Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			

Results of LMSC Ballot on Draft Standard 802.11 D5.0 -

Comment Resolutions on Comments in Clause 5

1	5	VZ	E		Figure quality (in clause 5) is not acceptable for publication purposes.	Some figures will need to be redrawn (e.g., figures 1, 2, 3, 5, etc.) Each figure should the be saved in EPS in a file separate from the text	Editor to do
2	5.1.1.2 (c) 5.2.4.1 5.4 9.2.1 12.all 14.all 15.some 16.all	TLP	е	Yes	The wireless medium is definitely singular (unless there is an alternate universe with multiple "ethers"), or unless P802.11 is extending its charter to acoustic modes of transmission.	s change "edia" to "edium" everywhere except when referring to wired media.	OK, clause 5 changed - there are those who consider different PHY bands to be logically different ethers - and those who don't. We made the change in clause 5 to resolve the No portion of this comment.
3	5.1.1.4, 5.2, 5.4.2.1, etc. 1.2,	RS	Т	Y	The fact that high-layer applications may desire the ability to move within or among wireless LANs does NOT imply the requirement, as stated in 5.1.1.4, that this mobility must be provided within the MAC sublayer. In fact, 802.11 does not currently provide this mobility service (see discussion of DS and ESS below). Mobility is best relegated to higher-layer protocols (such as Network). 802.11 should provide the appropriate service interfaces (e.g., allowing a MAC client or management entity to determine the current associations of an AP) that allow higher-layer protocols to implement mobility, but not to attempt to implement it within the MAC. There is no need to "reinvent" the entire ISO protocol stack within the MAC, just because it's wireless.	Eliminate mobility as a requirement of, and function provided by 802.11. Include a paragraph in the Scope section identifying mobility as a higher-layer function that can be provided among 802.11 LANs.	Request is respectfully declined. We believe the commenter misunderstood the architecture. As data flows from higher layers into the top of the MAC, this data must be delivered as a Stations moves. Hence, mobility is inherently a primary aspect of the functionality provided by 802.11. Note that it is the mobile STA that decides when to reassociate. While layers higher than layer 2 may well be involved in the implementation of mobility as provided by the MAC (via

	Novem	DCI 1	ZE F 002.11-90/130-2				
Seq. #	Clause number	your voter' s ID code	Cmnt type E, e, T, t	Part of NO	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
4	5.2, 1.2, 5.1.1.4, 5.4.2.1, etc.	RS	T	Y	The fact that high-layer applications may desire the ability to move within or among wireless LANs does NOT imply the requirement, as stated in 5.1.1.4, that this mobility must be provided within the MAC sublayer. In fact, 802.11 does not currently provide this mobility service (see discussion of DS and ESS below). Mobility is best relegated to higher-layer protocols (such as Network). 802.11 should provide the appropriate service interfaces (e.g., allowing a MAC client or management entity to determine the current associations of an AP) that allow higher-layer protocols to implement mobility, but not to attempt to implement it within the MAC. There is no need to "reinvent" the entire ISO protocol stack within the MAC, just because it's wireless. The Figure should beaccompaigned with some	Eliminate mobility as a requirement of, and function provided by 802.11. Include a paragraph in the Scope section identifying mobility as a higher-layer function that can be provided among 802.11 LANs. Add at least the location, the power	invocation of a DS service), mobility is not a service which can be removed from the 802.11 MAC layer. primary purpose of 802.11 is to provide the mobility services requested - this is what the functions of association, reassociation etc accomplish. Respectfully declined. Please refer to resolution of comment 5 in this clause.
	fig 4		•		technical data as: the location of the source, its power, the frequency and so on	and the frequency.	qualitatively typical and not quantiative. The primary purpose is to illustrate that the actual environment is not uniform as many assume. Because the information provided is not quantitative, we declined to specify the power and frequency used in the example.

	11016111	212 1 002.11-90/130-2					
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
6	5.2.3	SD	e		Labels of STAs are out of their frames.	Recenter them.	The Sta albels are ok in the
	fig5						printed version of the document
							we have - we suspect that this is
							an artifact of how the document is
							printed - we willendevor to make
							sure this does not occur in the
							final printed versions of 802.11.
7	5.2.4	DSM	t		I would assume that a portal could provide entrance	Add a clause "or a Wide Area	Clairified.
					to an 802.11 LAN from a WAN such as the Internet	Network"	The previous sentence refers to a
							"non-802" LAN - the group
							believes this to be inclusive of
							"Wide Area Network". We did
							change a sentence toclairfy that
							the figure is an example and not
							the only case possible.

