# Proposed RSTP and MSTP YANG module updates

#### Mick Seaman

Proposed revisions of the RSTP and MSTP YANG modules, are based on ieee802-dot1q-rstp, ieee802-dot1q-rstp, ieee802-dot1-rstp-bridge, and ieee802-dot1-mstp-bridge modules updated by Martin to incorporate the restructuring/split into "rstp" and an "rstp-bridge" modules as agreed by the CRG in the July 2024 Plenary.

### 1. Files

Revised modules and supporting material are in the usual 802.1 accessible password-protected folder for ongoing work on the draft:

...dy-drafts/d2/dy-yang-modules@2024-08-21/

To mitigate the chance of errors (and of going crazy trying to identify in-progress updates), revised modules and supporting files are named using the Section 3.2 of RFC 5407 and Section 5.2 of RFC 7950 convention:

module-or-submodule-name@revision-date.yang

### 2. Validation

The modules has been validated using the on-line https://www.yangcatalog.org/yangvalidator. Validation results are provided in a separate pdf. 1

Schema trees were produced using pyang.

# 3. Changes

Annotated trees for the YANG model augmentations ieee802-dot1q-rstp-bridge, ieee802-dot1q-mstp-bridge) are included in this note and are probably the easiest way to review the most significant changes (addition of previously missing leaves, change to leaf types, use of containers and groupings). The schema trees included P802.1Qdy/D2.0 (module: ieee802-dot1q-rstp, module: ieee802-dot1q-mstp) are also included in the same format for anyone who wishes to duplicate the document for a side-by-side review.

The annotations for the updated model trees include labels **I-<n>**, e.g., **I-85**, referencing the Initial SA Ballot Comments documented in the 802-1Qdy-d2-0-pdis-v05.pdf<sup>2</sup> posted by Martin. Proposed changes arising from CRG discussion (or my recollection of the discussion and its likely consequences) are labelled CRG with an indication of the time frame of the relevant CRG meeting.

Where there is no obvious initial SA ballot comment whose disposition could include the proposed change, I have included a label **R**- to indicate the probable need for a Rogue Comment (for eventual numbering).

To review changes to leaf descriptions and other detail not apparent, please refer to the updated modules. I have tried a variety of compare/diff utilities, but these typically do not ignore unimportant changes such as spacing, are not smart about deletions, insertions, and moves, and in consequence are virtually useless.

A table of SA Ballot comments, that I have used to check that comments have been considered and applied, is included.

The more significant changes are described, numbered **P-n**. Ballot comment references are to 802-1Qdy-d2-0-pdis-v05.pdf. Proposed changes arising from CRG discussion (or my recollection of the discussion and its likely consequences) are labelled CRG with an indication of the time frame of the relevant CRG meeting.

Where no related **I**– numbered comment is shown, the comment needs to be treated as a Rogue Comment for formal pdis/dis purposes.

# 4. SA Ballot Responses

Writing a Response for each ballot comment that could, theoretically, be mechanically applied to generate revised modules would be a mammoth task. We have to include clear reasoning for each REJECT. On AIPs we should be clear on the intent of the change, but detailed resolution should be something like "as detailed in the module revision reviewed by the CRG and prepared for recirculation ballot".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> No errors or warnings were reported with the exception of (a) complaints about the initial characters of the module names and urns; (b) Confdc complaint about using an intref in a union, which is a confdc failure to properly update to yang 1.1. Module name and urn warnings are also given for imported modules, including those from the IETF, so I expect that the validator is not up to date on those issues.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/dy-drafts/d2/802-1Qdy-d2-0-pdis-v05.pdf

