

IEEE 802 LAN/MAN STANDARDS COMMITTEE (LMSC)

CRITERIA FOR STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT (CSD)

Based on IEEE 802 LMSC Operations Manuals approved 4 August 2020
Last edited 31 August 2020

P802.1ASeb Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks – Timing and Synchronization for Time-Sensitive Applications Amendment: Optional Use of Announce

1. IEEE 802 criteria for standards development (CSD)

The CSD documents an agreement between the WG and the Sponsor that provides a description of the project and the Sponsor's requirements more detailed than required in the PAR. The CSD consists of the project process requirements, 1.1, and the 5C requirements, 1.2.

1.1 Project process requirements

1.1.1 Managed objects

Describe the plan for developing a definition of managed objects. The plan shall specify one of the following:

- a) The definitions will be part of this project.
- b) The definitions will be part of a different project and provide the plan for that project or anticipated future project.
- c) The definitions will not be developed and explain why such definitions are not needed.

Item a) is applicable. Additional managed objects may be specified as part of adding support for optional use of Announce.

1.1.2 Coexistence

A WG proposing a wireless project shall prepare a Coexistence Assessment (CA) document unless it is not applicable.

- a) Will the WG create a CA document as part of the WG balloting process as described in Clause 13? (yes/no)
- b) If not, explain why the CA document is not applicable.

Item b) is applicable. This project is not a wireless project; therefore, the CA document is not applicable.

1.2 5C requirements

1.2.1 Broad market potential

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall have broad market potential. At a minimum, address the following areas:

- a) Broad sets of applicability.
 - b) Multiple vendors and numerous users.
-
- a) This project applies to aerospace, automotive in-vehicle, and industrial automation networks.
 - b) The need for this project is driven by requirements of aerospace, automotive in-vehicle, and industrial automation applications, for which multiple vendors and users involved in IEEE 802.1 are developing suitable Time-Sensitive Networking (TSN) profiles.

1.2.2 Compatibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard should be in conformance with IEEE Std 802, IEEE 802.1AC, and IEEE 802.1Q. If any variances in conformance emerge, they shall be thoroughly disclosed and reviewed with IEEE 802.1 WG prior to submitting a PAR to the Sponsor.

- a) Will the proposed standard comply with IEEE Std 802, IEEE Std 802.1AC and IEEE Std 802.1Q?
- b) If the answer to a) is no, supply the response from the IEEE 802.1 WG.

The review and response is not required if the proposed standard is an amendment or revision to an existing standard for which it has been previously determined that compliance with the above IEEE 802 standards is not possible. In this case, the CSD statement shall state that this is the case.

- a) Yes, the proposed standard will comply with IEEE Std 802, IEEE Std 802.1AC and IEEE Std 802.1Q.
- b) Not applicable.

1.2.3 Distinct Identity

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of a distinct identity. Identify standards and standards projects with similar scopes and for each one describe why the proposed project is substantially different.

No IEEE standard or project specifies an option to omit the implementation of Announce in IEEE Std 802.1AS.

1.2.4 Technical Feasibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence that the project is technically feasible within the time frame of the project. At a minimum, address the following items to demonstrate technical feasibility:

- a) Demonstrated system feasibility.
- b) Proven similar technology via testing, modeling, simulation, etc.

- a) Supporting an option to omit the implementation of Announce in IEEE Std 802.1AS has been feasibly demonstrated (see <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/as-pannell-Supporting-Our-Customers-0923-v02.pdf>).
- b) Implementations have proven that IEEE Std 802.1AS works without Announce.

1.2.5 Economic Feasibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of economic feasibility. Demonstrate, as far as can reasonably be estimated, the economic feasibility of the proposed project for its intended applications. Among the areas that may be addressed in the cost for performance analysis are the following:

- a) Known cost factors.
 - b) Balanced costs.
 - c) Consideration of installation costs.
 - d) Consideration of operational costs (e.g., energy consumption).
 - e) Other areas, as appropriate.
-
- a) The cost factors are known for the IEEE 802.1AS standard and will apply to the proposed amendment. Specifically, it is expected that support for omitting Announce can be implemented with small additional costs.
 - b) The well-established cost balance between infrastructure and attached stations will not be changed by the proposed standard.
 - c) There are small incremental installation costs relative to the IEEE 802.1AS standard that will apply to the proposed amendment.
 - d) There are no additional operational costs anticipated.
 - e) There are cost savings in markets that have stringent certification requirements, due to the reduction in complexity needed to validate an implementation when unused functions can be omitted.