IEEE P802.1CBcv
Editor's Report for
Draft D0.4 Ballot Comment Resolution (v1)

Stephan Kehrer, Hirschmann Automation and Control GmbH

September 2020

P802.1CBcv/D0.4 Summary

D0.4 was the third draft for Task Group ballot. The changes in draft D0.4 are based on the final disposition of comments against draft D0.3 that can be found under 802-1CBcv-d0-3-dis-v01.pdf.

This document provides an overview over the ballot statistics and sorts comments into discussion topics for ease of comment resolution. The Editor has made a best effort to organize the comments by comment type (E, ER, T, TR, etc.). In case of errors, the comment resolution database is the definitive source.

In those places where comments are grouped together (i.e. enclosed in []), they have been put into the category that is the best fit for that group.

For comments marked red the editor would prefer a discussion at the interim meeting. For comments marked black the editor assumes that they can easily be resolved on a call.

Ballot Statistics

Category	Total	%
Yes	12	80,00%
No	3	20,00%
Voting Yes or No	16	46,88%
Abs. Time	1	3,13%
Abs. Expertise	15	50,00%
Abs. Other	0	0,00%
Respondents	32	
Voting members	68	
Non-voting commenters	0	
No. of commenters	6	
No. of comments	32	
TR	20	
T	0	
ER	8	
E	4	

Ballot Comments NOT planned for discussion

These comments will be accepted as-is, or with changes similar in nature to what was in the Suggested Remedy. No discussion is planned or anticipated unless someone requests it.

Conformance (Technical):
- „TR" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: [8, 9]

YANG (Technical):
- „TR" ACCEPT: 2, 18

MIB (Technical):
- „ER" ACCEPT: [20, 24, 27, 31]

UML:
- „TR" ACCEPT: 13, [14,17], 15

Editorial (General):
- "ER" ACCEPT: 7, 12
- „E " ACCEPT: [10, 11]

YANG (Technical):

- „TR" DISCUSS: 1
- „TR" REJECT: 3
- „TR" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: 4, 23, 32

MIB (Technical):

- „TR" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: [**19**, 22, 30], 21, [**26**, 29, 25, 28]

UML:

- „TR" DISCUSS: 16

Editorial (General):

- „E" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: 6
- „E" DISCUSS: 5

Thank you!