

Some Thoughts About Conservation Voltage Reduction

Nokhum Markushevich

Smart Grid Operations Consulting

n.markushevich@smartgridoperations.com

nokhum@uci-usa.com

Flat voltage profile along the primary feeder is not always the best solution for CVR

- The secondary voltage profile is not flat
 - Different loads
 - Different distribution parameters
 - Different secondary lines
- Variable injections of DER change the profiles
- Variable states of capacitors change the profiles
- Flattening the primary voltage profiles may be too costly without justifying benefits.

Reasonability of CVR factors

- If the results of measurements suggest that the CVR_{watts} is > 1 , especially about or > 2 , most likely either the test, or the data processing are not credible, or some loads were lost.
- Feeder CVR and end-use CVR are not the same:
 - Losses, especially reactive
 - Intermediate regulators
 - Feeder Capacitors and customer sources of reactive power
 - DERs
- Different CVR at different times of day, week, season

Reasonability of CVR factors (Cont)

- The CVRamp is an exact function of CVRwatts, CVRvars, and PF: $AMP(V=1.01) \sim = AMP_{in} * [(0.99 + 0.01 * CVRwatts) + j * \tan(\varphi) * (0.99 + 0.01 * CVRvars)]$
- If the measurements show inconsistency with the dependency, some of the measurements are inaccurate.
 - Typically one is interested in the amps through feeder segments:
 - Downstream Cx, DER, VR change the CVR and PF of the segment

More var compensation is not always better

- Not all var sources reduce more losses than they increase loads
- If a higher PF in the primaries increases voltage at the customer terminals it increases load and reduces power factor on the customer side
- While in voltage-critical feeders higher PF increases room for optimization, in the lightly loaded feeders – higher PF reduces the affect of CVR

Near real-time measurements from AMI meters are insufficient for IVVO

- Cannot support solutions for coordinated optimization of multiple controls taking into account multiple impacts of IVVO
- Significant fluctuations and latency
- Variations of voltage-critical points.
- AMI should be used for generating adequate models of load and circuits

For the IVVC, the actual voltage tolerance at the customer terminals is smaller than the standard one

- Bandwidth of the controlling devices
- Errors in measurements
- Uncertainty of the models
- Voltage imbalance in the primaries and in the secondaries
- Special needs customers (margins for sags)
- Narrower tolerances imposed by conservative personnel

Think about customer adjustment

- Undersized appliances
- Loss of useful outcome
 - Incandescent lamps – $3.6\% \text{Lm}/\% \text{Volt}$
 - Undersized heater/coolers
 - Extreme ambient conditions

Thank you!

Questions?