

IEEE P802.1Qdd Resource Allocation Protocol (RAP)
Editor's Report for D0.6 Ballot Comment Resolution

Feng Chen

Siemens AG

IEEE 802.1 Plenary Session, July 2022

Ballot Statistics

The editor would like to thank the reviewers for their thoughtful comments and efforts towards improving the Qdd drafts.

CATEGORY	All respondents	
	TOTAL	%
Yes	7	58
No	5	42
Voting Yes or No	12	100
Abs. Time	7	
Abs. Expertise	13	
Abs. Other	1	
Respondents	33	
Voting members	N/A	
Non-voting commenters	N/A	
No. of commenters	7	
No. of comments	80	
TR	37	
T	13	
ER	14	
E	16	

¹ As this is a Task Group ballot, voting status does not matter.

Comments Planned for Discussion

■ **Rejected:**

- 28: RA class | 29: mismatch | {30, 32, 33}: RTID
- {39, 41}: initial state

■ **Discuss:**

- {1, 2, 3, 4}: clause number | {24, 25, 26, 27}: portallid
- 40: association | {42, 43, 76}: Participant SM
- {51, 52}: propagation delay | {56, 58} : array | {59, 60, 61}: ECP MOs
- {64, 65, 66}: managed objects | {74, 75}: RA class template | 79: Propagator SM

■ **TR or T comments:**

- {5, 12, 13}: CB | {7, 10}: RA class domain | 11: admission control
- {14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19}: TLV | {21, 22, 23}: one neighbor | 34: SP
- {31, 54, 62}: allocate resources | 35: definitions | 36: priority regeneration
- {72, 73}: array index | 78: RAP Participant service interface

Comments Not Planned for Discussion

Note: only editorial comments with proposed “Accept” or “Accepted in Principle” are included in this category.

- MK: 6, 8, 9, 12
- AM: 20
- JD: 37, 38, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 53
- NH: 55, 57
- JS: 63, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 77, 80

Thank You!