Algebraic and Relational Concepts for Automated Interval Reasoning

Dominique LOHEZ

Isen-Lille,

Dominique.Lohez@isen.fr \*

\*Developped as part of a conjoint work with Thales Airborne Systems under Convention  $n^\circ$  114640

## OUTLINE

- Problem statement
- Subsets and intervals in a lattice
- Interval reasoning and binary relation algebra
- Results and future works

## OUTLINE

- Problem statement
- Subsets and intervals in a lattice
- Interval reasoning and binary relation algebra
- Results and future works

# PROBLEM STATEMENT

• Get a further insight into modal intervals

# PROBLEM STATEMENT

- Get a further insight into modal intervals
- Handling and Solving quantified interval Equations

# PROBLEM STATEMENT

- Get a further insight into modal intervals
- Handling and Solving quantified interval Equations
  - Summary of the needs from an example

## THE LOW PASS FILTER EXAMPLE



Two possible views:

• Black box : 
$$a = \left| \frac{v_2}{v_1} \right| = f(\omega)$$
 where  $\omega$  is the pulsation

• Design problems: 
$$a = \left| \frac{v_2}{v_1} \right| = h(r, c, \omega)$$

#### THE LOW PASS FILTER: BLACK BOX VIEW



#### THE LOW PASS FILTER: BLACK BOX VIEW



Passing/Rejection band  $\forall \omega \in \Omega_1 \exists a \in A_1 \ a = f(\omega) \ \forall \omega \in \Omega_3 \exists a \in A_3 \ a = f(\omega)$ 

#### THE LOW PASS FILTER: BLACK BOX VIEW



Passing/Rejection band  $\forall \omega \in \Omega_1 \exists a \in A_1 \ a = f(\omega) \ \forall \omega \in \Omega_3 \exists a \in A_3 \ a = f(\omega)$ 

Transition band  $\forall a \in A_2 \exists \omega \in \Omega_2 \ a = f(\omega)$ 

5-b

#### THE LOW PASS FILTER: DESIGN PROBLEMS

1. Calculate the effects of the components r and c dispersion on the passing band. Given R, C and  $\Omega_1$ , find  $A_1$  such that:

$$\forall r \in R \forall c \in C \forall \omega \in \Omega_1 \exists a \in A_1 a = h(r, c, \omega)$$

2. Compensate the effects of the dispersion of the c values by a appropriate choice of r. Given C,  $A_1$ , and  $\Omega_1$ , find R such that:

$$\forall c \in C \exists r \in R \forall \omega \in \Omega_1 \exists a \in A_1 a = h(r, c, \omega)$$

# SUMMARY OF THE NEEDS

- 1. Solve quantified interval equation
  - Partially addressed by interval arithmetic
  - Partially addressed by (modal) interval arithmetic
  - Fully addressed by binary relation algebra
- 2. Handle interval sets
  - Interval Lattice structure required

## OUTLINE

- Problem statement
- Subsets and intervals in a lattice
- Interval reasoning and binary relation algebra
- Results and future works

#### ORDERED SETS, LATTICES AND INTERVALS

- (Partially) Ordered Set : Pair  $(S, \leq)$  .
  - Intervals: Nonempty subsets [a, b] of S. (Interval set I(S)).
  - Problem: Many nonempty subsets are not described by (as) intervals
- Lattice = Ordered set + Algebraic structure
  - Any pair (a, b) has a greatest minorant inf(a, b) or  $a \cap b$
  - Any pair (a, b) has a least majorant sup(a, b) or  $a \cup b$

# ORDERED SETS, LATTICES AND INTERVALS (CONTINUED)

- Finite Lattices
  - Any nonempty subset has a greatest minorant
  - Any nonempty subset has a least majorant
- Infinite lattices: the completion is required
  - Counter example 1:  $S_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} | x^2 \le 2\}$
  - Counter example 2:  $S_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | x \leq 2\}$

#### LATTICES AND INTERVAL: DESCRIPTIONS OF SUBSETS

Given a complete lattice  $(S, \leq)$ , any nonempty subset  $S_1$  can be described by the following intervals:

- The outer hull  $H(S_1) = [\inf(S_1), \sup(S_1)].$
- A "broadening" of any element  $x_0 \in S_1$  defined as  $W(S_1, x_0) = [\sup(S'_1(S_{1,}, x_0)), \inf(S''_1(S_{1,}, x_0))]$  where  $S'_1(S_1, x_0) = \{x \in S | x \notin S_1 \land x \le x_0\}$  and  $S''_1(S_1, x_0) = \{x \in S | x \notin S_1 \land x \ge x_0\}$ .