Seq. Clause number	Clauge		1	Part	Comment/Rationale		Dianacition/Debuttel
9 5.2.4.1 5.1.1.2 (c) 5.4 9.2.1		your	Cmnt		Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
9 5.2.4.1 5.1.1.2 (c) 5.4 9.2.1	umber	voter'	type	of			
9 5.2.4.1 5.1.1.2 (c) 5.4 9.2.1							
9 5.2.4.1 5.1.1.2 (c) 5.4 9.2.1		code	1, t	vote			
(c) 5.4 9.2.1		apu TLP	E, e, T, t	y Yes	Although the PAR does not specifically state this, I believe that 803.11 must address the issues of interoperability with existing (wired) 802.3 LANs. In particular, this draft standard (5.0) is ambiguous regarding the issue of bridging. Section 5.2.4 incompletely describes a Portal, and, in fact, poses a question without giving any guidance to the implementor as to how to resolve the issue. I refer to the sentence: "Bridgin to the 802.11 architecture raises the question of which logical medium to gridge to; the DSM or the WM?"	At a minimum, the standard must define a set of requirements for a bridge or a portal between an 802.11 wireless LAN and an 802 wired LAN. It would be preferable to go further that this by unambiguously describing such a bridge, including resolving the issues resulting from multiple bridges attached to a large ESS at different points, such as spanning tree convergence and stability.	The draft does address how to interconnect between the 802.11 architectue and other 802.X LANs - the method is the Portal. As a portal connects to the DSM, it may or may not include 802.X bridge functions. This is dependent upon the implementation choosen for a specific DS since a DS is not constrained to be an 802.X layer 2 mechanism - it may be an IP based layer 3 or higher system, in which case the subject of bridgeing is not relevant. DS implementation is considered outside the scope of 802 as it required to be a layer 2 issue. Pleas note that 802.11 specifies a MAC and PHY for the WM -ir is not intended to be a complete reference for everything that might be required to implement a WLAN installation that includes 802.11 links. Changed.
12.all 14.all 15.som 16.all	5.1.1.2 (c) 5.4 9.2.1 12.all 14.all 5.some	111	C	105	an alternate universe with multiple "ethers"), or unless P802.11 is extending its charter to acoustic modes of transmission.	except when referring to wired media.	Changeu.
10 5.3		RS	E	Y	The statement, "The generality allows 802.11 to	Eliminate the statement.	The statement was deleted.
		-			satisfy the diverse interests" is a clear statement		(though not for the reasons

Seq.	Clause		a .	_			
	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
					that "We couldn't agree on how to standardize this,		asserted by the reviewer).
					so we left it up in the air." While this may be true,		In fact the group does feel
					it: (1) indicates the importance of the previous		that multiple interests are
					comment on a lack of DS and ESS requirements,		well served by the generality,
					and (2) looks like dirty laundry hanging out to dry.		not that we did not know how
							to accomplish our task.
11	5.3,	RS	T	Y	There is no specification provided for the DS;	Eliminate the concept of DS and	Declined.
	5.4.2.2,	IUS	•	•	neither a specific implementation nor a set of	ESS from the standard at this time,	802.11 has gone to a lot of
	etc.				service interfaces and invariants that ensure proper	and note that this is "under study"	effort to handle the
	eic.				MAC operation across the ESS. Since 802.11	or "work-in-progress". When	problems unique to
					depends on the DS to provide mobility and ESS	specifications are available that	mobile stations using a
					coverage, it is clear that this standard currently	allow interoperable, conformant	WM. In order to do this is
					does not provide sufficient information to build an	implementations to be built, revise	
					•	-	had to explain the
					interoperable, conformant ESS. Without	the standard to include these new	architectural context
					conformance requirements, DS's and ESS's become	specifications. Eliminate all	within which the 802.11
					proprietary entities.	discussion of mobility as an 802.11-	MAC and PHYs operate.
						provided service.	This information is crucial
					In addition, the inclusion of an "unspecified" DS		to understanding 802.11.
					makes the delay as seen at the LLC service interface		Also, refer to resolution of
					unbounded and uncontrolled. LAN MAC clients		comment 3 in this clause.
					expect a low delay; the inclusion of an arbitrary		The 802.11 draft does what is
					internetwork (including possible WAN links)		required and appropriate for
					invalidates any assumptions about delay that are		a MAC layer. I.e. media
					typically made by LAN clients. IEEE 802.1G allows		access to the Wireless
					WAN links for Remote Bridges, but it puts an		Media. DS internals are
					upper bound on their number and delay, and		outside the scope of 802 (not
					makes this information available to a management		just 802.11). The reviewer is
					entity.		asked to consider that the
					J		draft is a MAC/PHY std and
							not a complete reference o
							everything required to create
							any type of netowork which
							includes 802.11 links.
12	5.3.3	GC			see 7.1.3.3.1 G		
13	5.4	DLP	e		Clause xx.xx needs to be specified.	Replace xx.xx with appropriate	corrected

Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
	<u> </u>	1	1				
						clause number.	
14	5.4	JMZ	e		Typos	Fill in reference marked "xx.xx" and	corrected
						change "DATA SERVICE" to "Data	
15	5.4	V.C	_		U-1	Service"	
15	5.4	KC	e		"clause xx.xx"	specify whatxx.xx is	corrected
16	5.4	MT	e		find and fill in clausexx.xx reference	D 1 64	corrected
17	5.4	JD	e		reference not done	Each of the services is supported by	corrected
						one or more MAC frame types. Some	
						of the services are supported by MAC	
						Management messages and some by MAC Data messages. All of the	
						messages gain access to the WM via	
						the 802.11 MAC layer media access	
						methods specified in clause?**x.?*** of	1
						the standard.	I
						the standard.	
18	5.4.2.1,	RS	T	Y	The fact that high-layer applications may desire the	Eliminate mobility as a	Respectfully declined.
	1.2,				ability to move within or among wireless LANs	requirement of, and function	Please refer to resolution of
	5.1.1.4,				does NOT imply the requirement, as stated in	provided by 802.11. Include a	comment 5 in this clause.
	5.2,				5.1.1.4, that this mobility must be provided within	paragraph in the Scope section	
	etc.				the MAC sublayer. In fact, 802.11 does not	identifying mobility as a higher-	
					currently provide this mobility service (see	layer function that can be provided	
					discussion of DS and ESS below). Mobility is best	among 802.11 LANs.	
					relegated to higher-layer protocols (such as	o o	
					Network). 802.11 should provide the appropriate		
					service interfaces (e.g., allowing a MAC client or		
					management entity to determine the current		
					associations of an AP) that allow higher-layer		
					protocols to implement mobility, but not to attempt		
					to implement it within the MAC. There is no need		
					to "reinvent" the entire ISO protocol stack within		
					the MAC, just because it's wireless.		
19	5.4.2.2	JMZ	e		Туро	"System" should not be in Courier font	corrected
20	5.4.2.2	MT	t		ref: MT_1	Specify a minimum number of	respectfully declined.

	TIOVCIII			1			1E 1 002.11-70/130-2
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
			-,•	, , , ,		L	
	5.4.3.1					authentications which must be	Authorok -
					Clause 7.3.1.9 references status codes for reporting	supported by an access point and a	Any limits on the number of
					'too many stations'.	member of an IBSS (not necessarily	associations supported is a
					The standard should specify a minimum number of		limitation of a specific AP
					2 4	the same value).	
					stations to be supported by an access point.		implementation and/or the DS
						Specify a method which allows a new	the AP is an interface to. Since
					The standard should also specify a minimum numbe		DS implementations are outside
					of stations so be supported by an IBSS node.	network. One method would be to	the scope of 802.11, this can not
						deauthenticate the station which has	be specified by 802.11.
					Refer to MT_2 for related partial solution/problem.	not transferred data for the longest	
						interval. Another would be to	
					By adding this number (along with the number of	deauthenticate the station which has	
					currently associated stations) within the	transferred the least amount of data	
					ASSOCIATION, PROBE and BEACON frames, a	during the last sample interval.	
					mobile station can use this information in	gr	
					determining which BSS is best to join – this provides	The 'best' solution is to avoid the	
					the starting means for automatic load balancing (the		
					main ingredient, current load, is missing but a more	• 0	
					intelligent decision can be made).	and IBSS stations must support a	
					intelligent decision can be made).	sufficiently large number of	
						authenticated stations (g., 1000 and	
21	5 4 2 2	N/C	TT.		e Me a	100 respectively)	D (6 H 1 P 1
21	5.4.2.2	MT	T		ref: MT_2	The ASSOCIATIONstaleout time	Respectfully declined.
					A AMERICANICA MICANA	should be asetable MIB variable to	Authorok -
					An AUTHENTICATIONstaleout time should be	allow for changes in system	The group feel that there is not
					specified such that if no data is transferred between	performance due to fluctuations in	need for additional functionality
					stations for the corresponding taleout period, the	the number of associated stations for	8
					authentication (and if appropriate, association) is	example.	Should any specific STA desire
					dropped. This feature is needed in order to	In order to simplify implementation,	not tomaintina authentication
					guarantee network security as well as to prevent the	this parameter can be added to the	after some time, then it may
					"too many stations" situation detailed in MT_1.	ASSOCIATION, BEACON and	simply cause adeauthentication.
						PROBE frames. The longest time	Ther is no need to specify a time
					Authentication is common among infrastructure and	_	at which this would be required
					IBSS networks and should therefore be used (as	stations in the BSS cell (or IBSS). If	to be done - in fact there are
					opposed to association taleout).	a particular station finds that it is	cases where this would be
					rr	spending too much time maintaining	undesireable. Hence webelive
						an association because the network is	
	l l		l.			an association because the network	month of the control of the month

