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#### Abstract

: This submission is a summary of the technical motions passed in the Frequency Hop Group during 1994. The listing is taken directly from the published minutes. It is hoped that this listing will be useful to the members of the Frequency Hop Group.

Jan 94

Jerry Socci: : If your received power is below some threshold, you can go ahead and blast. If your received power is above the threshold, you can also blast but only if you can't detect a clock (no bits are present). That is, you defer iff power is above the threshold AND your clock recovery circuits indicate bit sync.

MOTION : We accept Jerry's proposal [above] as a baseline and call for submissions on CCA Moved: T. Blaney, Seconded: E. Geiger The chair ruled Motion 1 passed unanimously.


Moved: J. McKown, Seconded: W. Moyers
VOTE ON MOTION : Yes=16, $\mathrm{No}=0$, Abstain=2. Motion 1 passes.

## ........

MOTION We shall remove from 93/161 all reference to the subject matter of line 16 of $93 / 83 \mathrm{r} 2$ (fall back data rates below 1 Mbps ).

Moved: J. McKown, Seconded: J. Renfro

VOTE ON MOTION 1: Yes $=12$, No $=0$, Abstain $=5$

MOTION We shall remove from $93 / 161$ all reference to the subject matter of lines 17 and 17 a of $93 / 83$ r2 (baseband bit jitter \& clock accuracy).

Moved: J. McKown, Seconded: M. Traynor
VOTE ON MOTION : Yes=4, No=1, Abstain=2. Motion 3 passes.

MOTION In-band spurious emissions shall be -55 dBc .
Moved: P. Chadwick, seconded R. Jellicoe
VOTE ON MOTION : Yes=9, $\mathrm{No}=0$, Abstain=5. Motion 4 passes.

## March 94

MOTION : Editing of the FH draft spec will proceed by simple majority and will be confirmed in the FH ad hoc group by $75 \%$ majority of the voting members. Moved: E. Geiger. Seconded: C. Zegelin.

VOTE ON MOTION : Motion passes unanimously by acclaim.

MOTION : The FH group accepts $94 / 68$ as the FH working draft standard. Moved: P. Chadwick. Seconded: D. Kawaguchi.

VOTE ON MOTION : for $=12$, against $=1$, abstentions $=0$. The motion passes.

## July 94

## Motion:

After discussion, proposed Dean Kawaguchi, seconded Stuart Kerry, that CCA must be evaluated with an antenna that has essentially the same coverage and loss as the transmit antenna.

Question called Jerry Loraine, seconded John McKown
In favour 10 Opposed 2 Abstention 1

## ........

Motion:
Dean Kawaguchi proposed to amend to read [90\%] probability of detection for preamble, and a [70\%] probability for random data. Seconded by Wayne.

Question on acceptance for the amendment called by Jerry Loraine, seconded Jim Renfro. In favour: 14. Against: 2. Abstentions: 2.

Motion:
Ed Geiger moved to amend to remove the section "or any signal greater than [ $-50 \mathrm{dBm}]$."
Question called Ed Geiger seconded John McKown
In favour: 13 Opposed: 4 Abstentions: 1

Motion:
Question called by Ed Geiger, seconded by John McKown, on the motion which reads
"In the presence of any 802.11 compliant FH PHY signal above $[-80] \mathrm{dBm}$, the PHY must signal busy within [16]us at [90]\% probability of detection for preamble and a [70]\% probability detection for random data. Note: [] = TBD"

In favour 13, Against 3, Abstentions 3.
........
Motion:
Moved by Dean Kawaguchi that the CCA threshold as defined in the proceeding motions above be 85 dBm . Seconded Stuart Kerry.

Question called by Jim Renfro, seconded Jerry Loraine
Question called.
In favour 13 Opposed 0 Abstentions 2

## ........

Motion:
After discussion, proposed by Jerry Loraine, seconded Dean Kawaguchi, that the receiver sensitivity be 84 dBm midband, -82 dBm band edge. Friendly amendment to $0-80 \mathrm{dBm}$, by Jim Renfro, seconded Peter Chadwick. Moved to call the motion Dean Kawaguchi, seconded Jerry Loraine, Unanimous $13,0,0$ voting.

## MOTION CARRIES

## Motion:

Moved to define that the above figure applies at a 1 in 10*5 BER moved by Dean Kawaguchi, seconded Jim Renfro. Question called by Jerry Loraine, seconded Dean Kawaguchi. Question called, 11 in favour, 2 abstentions.

