1-195

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.5.2.1 P L # 90841
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1065

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:
 1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.
 Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.
 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.
 DVJ1-195

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.5.2.1 P L # 90843
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1067

The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value.

SuggestedRemedy

Use something else to represent a null value.
 DVJ1-195

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Furthermore, the value zero is not defined as a null value.

Cl 57 SC 57.5.2.4 P L # 90846
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1070

The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value.

SuggestedRemedy

Use something else to represent a null value.
 DVJ1-196

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Furthermore, the value zero is not defined as a null value.

Cl 57 SC 57.5.2.4 P L # 90845
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1069

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.
 DVJ1-196

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.5.2.4 P L # 90844
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1068

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.
Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.
- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.
DVJ1-196

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.5.3.7 P L # 90847
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1071

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>media access control
DVJ1-201

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.6.4 P L # 90848
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1072

The shorthand notation in the title is confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify by listing each variable distinctively, as shown above.
DVJ1-205

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.7 P L # 90850
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1074

The title is too long and will (most likely) overflow automatic TOC generation.

SuggestedRemedy

Make it smaller, as suggested above.
DVJ1-206

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - this is the normal title for the PICS section

Cl 57 SC 57.7 P L # 90849
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1073

The split between Clause and 45 makes this confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

Put a nonbreaking space in here.
DVJ1-206

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - the draft does not have a split between clause and 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.2.3 P L # 90853
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1077

Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless.

SuggestedRemedy

- Either:
- 1) Place appropriate content within this cell.
 - 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".
DVJ1-206

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS table format

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.7.2.3 P L # 90852
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1076
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-206
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS table format

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3 P L # 90854
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1078
 Excess capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Correct as shown above.
 DVJ1-206
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - this is the name of the subclause and follows 802.3 practice.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.1 P L # 90855
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** DVJ-1079
 Excess capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Correct as shown above.
 DVJ1-206
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 ACCEPT. Accept

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.1 P L # 90858
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** DVJ-1082
 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-207

Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. AIP - IEEE staff editor will fix pagination, orphan rows of PICS tables

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.1 P L # 90857
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1081
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-207
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS table format

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.2 P L # 90861
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1085
 The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Enlarge this column width.
 DVJ1-207
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.2 P L # 90860
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1084
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-207
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.3 P L # 90864
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1088
 The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Enlarge this column width.
 DVJ1-207
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.3 P L # 90865
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1089
 The PDU8 & PDU9 entries are not subclauses, as the header indicates..
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make these have two-line entries, like below:
 x.x.x
 (Table 57-6)
 That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled.
 DVJ1-207
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format. Cross-references include subclauses,
 tables and figures.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.3 P L # 90863
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1087
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-207
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.3 P L # 90866
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** DVJ-1090
 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily)
 imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other
 published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix
 them now, since its easy to do.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-207

Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. AIP - IEEE staff editor will fix pagination, orphan rows of PICS
 tables

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.4 P L # 90868
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1092
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-207
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.4 P L # 90869
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1093
The LIT8 entries are not subclauses, as the header indicates..

SuggestedRemedy

Make these have two-line entries, like below:
x.x.x
(Table 57-8)
That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled.
DVJ1-208

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format. Cross-references include subclauses,
tables and figures.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.5 P L # 90871
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1095
The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them.
DVJ1-208

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.7 P L # 90873
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1097
The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them.
DVJ1-208

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

Cl 57 SC 57.7.4 P L # 90876
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1100
The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.

SuggestedRemedy

Enlarge this column width.
DVJ1-208

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

Cl 57 SC 57.7.4 P L # 90877
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1101
The ET7 subclause cell is not a subclause, as the header indicates..

SuggestedRemedy

Make these have two-line entries, like below:
x.x.x
(Table 57-12)
That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled.
DVJ1-208

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format. Cross-references include subclauses,
tables and figures.

Cl 57 SC 57.7.4 P L # 90875
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1099
The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them.
DVJ1-208

Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

CI 57 SC 57.7.5 P L # 90879
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1103
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-208
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

CI 57 SC 57.7.5 P L # 90881
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1105
 The VAR7 subclause cell is not a subclause, as the header indicates..
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make these have two-line entries, like below:
 x.x.x
 (Table 57-16)
 That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled.
 DVJ1-208
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format. Cross-references include subclauses,
 tables and figures.

CI 57 SC 57.7.5 P L # 90880
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1104
 The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Enlarge this column width.
 DVJ1-208
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

CI 58 SC 58. P L # 90883
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1107
 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make it smaller, as suggested above.
 DVJ1-210
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

CI 58 SC 58.10 P L # 90903
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1127
 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make it smaller, as suggested above.
 DVJ1-233
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

CI 58 SC 58.10 P L # 90902
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1126
 The "Clause" and "58" are unnaturally split across lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a nonbreaking space.
 DVJ1-233
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

CI 58 SC 58.10.1 P L # 90904
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1128
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>medium dependent
 DVJ1-233
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 58 SC 58.10.1.3 P L # 90906
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1130
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-233
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.2 P L # 90908
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1132
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-233
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.1 P L # 90910
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1134
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-234
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.2 P L # 90912
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1136
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-234
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.3 P L # 90914
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1138
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-234
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.4 P L # 90916
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1140
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-234
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.5 P L # 90919
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1143
 The comma's are unnecessary in the Subclause column, since they are vertically listed..
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-234
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.5 P L # 90918
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1142
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-234
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.6 P L # 90921
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1145
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-234
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.7 P L # 90923
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1147
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-235
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.7 P L # 90925
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1149
 The PDU8 & PDU9 entries are not subclauses, as the header indicates..
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make these have two-line entries, like below:
 x.x.x
 (Table 57-6)
 That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled.
 DVJ1-235
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is actually against Clause 57.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.7 P L # 90924
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1148
 The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Enlarge this column width.
 DVJ1-235
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.2.3 P L # 90884
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1108
 Excess space.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, delete the space after the em dash.
 DVJ1-213
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.3.1 P L # 90885
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1109
 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-214
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 58 SC 58.3.2 P L # 90886
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1110

The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-214

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.4 P L # 90887
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1111

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>control
 DVJ1-214

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 The comment is on non-existent text.

Cl 58 SC 58.4.1 P L # 90890
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1114

Use distinct rows when necessary; don't hide this from the reader

SuggestedRemedy

=>split these rows.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.4.1 P L # 90889
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1113

Use distinct rows when necessary; don't hide this from the reader.

SuggestedRemedy

=>split these rows.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.4.1 P L # 90891
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1115

Use distinct rows when necessary; don't hide this from the reader.

SuggestedRemedy

=>split these rows.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.4.1 P L # 90892
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1116

Mbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps mega-baud?)

SuggestedRemedy

describe this in a footnote!

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 58 SC 58.4.1 P L # 90893
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1117

The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:
1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
DVJ1-215

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.4.1 P L # 90894
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1118

This title line seems strange.

SuggestedRemedy

=>retitle this as "parameter".

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.4.1 P L # 90895
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1119

This title line seems strange

SuggestedRemedy

=>retitle this as "parameter".