	Novem	IDCI I	LE P802.11-90/150-2				
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part of	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter's ID	type	NO			
		code	E, e, T, t	vote			
<u> </u>		coue	Ι 1, ι	Vote		1	
						busy enough that it is not getting air time, it can reassociate with a longer staleout time. This information can be interpreted and conveyed to all other stations in the BSS or IBSS in the ASSOCIATION.response or from following BEACON and PROBE frames.	general mechanism.
22	5.4.2.2	MT	E/t		text should be adjusted / added to show that in the wireless distribution system, a wireless AP (acting as a repeater and connection to a distribution system) must itself be associated before both accepting authentications/associations requests and before allowing or forwarding any traffic to and from the distribution system.	Adjust the text as suggested to reflect the ASSOCIATION procedure of wireless AP repeater operation.	Respectfully declined. Authorok - There is not such thing as a repeater in the 802.11 architecture. The data flow is from a STA into an AP, into the DS. The DS then determines at what AP the traffic should be delivered by using association information, then the destination AP is given the traffic. Note that a DS which retransmits all incoming traffic to allAps would be a poor DS implementation. In the case of a WDS, an AP is an interface between two different logical media, even though the two media are the same phyically. In the case of DS traffic being transferred between two WirelessAps, they are logically in an IBSS that links them together, this is not the same BSS as the one which contains the mobile STA and it's associated AP.
23	5.4.2.2	MT	t		ref: MT_4		No change made as none requested.
					In the case of a single cell which has no backbone		Authorok -

	TIUVCIII			1		40011121	212 1 002.11-70/130-2
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
					distribution system and where a wireless AP is used		We ask the reviewer to note that
					to transfer information among mobile stations (is the	•	the case stipulated does not seem
					sole piece of the distribution system), the wireless AF		to be possible - how could a
					will begin by sending BEACONS until other stations		wireless AP exist as the only AP
					join the BSS. Only traffic with the TO_DS bit set		in an ESS - to be using the WM
					and with a corresponding final destination address o	r	as the DSM there would have to
					another currently associated station will be		be at least two WirelessAPs.
					forwarded (with the FROM_DS bit set).ie., no		It is possible to have a one AP
					directed data will be transferred until at least two		ESS - in this case the DS is
					stations are associated to the wireless AP.		logically present (can't have an
							ESS without a DS) - but then the
							traffci flow is still as described
							in the resolution to comment 22 .
							the only difference is that all
							ingoing traffic has only one
							option for the DS exit point -
							note that not all trafficingoing
							will also be outgoing from that
							AP - only those frames with a
							DA for a STA associated with
							that AP - hence this is different
							from a blind repeater function.
24	5.4.2.2	MT	t/E		ref: MT_5		Authorok/withdrawn - declined.
							Multicast operation is
					access point operation should be clarified to state tha	t	independent of # stations
					multicast frames are allowed to be forwarded in all		associated.
					cases (to and from the distribution system) in the cas		u 555 0 143 0 43
					of an access point connected to the backbone, a		
					wireless access point operating as the sole piece of the		
					distribution system, and after a wireless repeater ha		
					itself established an association.		
					Multicast retransmission should be allowed as long a	s	
					at least one station is associated with the access point		
25	5.4.2.2	MT	t/e		ref: MT_7	Add text which explicitly disallows	Corect -
					~~~ ~~~_/	membership to multiple concurrent	A sta may on.y be a member of a
					This section states that a STA may be associated with		
					only one AP at a time. The implication here is that	a member of an ESS or IBSS at any	not matter if the BSS is part of
	<u> </u>	1			only one are we will and amplication note in that	i a member of an abb of abbb at any	not matter if the Doo to part of

