

IEEE P802.1Qdd Resource Allocation Protocol (RAP)
Editor's Report for D0.9 Ballot Comment Resolution

Feng Chen

Siemens AG

IEEE 802.1 Interim, May 2024

Ballot Statistics

The editor would like to thank the reviewers for their thoughtful comments and efforts towards improving the Qdd drafts.

Table 2—Results

CATEGORY	All respondents	
	TOTAL	%
Yes	8	89
No	1	11
Voting Yes or No	9	100
Abs. Time	3	
Abs. Expertise	10	
Abs. Other	0	
Respondents	22	
Voting members	N/A	
Non-voting commenters	N/A	
No. of commenters	4	
No. of comments	119	
TR	61	
T	26	
ER	25	
E	7	

¹ As this is a Task Group ballot, voting status does not matter.

Comments Planned for Discussion

- **Proposed reject:**

- {14, 15, 16}, 49, {50, 51}, 72, 83, {105, 107}, {116, 117}
- {55, 63, 65, 67, 84, 85, 86, 97, 106, 109, 110, 111, 112}: withdrawn by the commenter

- **Discuss:**

- 1, 2, 3, 4

- **Proposed Accept or Accept in Principle:**

- 17: managed objects | {19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 74, 75, 76, 77, 79}: YANG
- {31, 32, 33, 34, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 43, 45}: RAP Endpoint
- {10, 62}: ingress blocking | 52: AttributeId | {53, 54, 58, 100}: RA
- {36, 40}: Failed TA | {42, 91}: Tspec | {44, 46, 47}: RESI | {56, 57}: max/minframesize
- 9, {35, 98}, {59, 60, 61}, 64, 66, {68, 70}, 69, 80, {81, 82}, 89, 104, 113, 114

Comments Not Planned for Discussion

Note: only editorial comments with proposed “Accept” or “Accepted in Principle” are included in this category.

- 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 18, 30, 48, 71, 73, 78, 87, 88, 90, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 99, 101, 102, 103, 108, 115, 118, 119

Thank You!