#### AN EXAMPLE: DESCRIPTIONS OF INTERVAL SETS

• Interval [a,b] are subsets of the the incomplete lattice of real numbers  $(\mathbb{R},\leq)$ 

– No completion to keep the field structure of  ${\mathbb R}$ 

• Order relation  $\leq_I$  between intervals defined

 $\leq_{I} = \{([a, b], [c, d]) \in I(\mathbb{R}) | a \leq c \land b \leq d\}$ 

• The pair  $(I(\mathbb{R}), \leq_I)$  define an incomplete lattice.

## AN EXAMPLE: THE LATTICE OF INTERVALS(Continued)

- The completion of  $(I(\mathbb{R}), \leq_I)$  is achieved by adding the following intervals
  - 1.  $[-\infty, -\infty]$  as the infinum of  $I(\mathbb{R})$ .
  - 2.  $[+\infty, +\infty]$  as the supremum of  $I(\mathbb{R})$ .
  - 3.  $[-\infty, b]$  as the infinum of  $S_2 = \{[x, b] \in I(\mathbb{R})\}$
  - 4.  $[c, +\infty]$  as the supremum of  $S_2 = \{[c, x] \in I(\mathbb{R})\}$
  - 5.  $[-\infty, +\infty]$  as the supremum of  $S_3 = \{[-\infty, b]\}$  and the infinum of  $S_4 = \{[c, +\infty]\}$

### INTERVAL OF THE SECOND $\underline{K}IND(ISK)$

- The completed lattice can used for interval sets descriptions.
- This involves intervals of intervals (Intervals of the second kind)

$$[A,B] = \{X \in I(\mathbb{R}) | A \leq_I X \leq_I B\}$$

 Most of the ISK can be written as [[a, b], [c, d]] where a, b, c and d are real numbers such that a ≤ b ≤ d ∧ a ≤ c ≤ d. The relative order of band c is unspecified.

#### SOME REMARKABLE ISK

**Example#1:** ISK of the form [[a, a], [d, d]]. Given a predicate p(x) such that  $\forall x \ x \in [a, d] \supset p(x)$  we have  $[[a, a], [d, d]] = \{X \in I(R) | [a, a] \leq_I X \leq_I [d, d] \land (\forall u \ u \in X \supset p(u)) \}$ .

- **Example#2:** ISK of the form [[a,b], [c,d]] with  $b \le c$ . Given a predicate p(x) such that  $\forall x \ x \in [b,c] \supset p(x)$  we have  $[[a,b], [c,d]] = \{X \in I(R) | [a,a] \le_I X \le_I [d,d] \land (\exists u \ u \in X \land p(u)) \}.$
- **Example#3:** ISK of the form [[a, b], [c, d]] with  $c \le b$ . Given a predicate p(x) such that  $\exists x \ x \in [c, b] \land p(x)$  we have  $[[a, b], [c, d]] = \{X \in I(R) | [a, a] \le_I X \le_I [d, d] \land (\exists u \ u \in X \land p(u))\}.$

#### MODAL ISK AND MODAL INTERVALS

**Definition#1:** An ISK is said modal if it can written as  $[[-\infty, b][c, +\infty]]$ .

**Definition#2:** The inclusion relation between ISK is defined by

$$X \subseteq_{ISK} Y =_{def} \forall x \ x \in X \supset x \in Y$$

**Definition#3:** A modal interval is an interval [a, b] where the  $a \le b$  condition is relaxed.

**Definition**#4: The modal interval inclusion relation is defined by

$$[a,b] \subseteq_M [c,d] =_{def} a \ge c \land b \le d$$

### MODAL ISK AND MODAL INTERVAL(End)

Property#1: The set of modal ISK a complete lattice

**Property#2** The set of modal intervals is a complete lattice

**Property#3:** Both lattices are isomorphic.

**Remark#1:** ISK generalize modal intervals

**Remark#2:** In the present context no correspondance can be found between modal intervals and predicate set.

## OUTLINE

- Problem statement
- Subsets and intervals in a lattice
- Interval reasoning and binary relation algebra
- Results and future works