#### ieee802-dot1q-rstp@2024-08-13.tree

65

```
1-2: Modules split into rstp-bridge and rstp. An alternate
1
    module: ieee802-dot1q-rstp-bridge
2
                                                                                    bridge-like component could be augmented (I-31, I-32, I-100).
3
      augment /dot1q:bridges/dot1q:bridge/dot1q:component:
                                                                                    5: Migration check now an action (I-52).
4
         +--rw rstp!
                                                                                    6-54: rstp module objects now have 'rstp friendly' names,
5
            +--rw force-protocol-version?
                                                           enumeration
                                                                                    descriptions map to protocol fields and mstp/spb use (I-85, I-7,
6
            +---x port-protocol-migration-check
7
            +--rw bridge-id
                                                                                    I-93, I-95).
8
            | +--rw bridge-id
                                                                                    8: id-priority is four bits (I-1).
                   +--ro bridge-id?
                                                                                    6-11: bridge-id a grouping, uint64 for protocol computation,
9
                                                      11int64
                                                                                    separate components also shown, component identified by bridge
                   +--rw bridge-priority?
                                                     id-priority
                                                                                    address and optionally system-id-extension (CRG 7/2024, RC-).
11
                   +--ro system-id-extension?
                                                    11in+16
                   +--ro bridge-address?
12
                                                      ieee:mac-address
13
            +--ro root-id
                                                                                    14: A bridge-id, including root-id is 8 octets/64 bits (I-51, I-53).
14
            | +--ro bridge-id
15
                   +--ro bridge-id?
                                                     uint64
                   +--ro bridge-priority?
                                                     id-priority
16
                   +--ro system-id-extension? uint16
17
18
                   +--ro bridge-address?
                                                     ieee:mac-address
                                                                                    20: Root Port identified by interface-ref (I-2).
19
            +--ro root-path-cost?
                                                          uint32
20
           +--ro root-port?
                                                          if:interface-ref
21
            +--ro max-age?
                                                          11in+8
22
            +--ro hello-time?
                                                          uint8
23
            +--ro forward-delay?
                                                          uint8
24
           +--rw bridge-max-age?
                                                          uint8
                                                                                    25: hello-time is uint8 (I-3).
25
            +--ro bridge-hello-time?
                                                          uint8
                                                                                    27: tx-hold-count is unsigned, and a small integer (I-4).
            +--rw bridge-forward-delay?
26
                                                          uint8
                                                                                    -: migrate-time removed (I-5).
            +--rw tx-hold-count?
                                                                                    -: topology-change-count removed (I-67).
27
                                                          uint8
28
            +--ro last-topology-change?
                                                          yang:date-and-time
                                                                                    28: Last topology change now wall clock, not time since (I-66).
29
      augment /if:interfaces/if:interface/dot1q:bridge-port:
30
       +--rw rstp!
           +--rw admin-bridge-port-enabled? boolean
                                                                                    31. Administrative Bridge Port State was missing.
31
                                                                                    32-33: Port State and Port Role now by typedef, for reusability.
32
            +--ro port-state?
                                                     port-state
33
           +--ro port-role?
                                                      port-role
34
            +--rw restricted-role?
                                                     boolean
                                                                                    34–35: restricted-role, restricted-tcn are read-write (I-6, I-49, I-50).
35
            +--rw restricted-tcn?
                                                     boolean
36
            +--rw port-id
                                                                                    36-40: port-id a reusable grouping, uint16 for protocol
37
            | +--rw port-id
                                                                                    computation, separate components for configuration (I-8).
38
                  +--ro port-id?
                                              uint16
39
                   +--rw port-priority?
                                              id-priority
                                                                                    38: id-priority is 4 bits, not 3 as per dot1qtypes:priority-type (I-1).
40
                   +--ro port-number?
                                              id-port-number
                                                                                    39: id-port-number typedef uint16, 12 bit range.
41
            +--rw port-path-cost?
                                                      uint32
42
            +--ro root-id
                                                                                    42-47, 49-54: root-id and designated-bridge-id use bridge-id
43
                                                                                    grouping, but are received or derived information, so all
            | +--ro bridge-id
                                                                                    components are read-only (CRG 7/2024, RC-).
44
                   +--ro bridge-id?
                                                     uint64
4.5
                   +--ro bridge-priority?
                                                     id-priority
46
                   +--ro system-id-extension?
                                                     uint16
47
                   +--ro bridge-address?
                                                     ieee:mac-address
                                                     uint32
48
            +--ro root-path-cost?
49
            +--ro designated-bridge-id
50
               +--ro bridge-id
51
                   +--ro bridge-id?
                                                     uint64
52
                   +--ro bridge-priority?
                                                     id-priority
53
                   +--ro system-id-extension?
                                                    uint16
                   +--ro bridge-address?
                                                     ieee:mac-address
            +--ro designated-port-id
5.5
                                                                                    55-59: port-id uses port-id grouping.
56
               +--ro port-id
57
                  +--ro port-id?
                                              uint16
58
                   +--ro port-priority?
                                              id-priority
59
                  +--ro port-number?
                                               id-port-number
60
            +--rw admin-edge-port?
                                                     boolean
61
            +--ro oper-edge-port?
                                                     boolean
                                                     boolean
62
            +--rw auto-edge-port?
                                                                                    -: auto-isolate-port removed (I-9).
63
            +--ro disputed-port?
                                                     boolean
                                                                                    63: disputed-port added (I-10, I-83).
            +--ro isolate-port?
                                                     boolean
```

