```
2
                 8802-3/802.3 REVISION REQUEST
3
    +----
    DATE: May 25, 2022
5
    NAME: George Zimmerman
6
    COMPANY/AFFILIATION: CME Consulting, Inc.
7
    E-MAIL: george@cmephyconsulting.com
8
9
   REQUESTED REVISION:
10
     STANDARD: IEEE Std 802.3-202x
11
     CLAUSE NUMBER: 1.4.473
12
     CLAUSE TITLE: Definitions
13
   PROPOSED REVISION TEXT:
14
    Change the definition of PoDL PSE at 1.4.473 from:
15
    "A device that provides power to a PoDL PD, connected via a link
    section consisting of a single twisted pair. DTE powering is intended
16
17
    to provide a single 100BASE-T1 or 1000BASE-T1 device with a unified
    interface for both the reception and transmission of data as well as
18
19
   the power to operate. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 104.)"
20
21
    to:
22
    "A device that provides power to a PoDL PD, connected via a link
23
    section consisting of a single twisted pair. (See IEEE Std 802.3,
24
    Clause 104.)"
25
26
   RATIONALE FOR REVISION: The second sentence is dated, requires updating,
27
    and is not necessary to the definition. It is a statement of the 'intent'
28
   of DTE powering, which, while nice, isn't appropriate in the definition,
29
    and is misaligned with the terser definition of a PoDL PD.
30
    It also gives the incorrect impression that a PoDL PSE always has a data
31
    link - a type D may not. Both 802.3cg and 802.3ch missed updating the
32
   sentence to include new PHYs 10BASE-T1L, 2.5GBASE-T1, 5GBASE-T1, and
33
   10GBASE-T1. Deleting will save maintenance and work. A comment has
34
   been submitted to 802.3cy instead of updating the definition to add
35
   25GBASE-T1.
36
37
    IMPACT ON EXISTING NETWORKS: None.
38
39
40
   |Please attach supporting material, if any
41
    |Submit to:- David Law, Chair IEEE 802.3
    |and copy:- Adam Healey, Vice-Chair IEEE 802.3
42
43
    44
    |At:- E-Mail: stds-802-3-maint-req@ieee.org
45
46
               +----- For official 802.3 use -----+
47
               | REV REQ NUMBER: 1397
48
               | DATE RECEIVED: 25 May, 2022
               | EDITORIAL/TECHNICAL
49
50
                | ACCEPTED/DENIED
               | BALLOT REQ'D YES/NO
51
52
               | COMMENTS:
53
   +----
54
   | For information about this Revision Request see -
55
   |http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/revision history.html#REQ1397 |
56 +-----+
```