

# P802.1ASdm/D1.0

## Status of Draft

**Geoffrey M. Garner**

**[gmgarner@alum.mit.edu](mailto:gmgarner@alum.mit.edu)**

*IEEE 802.1 TSN TG*

2023.01.16

# Status of Draft D1.0 - 1

---

- P802.1ASdm/D1.0 is expected to be the first WG ballot draft
  - The previous balloted draft was D0.7 (this was a TG ballot); comment resolution was completed at the November 2022 802.1 meeting

# Status of Draft D1.0 - 2

---

□ At present, the following comments against D0.7 have been completed (out of a total of 96 comments; note that rejected comments are considered to be completed):

■ 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 43, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49, 50, 52, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 65, 67, 68, 70, 71, 73, 74, 75, 76, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 93, 94, 95, 96

• (72 comments total)

# Status of Draft D1.0 - 3

---

□ Of the above comments, minor changes were needed to the final resolutions of the following comments:

- 71: Should the word “redundancy” be removed, per the resolution of comment 88?
- 86: The report functionality of the MS Access tool cut off part of the resolution (but the identical resolution is present in its entirety in 84)
- 87: (a) Text for the new subclause 7.2.5, and the new figure, must be supplied. For now, an editor’s note has been added requesting this. (b) On re-reading the comment, it seems that “Move the paragraph following 7.2.4.1” refers to the text that is actually in 7.2.4.1, not the text of 7.2.4.2.
- 2: In the response, “Model for HotStandby entity in a time-aware system, and ...” should be “Model PTP Instance, and ...”
- 15: At the end of the response, Figure 11-11 should be Figure 10-23.
- 31, 34, 35, 36: On reconsideration, the suggested remedies in these comments should be implemented, i.e., the referenced subclause and table numbers should be those from 802.1AS-2020 with Cor1 applied, as that is what the changes are made to. The comments should be Accept or, if explanation is needed, Accept in Principle.

# Status of Draft D1.0 - 4

---

□ Of the above comments, minor changes were needed to the final resolutions of the following comments (Cont.):

- 32: In addition to changing PtpInstanceSyncStatusDS to PtpInstanceSyncDS, the full name of the data set must also be changed, i.e., from “PTP Instance Synchronization Status Parameter Data Set” to “PTP Instance Synchronization Parameter Data Set”

# Status of Draft D1.0 - 5

---

- ❑ In addition, for comments 69, 72, 77 (not included in the list on the previous slide), discussion with the commenter relating to Framemaker details will be needed.
- ❑ If any issues arise for any of the comments not yet incorporated into the next draft, time will be requested for a future TSN meeting or call to discuss these