

**This provides responses to comments on ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 ballots of IEEE 802.1Q-2014/Cor 1-2015, IEEE 802.1AB-2016, IEEE 802.1Qca-2015, IEEE 802.1Qbv-2015, and IEEE 802.1AC-2016.**

**The voting results on IEEE 802.1Q-2014/Cor 1-2015 in 6N16589**

- Support need for ISO standard? Passed 9/0/11
- Support this submission being sent to FDIS? 8/1/11
- 1 comment was received with the China NB NO vote

**China NB comment 1 on IEEE 802.1Q-2014/Cor 1-2015**

*IEEE 802.1Q-2014/Cor 1-2015 is the corrigenda to IEEE 802.1Q. IEEE 802.1Q is based on IEEE 802.1X. China has already submitted the comments on IEEE 802.1Q about referenced IEEE 802.1x security during its pre-FDIS ballot and FDIS ballot. And IEEE 802.1Q-2014/Cor 1-2015 does not solve these issues. This draft does not solve existing technical issues of IEEE 802.1Q-2014. Therefore, China kindly request to remove the IEEE 802.1X-2010-related descriptions.*

Proposed change: Recommend not referencing 802.1x standards or enhancing its security mechanism.

**The voting results on IEEE 802.1AB-2016 in 6N16604**

- Passed 13/1/19
- 1 comment was received with the China NB NO vote

**China NB comment 1 on IEEE 802.1AB-2016**

*IEEE 802.1AB-2016 is the revision of IEEE 802.1AB-2009. China NB have vote against in IEEE 802.1AB-2016 pre-FDIS ballot because of the technical reasons. IEEE 802.1ABTM-2016 is implemented with IEEE 802.1X and 802.1AE which have also been proposed to ISO under the PSDO agreement. China NB has expressed objection to their submissions and provided detailed comments. IEEE has acknowledged the receiving of China NB's comments but has not made satisfactory attempts to change Chinese negative vote. Since Chinese objection to the base/associated standards still stands, we cannot support the standards that rely on previous standards on security. For previous China NB comment, please refer to 6N15555 and 6N15556.*

Proposed change: Recommend not referencing the defective standards or enhancing its security mechanism.

**The voting results on IEEE 802.1Qca-2015 in 6N16612**

- Passed 15/1/17
- 1 comment was received with the China NB NO vote

**China NB comment 1 on IEEE 802.1Qca-2015**

*ISO/IEC/IEEE 802-1Q is based on IEEE 802.1X. China has already submitted the comments on IEEE 802.1Q during its pre-FDIS ballot. IEEE 802 provided the response in 6N16255 that "conformance to and use of IEEE Std 802.1X is not a requirement of any of the possible claims of conformance to IEEE Std 802.1Q (both for the mandatory and the optional requirements)." This draft does not solve existing technical issues of IEEE 802.1Q. Therefore, China kindly request to remove the IEEE 802.1X-2010-related descriptions from the text.*

Proposed change: Recommend not referencing the defective standards or enhancing its security mechanism.

### **The voting results on IEEE 802.1Qbv-2015 in 6N16613**

- Passed 15/1/17
- 1 comment was received with the China NB NO vote

### **China NB comment 1 on IEEE 802.1Qca-2015**

*ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1Q is based on IEEE 802.1X. China has already submitted the comments on IEEE 802.1Q during its pre-FDIS ballot. IEEE 802 provided the response in 6N16255 that “conformance to and use of IEEE Std 802.1X is not a requirement of any of the possible claims of conformance to IEEE Std 802.1Q (both for the mandatory and the optional requirements).” This draft does not solve existing technical issues of IEEE 802.1Q. Therefore, China kindly request to remove the IEEE 802.1X-2010-related descriptions from the text.*

*Proposed change: Recommend not referencing the defective standards or enhancing its security mechanism.*

### **The voting results on IEEE 802.1AC-2016 in 6N16647**

- Passed 10/1/8
- 1 comment was received with the China NB NO vote

### **China NB comment 1 on IEEE 802.1AC-2016**

*IEEE 802.1AC-2016 is the revision of IEEE 802.1AC-2012. IEEE 802.1AC-2016 is implemented with IEEE 802.11 and 802.1AE which have also been proposed to ISO under the PSDO agreement. China NB has expressed objection to their submissions and provided detailed comments. IEEE has acknowledged the receiving of China NB’s comments but has not made satisfactory attempts to change Chinese negative vote. Since Chinese objection to the base/associated standards still stands, we cannot support the standards that rely on previous standards on security. For previous China NB comment, please refer to 6N15494 and 6N15556.*

*Proposed change: Recommend not referencing the defective standards or enhancing its security mechanism.*

These comments have been processed in a timely manner using the mechanisms defined and agreed in 6N15606.

