P1450.4 syntax subgroup meeting minutes – 12/19/05

Attendees: Tony Taylor, Greg Maston, Jim O'Reilly, Dave Dowding, Doug Sprague

Not present:

Agenda:
- How to get the WG on the same page.
- Discuss draft documents
  - Tony's proposal
  - Jim's issues
  - Terminology map

Summary:
- How to get Conceptual Model and syntax TWGs on same page.
  - Continue the conceptual model WG and development.
  - Address Ernie's concerns. Jim to discuss content and use of syntax document with Ernie.
  - Status of full .4 document? Some work still ongoing, but we agreed that the remaining differences between the conceptual model and syntax document sticking points should be resolved before much additional effort is expended on developing the full document.
  - Only move items which have been agreed to in both syntax and conceptual model TWGs
- Jim's issues
  - Nested ifs in action statements. Is this desired? Discussion and vote by full WG.
  - Bypass statement needs to be disallowed in ExitPort actions.
  - New keyword to substitute for ExitPorts in TestModule and TestFlow.
- Tony's proposal – discuss.
  - Single execute statement in TestExec – agreed to by syntax TWG
  - Do we want to allow the TestExec (with yet-to-be-defined defaults) in place of FlowNode(s) in the TestFlow? And if so, does that mean we can eliminate the TestModule? And if the TestModule CAN be eliminated, do we WANT to eliminate it?
- Discuss STIL OTPL example (SB's example)