							21 1 002:11 /0/130 2
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
	l .		. ,				
					one AP at a time per ESS. There are no restrictions	one time).	an Ess or an IBSS.
					on being a member of twœSS's at the same time.	·	We can not do > 1BSs as there
					0	Recognizing that it is not practical	is no whay to specify theBSs the
					Further, there is no restriction placed on being a	for a single station to be members of	
					member of an IBSS and an ESS at the same time.	multiplexSS's because packet	inerface.
					member of an idos and an ess at the same time.		merrace.
					773	filtering cannot be properly	
					These situations can have an impact on performance		
					(see comment below) when considering how	difficult to maintain.	
					multicasts are handled.		
26	5.4.2.2	MT	t		The ESSID is not part of many management frames		
					(RTS/CTS) - which will/could cause great difficulty in	1	
					the case of collocatedESS's as well asBSS's.		
					Text should be added to clarify operation in these		
					collocated situations. Such as the NAV or TSF will		
					only be updated when a value is received which is		
					greater than the local value but within a specified		
					tolerance. ie., don't update the TSF if it greater than		
	7.400	DC		<b>T</b> 7	10 μsec from the current local value.	Tile to a disconnection of the control of the contr	D 11 1
27	5.4.2.2,	RS	T	Y	There is no specification provided for the DS;	Eliminate the concept of DS and	Declined.
	5.3,				neither a specific implementation nor a set of	ESS from the standard at this time,	Please refer to resolution of
	etc.				service interfaces and invariants that ensure proper	and note that this is "under study"	comment 11 this clause.
					MAC operation across the ESS. Since 802.11	or "work-in-progress". When	
					depends on the DS to provide mobility and ESS	specifications are available that	
					coverage, it is clear that this standard currently	allow interoperable, conformant	
					does not provide sufficient information to build an	implementations to be built, revise	
						the standard to include these new	
					interoperable, conformant ESS. Without		
					conformance requirements, DS's and ESS's become	specifications. Eliminate all	
					proprietary entities.	discussion of mobility as an 802.11-	
						provided service.	
					In addition, the inclusion of an "unspecified" DS		
					makes the delay as seen at the LLC service interface		
					unbounded and uncontrolled. LAN MAC clients		
					expect a low delay; the inclusion of an arbitrary		
					internetwork (including possible WAN links)		
		1	The state of the s	Į	invalidates any assumptions about delay that are		

	Novem	DCI 1	70			doen 121	2E F 002.11-90/130-2
Seq. #	Clause number		Cmnt type	Part of	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
					typically made by LAN clients. IEEE 802.1G allows		
					WAN links for Remote Bridges, but it puts an		
					upper bound on their number and delay, and		
					makes this information available to a management		
					entity.		
28	5.4.3	MT	E/t		ref: MT_6	Distribution system services can only	changes declinedtihe consent of
	8.x.x.x					be invoked in the case that similar	author.
					In the case of an access point with two associated	authentication methods (or by	
					stations. The access point is aware of (at least) two	established management rules in the	
					authentication methods. STA A associates using	AP).	
					method A and STA B associates using method B.	In the case that the final destination	
					STA A and STA B cannot associate directly and can		
					therefore, not transfer data. The AP is not aware	frame should be forwarded with	
					(unless internal rules are established) that it may not	appended information identifying	
					be allowable for it transfer data between these two	the authentication method used by	
					stations.	the initiating station. The	
						responsibility of checking is placed	
					According to the PICS, open authentication must be	on the AP providing service to the	
					supported, and WEP is optional. Therefore, clarity	final destination STA.	
					ought to be provided such in the case that WEP is		
					enabled. Should a station authenticating using the	-or-	
					open method be allowed to join a BSS which has	Recommend amandatory	
					WEP enabled? According to the current wording, it seems that the answer is yes or the system is in	authentication method within 802.11 so that this breach of security and	
					danger of non-compliance. However, this opens a cal	•	
					of security worms. (MT_8,9,10,11)	above can be averted.	
					01 500miny 11 01min (1111_0,2,10,11)	above can be averted.	
						-or-	
						Remove all references to	
						authentication from the standard	
						and allow a user to chose a vendor	
						which supplies appropriate security	
						vs. overhead/protection tradeoff	
29	5.4.3.1	JMZ	t		The standard does not explicitly define procedures for	Reword 5.4.3.1 and 8.1.1 to make it	Accepted
					implementing Access-Control Lists. Since an IBSS does	clear that Open SystemAuthentiction	Daft changed as suggested.
					not have an Association function, the only way for a unit		
					to refuse to communicate with another unit that is not on		
			Į.				

