



ETHERNOVIA

TRANSFORMING HOW CARS OF THE FUTURE ARE BUILT

IEEE802.1DG – TRAFFIC CATEGORIES

2020-08-04

IEEE contribution

ETHERNOVIA

TRANSFORMING HOW CARS OF THE FUTURE ARE BUILT

IEEE802.1DG/D1.2

1 11. Latency and congestion loss

2 11.1 Introduction

3 << Editor's note: This clause is a suggestion based on the presentation *Suggestions for Automotive Profile*
4 *outline* <http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2019/dg-finn-auto-prof-outline-0119-v02.pdf>, presented 15
5 Jan 2019 at the IEEE 802.1 interimplenary in Hiroshima, Japan.

6 Possible content could include:

7 1. Best effort flows

8 2. Continuous vs. Intermittent flow

9 • Intermittent flows can be scheduled. Hard to mix both types on same port

10 3. Time scheduling for intermittent flows

11 4. Bounded latency, zero congestion loss

12 • Pick queuing method(s) for continuous flows

13 5. Frame preemption

14 6. Cut-through forwarding

15 7. Separation by time (802.1Qbv)

16 8. Separation by traffic class

17 9. Filtering and policing (so that misbehavior cannot ruin latency)

Proposal for IEEE802.1DG

Type			QoS		Security
Alarms/Events	Continuous	Periodic (1ms-10ms)	Minimum Latency	Safety	Authenticate
Control	Continuous	Periodic (1ms-100ms)	Fixed Latency	Safety	Authenticate
Audio/Video	Temporary	Periodic (50μs-1ms)	Bounded Latency	Quality (drop and mitigate)	tbd
Sensor-Data	Continuous	Periodic (~10ms)	Bounded Latency	Last is best (drop)	Authenticate
Diagnostics	Temporary	Bursty	Best Effort	Lossless (retransmit)	Authenticate/En crypt
User-Data	Temporary	Bursty	Best Effort	Lossless (retransmit)	Encrypt

Safety Critical

- Usually small fixed size constant payload (exception warning-chime?).
- Must be periodic, to ensure alive status.
- Single loss may lead to action.
- Alarms and Events
 - Must be delivered at minimum latency
 - Negative/alive messages must take same route to ensure configuration
 - Can PreEmption be guaranteed?
 - Policing should be avoided.
- Control
 - Must be delivered at Fixed Latency, meaning minimum jitter as linked to control-loop
 - PreEmption desired.
 - Policing accepted to limit effect of malfunction.

Audio/Video

- Does not include warning-chimes.
- Driven by customer perceived quality in normal operation.
- Can drop for emergency.
- Policing to limit effect of malfunction.
- High data volume (video) – consider Jumbo-Frames
- Transient Noise Compensation
 - Minimum latency (50 μ s).and jitter
 - Consider Cut-Through (same line rate requirement).
 - PreEmption desired.
- Intercom
 - Low latency (100 μ s) and jitter for echo compensation
 - PreEmption desired.
- Entertainment and Navigation
 - Constant average bit-rate over 1ms-10m.
 - Jitter within limits.

Proposal for IEEE802.1DG

Type	Policing	PreEmption	CutThrough	Redundancy
Alarms/Events	No	ensured?	ensured?	to ensure delivery? (fail safe/fail operational)
Control	?	ensured?	Not needed	to ensure delivery? (fail safe/fail operational)
Audio/Video	Yes	No	Use-Case/Topology	to ensure quality?
Sensor-Data	Yes	No	No	align with sensor redundancy (fail safe/fail operational)
Diagnostics	Yes	No	No	No
User-Data	Yes	No	No	No

Redundancy Discussion

- Where does data loss occur?
 - In the network
 - Congestion (ingress or egress)
 - Link or Device (multiple link) failure
 - Loss of data source
 - Loss at/of data consumer
 - Congestion (buffer overrun)
 - Device failure
 - Power loss
- After one path is lost
 - Ignore – only for quality driven data (second failure will loose system)
 - Fail safe – after full loss immediate transition to a locally safe state (extend mission - wearout)
 - Fail gracefully – incoming data used to mitigate transition to a system safe state (choose time to limit chance of second failure)
 - Fail operational – continue mission for extended period (no reduction of safety level)