60802 (D1.0)
Suggested Remedies for comments

Mar. 2019

Isao Tarui

Mitsubishi Electric

Comment #171

Comment P9 L210

Table 5:
Description in the cells gives unclear relation between items.

Network access	Synchronized to working clock, Stream Class based scheduling, Preemption				Synchronized to local timescale, Stream Class based scheduling, Preemption
Network/Bridges	Synchronized to working clock	Free running	Synchronized to working clock	Free running	Free running
	Scheduled traffic + Strict Priority + Preemption	Strict Priority or other Shaper + Preemption	Scheduled traffic + Strict Priority + Preemption	Strict Priority or other Shaper + Preemption	Strict Priority or other Shaper + Preemption

Suggested Remedy It is better to use the terms "and" or "or" instead of "+" and ",".

Level	Isochronous Application		Non-isochronous Application		
Application	Synchronized to network access		Synchronized to local timescale		
Network access	Synchronized to working clock, Stream Class based scheduling and Preemption				Synchronized to local timescale, Stream Class based scheduling and Preemption
Network/Bridges	Synchronized to working clock	Free running	Synchronized to working clock	Free running	Free running
	Scheduled tarffic, Strict Priority and Preemption	(Strict Priority or other Shaper) and Preemption	Scheduled tarffic, Strict Priority and Preemption	(Strict Priority or other Shaper) and Preemption	(Strict Priority or other Shaper) and Preemption

Comment #172

Comment P9 L210

Table 5: Although Scheduled Traffic is mandatory, Preemption is not mandatory in some applications as shown in "Traffic Types& their Mapping to TSN Mechanism" from Yoshi Hotta at the Hiroshima meeting.

Suggested Remedy Make Preemption optional.

Level	Isochronous Application		Non-isochronous Application		
Application	Synchronized to network access		Synchronized to local timescale		
Network access	Synchronized to working clock, Stream Class based scheduling and Preemption as an optional				Synchronized to local timescale, Stream Class based scheduling and Preemption
Network/Bridges	Synchronized to working clock	Free running	Synchronized to working clock	Free running	Free running
	Scheduled tarffic, Strict Priority and Preemption as an optional	(Strict Priority or other Shaper) and Preemption	Scheduled tarffic, Strict Priority and Preemption as an optional	(Strict Priority or other Shaper) and Preemption	(Strict Priority or other Shaper) and Preemption

Comment #174

Comment P27 L595

Description in the “Support” column of table in A.4.4 :

If the Status is M, only "Yes []" is necessary in the "Support" column.

Item	Feature	Status	References	Support	
Dot3	Does one or more Port of the device support an IEEE 802.3 MAC?	M		Yes [ ]	No [ ]

Suggested Remedy Delete the "No[]" in the "Support" column if Status is M.

Item	Feature	Status	References	Support
Dot3	Does one or more Port of the device support an IEEE802.3 MAC?	M		Yes [ ]

Comment #175

Comment P27 L595

Description in the “Support” column of table in A.4.4 :

If the Status is O, the description of “Yes[ ] No[ ]” is needed before the input of “Number”.

Dot3-1	State the number of IEEE802.3cg Ports.	O.2		Number_____

Suggested Remedy Add "Yes[] No[]" before "Number_____".

Item	Feature	Status	References	Support
Dot3-1	State the number of IEEE802.3cg Ports.	O.2		Yes [ ] Number _____ No [ ]

Thank you very much for your attention.