# INTERVAL REASONING AND BINARY RELATION ALGEBRA

- Three views for Binary Relation Algebra(BRA)
  - Purely abstract algebraic structure
  - Logical framework for reasoning
  - Representation in the real (mathematical!) world

#### BRA: ALGEBRAIC VIEW

- A BRA is a General algebra  $\mathcal{A}(A, \cap, \cup, -, \odot, ^{c}, 0, 1, 1')$  over a set A.
- It includes a finite boolean lattice  $\mathcal{A}(SA, \cap, \cup, -, 0, 1)$ . This lattice induces an order relation on S.
- Atoms  $a_i$  are the minimal elements of  $S \setminus \{0\}$ . Any element r of S can be written  $r = \bigcup_i (r \cap a_i)$ .
- The algebra is completly defined given the values of the atomic expressions  $(a_i \odot a_j) \cap a_k$ .

## BRA:LOGICAL VIEW

One defines a bijection between the algebraic expressions/equations and logical formulas/theorems

- To each algebraic  $a_i$  one associates some predicate  $a_i(x, y)$  symbol with arity 2
- To each algebraic expression one associates a logical formula including at most three variables
- To each algebraic equation one associates a theorem *in a three variables logic*.

BRA: LOGICAL VIEW(Illustrations)

**Example#1** We have  $\in^c \odot p \iff \exists z \ z \in x \land p(z,y)$ 

**Example#2** We have  $-(\in^c \odot(-p)) \iff \forall z \ z \in x \supset p(z,y)$ 

**Counterexample:** The infinum lattice condition

 $\forall x \forall y \exists z ((z \leq x \land z \leq y) \land (\forall u (u \leq x \land u \leq y) \supset u \leq z))$ 

has no algebraic correspondant since it involves four variables.

#### BRA: LOGICAL VIEW(Question)

The logical formulas involved in the low pass filter are similar to

$$\forall c \in C \exists r \in R \forall \omega \in \Omega_1 \exists a \in A_1 a = h(r, c, \omega)$$

This formula involve eight variables. Can we write this formula as a three variables formula? The answer is yes. This can be shown using the third BRA (representation) view.

#### BRA: REPRESENTATION VIEW

Given a set S and an equivalence relation  $\mathbf{1}_S$  over S

- The set  $R(1_S)$  of the binary relations over S included in  $1_S$  composed with the usual relation operations sastisfy the BRA axioms.
- A representation of an abstract algebra  $\mathcal{A}(S, \cap, \cup, -, \odot, ^{c}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1'})$ over  $\mathbf{1}_{S}$  is a subalgebra of  $R(\mathbf{1}_{S})$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}(S, \cap, \cup, -, \odot, ^{c}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1'})$ .

# BRA: REPRESENTATION VIEW : (Continued)

- The relation algebra generated over  $I(\mathbb{R})$  by the relation  $\in$  is a representation of some abstract BRA A
- This algebra can represented over the set of interval n-uples by n representations corresponding to each component. This give rise the n different relations  $\in^{(1)} \ldots \in^{(n)}$ .
- This allows working with interval n-uples instead of interval. A carefull analysis show that only three variables are needed.

## OUTLINE

- Problem statement
- Subsets and intervals in a lattice
- Interval reasoning and binary relation algebra
- Results and future works

# INTERVAL REASONING

- We have elaborated a very general framework for interval reasoning
- Within this framework we can retrieve the results using modal interval analysis.
- This results can be generalized within the same framework

# INTEREST AND WEAKNESS

- The main interest of our approach lies into the use of declarative reasoning rather arithmetic.
- The main veakness is the use of a function table. This difficulty shoud be overcome for rational functions by:
  - 1. Use of a analytic expression of the function
  - 2. Use of interval quantified table for some primitive functions (addition, product, ..)