	NOVERIBEL 1770						
Seq. #	Clause number	your voter' s ID code	Cmnt type E, e, T, t	Part of NO vote	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
					its ACL is through the Authentication mechanism. The most sensible way would seem to be to allow Open System Authentication to fail for unspecified reasons. This would allow arbitrary STA-address based discrimination.	Adding a clarification to this effect would be good, too.	
30	5.4.3.1 5.4.2.2	MT	t		ref: MT_1  Clause 7.3.1.9 references status codes for reporting 'too many stations'.  The standard should specify a minimum number of stations to be supported by an access point.  The standard should also specify a minimum number of stations so be supported by an IBSS node.  Refer to MT_2 for related partial solution/problem.  By adding this number (along with the number of currently associated stations) within the ASSOCIATION, PROBE and BEACON frames, a mobile station can use this information in determining which BSS is best to join – this provides the starting means for automatic load balancing (the main ingredient, current load, is missing but a more intelligent decision can be made).	Specify a method which allows a new r station an opportunity to join the network. One method would be to deauthenticate the station which has not transferred data for the longest interval. Another would be to deauthenticate the station which has transferred the least amount of data during the last sample interval.  The 'best' solution is to avoid the	
31	5.4.3.1 5.5	GMG	Т	Y	Authentication is considered useless in an environment which does not provide confidentiality, because without confidentiality, a station can always pretend to be an other station by using its address as a false identity source address.  Authentication should only be needed to use the DS	Following text need to change in section 5.4.3.1 to explain the implicit authentication as follows:  An equivalent ability to control LAN	Respectfully declined. The group does not share the opinion that authentication is useless w/o encryption. IT is true that authentication is more useful when encryption is also used.
					Services, because this is the point where a wired network is entered that otherwise assumes the closed physical nature of a wire, which is no longer true	Authentication service. This service is	While 802.11 authentication does not provide full protection against impostor attacks, it is also true

	Novem	DC1 17	7.0		_	UUC 1EEE F 002.11-90/150-2		
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal	
#	number	voter'	type	of				
		s ID	E, e,	NO				
		code	T, t	vote				
	1							
Ι,					when extended with a wireless network.	wish to communicate. This is true for	that does provide some protection.	
					In an IBSS explicit authentication should not be	all stations in an both ESS and IBSS	To significantly increase the	
					needed. Instead implicit authentication can be	networks. If a mutually acceptable	protection against impostor	
					assumed when the stations do use the confidentiality		attacks, it would be necessary to	
					provisions, by the fact that all stations in the IBSS us		encrypt MAC headers - this we	
					the same WEP key.	Association shall not be established.	can not do because it would	
					Only when all stations use the same WEP key, they	Authentication is a Station Service.	require all implementations to do	
l i					are able to communicate at all. The fact that such a		encryption which the group was	
					secret key (which has a separate distribution	For direct communication between	unwilling to mandate due to the	
					mechanism outside this standard) is available to the		product impact of U.S. export	
					participants is makes authentication implicit, and a		regulations for encryption.	
					useless extra complexity.	authentication is assumed when the	The review comment makes the	
					Please note that this complexity is much larger then		assumption that an encryption key	
					in the ESS case, where a station in general only needs		is always shared by a set of	
					to maintain knowledge of the authentication state	Section 5.5 changes.	stations. In that senario, one could	
					with the AP.	Data frames with the FC control bits	*	
					In an IBSS, stations need to maintain the authentication state for each of the participating	"To DS and From DS" both false	authentication, however, limiting system operation toonly implicit	
						should be Class 1 frames (instead of	authentication has not been	
					stations it may send data to in the IBSS.  The Authentication requirement implies for an ad-	Class 2 as currently specified). In addition an ATIM should be Clas		
					hoc network that it has to maintain a Service State	1. Both are currently defined as	need to be able to handle	
					variable for each station it is communicating with.	Type-2 frames, and must be moved	situations where potentially every	
					Again this is an unnecessary extra complexity, since	* =	pair of communicating stations	
					authentication is only relevant in combination with	to the Type-Titame definitions.	may have a different encryption	
					privacy. If privacy is used, then the plain fact that the	2	key. This requires that we have	
					other station has the same key is sufficient to		support for the general	
					authenticate that station for ad-hoc communication.		authentication mechanism - this	
					**************************************		same mechanism is also required	
							as some members anticipate	
							extending the standard eventually	
							to support public key	
1							authentication and dynamic	
							session encryption keys - the	
							authentication mechanism is	
							necessary to provide that upgrade	
							path.	
						_	OF	
-			L.					

	Novem	LE P802.11-90/150-2					
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
							In the IBSS case, if authentication
							were removed entirely, then it
							would only be possible to run
							either an unsecured LAN or a
							shared key LAN where every
							member used the same shared key.
							The group feels that there are
							clearly many situations where not
							all Stations in an IBSS want all
							other stations to hear every frame
							and so finds that restriction
							undesirable.
32	5.4.3.3	<b>JMZ</b>	t		It isn't clear to me why Privacy is a service, rather than	Clarify how they interact.	
					just a parameter to the MSDU delivery service. The		
					relationship between the two services (since one modifie	S	
					the activity of the other) should be clearer.		
33	5.4.3.3	MT	t		ref: MT_8	Both methods must be able to be	Authorok.
	6.1.2					simultaneously supported since WEI	This operation has been
	8.x.x.x				Clarification should be added to state what happens	is optional and compliance criteria is	calirified as the result oftoher
					in the case of an access point which supports both	in the clear.	comments. It is required that all
					'clear mode' and WEP mode. Specifically:	Therefore, in order to reduce	STAs implement Opensys auth,
						overhead, the standard ought to state	_
					Can both modes be simultaneously supported?	that all multicasts will be sent in the	auth must be successful.
					How are multicasts handled - sent twice once in the	clear and that WEP stations must	
					clear and again encrypted with WEP?	also receive and not reject these	
						broadcasts based on WEP bit.	
34	5.4.3.3	MT	T		ref: MT_9	It seems there should be a strong line	Comment withdrawn by author
	6.1.2					formed which allows only a single	after discussion.
	8.x.x.x				A potential security problem exists in the case where	•	
					a station can support both/several authentication	the standard.	
					methods.		
						-or-	
					Consider the 'obvious' case of a wireless access poin		
					operating as a repeater.	the previous comment) the user	
					In this situation, the repeater associates to an access		
					point connected to the distribution system using the	standard allows for authentication	

