

P1450.4 syntax subgroup meeting minutes – 11/28/05

Attendees: Dave Dowding, Greg Maston, Jim O'Reilly, Tony Taylor

Not present: Doug Sprague

Agenda/Summary:

- Next steps:
 - Continue development of binning syntax. Do we need to support multi-axis binning?
 - Reconcile differences between conceptual model and syntax.
 - Areas where different terms apply to identical concepts.
 - Areas where there are conceptual differences.
 - Dave to forward latest update to conceptual model to syntax subgroup this week.
 - Work on developing examples and use cases based on current syntax.
 - Binning questions from Tony?
 - The BinDefs block definition allows at least one Pass and at least one Fail BinDefs block. The test program, however, can currently only select one BinDefs block – how do we resolve this disconnect?
 - Do we need more than one bin axis?
 - Do expressions (for instance, as used in assignment statements of pre- and post-actions) have access to softbin values? Or do we define a “softbin” function, which, given a softbin name, returns the softbin number? The latter keeps the softbin names out of the variables namespace – you can't use softbin names as regular variables.
 - Syntax subgroup is in favor of the latter – Tony to update syntax document.

For reference STIL .4 information can be found at the IEEE STIL website:

<http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/1450/> (select the [P1450.4](#) link from the table) or use the direct link <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/1450/dot4/index.html>