Cl **06**   *SC* **6.6.2**   *P* **30**   *L* **44**   # 3

Perry, Lisa M   Individual

Comment Type   **ER**   *Comment Status*   **A**

*** Comment submitted with the file file attached ***

Informative notes shall not contain requirements. The word shall is used in this note.

SuggestedRemedy

Either 1) reformat as normative text or 2) rewrite the informative note.

Response   *Response Status*   **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
This comment is a duplicate of comment #2. See the response to that comment

---

Cl **06**   *SC* **6.6.2**   *P* **30**   *L* **44**   # 2

Perry, Lisa M   Individual

Comment Type   **ER**   *Comment Status*   **A**

*** Comment submitted with the file file attached ***

Informative notes shall not contain requirements. The word shall is used in this note.

SuggestedRemedy

Either 1) reformat as normative text or 2) rewrite the informative note.

Response   *Response Status*   **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
The provisions of the note restate provisions of the preceding bullet list (without technical modification) introduced by a "shall" at line 30. In particular the note is a rephrasing of security objectives (c) and (d), and its purpose is to draw attention to these priorities. Since the note has no technical impact (does not add a requirement) it is suggested that the comment and choice of editorial action be referred to the IEEE publications editor. One option would be the replacement of "shall be" in the second sentence of the note with "is".

Since this text was not changed for this recirculation (from the initial sponsor ballot), the ballot resolution group is keen that the need for editorial action, to avoid appearing to add normative requirements in a note, does not result in a delay in progressing the standard.

Cl **07**   *SC* **7.2.6**   *P* **34**   *L* **32**   # 1

Perry, Lisa M   Individual

Comment Type   **ER**   *Comment Status*   **A**

*** Comment submitted with the file file attached ***

Informative notes shall not contain requirements. The word shall is used in this note.

SuggestedRemedy

Either 1) reformat as normative text or 2) rewrite the informative note.

Response   *Response Status*   **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
This note is a reference to (and repeat of) the normative provision in 7.1 which properly uses the "shall" keyword (page 32, line 17). Since the note has no technical impact (does not add a requirement) it is suggested that the comment and choice of editorial action be referred to the IEEE publications editor. Replacement of "shall be" in the note with "is" would not change the normative provisions of the proposed standard.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **07**   *SC* **7.2.6**   Page 1 of 1   10/23/2009 11:37:43 AM