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.4.1 P L # 90888
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1112

Don't intermix two table rows, this confuses the reader

SuggestedRemedy

=>add this distinct column

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.4.2 P L # 90896
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1120

The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:
1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
DVJ1-216

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.7.1.1 P L # 90899
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1123

The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:
1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
DVJ1-219

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 58 SC 58.7.1.1 P L # 90897
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1121
 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-218
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.7.1.1 P L # 90898
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1122
 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-218
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.7.11.2 P L # 90900
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1124
 Should be using alphabetic characters when making lists, not kludge with 2nd level indent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>a), b), ...
 DVJ1-229
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.9.4 P L # 90901
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1125
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>Medium dependent interface
 DVJ1-232
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59. P L # 90927
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1151
 Excessive capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Correct, as shown above.
 DVJ1-236
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.1.4 P L # 90928
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1152
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>medium dependent
 DVJ1-237
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 59 SC 59.10 P L # 90950
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1174
 The "Clause" and "58" are unnaturally split across lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a nonbreaking space.
 DVJ1-250
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10 P L # 90951
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1175
 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make it smaller, as suggested above.
 DVJ1-250
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.1 P L # 90952
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1176
 The text that referred to Clause 59 was out-of-date.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use an explicit cross-reference, to ensure accuracy, as was done above.
 (This looks funny now, due to the marked changes, but these will disappear when changes are finalized.)
 DVJ1-250
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.10 P L # 90971
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1195
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-251
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.11 P L # 90973
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1197
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-252
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.3 P L # 90954
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1178
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-250
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.4 P L # 90956
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1180
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-250
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.5 P L # 90958
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1182
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-251
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.5 P L # 90959
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1183
 Top-line delimiter is too thick.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Top of LX4 row ==> very thin.
 DVJ1-251
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.6 P L # 90961
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1185
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-251
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.6 P L # 90962
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1186
 Top-line delimiter is too thick.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Top of BXD3 row ==> very thin.
 DVJ1-251
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.7 P L # 90964
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1188
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-251
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.7 P L # 90965
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1189
 Top-line delimiter is too thick.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Top of BXU3 row ==> very thin.
 DVJ1-251
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.8 P L # 90967
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1191
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-251
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.9 P L # 90969
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1193
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-251
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.3.1 P L # 90929
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1153
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>8 point
 DVJ1-240
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 change figure font size to be consistent with Figure 60-

Cl 59 SC 59.4 P L # 90930
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1154
 Bad line break.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>use nonbreaking hyphen
 DVJ1-240
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.4.2 P L # 90933
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1157
 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-241
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 59 SC 59.4.2 P L # 90932
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1156

The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

- 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 - 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
- DVJ1-241

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.4.2 P L # 90931
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1155

The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

- 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 - 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
- DVJ1-240

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.7.1 P L # 90934
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1158

Vague references to apparent clause numbers.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above.
 DVJ1-242

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.7.12 P L # 90947
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1171

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>bit error ratio tester
 DVJ1-245

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 P L # 90946
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1170

The right three columns should be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them.
 DVJ1-243

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 P L # 90941
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1165

Excessive capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

Disparity ==> disparity
 DVJ1-243

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 P L # 90945
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1169
 Excessive capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Number of Octlets==> Number of octlets
 DVJ1-243
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.
 There also appears to be a typo in the suggested remedy,
 as "Octlets" does not appear in the referenced text.

Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 P L # 90944
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1168
 The 1st column looks wrong:
 number of octlets is the label but action statements are the entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Clarify.
 DVJ1-243
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 P L # 90943
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1167
 Excessive capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 , Repeat ==> , repeat
 DVJ1-243
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 P L # 90940
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1164
 Wrong spelling, base on IEEE Dictionary and preceding table
 SuggestedRemedy
 Hexa-decimal==> Hexadecimal
 DVJ1-243
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 ACCEPT.
 Hexa-decimal -> hexadecimal

Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 P L # 90939
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1163
 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily)
 imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other
 published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix
 them now, since its easy to do.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-243

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 P L # 90938
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1162
 Excessive capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Number of Octlets==> Number of octlets
 DVJ1-242
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.
 There also appears to be a typo in the suggested remedy,
 as "Octlets" does not appear in the referenced text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 P L # 90935
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1159
 The 1st column looks wrong:
 number of octlets is the label but action statements are the entries.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Clarify.
 DVJ1-242
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 P L # 90937
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1161
 Excessive capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Binary ==> binary
 DVJ1-242
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 P L # 90936
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1160
 The right three columns should be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-242
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 P L # 90942
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1166
 Excessive capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Binary ==> binary
 DVJ1-243
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.9.1 P L # 90948
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1172
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be Times.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Arial
 DVJ1-247
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.9.4 P L # 90949
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1173
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>dependent interface
 DVJ1-248
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60. P L # 90975
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1199
 Excess capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Medium Dependent==>medium dependent, if nothing else is changed.
 DVJ1-253
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60. P L # 90976
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1200
 Excessive length title: this will cause major problems with auto TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==> PMD sublayer and medium for long wavelength passive optical networks.
 DVJ1-253
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. This text does not exist. For adding please refer to #226. If added, style
 consistent with existing approved style.

Cl 60 SC 60.1 P L # 90977
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1201
 Excess capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Forward Error Correction==>forward error correction
 DVJ1-253
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.1.1 P L # 90983
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1207
 Excessive capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 This is a generic word in this context==>include units in the distinct column
 DVJ1-254
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. This text does not exist. For adding please refer to #228. If added, style
 consistent with existing approved style.

Cl 60 SC 60.1.1 P L # 90986
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1210
 Excessive capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Upstream=>upstream
 DVJ1-254
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.1.1 P L # 90988
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1212
 Excessive capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Downstream=>downstream
 DVJ1-254
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.1.1 P L # 90985
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1209
 Table rows with one-word values should be centered
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center this columns
 DVJ1-254
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.1.1 P L # 90984
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1208
 Table rows with one-word values should be centered
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center these four columns
 DVJ1-254
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.1.1 P L # 90982
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1206
 Don't intermix units within one row
 SuggestedRemedy
 Include units in the distinct column
 DVJ1-254
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.1.1 P L # 90981
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1205
 Merge columns for clarity
 SuggestedRemedy
 Unstraddle into distinct max/min rows
 DVJ1-254
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.1.1 P L # 90980
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1204
 Merge columns for clarity
 SuggestedRemedy
 Unstraddle into distinct max/min rows.
 DVJ1-254
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.1.1 P L # 90979
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1203
 Implied columns have been merged.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide a distinct Type column.
 DVJ1-254
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.1.1 P L # 90987
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1211
 Blanks cells look too much like a missprint or editorial error.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fill all blank cells with an em dash.
 DVJ1-254
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.1.1 P L # 90978
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1202
 The reader should not be forced to interpolate column or row lines
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either
 1) Swap the order of rows (as illustrated).
 2) Don't merge any rows or column, if this forces interpolation.
 DVJ1-254
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.1.2 P L # 90991
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1215
 Figure interrupts the text flow.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Place figure marker at the end of paragraph, as is normally done.
 DVJ1-256
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.1.2 P L # 90989
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1213
 Inconsistent usage: IEEE Style guide say don't mix all-caps and lowercase
 SuggestedRemedy
 Eliminate all caps (except for acronyms) names within the figure
 DVJ1-256
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.1.2 P L # 90990
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1214
 Excessive capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Medium ==> medium
 DVJ1-256
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.1.4 P L # 90992
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1216
 Excess capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Medium Dependent==>medium dependent
 DVJ1-257
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. This text does not exist. For adding please refer to #226. If added, style consistent with existing approved style.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.10 P L # 91096
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1320
 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make it smaller, as suggested above.
 DVJ1-283
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10 P L # 91095
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1319
 The "Clause" and "60" are unnaturally split across lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a nonbreaking space.
 DVJ1-283
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.1 P L # 91097
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1321
 The text that referred to Clause 59 was out-of-date.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use an explicit cross-reference, to ensure accuracy, as was done above.
 DVJ1-283
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.3 P L # 91099
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1323
 These rows should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-284
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.1 P L # 91101
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1325
 These rows should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-285
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P L # 91105
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1329
 The wrapping Status entries are confusing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 adjust the column to be slightly wider.
 DVJ1-286
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P L # 91104
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1328
 Use a nonbreaking space to avoid confusion between table names and subclause numbers.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a nonbreaking space after table.
 DVJ1-286
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P L # 91103
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1327
 These rows should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-286
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.3 P L # 91107
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1331
 These rows should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-287
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.3 P L # 91108
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1332
 Use a nonbreaking space to avoid confusion between table names and subclause numbers.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a nonbreaking space after table.
 DVJ1-287
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.3 P L # 91109
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1333
 The wrapping Status entries are confusing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Adjust the column to be slightly wider.
 DVJ1-287
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.3 P L # 91110
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1334
 The D notation is used in the U specification.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change all circled D==>U.
 DVJ1-287
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 ACCEPT.
 Uppercase D->U in Table 60.10.4.3