## MOTION CARRIES

## Motion:

Proposed Jerry Loraine, seconded Dean Kawaguchi, that the IM performance in $94 / 78$ be accepted, except the level be -30 dB . Question called by Wayne Moyers, seconded Dean Kawaguchi. On the calling of the question, voting, 7 in favour, 1 against, 1 abstention. Voting on the motion: 7 in favour, 2 against, 0 abstenti
ons.

## MOTION CARRIES

## Motion:

Proposed Jerry Loraine, that the desense test in $94 / 78$ be accepted, except that at 2 MHz , the figure be 30 dB , and at 3 MHz 40 dB , with the desired signal at -3 dB relative to sensitivity. Friendly amendment by Peter Chadwick to amend sensitivity to -80 dBm . Accepted. Peter Chadwick raised point of clarification regarding the interfere signal - is it amplitude modulated?
AGREED that the signal is NOT amplitude modulated.
Move to call the question: Peter Chadwick, seconded Jerry Loraine, passed.
Voting on the motion: In favour 7 , against 1 , abstentions 4.

## MOTION CARRIES.

## Motion:

Moved Ed Geiger, seconded Wayne Moyers that the document (94/159) be accepted, with the proviso that some figures are TBD.

Question called by: Peter Chadwick
seconded: Jim Renfro
Question called unanimously
Voting on the motion: for: 11 against: 1 abstentions: 1

## MOTION PASSES.

Motion:
Proposed to enter the contents of 94/156 into 94/68. proposed Dean Kawaguchi, seconded Wayne Moyers. On the motion, accepted 8,0,4.

## Motion:

Naftali Chayat presented 94/206, proposing a better unique word, and moved to adopt 55550 CBD. Question called Dean Kawaguchi, seconded Wayne Moyers.

Question called. Motion passes 7, 0, 2.

## Aug/Sept 94

Motion to remove min column from 9.5.7.2
by JimR and second by EdG.
No discussion
result: 6,0,0 passed.

## Motion:

From 94/111:
"The occupied channel bandwidth for the PMD is 1.0 MHz wide. This 1.0 MHz must contain $99 \%$ of the emitted energy. The FCC may impose a further restriction on transmitted bandwidth requiring the 20 dB bandwidth, as measured with a spectrum analyzer and referenced to the magnitude at the center of the transmitted bandwidth to be less than 1 MHz .
The transmitter center frequency shall be within +-25 ppm of one of the specified operating center frequencies listed in section 9.5.7.3. The following diagram (Fig. 9-11 of 94/068r3) illustrates the relationship of the operating transmitter center frequency to the occupied channel bandwidth."

EdG calls the question John M 2nd.
result: $6,0,0$ question called.
vote on motion
result: 4, 1,1 passed.

MOTION:
"The absolute mid-symbol peak deviation throughout the packet shall be at least 110 kHz with respect to the center frequency during the last 8 bits before the unique word."
2nd by JimR
Discussions on the definition and the measurement of center frequency.
LarryZ: proposes to define the center frequency to be the average of the difference of the average of maximum and minimum deviations.
J: call the question, JohnM: 2nd, passes.
Vote on motion: 10,1,1 passes.
$\qquad$

MOTION:
Zero Crossing error shall be less than $+-1 / 8$ of a symbol, per diagram.
This motion will include a picture by EdG.
Moved by CJ. 2nd by EdG.
Vote on Motion: 9,1,2 passes

Motion:
Set Time from MAC command to start a transmission of the first bit of 1,0 preamble is 20 usec, Maximum.
based on the following
R/Tt as defined in 94/113<10 usec
Xmit Delay, $\mathrm{TDt}<1$ usec
Ramp Allocation $=8$ usec
MAC State Machine delay < 1 usec
moved by JimM, 2nd by JL
Vote: 9,1,2 passed

Motion:
TBD microsecond in 9.5.7.11 of 94/068r3 is 224 usec. The frequency accuracy TBD kHz is changed to 60 kHz .

Moved by JimM, 2nd by JohnM
Vote on Motion: 6,1,0 the motion passed.

## Motion:

9.5.7.15 VSWR

Move to delete this spec.
by JL, 2nd by EdG
Vote: on Motion: $8,0,0$ the motion passes

Motion:
Slot Time is 50 usec.
The interval from the MAC command to transmit to the appearance of the first bit of the preamble at the RF antenna (also called collision time) is a maximum of 20 usec. The channel shall be assessed for at least 30 usec. The interval from the start of the preamble at the antenna to the time when the ch_busy line goes high is a maximum of 16 usec.

Moved by JimM, 2nd by EdG
JohnM calls the question, J second
Vote: $8,0,0$ the question is called
Vote on motion: $8,0,1$ the motion passes.

Motion: Frame Length of $94 / 69$ proposal is 32 bits
by EdG, 2nd Stuart
Vote on Motion: 5,1,1 motion passes.