	Novem	DCI 17	//0			LE F 002.11-90/150-2	
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
					WEP authentication method. A mobile station	method translation and the standard	
					associates to the repeater using the 'clear' method. I	f should provide the hooks for	
					the repeater forwards the packets from the mobile	enabling or disabling this translation	
					station using the WEP encryption, then a possible	via a MIB variable.	
					network infringement exists.		
					A similar scenario is two stations associated to the	-or-	
					same ESS. One station uses 'clear' and the other use	s remove authentication from the	
					WEP. If both associated to the same AP, the AP mus	t standard.	
					perform the clear-WEP or WEP-clear translation		
					providing a potential breach. The same situation		
					exists when they are associated to differen APs.		
35	5.45.1.1. 2 (c) 5.2.4.1	TLP	e	Yes	The wireless medium is definitely singular (unless there is an alternate universe with multiple "ethers"), or unless P802.11 is extending its charter to acoustic modes of transmission.	s change "edia" to "edium" everywhere except when referring to wired media.	Corrected in clause 5
	9.2.1						
	12.all						
	14.all						
	15.some						
	16.all						

Seq.	Clause number	your voter'	Cmnt	Part of	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
π	Humber	s ID	type E, e,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
		I.	,				
36	5.5	DBA	T	Y	The following sentence is incorrect:	Delete the following sentence from	both the Original problemshich lead to the statement objected to
					"An AP shall always be in State 3."	clause 5.5:	and the statement have been corrected.
					With this sentence the MAC as specified can not work.	"An AP shall always be in State 3."	
					Consider that the effect of this sentence is to place an AF		
					permanently in state 3. The impact is tantamount to not	Change:	
					having a state distinction for APs. As a result the system		
					can not operate and will end up in deadlock.	"It provides the logical connection to the DS and as a Point Coordinator	
					Consider: Since an AP would always be in state 3 from	(PC), it may provide a Contention Free	
					it's point of view, it will send any frame it wants to any	Period (CFP)."	
					other station. Now consider the "other" station - if it is		
					not an AP it may be in state 1 or 2, if it receives a class x	То:	
					frame where $X > it$ 's believed state, it is required by the		
					draft to respond with either a de-authentication or	"An AP provides the logical	
					disassociation frame - both of which are intended to	connection to the DS and as a Point	
					resolve a state mismatch between communicating	Coordinator (PC), it may provide a	
					stations. However since the AP is locked into state 3, the	Contention Free Period (CFP)."	
					mismatch can not be resolved as the AP CAN NOT change out of state 3.		
					Clearly the protocol is broken by the added sentence.		
37	5.5	JMZ	t		The new sentence "An AP shall always be in State 3"	Change "An AP shall always be in	See comment #37 resolution.
5,	0.0	GIVIZ	•		that Dave objected to ought to make it clear that this is	State 3" to "With respect to the	see comment way resolution.
					with respect to the broadcast address (which is,	broadcast destination, an AP shall	
					conceptually, a STA that is always associated).	always be in State 3. In particular, an	
					Otherwise an AP could only haveCFPs and/or transmit	AP may transmit broadcast frames at	
					beacons if someone is associated.	any time."	
38	5.5	JMZ	t		The three requirements to send aDeauthentication or	Add ", except if STA B in an AP" to	text clairified to explain that this
					Disassociation frame to STA B should not apply to an	the end of the three appropriate	requiement does not apply to
					AP. Otherwise, an unassociated STA would have to	sentences that now end with "STA B".	reception of broadcast messages.
					complain whenever it received a broadcast, which would		

