P802.1CM – D0.5 Editor's Report

Comment Resolution for 2nd TG Ballot

János Farkas
janos.farkas@ericsson.com

November 9, 2016

ERICSSON

Liaisons

› ITU-T Q13/15

  – http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2016/liaison-SG15-LS378-802.1CM-1016.zip

› Comment #92: liaison to MEF

D0.5 updates

› Resolution of 1st TG ballot comments

  – Link to DIS

› CPRI TWG contribution refining synchronization requirements

  – http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2016/cm-CPRI-synchronizationrequirements-update016-v01.pdf

Ballot Statistics

› **Thank you very much for all who reviewed and commented!**

CATEGORY	All respondents	
	TOTAL	**%**
Yes	2	
No	10	
Voting Yes or No	12	
Abs. Time	6	
Abs. Expertise	5	
Abs. Other	1	
Respondents	24	
Voting members	21	
Non-voting commenters	3	
No. of commenters	11	
No. of comments	139	
TR	52	
T	5	
ER	57	

Discuss on a call

› 90: Time Error of Radio Equipment (TE_{RE})

Discuss

› 80: "deployment guidance"
  ("deployment" was added per comment #61 on D0.4)

› 134, 136: fronthaul definition

› 94: terminology

› 81, 82: fronthaul connectivity (several figures to illustrate)

› 83: non-fronthaul traffic

› 77: Sync can be provided, e.g., by PTP

› 86, 137: geographical requirement

› 97: delay definition

› 113: applicability of preemption

› 92: MEF

Discuss – cont'd

› 74, 93, 105: traffic classes and priorities

› 104: data frame size vs synchronization Categories

› 66: Equation 7-2 update

› 7: implementation question related to Equation 7-2

› 11: repeat Equation 7-1?

› 107, 108: timeline in Annex B figures

Accept in Principle with ?

› 42: meaning of "hold"

› 62: meaning of "advanced"

› 106: clarify "entire fronthaul network"

› 67, 68: clarification of fronthaul conditions related to no self-queueing

Proposed Reject

› TR,

  − 79: link rate in Annex B

  − 109: Figure B-3

› T

  − 1, 2: reference to 802.1Q vs preemption

› ER

  − 102: point-to-point LAN