Motion: Define CCA Threshold as a function of the intended RF power level. CCA Threshold $=-65 \mathrm{dBm}$ - Transmit Power in dBm.
by LarryZ, 2nd by JimR
J call the question
the question is called.
Vote on Motion: 4,0,4 the motion passes.

## Motion:

J : propose a change in the motion text
The 802.11 compliant frequency hopping transmitters shall be labeled in four classes according to their maximum nominal EIRP

Class 1 up to 10 mW
Class 2 up to 100 mW
Class 3 up to 500 mW
Class 4 up to 1 W .
2nd by Wayne Moyers

Stuart: Call the question, Wayne 2nd
Vote: $9,0,0$ the question is called.
Vote on Motion: 7,2,2 the motion passes.
Motion:
WayneM: the last word in the text of 9.5 .8 .2 should be 1000 mW rather than 100 mW .
Motion:
Change last word in 9.5 .8 .2 to 1000 mW .
CJ 2nd.
Vote on Motion: 7,0,3 the motion passes.

Motion:
The transmit spectrum mask shall be measured under dynamic conditions such that the power generated in a 1 MHz Channel, for a given carrier offset, shall be less than the values in the table below:

| Channel Offset $(\mathrm{MHz})$ <br> $+/-2$ | Specification Limit (dBc) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $>=+/-3$ | -60 |  |

The radio shall be set to alternatively xmit and rev with nominal duty cycle ratio of 1 to 1. And the xmit packet length shall be greater than 300 usec and less than 2 msec .
moved by 几, JimR 2nd.
Vote on Motion: $10,0,2$ the motion passes.

## JohnM:

Motion: Delete the table and replace the last sentence to
It shall maintain this stability over the stated operating temperature range.
2nd by CJ
Vote on motion: $10,0,0$ the motion passes.
Nov 94
(Paragraph numbers in this section in general refer to 58 r 5. )
Motion: The FHSS PLCP preamble and header per figure $9-3$ shall be at PLCP_rate. The PLCP_PDU may run at $1,2 \mathrm{Mbps}$ \& higher rates.
Moved: Pablo
2nd: Wayne
Vote: $17 / 1 / 2$

Motion: Accept frame coding per 94/287
Moved: Mike 2nd: Wayne Vote: 16/2/2

Motion: Add the international specs back into 068/r6. The numbers are subject to the regulatory authorities.

Moved: Dean 2nd: Kieth Vote: 17/0/1

Motion: Change $+/-25 \mathrm{ppm}$ to $+/-60 \mathrm{khz}$ in 9.6 .5
Moved: Jim 2nd: Nathan Vote: consensus

Motion: The default dwell time for FH will be 20 ms .
Moved: Ed 2nd: John M Vote: 12/0/1
Motion: Approve Changes to 9.6.1 to 9.6.7
Moved: Ed 2nd: Mike Vote: 14/0/0

Motion: Add Equivalent Isotropically Radiated Power and reference to regulatory authorities in text and delete table.
Moved: John Sonnenberg 2nd: Wayne Vote: 15/0/1
-9.6.18 Transmit power level control
Motion: Delete section 9.6.18
Moved: Stuart 2nd: Peter Vote: $12 / 1 / 1$

Motion: Strike section clock recovery time (9.6.28 in 68r5)
Moved: Ed 2nd: John M Vote: 12/0/0

- 9.6.21 Transmit center frequency tolerance
.discussion on temp operating range and tolerance spec in Hz vs ppm.
Motion: Accept the text as defined in 068r6 sect 9.6.21
Moved: Ed 2nd: Keith Vote: 11/0/2


## - 9.6.22 PMD receiver specs OK

- 9.6.23 Input dynamic range
.discussion on
Motion: Modify title to Input dynamic range
Moved: Peter 2nd: Dean Vote: 10/0/0
Motion: Accept the text as defined in 068 r 6 sect 9.6 .23
Moved: Peter 2nd: Dean Vote: 13/1/1
- 9.6.24 Selectivity

Motion: Delete section
Moved: Peter 2nd: Dean Vote: 11/0/2

- 9.6.25 Channel BER

Motion: Delete section
Moved: Jerry 2nd: Peter Vote: 11/2/1

- 9.6.26 Rx center freq acceptance range

Motion: Modify title to above and reflect changes as in 068r6 sect 9.6 .21
Moved: Ed 2nd: Charlie Vote: 11/0/0

- 9.6.27 CCA response time

Motion: Ed and Dean to capture spirit of wording from previous meeting
Moved: Peter 2nd: Dean Vote: consensus