#### ieee802-dot1q-mstp@2024-08-20.tree

```
module: ieee802-dot1q-mstp-bridge
                                                                                       1-2: Modules split into mstp-bridge and mstp. An alternate
                                                                                       bridge-like component could be augmented (I-33, I-34, I-100).
2
3
       /dotlq:bridges/dotlq:bridge/dotlq:component/dotlq:bridge-mst:
                                                                                       5: mst-config-id changed to a grouping, used by bridge-mstp and
4
         +--rw bridge-mstp!
             +--rw mst-config-id
                                                                                       port-mstp (R-).
             | +--ro format-selector?
                                                     11 int8
                                                                                       6. format-selector uint8, not int32, and computed by the system, not
6
7
                +--rw configuration-name?
                                                     string
                                                                                       direct manageable, so ro not rw (I-13, I-58).
8
                +--ro revision-level?
                                                     uint16
                                                                                       8. revision-level is 16-bit, not 32-bit, computed by the system, not
                                                                                       direct manageable, so ro not rw (I-15, I-59).
9
                +--ro configuration-digest?
                                                     binarv
                                                                                       10: max-hops is uint8 not int32 (I-17, I-60).
            +--rw max-hops?
                                        uint8
                                                                                       11: ist container to mirror per msti structure, with
            +--rw ist
11
12
             | +--ro internal-root-path-cost?
                                                         uint32
                                                                                       internal-root-path-cost (R-).
13
             +--rw msti* [mstid]
14
                +--rw mstid
                                                         11int16
                                                                                       15. bridge-priority, not port-id-priority, here (I-21, I-65).
15
                +--rw bridge-priority?
                                                         rstp:id-priority
                +--ro regional-root-id
                                                                                       16. msti regional-root-id added (I-69).
16
                | +--ro bridge-id
17
18
                       +--ro bridge-id?
                                                           uint64
19
                       +--ro bridge-priority?
                                                           id-priority
20
                       +--ro system-id-extension?
                                                           uint16
21
                       +--ro bridge-address?
                                                           ieee:mac-address
22
                +--ro internal-root-path-cost?
                                                         uint32
                                                                                       17: Root Port identified by union of interface-ref and empty (if
23
                +--ro root-port?
                                                         union
24
      augment /if:interfaces/if:interface/dot1q:bridge-port:
                                                                                       Bridge is Regional Root), not port number (I-2, I-24).
25
         +--rw port-mstp!
                                                                                       26. Boundary Port, information previously missing (R-).
26
            +--rw boundary-port?
                                                    boolean
                                                                                       27: restricted-domain-role, previously missing (I-44).
27
             +--rw restricted-domain-role?
                                                   boolean
                                                                                       28: ist container to mirror per-msti structure (I-25).
28
             +--rw ist
29
                +--ro mst-config-id
                                                                                       29: received MST Configuration Identifier information was
                | +--ro format-selector?
                                                                                       missing, need to know when (and if it is the reason for being) a
30
                                                         uint8
                                                                                       Boundary Port. Possible remedial action wanted. Uses grouping.
31
               | +--ro configuration-name?
                                                         string
                                                                                       All read-only as is derived or received.
                   +--ro revision-level?
                                                         uint16
33
                | +--ro configuration-digest?
                                                         binarv
34
                +--rw internal-port-path-cost?
                                                         uint32
                                                                                       34: IST internal-port-path-cost was missing (I-25).
35
                                                                                       35: Want to know IST internal-root-path-cost (received or derived
                +--ro internal-root-path-cost?
                                                         uint32
36
                +--ro designated-bridge
                                                                                       information) to assess reconfiguration if Root Port fails (I-25+).
37
                | +--ro bridge-id
                                                                                       36-41: IST designated bridge information previously missing, in
38
                       +--ro bridge-id?
                                                           11 int 64
                                                                                       MST Region, the RSTP Designated Bridge information is the CIST
39
                       +--ro bridge-priority?
                                                           id-priority
                                                                                       Regional Root (I-25+).
40
                       +--ro system-id-extension?
                                                           uint16
41
                       +--ro bridge-address?
                                                           ieee:mac-address
42
               +--ro remaining-hops?
                                                         11 i n t 8
                                                                                       42: IST remaining-hops was missing, need to know per port for
             +--rw msti* [mstid]
                                                                                       possible failure/reconfiguration planning (I-25+)
43
                                                                                       43: named list 'msti', removed 'msti' prefix from elements (R-).
                +--rw mstid
                                                         uint16
                                                                                       45: Port State now by typedef, for reusability.
4.5
                +--ro port-state?
                                                         rstp:port-state
46
                +--ro port-role?
                                                         union
                                                                                       46: Port Role uses rstp typedef with union to add Master Port.
47
                +--rw port-id
                                                                                       47: port-id grouping includes all info for computation, with
                                                                                       manageable port-priority, previously incorrectly
48
                | +--rw port-id
                       +--ro port-id?
                                                                                       msti-bridge-id-priority (I-21, I-65).
49
                                                    uint16
                       +--rw port-priority?
50
                                                    id-priority
51
                       +--ro port-number?
                                                    id-port-number
                +--rw internal-port-path-cost?
52
                                                        uint32
53
                +--ro regional-root-id
                                                                                       53: regional-root-id uses bridge-id grouping,
                | +--ro bridge-id
5.5
                       +--ro bridge-id?
                                                           11 int 64
56
                        +--ro bridge-priority?
                                                            id-priority
                       +--ro system-id-extension?
57
                                                           uint16
5.8
                       +--ro bridge-address?
                                                           ieee:mac-address
                +--ro internal-root-path-cost?
                                                         uint32
                                                                                       54-58, 60-65: root-id and designated-bridge-id use bridge-id
60
                +--ro designated-bridge-id
                | +--ro bridge-id
                                                                                       grouping, but are received or derived information, so all
61
                                                                                       components are read-only (CRG 7/2024, RC-).
62
                       +--ro bridge-id?
                                                           uint64
63
                       +--ro bridge-priority?
                                                           id-priority
                       +--ro system-id-extension?
                                                           uint16
64
65
                        +--ro bridge-address?
                                                           ieee:mac-address
66
                +--ro designated-port-id
                | +--ro port-id
67
68
                       +--ro port-id?
                                                    uint16
69
                       +--ro port-priority?
                                                    id-priority
                                                    id-port-number
70
                       +--ro port-number?
                                                                                       66: disputed-port added (I-10, I-27, I-83).
71
                +--ro disputed-port?
                                                         boolean
72
                                                                                       67:MSTI remaining-hops was missing, need to know per port for
                +--ro remaining-hops?
                                                         11 in t 8
73
                                                                                       possible failure/reconfiguration planning (I-26).
```

