1.1 Project Number: P802.1Qbh
1.2 Type of Document: Standard
1.3 Life Cycle: Full Use

2.1 Title: Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks---Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks Amendment: Bridge Port Extension

2.2 Old Title: Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks---Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks Amendment: Bridge Port Extension


Contact Information for Working Group Chair
Name: Anthony Jeffree
Email Address: tony@jeffree.co.uk
Phone: +44-161-973-4278

Contact Information for Working Group Vice-Chair
Name: Paul Congdon
Email Address: paul.congdon@hp.com
Phone: 916-785-5753

3.2 Sponsoring Society and Committee: IEEE Computer Society/LAN/MAN Standards Committee (C/LM)

Contact Information for Sponsor Chair
Name: Paul Nikolich
Email Address: p.nikolich@ieee.org
Phone: 857.205.0050

Contact Information for Standards Representative
None

4.1 Type of Ballot: Individual
4.2 Expected Date of submission of draft to the IEEE-SA for Initial Sponsor Ballot: 12/2011
4.3 Projected Completion Date for Submittal to RevCom: 08/2012

5.1 Approximate number of people expected to be actively involved in the development of this project: 30
5.2 Scope: This amendment specifies protocols, procedures, and managed objects to support Port Extension. A Port Extender attaches to a MAC port of an 802.1Q controlling bridge and provides additional MAC ports that are logically ports of the 802.1Q controlling bridge to which it is attached (i.e. the "Controlling Bridge"). The protocols, procedures, and managed objects specified in this amendment are expected to specify new behavior in bridges that support port extension as well as the behavior of Port Extenders themselves. In addition, the protocols, procedures, and managed objects specified in this amendment support the cascading of Port Extenders. To the extent technically reasonable, all frame filtering and relay functions remain in the Controlling Bridge. A new on-the-wire indication (e.g. a new tag) is envisioned to support unicast and remote replication for purposes including frame flooding and group address support. It is expected that this amendment will result in the definition of a new device (a Port Extender)

Old Scope: This amendment specifies protocols, procedures, and managed objects to support Port Extension. A Port Extender attaches to a MAC port of an 802.1Q bridge and provides additional MAC ports that are logically ports of the 802.1Q bridge to which it is attached (i.e. the "Controlling Bridge"). The protocols, procedures, and managed objects specified in this amendment are expected to specify new behavior in bridges that support port extension as well as the behavior of Port Extenders themselves. In addition, the protocols, procedures, and managed objects specified in this amendment support the cascading of Port Extenders. To the extent technically reasonable, all frame filtering and relay functions remain in the Controlling Bridge. Use of a Service Virtual LAN Tag (S-TAG) for Multichannel capability as being defined in Edge Virtual Bridging is envisaged to achieve this objective. A new on-the-wire indication (e.g. a new tag) is envisioned to support remote replication for purposes including frame flooding and group address support.
and optional augmentation of the functionality of the VLAN aware 
bridge component to support 
Port Extenders.

5.3 Is the completion of this standard dependent upon the completion of another standard: Yes
If yes please explain: Edge Virtual Bridging Services, under development in P802.1Qbg, are intended to be utilized in this 
 amendment.

In addition, it is expected that Port Extenders would take advantage of other standards currently under development, e.g., 
Priority-based flow control (P802.1Qbb), Enhanced transmission selection (P802.1Qaz), and Congestion Notification (P802.1Qau).
However, completion of this standard is not dependent upon the completion of these other standards.

5.4 Purpose: The purposes of this project include:

- To reduce the management cost of networks comprising large number of bridges (such as those commonly found in a data center 
environments) through significant reduction in both the number of devices to be managed and the management traffic required.

- To decrease total cost of ownership by reducing initial capital expenditure along with management and operational costs.

5.5 Need for the Project: Management of large networks, including those using Provider Bridging technologies, is highly complex. 
This complexity may be reduced by aggregating the more complex bridging functions onto fewer bridges and by collapsing bridge 
layers from a management perspective.

The EVB project is defining reflective relay and multichannel capabilities. The Port Extension project extends these capabilities by 
providing a remote replication service. In addition, a Port Extender device will be specified that utilizes the EVB capabilities and 
remote replication service. This is intended to reduce management complexity by aggregating the more complex bridging functions 
onto fewer bridges.

The Port Extender device may be used to collapse layers in the network resulting in reduced capital expenditure, points of 
management, and management traffic and thus reducing total cost of ownership.

5.6 Stakeholders for the Standard: Developers, distributors, and users of networking services and equipment for data center 
environments including networking IC developers, switch and NIC vendors, and users.

Intellectual Property

6.1.a. Is the Sponsor aware of any copyright permissions needed for this project?: No
6.1.b. Is the Sponsor aware of possible registration activity related to this project?: No

7.1 Are there other standards or projects with a similar scope?: No
7.2 Joint Development
  Is it the intent to develop this document jointly with another organization?: No

8.1 Additional Explanatory Notes (Item Number and Explanation): During development of the draft, it was realized that Port 
Extension should be made compatible with Provider Bridges. The change to Need reflects this. For compatibility with Provider Bridge 
use of S-TAGs, the tags to support Port Extension were changed (the new tag is used by Port Extension on all frames instead of using 
an S-TAG on some frames) which required an update to Scope.