This document provides the responses from IEEE 802 to all comments by China NB on all of the ballots.

**IEEE 802 response to CN.1 on IEEE 802.1Q-2014/Cor 1-2015, IEEE 802.1AB-2016, IEEE 802.1Qca-2015, IEEE 802.1Qbv-2015, and IEEE 802.1AC-2016.**

The China NB's ballot response states it will not approve IEEE 802.1Q-2014/Cor 1-2015, IEEE 802.1AB-2016, IEEE 802.1Qca-2015, IEEE 802.1Qbv-2015, and IEEE 802.1AC-2016 because each references IEEE 802.1X-2010 (ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1X:2013), which the China NB has consistently and repeatedly asserted is defective since at least 2012. However, the China NB has failed to substantiate these assertions, despite numerous requests from IEEE 802. IEEE 802 will not make changes to IEEE 802.1Q-2014/Cor 1-2015, IEEE 802.1AB-2016, IEEE 802.1Qca-2015, IEEE 802.1Qbv-2015, or IEEE 802.1AC-2016 without substantiation of these assertions.

Conformance to and use of IEEE 802.1X is not a requirement for conformance to IEEE 802.1Q-2014 in any case.

The general assertions raised in the China NB's ballot were discussed at length in 2013 at an IEEE 802 meeting in Geneva (with IEEE 802 and Switzerland NB representatives in attendance) and in both 2013 and 2014 at SC6 meetings in Seoul and Ottawa (with IEEE 802, China NB and Switzerland NB representatives in attendance). During those meetings, IEEE 802 fully responded to all of the claims made by both the China NB and Switzerland NB representatives and also provided additional information about the design and specification of IEEE 802 technologies. Specifically,

- In June 2013 in 6N15658 (*IEEE 802 Response to 6N15613*), IEEE 802 explains why none of the attacks described in 6N15613 (*NB' of China's contribution on Effective Attack on IEEE802.1X-the further analysis of 6N15523*) are effective and reveals how the attacks described in the China NB contribution 6N15613 will fail.
- In June 2013 in 6N15646 (*IEEE 802 Response to 6N15523*), IEEE 802 explains why the analysis in 6N15523 (*NB of Switzerland's contribution on a comparative analysis of TePAKA4 and IEEE 802.1X Security*) is flawed, noting it produces erroneous results based on misunderstandings of technology, invalid assumptions, and analysis using an incorrect model.
- In January 2014 in 6N15870 (*IEEE 802 response to SC6N15840 – "Intentional Weaknesses in Information Security Standards and Implementations"*), IEEE 802 responded to non-specific allegations by the China NB about any security standards developed outside ISO. The China NB suggested that such standards contain intentional weaknesses. IEEE 802 responded that the best way to avoid such issues is to develop standards in an open standards process, such as that provided by IEEE 802.
- In January 2014 in 6N15845 (*Explanation of Certificate Use in 802.1X EAP-TLS*), IEEE 802 described the use of certificates in IEEE 802.1.
- In July 2015 in 6N16255 (*IEEE 802 response to China NB comments on IEEE Std. 802.1Q and 802.1Xbx*), IEEE 802 responded to the stated concerns raised on IEEE 802.1Q and IEEE 802.1X. Specifically, it was pointed out that IEEE Std 802.1Q does not depend on the use of IEEE Std 802.1X. In response to the unsubstantiated comment that there are "security problems" in IEEE Std 802.1X, the

IEEE response clearly stated that IEEE Std 802.1X does not expose the public network or its user to (unspecified) security problems because it mandates the use of mutual authentication methods, reflecting current needs, best practice, and experience from IEEE 802.1X-2004.

Additionally, at the SC6 meeting in Ottawa in early 2014, the China NB and Switzerland NB representatives committed to providing additional technical details to justify their concerns. No such submissions were made to the SC6 meeting in London later that year, and no technical submissions were received subsequently. Furthermore, there has been no technical discussion since that time.

IEEE 802 is eager to hear and discuss further the details of any new concerns about IEEE 802.1X-2010 (ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1X:2013) from the China NB. On 21 February 2017, IEEE 802 formally invited a representative of the China NB (as well as representative from other interested SC6 NBs) to attend the IEEE 802 Plenary meeting held in Vancouver, Canada the week starting Monday, 13 March 2017. Unfortunately, our invitation was declined by the China NB. However, the invitation remains open. The next suitable venue at which all the IEEE 802 security experts will be in one place is the IEEE 802 plenary meeting in July 2017 in Berlin, Germany.

IEEE 802 believes that the attacks on IEEE 802.1X-2010 described by the China NB have all been shown to be not valid but continues to invite the China NB to submit any additional technical details for consideration. In the absence of technical substantiation of the claims, IEEE 802 cannot consider modification of the existing IEEE 802 or ISO standards.