# **Initial SA Ballot Comment check table**

Comment numbers I-1 etc. are from the Initial SA Ballot.

Current Proposed Disposition of Comments (pdis05):

A – Accept, AIP – Accept In Principle (Revise, make a chance to the draft, not necessarily that proposed), PAIP – Proposed Accept In Principle, R – Reject (no change to the draft), "—" no current proposed disposition.

Revised: Updated modules/proposals suggest change/update to current proposed disposition. T – see schema (tree) annotations, P-1 etc. refer to further detail in this document. "—" no further module change, revision is per pdis.

Further action: Changes not yet made in the modules, or other supporting changes.

Comi	Comment #				
	pdis05	Revised	Further action		
I-1	A	P-3.			
I-2	AIP	T, P-13.			
I-3	A	[P-14.]			
I-4	A	_	_		
I-5	PA	P-16.	_		
I-6	PA	_	_		
I-7	A	_	_		
I-8	A	_	_		
I-9	PAIP	P-23.			
I-10	A	_			
I-11	A	P-3.			
I-12	A	!!!			
I-13	A	_			
I-14	A	_			
I-15	A	_			
I-16	A	_			
I-17	A				
I-18	PA	_			
I-19	AIP	_			
I-20	A	_			
I-21	PAIP	updated as per pdis05			
I-22	A	_			
I-23	A	_			
I-24	AIP	P-13.			
I-25	A				