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.4 P L # 91112
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1336
 These rows should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-288
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.4 P L # 91113
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1337
 Use a nonbreaking space to avoid confusion between table names and subclause numbers.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a nonbreaking space after table.
 DVJ1-288
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.4 P L # 91114
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1338
 The wrapping Status entries are confusing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 adjust the column to be slightly wider.
 DVJ1-288
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.5 P L # 91116
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1340
 These rows should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-289
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.5 P L # 91117
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1341
 Use a nonbreaking space to avoid confusion between table names and subclause numbers.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a nonbreaking space after table.
 DVJ1-289
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.5 P L # 91118
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1342
 The wrapping Status entries are confusing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 adjust the column to be slightly wider.
 DVJ1-289
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.6 P L # 91120
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1344
 These rows should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-290
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.7 P L # 91122
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1346
 These rows should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-290
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.8 P L # 91125
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1349
 These rows should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-291
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.2.3 P L # 90995
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1219
 Signal names are hard to identify, since multiple conflicting conventions are used.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Tx_Enable ==>txEnable, here and throughout
 DVJ1-259
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.2.3 P L # 90993
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1217
 Excessive capitalization; capitalize only the first word of a heading.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Cord==>cord.
 DVJ1-259
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.2.3 P L # 90997
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1221
 Signal names are hard to identify, since multiple conflicting conventions are used.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Signal_Detect ==>signalDetect, here and throughout
 DVJ1-259
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.2.3 P L # 90999
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1223
 Excessive capitalization; capitalize only the first word of a heading.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Bulkheads==>bulkheads.
 DVJ1-259
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.2.3 P L # 90994
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1218
 The font within figures should be 8-point Arial, not Times (or whatever was used).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change font to Arial.
 DVJ1-259
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.2.3 P L # 90998
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1222
 Excessive capitalization; capitalize only the first word of a heading.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Channel==>channel.
 DVJ1-259
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.2.3 P L # 90996
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1220
 The font size within figures should be 8-point Arial, as per IEEE Style Guide.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change font to 8-point, and also Arial, here and throughout.
 DVJ1-259
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.2.4.3 P L # 91005
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1229
 The blank cell looks wrong.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Straddle these two cells.
 DVJ1-260
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.2.4.3 P L # 91001
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1225
 Columns with only numbers or one word should be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center this column.
 DVJ1-260
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.2.4.3 P L # 91003
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1227
 Excessive and inconsistent capitalization; table capitalization is correct and different.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Signal Detect Threshold==>signal detect threshold.
 DVJ1-260
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.2.4.3 P L # 91004
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1228
 Inconsistent table-row reference.
 SuggestedRemedy
 sensivity==>sensivity OMA.
 DVJ1-260
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.
 Suggested remedy is technically incorrect.

Cl 60 SC 60.2.4.3 P L # 91002
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1226
 Signal names are hard to identify, since multiple conflicting conventions are used.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Signal_Detect ==>signalDetect, here and throughout
 DVJ1-260
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.2.4.3 P L # 91000
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1224
 Excess capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Signal==>signal
 DVJ1-260
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3 P L # 91006
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1230
 Excessive length heading
 SuggestedRemedy
 1000BASE-PX10-D and 1000BASE-PX10-U==>1000BASE-PX10-D/U
 DVJ1-261
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. This text does not exist. For adding please refer to #226. If added, style consistent with existing approved style.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91015
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1239
 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center this column
 DVJ1-262
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91008
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1232
 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately.
 DVJ1-261
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91017
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1241
 Blank cells look like misprint or errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put em dash in all blank cells, here and throughout.
 DVJ1-262
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91007
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1231
 The old heading didn't make sense, so a values heading is needed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a two-two heading, straddled as illustrated.
 DVJ1-261
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91009
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1233
 Common vertical cells should be straddled.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Straddle the dBm cells, as illustrated.
 DVJ1-261
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91010
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1234
 Don't intermix two table rows; this confuses the reader.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the distinct "type" column.
 DVJ1-261
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91011
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1235
 Gbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps giga-baud?)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Describe this in a footnote!
 DVJ1-261
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91014
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1238
 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center this column
 DVJ1-261
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91018
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1242
 Don't use pseudo rows, with adhoc vertical spacing and parenthesis technique.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use two rows, as illustrated.
 DVJ1-262
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91019
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1243
 Don't use pseudo rows, with adhoc bracket-sequencing technique.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use two rows, as illustrated.
 DVJ1-262
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91020
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1244
 Tpm amd Tpf are really the same, but this is not clear.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Straddle the rightmost pair of cells, as illustrated..
 DVJ1-262
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91012
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1236
 Don't force the reader to visually interpolate column lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Separate this straddled pair of column cells, with replicated value.
 DVJ1-261
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91016
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1240
 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center this column
 DVJ1-262
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91013
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1237
 Print the bottom line when table splits across pages.
 SuggestedRemedy
 This is a two-step process:
 1) Fix the templates, to draw a very-thin line on bottom of the page.
 2) Manually force a thin line on the last line of the table.
 DVJ1-261
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91026
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1250
 Don't intermix two table rows; this confuses the reader.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the distinct "type" column.
 DVJ1-265
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91027
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1251
 Gbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps giga-baud?)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Describe this in a footnote!
 DVJ1-265
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91033
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1257
 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center this column
 DVJ1-265
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91032
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1256
 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately.
 DVJ1-265
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91021
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1245
 This heading row is redundant, with no meaning.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete this row, include text "(nm)" at end of table title.
 DVJ1-264
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91022
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1246
 Small numbers or words should be centered, as per style guidelines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center these columns.
 DVJ1-264
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91028
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1252
 Don't force the reader to visually interpolate column lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Separate this straddled pair of column cells, with replicated value.
 DVJ1-265
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91036
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1260
 Merging the two columns makes the reader interpolate the between-column line.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Unstraddle the two columns, replicate the cell text.
 DVJ1-265
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91034
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1258
 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center this column
 DVJ1-265
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91031
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1255
 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately.
 DVJ1-265
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91030
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1254
 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately.
 DVJ1-265
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91025
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1249
 Don't force the reader to interpolate row-dividing lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Unstaddle these column cells and either:
 1) Replicate the cell contents. (preferred)
 2) Use a ditto marker after the first instance.(OK also)
 DVJ1-264
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91035
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1259
 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center this column
 DVJ1-265
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91024
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1248
 Blank line looks like a mistake.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Put something in here that helps explain its meaning.
 2) Delete this row.
 DVJ1-264

Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91023
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1247
 Bold font seems to imply special meaning, but the meaning is not apparent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use regular text in these columns.
 DVJ1-264
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91029
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1253
 The old heading didn't make sense, so a values heading is needed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a two-rwo heading, straddled as illustrated.
 DVJ1-265
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91051
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1275
 This heading row is redundant, with no meaning.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete this row, include text "(nm)" at end of table title.
 DVJ1-269
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91052
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1276
 Small numbers or words should be centered, as per style guidelines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center these columns.
 DVJ1-269
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91041
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1265
 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately.
 DVJ1-267
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91040
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1264
 The old heading didn't make sense, so a values heading is needed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a two-rwo heading, straddled as illustrated.
 DVJ1-266
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91039
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1263
 Don't force the reader to visually interpolate column lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Separate this straddled pair of column cells, with replicated value.
 DVJ1-266
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91037
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1261
 Don't intermix two table rows; this confuses the reader.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the distinct "type" column.
 DVJ1-266
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91050
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1274
 Tpm amd Tpf are really the same, but this is not clear.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Straddle the rightmost pair of cells, as illustrated..
 DVJ1-267
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91042
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1266
 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately.
 DVJ1-267
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91038
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1262
 Gbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps giga-baud?)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Describe this in a footnote!
 DVJ1-266
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91054
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1278
 Blank line looks like a mistake.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Put something in here that helps explain its meaning.
 2) Delete this row.
 DVJ1-269
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91047
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1271
 Blank cells look like misprint or errors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put em dash in all blank cells, here and throughout.
 DVJ1-267
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91046
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1270
 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center this column
 DVJ1-267
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91045
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1269
 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center this column
 DVJ1-267
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91048
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1272
 Don't use pseudo rows, with adhoc vertical spacing and parenthesis technique.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use two rows, as illustrated.
 DVJ1-267
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91053
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1277
 Bold font seems to imply special meaning, but the meaning is not apparent.
SuggestedRemedy
 Use regular text in these columns.
 DVJ1-269
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91043
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1267
 Common vertical cells should be straddled.
SuggestedRemedy
 Straddle the dBm cells, as illustrated.
 DVJ1-267
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91049
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1273
 Don't use pseudo rows, with adhoc bracket-sequencing technique.
SuggestedRemedy
 Use two rows, as illustrated.
 DVJ1-267
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 P L # 91044
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1268
 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide.
SuggestedRemedy
 Center this column
 DVJ1-267
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.2 P L # 91062
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1286
 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide.
SuggestedRemedy
 Center this column
 DVJ1-270
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.2 P L # 91061
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1285
 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed.
SuggestedRemedy
 Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately.
 DVJ1-270
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.2 P L # 91059
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1283
 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed.
SuggestedRemedy
 Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately.
 DVJ1-270
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.2 P L # 91058
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1282
 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed.
SuggestedRemedy
 Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately.
 DVJ1-270
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.4.2 P L # 91057
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1281
 The old heading didn't make sense, so a values heading is needed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a two-rwo heading, straddled as illustrated.
 DVJ1-270
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.2 P L # 91055
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1279
 Don't intermix two table rows; this confuses the reader.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the distinct "type" column.
 DVJ1-270
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.2 P L # 91056
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1280
 Gbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps giga-baud?)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Describe this in a footnote!
 DVJ1-270
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.2 P L # 91063
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1287
 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center this column
 DVJ1-270
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.2 P L # 91065
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1289
 Merging the two columns makes the reader interpolate the between-column line.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Unstraddle the two columns, replicate the cell text.
 DVJ1-270
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.2 P L # 91060
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1284
 Print the bottom line when table splits across pages.
 SuggestedRemedy
 This is a two-step process:
 1) Fix the templates, to draw a very-thin line on bottom of the page.
 2) Manually force a thin line on the last line of the table.
 DVJ1-270
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.4.2 P L # 91064
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1288
 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center this column
 DVJ1-270
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91068
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1292
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Downstream ==> downstream.
 DVJ1-272
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91069
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1293
 Avoid forcing the reader to interpolate vertical between-column lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Unstraddle these columns, replicating their contents name.
 2) Move the most-merged rows to the bottom, so that merged cells get wider towards the table bottom.
 DVJ1-272
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91070
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1294
 Blank cell looks like an error.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Place an em dash in this cell.
 DVJ1-272
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91066
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1290
 The blank cell under "Units" looks strange.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Straddle this cell with the one.
 DVJ1-272
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91072
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1296
 Excessive capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Type==>type
 DVJ1-272
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91081
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1305
 Blank cell looks like an error.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the word "Parameter" to this blank cell.
 DVJ1-274
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91073
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1297
 By convention, math symbols have no spaces, and are typically italics or Greek.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Jitter on upstream signal ==> jitterOnUpstreamSignal
 DVJ1-273
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91075
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1299
 By convention, math symbols have no spaces, and are typically italics or Greek.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Jitter on upstream signal ==> jitterTransfer
 DVJ1-273
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91077
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1301
 Use a multiply x symbol, so that 20log is not mistaken as a function or variable name.
 SuggestedRemedy
 20log ==> 20 ≠ log
 DVJ1-273
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91078
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1302
 Excess capitalization: slope is a variable name, not a heading.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Slope ==> slope
 DVJ1-273
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91076
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1300
 The UI term looks like a multiplicative scaler or a function-call argument.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete instances of "(UI)"; no unit spec is really needed (since they cancel).
 DVJ1-273
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91080
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1304
 Placing dB in brackets make this look like an array index or function call.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Delete the bracket term 2) On line below, add (in dB)
 DVJ1-273
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91071
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1295
 Table cells should be centered, unless containing a text segment.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center these rows
 DVJ1-272
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91074
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1298
 By convention, math symbols have no spaces, and are typically italics or Greek.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Jitter on upstream signal ==> jitterOnDownstreamSignal
 DVJ1-273
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91067
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1291
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Upstream ==> upstream.
 DVJ1-272
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91082
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1306
 All non-textual cell entries should be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the terms within these columns
 DVJ1-274
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91079
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1303
 The meaning of "dec" is not specified; could be a miscapitalization of a defunct company.
 SuggestedRemedy
 dec ==> decade
 DVJ1-273
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.1.2 P L # 91083
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1307
 The capitalization in "Trigger" makes it look like a name or heading.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Trigger==>trigger
 DVJ1-277
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.1.2 P L # 91086
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1310
 Wrong font for illustrations.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the illustration font to Arial, consistent with IEEE Style guideline and other figures.
 DVJ1-277
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.1.2 P L # 91084
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1308
 A uniform signaling naming convention would help differentiate one from another.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Tx_Enable ==> txEnable
 DVJ1-277
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.1.2 P L # 91085
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1309
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Channel ==> channel
 DVJ1-277
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.2.2 P L # 91089
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1313
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Channel ==> channel
 DVJ1-279
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.2.2 P L # 91090
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1314
 Wrong font for illustrations.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the illustration font to Arial, consistent with IEEE Style guideline and other figures.
 DVJ1-279
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.2.2 P L # 91087
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1311
 The capitalization in "Trigger" makes it look like a name or heading.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Trigger==>trigger
 DVJ1-279
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.2.2 P L # 91088
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1312
 A uniform signaling naming convention would help differentiate one from another.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Tx_Enable ==> txEnable
 DVJ1-279
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.9.1 P L # 91094
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1318
 Wrong font for illustrations.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the illustration font to Arial, consistent with IEEE Style guideline and other figures.
 DVJ1-281
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.9.1 P L # 91091
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1315
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Cable ==> cable
 DVJ1-281
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.9.1 P L # 91092
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1316
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Channel ==> channel
 DVJ1-281
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 60 SC 60.9.1 P L # 91093
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1317
 Hyphenation makes for hard reading.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Expand the box width, so that that "Unterminated" is not hyphenated.
 DVJ1-281
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 61 SC 61. P L # 91128
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1352
 Excess capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Physical Coding Sublayer==>Physical coding sublayer, if nothing else is changed.
 DVJ1-292
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61. P L # 91130
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1354
 Excessive length title: this will cause major problems with auto TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Shorter, as shown above.
 DVJ1-292
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The Clause title is explicit about the content of the Clause, which is beneficial to the reader. As it still fits on two lines (as opposed to the titles of e.g. clauses 58, 59, 60 and may other clauses in the base standard), the Task Force doesn't believe there is a problem here.

Cl 61 SC 61. P L # 91129
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1353
 Excess capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Transmission Convergence==>transmission convergence, if nothing else is changed.
 DVJ1-292
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.1 P L # 91131
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1355
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>central office
 DVJ1-292
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.1 P L # 91132
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1356
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>customer promises equipment
 DVJ1-292
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 61 SC 61.1.1 P L # 91133
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1357
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>physical coding sublayer
 DVJ1-293
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.1.4.1 P L # 91136
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1360
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>client
 DVJ1-294
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.1.2 P L # 91134
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1358
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>rate matching
 DVJ1-293
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.1.4.1.1 P L # 91137
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1361
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>medium independent interface
 DVJ1-295
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.1.3 P L # 91135
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1359
 The IEEE Style manual frowns on intermixed ALLCAPS and Some caps callouts.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>Eliminate the ALLCAPS, here and elsewhere. Then, everything is consistent.
 DVJ1-293
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.1.4.1.2 P L # 91138
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1362
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>aggregation function
 DVJ1-295
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 61 SC 61.1.4.1.3 P L # 91139
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1363
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>rate matching
 DVJ1-296
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.10 P L # 91153
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1377
 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make it smaller, as suggested above.
 DVJ1-327
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See also comment #91130.
 The Clause title is explicit about the content of the Clause, which is beneficial to the reader.
 As it still fits on two lines (as opposed to the titles of e.g. clauses 58, 59, 60 and may other clauses in the base standard), the Task Force doesn't believe there is a problem here.