	November 1990			1		UUC IEEE 1 002.11-70/130-2		
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal	
#	number	voter'	type	of				
		s ID	E, e,	NO				
		code	T, t	vote				
		•						
					clearly be harmful.			
39	5.5	MT	t		ref: MT_10  Clarify operation of AP which is 'always in state 3'. If no stations are associated, are multicast packets to be forwarded via the RF anyway? If the AP support WEP, how should multicasts be transmitted?  By disallowing multicast retransmission without any association will conserve bandwidth only in the case of overlapping coverage areas.  However,	Reference MT_1 and MT_2, without staleout, an AP may be in this	Problem Correct in draft text.	
					By allowingmulticast retransmission, the scanning process of a mobile station could be reduced by having the added traffic available.			
40	5.5	MT	t		ref: MT_11  text should be added to clarify station operation in situation where a STA A is associated with STA B and multicasts are received from STA C (also associated with STA B but not STA A) and all are members of the same ESS	Text should be added which clarifies system operation. One method is to drop the frames and another is to assume allmulticasts are processed.  Another mode which the standard could specify is that all traffic within an infracture network must go through an access point. Therefore, a station would only accept traffic from its current access point (exception is during the scanning process)	Author OK In the case sitpulated the frame is "received" at thephy, butit is not "received" at the top of the mac as if will not pass the filtering criteria specified in other clauses of the draft - the frame is dropped - this is the surrently specified operation of the MAC in 5.0.	
41	5.5	MT	Т		ATIMs must be allowed in state 1 (at least for the IBSS mode)  rationale:  1) cannot authenticate to a PSP node  2) only ATIMs and beacons are allowed during the ATIM window (no authentication packets are allowed) which means that the PSP node will likely be asleep and not available to receive the			

Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal
#	number	voter'	type	of			
		s ID	<b>E</b> , <b>e</b> ,	NO			
		code	T, t	vote			
			1				
					authentication request.		
					problem: if you are in state 1 (nauthenticated) one		
					cannot send an ATIM to keep the other STA awake		
					allowing ATIMs from non-authenticated stations will		
					allow the station to authenticate and/or send other		
					management frames.		
42	5.5	MT	t		ref: MT_11		commnet withdranw.
							Question of multicast vs wep is
					In an IBSS, clarify the authentication method and define how frames are handled in the event that		still being handled as part of other comments.
					multiple authentication methods are simultaneously		other comments.
					supported.		
					Are all multicast frames encrypted if WEP is		
					enabled? etc.		
43	5.5	MT	t		ref: MT_12		No, this is not allowed as all
							authentication is pair wise. Text
					are multicast authentication packets allowed?		added to clairify this.
					Allowing such, could improve IBSS setup		
					performance.		
44	5.5	MT	t		ref: MT_13		This has been corrected in the draft text for the next revision.
					the standard identifies that a frame received from a		
					non-authenticated station requires that a		
					deauthentication frame be returned.		
					Clarify if this refers to only a directed frame, or if the		
					receipt of a multicast from a non-authenticated		
					station will require that adeauthentication packet be sent.		
					Example, ARPs will continuously fail for a particular		
					node that is not authenticated. If a protocol		
					(transmission sequence) consists only afhulticast		
					frames, two stations will not be aware of each other in		
					order to establish communication - therefore,		
					multicasts from non-authenticated stations must be		

	110 / CIII	DCI 13	770			uoc IEEE 1 002.11-90/130-2		
Seq.	Clause	your	Cmnt	Part	Comment/Rationale	Recommended change	Disposition/Rebuttal	
#	number	voter'	type	of			_	
		s ID	E, e,	NO				
		code	T, t	vote				
					responded to with adeauthentication frame.			

				responded to with adeauthentication frame.		
45	5.5	MT	Е	general information should be added to the standard which clarifies how a station becomes authenticated with other members of an IBSS. Camulticast authentication packets be sent? (MT_12)  Can a multicast data frame be sent and the returned deauthentication frames be processed by authenticating to each node. (MT_13)  In general, How does a station become aware of othe		Author withdraws comment as it is covered by previous comment resolutions to other comments from the Author.66
46	5.7	SD	t	members of the IBSS?  Nothing is said or even nœférence is given to how the fields BSSID and ESSID are to be defined.	Give the référence to the related section.	Reference is unnecessary as the terms are previously defined in cluase 3 definitions.
47	5.7.4	MT	t	Clarify this section to state that an AP wishing to disassociate a station in power save mode will use the power save data delivery method by setting the SID bit of the station and delivering the DISASSOCIATION.request via this method.  In the case of an AP wishing to disassociate from all stations (some of which are in power save mode) will		
				wait until the DTIM time to deliver the dissociation request to the broadcast address. {this is normal operation, but should be clarified here}		
48	5.7.7	JMZ	t	The broadcast address should be allowed for Deauthentication frames just as it is for Disassociation frames.	Harmonize with Information Items: section from 5.7.4.	
49	5.8	JD	e	it is distracting to have two PLME_SAP (even though they have the same function) <u>kuggest</u> using their full names	See figure at the end	