Comment #				
	pdis05	Revised	Further action	
I-26	A	_		
I-27	A	_	_	
I-28	PAIP		Update figure	
I-29	AIP		Update Figures	
I-30	AIP	_	Renumber Tables	
I-31				
I-32	AIP	P-4.	Other	
I-33		P-7.	modules	
I-34				
I-35	AIP	_	_	
I-36	R	_	_	
I-37	R	_	_	
I-38	R	_	_	
I-39	A	_	_	
I-40	PAIP	P-10.	_	
I-41	AIP	P-10.	_	
I-42	AIP	_	_	
I-43	R	_	_	
I-44	AIP	_		
I-45	PAIP	P-21.		
I-46	PAIP	P-21.		
I-47	PAIP	desc. line 392	also I-101	
I-48	PAIP	desc. line 403		
I-49	AIP	_		

Comment #					
	pdis05	Revised	Further action		
I-50	AIP	_			
I-51	AIP	_			
I-52	AIP	desc. line 119			
I-53	AIP	_			
I-54	AIP	_			
I-55	A	_			
I-56	R	_			
I-57	PAIP	???			
I-58	AIP	_			
I-59	AIP	_			
I-60	AIP	updated as per dis05			
I-61	AIP	_			
I-62	AIP	_			
I-63	R	_			
I-64	PR	???			
I-65	PA	_			
I-66	_	P-17.			
I-67	R	_			
I-68	R	_			
I-69	PAIP	_			
I-70	R	_			
I-71	PA	_			
I-72	AIP				
I-73	AIP				
I-74	PAIP	_			

Comment #				
	pdis05	Revised	Further action	
I-75	PA	_		
I-76	AIP	_		
I-77	PA	_		
I-78	AIP	_		
I-79	R	_		
I-80	AIP	_		
I-81	A	P-24.		
I-82	PAIP	P-24.	Clause 12	
I-83	AIP	_		
I-84	PAIP	P-24.		
I-85	PAIP	P-4. P-22.		
I-86	R	_		
I-87	AIP	_		
I-88	PR	_		
I-89	A	_		
I-90	A	_		
I-91	R	_		
I-92	_			
I-93	PAIP	?		
I-94	PR	_		
I-95	PAIP	!!!		
I-96				
I-97	A	_		
I-98	PAIP	P-24.		
I-99	_	P-24.		
I-100	A	P-1.		
I-101	PAIP	P-9. P-10.		

# Additional change detail

The description of some of the following is a bit rough (time), and there is some duplication.

# P-1. Top-level naming

### Related Comment(s) # I-31, I-32, I-33, I-34

The CRG agreed (July 2024) to restructure the modules to allow rstp and mstp parameter modules to be used in conjunction with 'bridge-like' modules specified by another SDO. This restructuring might also be directly useful to 802.1 as it frees us from a need to add any future bridge variant (e.g. a simple MAC Bridge, with 802.1D like capabilities) to the ieee802-dot1q-bridge module, rather than creating a simpler module for the purpose.

However the restructuring should not be allowed obscure fact that rstp and mstp were specifically designed to be used in conjunction with bridges and bridge ports as 802.1 understands them, and not with arbitrary components and interfaces. The latter could lead to unexpected interoperability issues, allowing (for example) RSTP/MSTP to run over the individual interfaces of a LAG on one system while its peer bridge runs them over the Bridge Port aggregate.

Explicitly using bridge-component and bridge-port in grouping names will not prevent the suggested use by other SDOs, but will retain clarity as to how the parameter structure relate to IEEE Std 802.1Q. While the module could be used in other ways by other groups such use should be at their risk, not ours, and any resulting maintenance their risk not ours.

Changed top-level grouping names to bridge-component-parameters and bridge-port-parameters

as applicable in the rstp and in the mstp modules.

Note that the parameters in the rstp module also apply to MSTP use, including aspects of the IST which are not applicable to RSTP, so a name such as rstp..parameters is not really appropriate for these groupings, and the same comment applies to mstp module parameters in relation to SPB.

# P-2. Bridge and port identifier structure

### **Rogue Comment #**

Almost at the end of the July 2024 CRG meeting there was an interesting comment re Bridge Identifiers. While these are 64 bit quantities in protocol, and tree computation simply compares those 64 bit unsigned integers, a human looking directly at the data is interested in the information that makes up that 64

bits—which is the Designated Bridge, what is its priority, is it using the system extension (potentially in a non-standard way, this is relevant to interoperating with early implementations if any remain, including STP). As observed in the meeting the simple identity of a Bridge (stripped of manageable priority) is the Bridge Address, and that should be presented to a human user in MAC Address format (as in ieee802-types).

Introducing the necessary expansion of bridge-id into every instance of its use would bloat the modules.

