

**Submission to:
IEEE P802.11
Wireless LANS**

Title: TGb REPORT FOR MEETING OF 07/05/99 to 07/09/99 Montreal, Canada

Date: July 1999

Author: John Fakatselis
Harris Semiconductor
2401 Palm Bay Road
Palm Bay, Florida
32905
USA
Tel: (407)-724-7000
Fax: (407)-724-7886
email: jfakat01@harris.com

**IEEE802.11 Task Group b
July 5 – 9 , Montreal Canada**

AGENDA

- **Secretary Appointment**
- **Call to Order**
- **Approval of minutes**
- **Policies overview**
 - **Voting Rights**
 - **Debates**
 - **Key Motions**
 - **Point of order**
 - **Point of information**
 - **Parliamentary inquiry**
- **Tgb schedule overview**
- **Call for Papers**

- **Comment Compilation Overview**
- **Comment Resolution Process**
- **Comment Resolution closure**
- **Presentation to WG Plenary.**

Motion:

Approve May minutes

Bob/Carl

Passed 9-0-0

July 5, 1999

Remaining Commenters / Required technical (R)

- Anil R

- Dave B. R

- Jack A.

- Jeff F. R

- John C. R

- Mike T. R

- Rich S. R

- Stan R.

Comment Resolution Team

Bob, Jan, Rich, Dean, Carl

Marteen, Chris, Harry

Guidelines

- The fundamental theme and assurance on our answers is interoperability.
- Complete technical answers with references from the draft, avoid analogies.
- Recognition of commenters concern and point but elaborate that interoperability is the criteria of the standards committee at this point of the process.
- It is a design by committee.

Motion:

To adopt all resolutions as presented by the TGb comment resolution team and adopted by the TGb individually and published in document 99/155 r1

Dean/Bruce

Passes 14/0/2

Motion:

To instruct the editor to modify the draft based on the resolutions in doc. 99/155 r1 and to submit draft.last for sponsor ballot recirculation.

Bruce/Dean

Passes 16/0/0

07/07/99 – 07/08/99

Conference with Mike T.

183 withdrawn

188 Open

192 Open

276 Open

281 Closed (Accepted)

269 Closed (Accepted)

270 Closed

284 Open

255 Closed

250 Closed

Conference with Rich S.

- **Rich believes that the standard as written is a disservice to the user community since certain systems can be denied association in networks that options are being used. He also believes that this is repeating the mistake done with WEP.**
- **He prefers the 802.3 model.**

Conference with John C.

- **John believes that the introduction of potential interference from an uncoordinated FH network (i.e. in SOHO environments) does not justify the risk of maintaining the Frequency Agility option in the standard.**
- **John will consider changing his vote if the frequency agility option is removed. His other comment is of secondary importance and he is flexible.**

Conference with Anil S.

- **314 Closed Accepted**
- **316 Withdrawn**

Not able to contact Dave B. and Jeff F.

Motion to reconsider the following motion:

To adopt all resolutions as presented by the TGb comment resolution team and adopted by the TGb individually and published in document 99/155 r1

Bob/Jan

Passes 12-0-0

Motion to amend:

To adopt all resolutions as presented by the TGb comment resolution team and adopted by the TGb individually and published in document 99/155 r2

Bob/Jan

Passes 12-0-1

Motion:

To adopt all resolutions as presented by the TGb comment resolution team and adopted by the TGb individually and published in document 99/155 r2

Passes 12-0-0

Motion to reconsider the following motion:

To instruct the editor to modify the draft based on the resolutions in doc. 99/155 r1 and to submit draft.last for sponsor ballot recirculation.

Bob/Chris

Passes 12-0-0

Bruce/Dean

Passes 16/0/0

Motion to amend:

To instruct the editor to modify the draft based on the resolutions in doc. 99/155 r2 and to submit draft.last for sponsor ballot recirculation ,as well as, for conditional approval to submitt to REVcom if no new technical comments or new no votes result from the recirculation.

Bob/Chris

Passes 12-0-0

Motion

To instruct the editor to modify the draft based on the resolutions in doc. 99/155 r2 and to submit draft.last for sponsor ballot recirculation ,as well as, for conditional approval to submitt to REVcom if no new technical comments or new no votes result from the recirculation.

Passes 12-0-0.

