IEEE P802.11
Wireless LANs

## TGb proposal comparison matrix

Date:

## Author:

March, xx, 1998
William Roberts
American Microsystems, Inc.
1651 Alvin Ricken Drive
Pocatello Idaho 83201
Phone: 2082334690 ext. 6514
Fax: 2082346760
e-Mail: wroberts@poci.amis.com

This document is a comparative matrix of the modulation techniques being consideration by the TGb (high data rate 2.4 GHz PHY) subgroup. The basis of this matrix is the evaluation criteria described in document "97157r1.doc". Document "9854.doc" describes how this matrix will be used in the selection process.

The proposers will complete this matrix for each individual proposal as well as for any derivative proposals that makes performance, complexity and interoperability tradeoffs.

Several requested matrix entries require textual descriptions or verbal explanations. In those cases, the proposers are encouraged to give brief descriptions and references to any other pertinent submissions.

General description:

|  | Golden Bridge | Harris | KDD | Lucent | MicriLor | Raytheon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Modulation <br> Technique |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data Rate(s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sensitivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reference <br> submissions |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Receiver structure:

|  | Golden Bridge | Harris | KDD | Lucent | MicriLor | Raytheon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Receiver <br> structure <br> description |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RF/IF <br> complexity <br> relative to <br> current low <br> rate PHYs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseband <br> processing <br> complexity. <br> relative to <br> current low <br> rate PHYs. <br> (Gate Count, <br> MIPS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equalizer <br> Complexity <br> and <br> performance <br> impact (if <br> applicable). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antenna <br> Diversity and <br> performance <br> impact. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Multipath and Noise performance:

|  | Golden Bridge | Harris | KDD | Lucent | MicriLor | Raytheon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graph of PER vs. multipath rms delay spread (no noise). Delay spread @ 10\% PER for 64 and 1000 byte packets. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graph of PER vs. thermal noise w/ multipath @ 10\% PER. Eb/No @ 20\% PER for 64 and 1000 byte packets. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graph of PER vs. thermal noise (no multipath). Eb/No @ 10\% PER for 64 and 1000 byte packets. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Carrier and Data frequency accuracy:

|  | Golden Bridge | Harris | KDD | Lucent | MicriLor | Raytheon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Required <br> Carrier <br> frequency <br> accuracy. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degradation at <br> worst case <br> carrier <br> frequency <br> offset. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data clock <br> frequency <br> accuracy. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degradation at <br> worst case <br> data clock <br> frequency <br> offset. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Overhead related parameters:

|  | Golden Bridge | Harris | KDD | Lucent | MicriLor | Raytheon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Preamble <br> length |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Does the <br> preamble <br> length include <br> receive <br> antenna <br> diversity? Yes <br> or no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Does the <br> preamble <br> length include <br> equalizer <br> training? Yes <br> or no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slot time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCA <br> mechanism <br> description. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Co-Channel <br> signal <br> detection time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RX/TX <br> turnaround <br> time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SIFS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Spectral efficiency, Cell density related parameters:

|  | Golden Bridge | Harris | KDD | Lucent | MicriLor | Raytheon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Channelization <br> scheme |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cell planing <br> scheme |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjacent <br> channel <br> interference <br> rejection. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Co-channel <br> interference <br> rejection. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S/J where CW <br> interference <br> gives 10\% <br> PER. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other <br> interference <br> immunity tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Co-Channel <br> signal <br> detection time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number <br> of channels in <br> 2.4GHz band. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate <br> throughput. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Misc. critical performance factors:

|  | Golden Bridge | Harris | KDD | Lucent | MicriLor | Raytheon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Phase noise <br> sensitivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RF PA backoff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DC power <br> consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intellectual property:

|  | Golden Bridge | Harris | KDD | Lucent | MicriLor | Raytheon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Has the <br> submission of <br> the required |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IEEE letter <br> covering IP <br> been made? <br> Yes or No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicable <br> patent <br> numbers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point of <br> contact |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Interoperability and Coexistence:

|  | Golden Bridge | Harris | KDD | Lucent | MicriLor | Raytheon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interoperability <br> / Co-existence <br> strategy with <br> current low <br> rate PHYs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the proposal <br> Interoperable <br> at the data <br> level? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the proposal <br> Interoperable <br> at the antenna <br> level? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performance <br> penalty due to <br> Interoperability <br> / Coexistence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