Cl 61 SC 61.10 P L # 91152
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1376
 The "Clause" and "61" are unnaturally split across lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a nonbreaking space.
 DVJ1-327
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 ACCEPT.
 (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.10.3 P L # 91155
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1379
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-327
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4.1 P L # 91158
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1382
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-327
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4.1 P L # 91156
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1380
 Bad line break.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>rate matching
 DVJ1-327
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4.2 P L # 91160
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1384
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-327
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4.3 P L # 91162
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1386
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-328
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4.3 P L # 91163
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1387
 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-328
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4.4 P L # 91165
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1389
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-328
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 61 SC 61.2.2.10 P L # 91141
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1365
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>rate matching
 DVJ1-306
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.2.2.6.2 P L # 91140
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1364
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>aggregation-receive
 DVJ1-302
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 61 SC 61.3.2.3 P L # 91143
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1367
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>indicator bits
 DVJ1-311
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.3.2.3 P L # 91142
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1366
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>operation channel
 DVJ1-311
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.3.3.1 P L # 91144
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1368
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>encapsulation and coding
 DVJ1-312
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.3.3.5.1 P L # 91145
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1369
 Wrong count: spec says 3 conditions, only 2 are listed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change ==>two
 DVJ1-316
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 ACCEPT.
 (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.3.3.7.1 P L # 91146
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1370
 Unknown term; loop was not declared above.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Define loop.
 2) Use a defined variable, corresponding to what is actually used.
 DVJ1-318
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The definition of loop is in 61.3.3.7.1, between k and TC_link_state.
 (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.3.3.7.2 P L # 91147
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1371
 Enumerated values are listed, but not defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Complete the tbd.
 DVJ1-318
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The variable expectedSync is properly defined in 61.3.3.7.2.
 (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 61 SC 61.3.3.7.2 P L # 91148
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1372
 Enumerated values are listed, but not defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Complete the tbd's.
 DVJ1-319
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The variable expectedSync is properly defined in 61.3.3.7.2.
 (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.5 P L # 91149
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1373
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>performance guidelines
 DVJ1-325
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.6 P L # 91150
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1374
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>electrical characteristics
 DVJ1-325
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61 SC 61.7 P L # 91151
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1375
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>rate matching
 DVJ1-326
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61A SC 61A.2 P L # 91479
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1703
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>8 point Arial, no need to use bold or wider line widths.
 DVJ1-449
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 61A SC 61A.2 P L # 91483
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1707
 Unclear usage. I could not find the PME_Discovery_Register here or in 802.3-2002.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>bit error ratio test
 DVJ1-449
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 However, the BRC believes the following technical flaw needs to be corrected:
 Replace "PME_Discovery_Register" with "Remote_discovery_register" throughout this subclause.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 61A SC 61A.2 P L # 91480
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1704
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be larger.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>8 point Arial, no need to use bold or wider line widths.
 DVJ1-449
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62 SC 62.1.1 P L # 91170
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1394
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>physical medium dependent
 DVJ1-329
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62 SC 62. P L # 91168
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1392
 Excess capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Physical Medium Dependent ==>physical medium dependent, as shown.
 DVJ1-329
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62 SC 62.1.1 P L # 91169
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1393
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>physical medium attachment
 DVJ1-329
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62 SC 62. P L # 91167
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1391
 Excess capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Physical Medium Attachment ==>Physical medium attachment, as shown.
 DVJ1-329
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62 SC 62.1.4.2.2 P L # 91171
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1395
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>synchronized flow
 DVJ1-330
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 62 SC 62.2.4.2 P L # 91172
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1396
 Funny scoping on the quotes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>include the clause number
 DVJ1-331
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62 SC 62.3.1 P L # 91173
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1397
 Funny scoping on the quotes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>overview
 DVJ1-332
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62 SC 62.3.4 P L # 91175
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1399
 Bad line break.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>inhibit line breaks, via distinct character formats.
 DVJ1-333
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62 SC 62.4 P L # 91177
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1401
 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make it smaller, as suggested above.
 DVJ1-340
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62 SC 62.4 P L # 91176
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1400
 The "Clause" and "62" are unnaturally split across lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a nonbreaking space.
 DVJ1-340
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62 SC 62.4.3 P L # 91179
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1403
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-340
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 62 SC 62.4.4.1 P L # 91181
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1405
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-340
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62 SC 62.4.4.2 P L # 91183
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1407
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-340
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62 SC 62.4.4.2 P L # 91184
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1408
 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-341
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.1 P L # 91488
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1712
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>clause
 DVJ1-458
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.2 P L # 91489
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1713
 Font is too small.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Restructure the table, so 9-point font can be used.
 I would split each row into two, so that high and low frequencies are separate rows, and thus narrower.
 DVJ1-458
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.5 P L # 91490
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1714
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>reference
 DVJ1-458
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.5 P L # 91491
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1715
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>profiles
 DVJ1-458
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.5 P L # 91492
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1716
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>profiles
 DVJ1-459
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.7 P L # 91497
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1721
 Improper column alignment. (Circle B)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center the column
 DVJ1-459
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.7 P L # 91498
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1722
 Don't make the reader interpolate row lines. (Circle C)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Move the # column to the right, replace # with a "Row" heading
 DVJ1-459
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.7 P L # 91499
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1723
 Don't make the reader interpolate row lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Move the # column to the right.
 DVJ1-459
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.7 P L # 91494
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1718
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>band notch
 DVJ1-459
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.7 P L # 91495
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1719
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 UPBO reference PSD profiles ...
 DVJ1-459
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.7 P L # 91493
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1717
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>Band notch profiles
 DVJ1-459
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.7 P L # 91496
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1720
 Use real heading text.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace # with a "Row" heading
 DVJ1-459
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62A SC 62A.4 P L # 91500
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1724
 pseudo-C has a pseudo definition and therefore cannot be used for any normative material.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Both:
 1) Upgrade the code to a real C-code snippet (or perhaps it is already?)
 2) Change the text from a C procedure to a C-code snippet.
 DVJ1-461
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62A SC 62A.5 P L # 91502
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1726
 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make it smaller, as suggested above.
 DVJ1-462
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62A SC 62A.5 P L # 91501
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1725
 The "Clause" and number are unnaturally split across lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a nonbreaking space.
 DVJ1-462
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 62A SC 62A.5.3 P L # 91504
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1728
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-462
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62A SC 62A.5.4 P L # 91507
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1731
 The line thickness is wrong.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Table should be very-thin in the center, thin on the edge. Set FrameMaker accordingly.
 DVJ1-462
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62A SC 62A.5.4 P L # 91506
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1730
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-462
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62A SC 62A.5.4 P L # 91508
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1732
 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-462
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62B SC 62B.3 P L # 91510
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1734
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>bit error ratio (BER)
 DVJ1-463
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl **62B** SC **62B.5** P L # **91512**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1736
 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make it smaller, as suggested above.
 DVJ1-465
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **62B** SC **62B.5** P L # **91511**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1735
 The "Clause" and number are unnaturally split across lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a nonbreaking space.
 DVJ1-465
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **62B** SC **62B.5.3** P L # **91515**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** DVJ-1739
 This subclause is empty.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Provide the appropriate table.
 2) Provide a short sentence, noting that no table is provided (or exists elsewhere, or whatever).
 DVJ1-465
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Keep the first table on page 666 together with heading 62B.5.3.
 (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **62B** SC **62B.5.3** P L # **91514**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1738
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-465
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl **62B** SC **62B.5.4** P L # **91516**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1740
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>performance
 DVJ1-465
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **62B** SC **62B.5.4** P L # **91520**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1744
 SuggestedRemedy
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This is comment is blank (probably an artefact of the document conversion process).
 (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl **62B** SC **62B.5.4** P L # **91518**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1742
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-465
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl **62C** SC **62C.2** P L # **91522**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1746
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>8 point Arial font.
 DVJ1-468
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **62C** SC **62C.2** P L # **91521**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1745
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above, ==>Example PSD masks for MCM 10PASS-TS
 DVJ1-468
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **62C** SC **62C.3.2** P L # **91526**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1750
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>8 point Arial font.
 DVJ1-469
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **62C** SC **62C.3.2** P L # **91527**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1751
 Excess figure title length; this is a title, not a short story or normative text expose.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>VDSL system performance, ITU-T bandplan A, scenario 2
 DVJ1-469
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **62C** SC **62C.3.2** P L # **91528**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1752
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>8 point Arial font.
 DVJ1-469
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl **62C** SC **62C.3.2** P L # **91529**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1753
 Excess figure title length; this is a title, not a short story or normative text expose.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>VDSL system performance, ITU-T bandplan A, scenario 3
 DVJ1-469
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **62C** SC **62C.3.2** P L # **91524**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1748
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>8 point Arial font.
 DVJ1-469
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **62C** SC **62C.3.2** P L # **91523**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1747
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>Example PSD masks for MCM 10PASS-TS
 DVJ1-469
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **62C** SC **62C.3.2** P L # **91530**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1754
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>8 point Arial font.
 DVJ1-469
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **62C** SC **62C.3.2** P L # **91525**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1749
 Excess figure title length; this is a title, not a short story or normative text expose.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>VDSL system performance, ITU-T bandplan A, scenario 1
 DVJ1-469
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **63** SC **63.** P L # **91187**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1411
 Excess capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Physical Medium Dependent ==>physical medium dependent, as shown.
 DVJ1-342
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 63 SC 63. P L # 91186
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1410
 Excess capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 Physical Medium Attachment ==>Physical medium attachment, as shown.
 DVJ1-342
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 63 SC 63.3.2.2 P L # 91189
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1413
 The table is continued, but there is no continuation note.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the table continuation variable.
 DVJ1-347
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 63 SC 63.3.2.2 P L # 91188
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1412
 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following:
 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.
 DVJ1-347
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 63 SC 63.3.2.5.1 P L # 91190
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1414
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>General changes
 DVJ1-348
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 63 SC 63.4 P L # 91192
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1416
 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make it smaller, as suggested above.
 DVJ1-349
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 63 SC 63.4 P L # 91191
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1415
 The "Clause" and "65" are unnaturally split across lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a nonbreaking space.
 DVJ1-349
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 63 SC 63.4.3 P L # 91194
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1418
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-349
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 63 SC 63.4.4.1 P L # 91196
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1420
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-349
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 63 SC 63.4.4.2 P L # 91198
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1422
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-349
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 63A SC 63A.5.3 P L # 91532
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1756
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-472
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 63A SC 63A.5.3 P L # 91534
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1758
 The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Enlarge this column width.
 DVJ1-472
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 63A SC 63A.5.3 P L # 91533
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1757
 The line thickness is wrong.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Table should be very-thin in the center, thin on the edge. Set FrameMaker accordingly.
 DVJ1-472
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl **63A** SC **63A.5.4** P L # **91535**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1759
 The line thickness is wrong.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Table should be very-thin in the center, thin on the edge. Set FrameMaker accordingly.
 DVJ1-472
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl **63A** SC **63A.5.4** P L # **91536**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1760
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>performance
 DVJ1-472
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **63A** SC **63A.5.4** P L # **91538**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1762
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-472
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl **63B** SC **63B.4** P L # **91539**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1763
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>guidelines
 DVJ1-474
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.
 (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl **63B** SC **63B.5.3** P L # **91542**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1766
 The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Enlarge this column width.
 DVJ1-474
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl **63B** SC **63B.5.3** P L # **91541**
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1765
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-474
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 63B SC 63B.5.4 P L # 91545
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1769
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-474
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 64 SC 64.1 P L # 91203
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1427
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>control
 DVJ1-351
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64 P L # 91200
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1424
 Excess capitalization
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Control ==>control, as shown.
 DVJ1-350"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.1 P L # 91202
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1426
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>multi-point
 DVJ1-351
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.1 P L # 91201
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1425
 Wrong fonts used within the figure (above).
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use only 8-point Arial, as per IEEE Style Guide. And, no need to use bold.
 DVJ1-350"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The style guide prefers 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.1.1 P L # 91204
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1428
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>point-to-point emulation
 DVJ1-351
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.1.1 P L # 91205
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1429
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>clients
 DVJ1-351
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.1.2 P L # 91208
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1432
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>point-to-point
 DVJ1-352
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.1.1 P L # 91206
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1430
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>control
 DVJ1-351
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.1.3 P L # 91209
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1433
 Excessive capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Change, as shown above==>multi-point MAC control
 DVJ1-352"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.1.2 P L # 91207
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1431
 Excessive capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Change, as shown above==>multi-point MAC control
 DVJ1-352"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.2 P L # 91210
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1434
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>instance
 DVJ1-353
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.2.1 P L # 91211
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1435
 "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.."
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-354
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The variable name is consistent with name used in Clause 4 and Annex 4A