- 9.6.29 Rx data jitter tolerance

Motion: Delete section as interface is not exposed
Moved: Ed 2nd: Jerry Vote: 12/0/0

- 9.6.30 Ramp up period

Motion: Move to table pending submission of mods
Moved: Ed 2nd: John Vote: consensus

- 9.6.xx _Rx data DC offset \& Max run length

Motion: Delete these sections
Moved: Ed 2nd: John Vote: consensus
-9.7.1 Introduction: FHSS Phy Medium Dependent sublayer 2.0M bit
Motion: Accept text as in 068r6
Moved: Ed 2nd: Dean Vote: consensus
-9.7.2 thr 9.7.9_OK
Motion: Accept text as in 068r6 [similar to 1 Mbit sections]
Moved: Ed 2nd: Dean Vote: consensus
-9.7.11 Channel Data rate
Motion: Accept text as in 068r6
Moved: Ed 2nd: Jerry Vote: consensus

- 9.7.12 to 9.7.24_OK as 1 M Phy except for 9.7.23 below
- 9.7.23_Input dynamic range

Motion: Accept text as in 068r6
Moved: Ed 2nd: Jerry Vote: consensus

- 9.7.25 Channel BER

Motion: Delete text as in 068r6
Moved: Ed 2nd: Jerry Vote: consensus

- 9.7.26 to 9.7.28_OK as 1M Phy
- 9.7.29 Jitter tol

Motion: Delete section
Moved: Jerry 2nd: Peter Vote: consensus
-9.7.30 to 9.7.31_OK as IM Phy

- 9.6.32 Rx sensitivity

Motion: Accept text as in 068r6
Moved: Jerry
2nd: Peter Vote: consensus

- 9.6.33 Intermodulation

Motion: Accept text as in 068r6
Moved: Ed 2nd: Jerry Vote: consensus

- 9.6.34 Desense

Motion: Accept text as in 068r6
Moved: Ed 2nd: Jerry Vote: consensus
-9.6.20 Tx spectrum mask
Motion: Accept inputs as defined by Jerry and edited into 068r6
Moved: Jerry 2nd: Nathan Vote: consensus
Chair 's Question: Is the editors' translation of Jerry's input accurate as shown in r6?

- 9.6.13 RxTx switch time

Motion: Accept inputs as defined by Jerry and edited into 068r6
Moved: Ed 2nd: Jerry Vote: consensus

- 9.6.18 Tx power level control

Motion: Power level adjustment, if performed will be done by a management entity and deletes Twpwrlyl parameter in 9.2 .2 of 068 r 5

Moved: Serry 2nd: Ed Vote: consensus

- 9.6.28 CCA Threshold

Motion: Accept edits in 068/r6 (motion of $11 / 8$ by Charlie amended by Dean)
Moved: Jerry 2nd: Charlie Vote: 10/1/2

- 9.6.23 CCA response time

Motion: Add sentence to 9.3.3.2.1 to cover async case (Deans wording)
Moved: Dean 2nd: Charlie Vote: consensus
Motion: Delete section 9.6.23 (covered in 9.3.3.2.1)
Moved: Dean 2nd: Charlie Vote: consensus
-9.6.30 \& 31 Ramp up \& Ramp down periods
Motion: reopen . 31
Moved: Peter 2nd: Charlie Vote: consensus
Motion: Modify text as defined in 068/r6 - remove slope limit, change bit period to uS \& power levels relative to full Tx power in 9.6 .30 \& 31

Moved: Peter 2nd: Charlie Vote: consensus

- 9.2 FHSS service parameter lists

Motion: Modify text as defined in 068/r6 - put in text for antsel and RSSI
Moved: Ed 2nd: Charlie Vote: consensus
-9.7.10 4 level GFSK Modulation
Motion: Modify text as defined in 068/r6
Moved: Jerry 2nd: Brian Messenger Vote: consensus

- Motion: Accept text as defined in 068/r6 with understanding that text is being updated to reflect changes approved during FH Phy session to date.

Moved: Dean 2nd: Keith Vote: 16/0/1

- Motion: Present to Phy \& Plenary, a motion " Accept the $068 / \mathrm{r} 5$ plus the errata $94 / 242$ which summarizes the 94/068r6 document."

Moved: Dean 2nd: Keith Vote: 13/0/0

- Par req of $99.5 \%$ probability of channel availability

Motion: Delete 9.6.14
Moved: John 2nd: Nathan Vote: consensus
Action: Jim M to prepare a motion to present to plenary in Jan.

- 4 level FSK_ Text has been generated jointly by Naftali and Jerry and given to the editors

Motion: Accept the text as defined for 94/068r7 for Jan.
Moved: Jerry 2nd: Wayne Vote: 13/0/1