**Added** an rstp:bridge-id grouping with the simple uint64 simple (aka bridge-id) (that would be used in computation), and the bridge-priority, the system-id-extension, and bridge-address components, and used that in the rstp and mstp modules.

Note that the utility of these expansions does depend somewhat on how the YANG is used. If retrieved objects are presented directly to a human user, the breakdown should be very useful. If the YANG is being used by a bridge specific application, then the uint64 is sufficient and arguably more useful, if the application writers can be trusted to use the appropriate presentation syntax.

Changes at rstp:lines 64-103, and where used (uses) at rstp:lines 288, 298, 521, and 541, and at.

The bridge-address component should always be provided by the managed system, and is therefore config false in the grouping bridae-id. system-id-extension is fixed, as defined in the base standard, but arbitrary values might be received, and is therefore also config false. In some cases the be bridge-priority can managed as in the bridge-component-parameters/bridge-id, and is therefore not marked as config false in the grouping, in other case (e.g. in received values) it is not configured. In those latter cases config false is applied to the use of the grouping.

Similarly added an rstp:port-id grouping for port-id, with the simple uint16 simple component (aka port-id) (that would be used in computation), the port-priority, and the port-number, and used that in the rstp and mstp modules.

Note that the typedef id-port-number is previously defined in the rstp module, as the dot1q-bridge module, does not include the required range (which it should have done, because bridge port numbers are specified as being only 12 bits, independent of their use in spanning tree protocol.

## P-3. Identifier priorities

STP priorities were 8 bits, not 4 bits (as for RSTP, MSTP).

# Related Comment(s) # I-1

To avoid confusion **changed** stp-priority to id-priority and changed description to be explicit about the use of this priority.

# P-4. RSTP module is also a base for MSTP (and possibly SPB) YANG.

### Related Comment(s) # I-85

The RSTP module is also a base for MSTP (and possibly SPB) YANG, including aspects of the IST which are not applicable to RSTP.

**Changed** beginning The managed objects specified also support those aspects of Multiple.

Since parameters are not specific to RSTP, removed the "rstp-" prefix from component and interface parameter groupings.

NOTE—The BPDU field and variable descriptions used in IEEE Std 802.1Q for RSTP are also used for MSTP and SPB. There is some risk of ambiguity with using RSTP names in this module. The Bridge Identifier for a LAN's Designated Bridge is transmitted, by an RSTP -only Bridge and by an MST/SPB capable Bridge that is the Regional Root in the field named (in Clause 14) 'CIST Regional Root Identifier', while an MST/SPB Designated Bridge that is not the Regional Root transmits its Bridge Identifier in the CIST Bridge Identifier field (not present in RSTP-only BPDUs).

## P-5. Constraints in base specification

### **Rogue Comment #**

The base specification, IEEE Std 802.1Q, can constrain the relationships between leaves and specifies consequences for changing some leaf values. For example, changing the value of Force Protocol Version reinitializes the spanning tree protocol state machines (see 13.26). YANG does not, of itself, provide controls that can be used to address all the specified constraints and consequences. A complete duplication of the base standard's constraints in YANG modules would require much text, and attempts would be prone to over-simplification. A general statement about such constraints should be provided at the beginning of the module, rather than cherry picking individual items.

[This comment follows my reading of the 2024-07-02 Yangsters minutes, which I take as supporting the sense of the comment.]

Additions in the rstp and mstp modules beginning References specify constraints on, and consequences of, settings

## P-6. Retaining configuration

### Related Comment(s) # I-90, I-42

The CRG agreed (July 2024) to delete the sentences containing "MUST" and that object value persistence across reinitialization was stated as a whole in 802.1Q and not particular to YANG. However since object persistence has historically been mentioned in management modules, and applies to all the objects in this module, it seems prudent to provide an overall statement for the module.

**Addition** to the module descriptions after the proposed text re: constraints: The values of all configured objects are retained across system reinitialization.

### P-7. Component vs component

Initial capitalization (other than at the beginning of sentences) is used in 802.1Q to identify 'Reserved Terms', i.e. names of things that are to be read as a whole with a particular meaning in the standard, rather than a word or term precede by a general adjective (or two). 'Bridge Port' is such a term, 'component' by itself is not. The capitalization of Bridge component and Bridge Component currently varies in the base standard.