Cl 64 SC 64.2.1 P L # 91212
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1436
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>client
 DVJ1-355
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.2.1.1 P L # 91214
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1438
 Wrong font size in figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use only 8-point Arial font.
 DVJ1-355
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The style guide prefers 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.2.1.1 P L # 91215
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1439
 Intermixing of someCaps and ALLCAPS callouts is disallowed by IEEE Style guidelines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Don't use ALLCAPS subscripts.
 DVJ1-355
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.2.1.1 P L # 91213
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1437
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>timing process
 DVJ1-355
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2 P L # 91217
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1441
 Wrong font size in figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use only 8-point Arial font.
 DVJ1-356
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The style guide prefers 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2 P L # 91219
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1443
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>multi-point transmission controll
 DVJ1-356
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2 P L # 91222
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1446
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Change, as above==>OLT control multiplexer serviceinterfaces
 DVJ1-356"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2 P L # 91216
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1440
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Change, as above==>Multi-point transmission control service interfaces
 DVJ1-356"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2 P L # 91224
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1448
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Change, as above==>ONU control multiplexer service interfaces
 DVJ1-357"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2 P L # 91218
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1442
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>instance
 DVJ1-356
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2 P L # 91220
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1444
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Change, as above==>Control parser service interfaces
 DVJ1-356"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2 P L # 91221
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1445
 Wrong font size in figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use only 8-point Arial font.
 DVJ1-356
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The style guide prefers 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2 P L # 91223
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1447
 Wrong font size in figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use only 8-point Arial font.
 DVJ1-356
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The style guide prefers 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2 P L # 91225
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1449
 Wrong font size in figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use only 8-point Arial font.
 DVJ1-357
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The style guide prefers 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2.1 P L # 91226
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1450
 "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.."
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-357
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2.1 P L # 91227
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1451
 Confusing! How can the contents of a variable field be defined to be a constant?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Clarify.
 DVJ1-357
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This element represents a constant value identifying MAC Control frames. The constant value is 88-08."

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2.2 P L # 91228
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1452
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>layer management
 DVJ1-358
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2.4 P L # 91231
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1455
"This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.."
SuggestedRemedy
==>lengthType.
DVJ1-360
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2.4 P L # 91230
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1454
"Too many name aliases:
DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address."
SuggestedRemedy
==>destinationAddress
DVJ1-360
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2.4 P L # 91229
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1453
Excess capitalization.
SuggestedRemedy
As corrected above==>control
DVJ1-360
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2.4 P L # 91232
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1456
"This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.."
SuggestedRemedy
==>lengthType.
DVJ1-360
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2.4 P L # 91233
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1457
"Too many name aliases:
DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address."
SuggestedRemedy
==>destinationAddress
DVJ1-361
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2.7 P L # 91234
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1458
Excess capitalization.
SuggestedRemedy
As corrected above==>diagrams
DVJ1-361
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2.7 P L # 91235
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1459
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>multi-point
 DVJ1-361
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.1 P L # 91252
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1476
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>client
 DVJ1-363
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3 P L # 91249
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1473
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>Multi-point control protocol
 DVJ1-362
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.1 P L # 91251
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1475
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>control
 DVJ1-363
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.1 P L # 91250
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1474
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>multi-point control protocol
 DVJ1-363
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.3 P L # 91255
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1479
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>8 point
 DVJ1-365
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The style guide prefers 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.3.3 P L # 91259
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1483
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>8 point
 DVJ1-366
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The style guide prefers 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.3.3 P L # 91253
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1477
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>register
 DVJ1-365
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.3.3 P L # 91254
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1478
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "As corrected above, ==>
 Discovery processing service interfaces (OLT, broadcasting instance)
 DVJ1-365"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.3.3 P L # 91257
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1481
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>8 point
 DVJ1-365
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The style guide prefers 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.3.3 P L # 91258
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1482
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "As corrected above, ==>Discovery processing service interfaces (ONU)
 DVJ1-366"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.3.3 P L # 91256
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1480
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "As corrected above, ==>
 Discovery processing service Interfaces (OLT, unicasting instance)
 DVJ1-365"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.3.3.2 P L # 91260
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1484
 The definition of opcode_rx was repeated twice.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Delete the redundant text.
 2) Correct the redundant text.
 DVJ1-366
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 The second instance should be "opcode_tx"