**Change** at line rstp:67, replace per-Component with per-Bridge component. Similarly elsewhere.

## P-8. Withdrawn enum value(s)

### Related Comment(s) # I-101

As per CRG discussion (July 2024), "holes" in the set of enum values are appropriate for values that are not to be used. The value withdrawn (1) should be removed from force-protocol-version and its withdrawn status documented in the leaf description.

### Changed.

# P–9. description missing from per-component and per-interface containers

### Related Comment(s) # I-101

Changed.

### P-10. force-protocol-version descriptions

### Related Comment(s) # I-101, I-40

Supply descriptions for enum values of force-protocol-version.

#### Changed.

Changed enum rstp-spb to enum rstp-mstp-spb to make it clear that this value includes the possibility of MSTP parameters.

Changed description to include the important point that receipt of an STP BPDU effectively overrides the setting on a specific port, i.e. force-protocol-version communicates maximum capability.

Changed to remove default "rstp", as the default for any bridge should be the maximum implemented (which is capable of plug-and-play interoperation with all subsets).

### P-11. port-protocol-migration-check is action

### Related Comment(s) # I-52

**Changed** leaf to action as per comment and move to follow the definition of force-protocol-version (as the action relates to that functionality) at line rstp:line 117 and following. Minor change to associated description.

# P-12. Simplify leaf names to be RSTP (simple case) friendly

# Related Comment(s) # I-85

**Changed** cist-bridge-id, cist-bridge-id-priority, cist-root-id, external-root-path-cost, cist-root-port to bridge-id, bridge-id-priority, root-id, root-path-cost, root-port. Changed descriptions of each of these to be clear as to RSTP (CST) and MSTP/SPB (CIST) use.

Changed leaf names cist-port-id, cist-port-priority, external-port-path-cost, cist-root-id, and cist-external-path-cost to port-id, port-priority, port-path-cost, root-id, and root-path-cost and updated descriptions to reflect applicability to RSTP and to MSTP and SPB.

Added 13.5.3 to the references for leaf port-path-cost.

# P-13. Simplified description of root-port interface-ref

### Related Comment(s) # I-24

Changed at line 179 A reference to the name of the Root Port to A reference to the Root Port. While the former may also be correct, it doesn't (without further study on the part of the reader) directly address the issue raised by I-24. The short form "a reference to <interface>" is used in RFC 8343 YANG Interface Management, see for example the descriptions of leaf-list higher-layer-if and leaf list lower-layer-if in module ietf-interfaces.

# P-14. Simplify timer descriptions to be RSTP friendly

### Related Comment(s) # I-85

Changed descriptions in lines 185 through 264.

NOTE—The used values of the local timers for max-age are whole seconds, and the encoding of timer values specified in Clause 14 handles their encoding in the most significant

octet of the relevant BPDU field, so does not require repeating in each description.

#### P-15. Canonical order

### Related Comment(s) # I-101

Corrected order of units statements throughout.

### P-16. migrate-time not required

### Related Comment(s) # I-5

Following up on pdis-05, the leaf migrate-time should be removed. It is a fixed value, and that value is not updated by received BPDUs. If it were to be changed in the future then it could be added at that time.

Removed leaf migrate-time.

# P-17. Use date-and-time not 'time since' for last-topology-change

Related Comment(s) # I-66

Changed.

# P-18. Administrative Bridge Port State was missing from module

### **Roque Comment #**

Added admin-bridge-port-enabled.

## P-19. port-state, port-role enum descriptions

# Related Comment(s) # I-85, I-101

**Changed** to add descriptions. Includes updating leaf descriptions so as to not duplicate information now in individual enum descriptions, and to give prominence to RSTP use of the parameters as per I-85.

# P-20. descriptions missing from restricted-role and restricted-tcn

### Related Comment(s) # I-101

Changed to add descriptions.

### P-21. Reference check

### Related Comment(s) # I-45, I-46

Changes to references including 13.27.64, 13.27.65 were missed.

Changed at line 396, 408

# P-22. Descriptions should include SPB as well as MSTP where appropriated

### **Roque Comment #**

In most cases where MSTP is referenced SPB is also applicable.

Changed throughout.

# P-23. Update auto-edge-port description for isolate functionality

### Related Comment(s) # 9

As per comment, with minor change to proposed addition to auto-edge-port description.

Removed leaf auto-isolate.

Changed auto-edge-port description.