Cl 64 SC 64.3.3.3 P L # 91262
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1486
 "Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address."
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-367
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.3.3 P L # 91263
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1487
 "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.."
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-367
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.3.3 P L # 91264
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1488
 "Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address."
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-367
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.3.3 P L # 91261
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1485
 "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.."
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-367
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.4 P L # 91281
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1505
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>8 point
 DVJ1-372
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The style guide prefers 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.3.4 P L # 91280
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1504
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "As corrected above, ==>Report processing service interfaces DVJ1-372"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.4.2 P L # 91282
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1506
 The definition of opcode_rx was repeated twice.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either:
 1) Delete the redundant text.
 2) Correct the redundant text.
 DVJ1-373
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 The second instance should be "opcode_tx"

Cl 64 SC 64.3.4.3 P L # 91284
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1508
 "Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address."
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-373
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.4.3 P L # 91283
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1507
 "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.."
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-373
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.4.5 P L # 91285
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1509
 "Unclear cross reference: Annex 31B only seems to define a code used with PAUSE, which is the value of 01-80-C2-00-00-01."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Either:
 1) Clarify that, although originally designed for PAUSE, this code may be used for any control function, including this one.
 2) Provide other clarification text.
 DVJ1-374"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.5 P L # 91289
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1513
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "As corrected above, ==>Gate processing service interface DVJ1-376"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.3.5 P L # 91290
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1514
 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>8 point
 DVJ1-376
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The style guide prefers 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.3.5.3 P L # 91291
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1515
 "Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address."
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-378
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.5.3 P L # 91292
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1516
 Vague definition.
 SuggestedRemedy
 State whether this range is inclusive or exclusive.
 DVJ1-378
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Both inclusive and exclusive implemetations are possible. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.5.3 P L # 91293
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1517
 "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.."
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>lengthType.
 DVJ1-378
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.5.3 P L # 91294
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1518
 "Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address."
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>destinationAddress
 DVJ1-379
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91306
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1530
 "Unclear text; the field name should be on the left, not an unnecessary a-z) listing. Also, the field values cannot be easily cross-referenced later."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use a definition-like style, as done in changed illustration.
 DVJ1-382"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91309
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1533
"This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.."
SuggestedRemedy
==>lengthType.
DVJ1-382
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91310
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1534
Vague cross-reference: MAC_Control_Type could not be found in 802.3-2002.
SuggestedRemedy
"More accurate reference, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-382"
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91299
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1523
Callout text of ALLCAPS is not be to intermixed with someCaps text.
SuggestedRemedy
Delete the octet transmission order text.
DVJ1-381
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
The text format is consistent with Figure 3-1.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91301
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1525
"Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name."
SuggestedRemedy
"The value for the field should be placed on the right, as done in changed illustration.
DVJ1-381"
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91300
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1524
"Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures."
SuggestedRemedy
"Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.
DVJ1-381"
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91298
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1522
"The octet transmission order need not be shown in every figure, describe in the notation clause once, and then don't repeat ad nauseum. Its also inconsistent, when compared to 54.2.4."
SuggestedRemedy
Delete the octet transmission order text and arrow.
DVJ1-381
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91304
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1528
 Nonstandard font size.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use #8 point Arial, as done in changed illustration.
 DVJ1-381"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The style guide prefers 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91308
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1532
 "Too many name aliases:
 SA, source address, Source Address, etc. Also, not easy to parse and SA looks like a constant."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "==>sourceAddress, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-382"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91297
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1521
 Showing the LSB on the left is confusing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Delete the LSB... MSB and text below, as done in the changed illustration.
 DVJ1-381"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 This representation is consistent with Figure 3-1.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91311
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1535
 "There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use lower-case, but an italics font for this and all field names, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-382"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91295
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1519
 Nonstandard figure (compared to 54.2.4).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use the change illustration above.
 DVJ1-381
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91296
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1520
 "In other portions of the specification, the octet count is shown on the left."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Place the octet count on the left, as done in the changed illustration.
 DVJ1-381"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Figure is technically correct and and the format has been approved by the ballot group. This is unchanged text from D3.1.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91307
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1531
 "Too many name aliases:
 DA, destination address, Destination Address, DestinationField, etc. Also, not easy to
 parse and DA looks like a constant."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "=>destinationAddress, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-382"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot
 group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91313
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1537
 "There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all
 occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for
 Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-382"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot
 group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91314
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1538
 "The FCS all caps is confusing, since this has also been listed as an acronym. All caps
 normally implies a constant value also."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use lower-case and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-383"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot
 group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91302
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1526
 "Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a
 range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
 DVJ1-381"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot
 group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91312
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1536
 "There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all
 occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence)."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use lower-case, but an italics font for this and all field names, as done above, here and
 throughtout.
 DVJ1-382"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot
 group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91303
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1527
 "Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a
 range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
 DVJ1-381"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot
 group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P L # 91305
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1529
 Vague cross-reference to the MAC control multicast address.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Provide a specific standard number (and date), specific name, and specific subclause. DVJ1-381"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.1 P L # 91320
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1544
 "A _very_ confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took me quite some time to figure out what was intended."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use lower-case and italics, to delineate the name, as done above, here and throughtout. DVJ1-384"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.1 P L # 91322
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1546
 "There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout. DVJ1-384"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.1 P L # 91318
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1542
 "A _very_ confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took we quite some time to figure out what was intended."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use lower-case and italics, to delineate the name, as done above, here and throughtout. DVJ1-384"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.1 P L # 91316
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1540
 "There is no need to capitalize the Flags field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence)."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use lower-case, as done above, here and throughtout. DVJ1-384"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.1 P L # 91317
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1541
 "A _very_ confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took we quite some time to figure out what was intended."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use a standard array notation, rather than this rather unique conconction of characters. DVJ1-384"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.1 P L # 91321
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1545
 "There is no need to capitalize the Sync Time field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence)."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-384"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.1 P L # 91319
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1543
 "A _very_ confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took we quite some time to figure out what was intended."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use a standard array notation, rather than this rather unique conconction of characters.
 DVJ1-384"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.1 P L # 91315
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1539
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>generic
 DVJ1-383
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.2 P L # 91330
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1554
 "There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-387"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.2 P L # 91326
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1550
 "There is no need to capitalize the Number of Queue Sets field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of "Number" of "Queue Sets"."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
 DVJ1-386"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.2 P L # 91328
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1552
 "A _very_ confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took we quite some time to figure out what was intended."
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Use a standard array notation, rather than this rather unique conconction of characters.
 DVJ1-387"
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.2 P L # 91327
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1551

"There is no need to capitalize the Report bitmap field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Report' and a common use of 'bitmap'."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-387"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.2 P L # 91329
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1553

"A _very_ confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took me quite some time to figure out what was intended."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case and italics, to delineate the name, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-387"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.2 P L # 91325
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1549

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above==>generic
DVJ1-386

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.3 P L # 91334
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1558

"There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-388"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.3 P L # 91333
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1557

"There is no need to capitalize the Pending grants field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Pending' and a common use of 'grants'."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-388"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.4 P L # 91340
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1564

"There is no need to capitalize the Echoed pending grants field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Echoed' and a common use of 'pending grants', or perhaps even 'Echoed' as applied to 'Pending grants'."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-390"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.4 P L # 91338
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1562

"There is no need to capitalize the Assigned Port field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Assigned' field name, that applies to a special kind of 'Port'."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-389"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.4 P L # 91341
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1565

"There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-390"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.4 P L # 91337
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1561

"Too many name aliases:
DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address."

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress
DVJ1-389

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.4 P L # 91339
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1563

"There is no need to capitalize the Sync Timefield names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Sync' field name, that applies to a special kind of 'Time'."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-390"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.5 P L # 91349
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1573

"There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-392"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.5 P L # 91348
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1572

"There is no need to capitalize the Echoed Sync Time field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Echoed' and a common use of 'sync time', or perhaps even 'Echoed' as applied to 'Sync' time.."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and throughtout.
DVJ1-391"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.5 P L # 91347
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1571

"There is no need to capitalize the Echoed assigned port field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occasions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Echoed' and a common use of "assigned port", or perhaps even "Echoed" as applied to "Assigned ports"."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and throughtout. DVJ1-391"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.4 P L # 91351
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1575

The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.