### P-24. L2GP

### Related Comment(s) # I-84, I-98

As per the CRG (July 2024) the YANG should be restructured using feature for L2GP related nodes. My personal technical opinion is that this feature should be contained in a separate module that could be used to augment he rstp module. That would be the easiest way forward to support an improvement to L2GP which would make it much more usable for support of in-service upgrades. However independent of this opinion, the location of L2GP within the module is bound to change even it is retained as a feature.

**Removed** (pending relocation in a feature, or in a separate module) leaf cist-port-pseudo-root-id.

# P-25. Boundary Port and received MST Config ID missing

The MSTP topology, and its management, depend on the identification of MST Regions with Root Ports and Alternate Ports identified as Boundary Ports if MST Config IDs do not match.

Changed to add both.

### ieee802-dot1q-rstp@2024-03-26.tree

```
module: ieee802-dot1q-rstp
1
3
    augment /dot1q:bridges/dot1q:bridge/dot1q:component:
4
      +--rw rstp!
5
         +--rw force-protocol-version?
                                            enumeration
         +--ro cist-bridge-id?
                                             uint64
6
7
         +--rw cist-bridge-id-priority?
                               dot1qtypes:priority-type
8
         +--ro cist-root-id?
                                             uint64
         +--ro external-root-path-cost?
10
         +--ro cist-root-port-number?
                                      dot1qtypes:port-number-type
     +--ro max-age?
                                             uint8
12
         +--ro hello-time?
                          rt-types:timer-value-seconds16
13
         +--ro forward-delay?
                                 uint8
14
         +--rw bridge-max-age?
         +--ro bridge-hello-time?
                                         uint8
uint8
int32
15
         +--rw bridge-forward-delay?
16
17
         +--rw tx-hold-count?
         +--ro migrate-time?
+--ro time-since-topology-change? uint32
yang:counter64
         +--ro migrate-time?
18
19
20
         +--ro topology-change-count?
21 augment /if:interfaces/if:interface/dotlq:bridge-port:
    +--rw rstp!
22
      +--ro cist-port-state?
23
                                                enumeration
24
         +--ro cist-port-role?
         +--ro restricted-role?
+--ro restricted-tcn?
25
                                                boolean
                                               boolean
26
         +--ro cist-port-id?
27
                                               uint16
         +--rw cist-port-priority?
28
                                     dot1qtypes:priority-type
29
        +--rw external-port-path-cost? uint32
30
        +--ro cist-root-id?
                                               uint32
         +--ro cist-external-path-cost?
+--ro designated-bridge-id?
                                               uint32
uint32
31
32
33
         +--ro designated-port-id?
                                               binary
         +--rw port-protocol-migration-check? boolean +--rw admin-edge-port? boolean
34
35
         +--ro oper-edge-port?
                                               boolean
36
                                               boolean
37
         +--rw auto-edge-port?
         +--rw auto-isolate-port?
38
                                                boolean
                                               boolean
39
         +--ro isolate-port?
```

### ieee802-dot1q-mstp@2024-03-26.tree

```
module: ieee802-dot1q-mstp
2
3
     augment
     /dot1q:bridges/dot1q:bridge/dot1q:component/dot1q:bridge-mst:
4
       +--rw mst-config-id!
5
       | +--rw format-selector?
      +--rw configuration-name? string
6
7
      +--rw revision-level?
                                        uint32
       | +--ro configuration-digest? binary
8
9
       +--rw bridge-mstp!
10
         +--rw max-hops?
                                               int32
         +--ro ist-internal-root-path-cost? uint32
11
12
         +--rw mst* [mstid]
13
             +--rw mstid
                                             uint16
14
             +--rw port-id-priority?
                                         dot1qtypes:priority-type
15
             +--ro internal-root-path-cost? uint32
16
             +--ro root-port-number?
                                        dot1qtypes:port-number-type
17
    augment /if:interfaces/if:interface/dot1q:bridge-port:
18
      +--rw port-mstp!
         +--rw mst* [mstid]
19
20
          | +--rw mstid
                                                   uint16
21
          | +--ro msti-port-state?
                                                   enumeration
22
          | +--ro msti-port-role?
                                                   enumeration
         +--rw msti-bridge-id-priority?
23
                                         dot1qtypes:priority-type
24
         | +--rw msti-internal-port-path-cost? uint32
         | +--ro msti-regional-root-id?
25
                                                   uint32
         +--ro msti-internal-root-path-cost? uint32
+--ro msti-designated-bridge-id? uint32
+--ro msti-designated-port-id? uint32
26
27
28
         +--ro msti-designated-port-id?
29
```