SuggestedRemedy

"Make it smaller, as suggested above. DVJ1-393"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.4 P L # 91350
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1574

The "Clause" and "66" are unnaturally split across lines.

SuggestedRemedy

Use a nonbreaking space. DVJ1-393

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 The text is not split in the officially released draft. Issues of pagination and formating, if any, are to be resolved by the publication editor."

Cl 64 SC 64.4.1 P L # 91352
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1576

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above==>control DVJ1-393

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.2.3 P L # 91355
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1579

The Subclause columnis blank.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:
 1) Insert the proper subclause number.
 2) Provide a footnote or other explanation of why no subclause reference is provided. DVJ1-393

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Refer to subclause 64.1

Cl 64 SC 64.4.2.3 P L # 91354
 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1578

"The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered."

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-393

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 Based on resolution for comment 661

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.4.2.4 P L # 91358
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1582
The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.
SuggestedRemedy
"Make it smaller, as suggested above.
DVJ1-393"
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.2.4 P L # 91356
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1580
Excess capitalization.
SuggestedRemedy
Correct as shown.
DVJ1-393
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.2.4 P L # 91360
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1584
"The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered."
SuggestedRemedy
Center them.
DVJ1-394
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
Based on resolution for comment 661

Cl 64 SC 64.4.2.4 P L # 91357
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1581
The "Clause" and "62" are unnaturally split across lines.
SuggestedRemedy
Use a nonbreaking space.
DVJ1-393
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
The text is not split in the officially released draft. Issues of pagination and formatting, if any, are to be resolved by the publication editor."

Cl 64 SC 64.4.2.5 P L # 91362
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1586
"The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered."
SuggestedRemedy
Center them.
DVJ1-394
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
Based on resolution for comment 661

Cl 64 SC 64.4.2.6 P L # 91363
David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1587
Excess capitalization.
SuggestedRemedy
As corrected above==>control
DVJ1-394
Proposed Response Response Status U
REJECT.
The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 64 SC 64.4.2.6 P L # 91365
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1589
 "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered."
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-394
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Based on motion adopted in resolution for comment 661

Cl 64 SC 64.4.2.7 P L # 91368
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1592
 "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered."
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-394
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Based on motion adopted in resolution for comment 661

Cl 64 SC 64.4.2.7 P L # 91366
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1590
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>machines
 DVJ1-394
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.4.2.8 P L # 91370
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1594
 "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered."
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-394
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Based on motion adopted in resolution for comment 661

Cl 65 SC 65. P L # 91372
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1596
 Excessive length title: this will cause major problems with auto TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Shorter, as shown above.
 DVJ1-395
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65. P L # 91373
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1597
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>point to multi-point
 DVJ1-395
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 65 SC 65. P L # 91374
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1598
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>control
 DVJ1-395
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.1.2 P L # 91379
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1603
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>optical network unit
 DVJ1-396
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.1 P L # 91375
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1599
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>reconciliation sublayer
 DVJ1-395
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.1.2 P L # 91378
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1602
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>optical line terminal
 DVJ1-396
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.1 P L # 91376
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1600
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above==>point to point emulation
 DVJ1-395
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.1.3.2.3 P L # 91380
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1604
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>cyclic redundancy check
 DVJ1-397
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.1.1 P L # 91377
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1601
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==> reconciliatioin sublayer
 DVJ1-395
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT.Comment is on unchanged text.
 The Suggested Remedy contains a typo.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.1 P L # 91382
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1606
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>Auto-negotiation
 DVJ1-399
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 65 SC 65.2.1 P L # 91381
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1605
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>forward error correction
 DVJ1-399
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3 P L # 91383
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1607
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>forward error correction
 DVJ1-401
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.4.3 P L # 91384
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1608
 Give me a break! Use normal conventions, so that divide operations are not assumed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use C-like notation and function, since that already dominates.
 DVJ1-405
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.4.4 P L # 91387
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1611
 No need to define values for zero and one.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change as noted.
 DVJ1-405
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.4.4 P L # 91390
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1614
 Enumerated values are listed, but not defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Complete the tbd's.
 DVJ1-407
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.4.4 P L # 91389
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1613
 No need to define values for zero and one.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change as noted.
 DVJ1-406
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.4.4 P L # 91388
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1612
 Enumerated values are listed, but not defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Complete the tbd's.
 DVJ1-406
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.4.5 P L # 91393
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1617
 Inconsistent: a function cannot be set.
 SuggestedRemedy
 I suspect this should be a variable, and the "this function" needs to be more specific.
 DVJ1-407
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.4.5 P L # 91394
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1618
 Inclear association for "this".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use the specific name, rather than introduce the possibility for error.
 DVJ1-407
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.3.1.1 P L # 91395
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1619
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>Physical medium attachment
 DVJ1-410
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4 P L # 91396
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1620
 The "Clause" and "64" are unnaturally split across lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a nonbreaking space.
 DVJ1-412
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4 P L # 91397
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1621
 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make it smaller, as suggested above.
 DVJ1-412
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3 P L # 91399
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1623
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-412
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.2 P L # 91400
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1624
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>reconciliation sublayer
 DVJ1-412
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.2 P L # 91401
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1625
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>multi-point links
 DVJ1-412
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.2 P L # 91402
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1626
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>forward error correction
 DVJ1-412
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.2.1 P L # 91404
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1628
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-412
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.2.2 P L # 91406
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1630
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-413
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.3 P L # 91408
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1632
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-413
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.4 P L # 91410
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1634
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-413
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.5 P L # 91412
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1636
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-413
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.6 P L # 91414
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1638
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-413
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.7 P L # 91416
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1640
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-413
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.8 P L # 91418
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** DVJ-1642
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-414
 Proposed Response Response Status **U**
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 66 SC 66. P L # 91420
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1644
 Excessive length title: this will cause major problems with auto TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Shorter, as shown above.
 DVJ1-415
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. This draft has been reviewed by the IEEE editors and they have no comments against the length of the clause title

Cl 66 SC 66.3.2.3 P L # 91421
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1645
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>diagram
 DVJ1-418
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 66 SC 66.4 P L # 91422
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1646
 The "Clause" and "68" are unnaturally split across lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a nonbreaking space.
 DVJ1-420
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The "Clause 66" in this subclause title should be a cross-reference

Cl 66 SC 66.4 P L # 91423
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1647
 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make it smaller, as suggested above.
 DVJ1-420
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. This draft has been reviewed by the IEEE editors and they have no comments against the length of the clause title

Cl 66 SC 66.4.3 P L # 91425
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1649
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-420
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this and the base document

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4 P L # 91426
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1650
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>reconciliation sublayer
 DVJ1-420
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4.1 P L # 91428
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1652
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-420
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this and the base document

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4.1 P L # 91429
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1653
 The Subclause, columns is mislabeled.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change Subclause==>Clause.
 DVJ1-421
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this and the base document

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4.2 P L # 91431
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1655
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-421
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this and the base document

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4.3 P L # 91433
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1657
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-421
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this and the base document

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4.4 P L # 91435
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1659
 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Center them.
 DVJ1-421
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this and the base document

Cl 67 SC 67.3 P L # 91437
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1661
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>Hybrid media topologies
 DVJ1-423
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments

Cl 67 SC 67.6 P L # 91438
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1662
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>administration, and maintenance
 DVJ1-423
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 67 SC 67.6.1 P L # 91439
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1663
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>client
 DVJ1-423
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 67 SC 67.6.2 P L # 91440
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1664
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>passive
 DVJ1-424
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 67 SC 67.6.3 P L # 91442
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1666
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>control
 DVJ1-424
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Style is consistent with previously accepted style in the
 document.

Cl 67 SC 67.6.3 P L # 91441
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1665
 Excess capitalization.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As corrected above ==>multi-point
 DVJ1-424
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Style is consistent with previously accepted style in the
 document.

Cl 67A SC 67A.3 P L # 91546
 David V. James JGG
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1770
 Acronym used, but not defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ==>FP, include in the initial abbreviations
 DVJ1-479
 Proposed Response Response Status U
 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.
 Abbreviation is expanded in context.