
IEEE P802.15
Wireless Personal Area Networks

Project	IEEE P802.15 Working Group for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs)		
Title	CONVERGENCE OF THE INSTANT CHANNEL REPLACEMENT ALGORITHM (ACL + SCO – HV2 LINK)		
Date Submitted	3 October, 2001		
Source	Vitaliy Sapozhnykov, HongBing Gan, Bijan Treister, Efstratios (Stan) Skafidas Bandspeed Inc. 500 Collins Street, Level 9 Melbourne, Victoria, Australia 3000	Voice: Fax: E-mail:	613-9614-6299 613-9614-6699 v.sapozhnykov@h.gan@b.treister@e.skafidas@bandspeed.com.au
Re:	[]		
Abstract	Convergence of the adaptive frequency hopping algorithm for ACL + SCO HV2 traffic is analyzed in the Bandspeed Adaptive Frequency Hopping – Instant Channel Replacement proposal.		
Purpose	Clarification for TG2 members.		
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE P802.15. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.		
Release	The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution becomes the property of IEEE and may be made publicly available by P802.15.		

DEFINITIONS

Transaction	a pair of Tx – Rx slots
N	total number of hop channels
N_G	number of ‘Good’ channels
N_{BK}	number of ‘Bad-To-Keep’ channels
N_{BN}	number of ‘Bad-To-Replace’ channels

1. PROBABILITIES

1.1. The probability of generating a ‘Good’ channel

$$P_G = \frac{N_G}{N} \quad (1)$$

1.2. The probability of generating a ‘Bad-To-Keep’ channel

$$P_{BK} = \frac{N_{BK}}{N} \quad (2)$$

1.2. The probability of generating a ‘Bad-To-Replace’ channel

$$P_{BN} = \frac{N_{BN}}{N} \quad (3)$$

1.3. The probability of a ‘Bad-To-Replace’ channel is the sum of the probability that a ‘Bad-To-Replace’ channel will be replaced by ‘Good’ channel and the probability that ‘Bad-To-Replace’ channel will be replaced by ‘Bad-To-Keep’ channel.

$$P_{BN} = P_{BN \rightarrow G} + P_{BN \rightarrow BK} \quad (4)$$

- 1.4. The probability that 'Bad-To-Replace' channel will be replaced by 'Good' channel (see App. 1)

$$P_{BN \rightarrow G} = \frac{1}{1+K} \cdot P_{BN} \quad (5)$$

- 1.5. The probability that 'Bad-To-Replace' channel will be replaced by 'Bad-To-Keep' channel (see App. 1)

$$P_{BN \rightarrow BK} = \frac{K}{1+K} \cdot P_{BN} , \quad (6)$$

$$K = \frac{N_{BK}}{N_G}$$

- 1.6. Total probabilities of appearance of 'Good' and 'Bad-To-Keep' channels

$$P_{\Sigma G} = P_G + P_{BN \rightarrow G} \quad (7)$$

$$P_{\Sigma BK} = P_{BK} + P_{BN \rightarrow BK} \quad (8)$$

- 1.7. Transaction probabilities: 'GG', 'GBK', 'BKG', 'BKBK'

$$P_{GG} = P_{\Sigma G} \cdot P_{\Sigma G} \quad (9)$$

$$P_{GBK} = P_{\Sigma G} \cdot P_{\Sigma BK} \quad (10)$$

$$P_{BKG} = P_{\Sigma BK} \cdot P_{\Sigma G} \quad (11)$$

$$P_{BKBK} = P_{\Sigma BK} \cdot P_{\Sigma BK} \quad (12)$$

Note: $P_{GBK} = P_{BKG}$

1.8. Probabilities in ACL + SCO (HV2) link.

In an ACL + SCO (HV2) link, half of the Tx – Rx pairs (transactions) are allocated for voice and another half of transactions are allocated for data.

HV2 transaction probabilities:

$$P_{GG_HV2} = 0.5P_{GG};$$

$$P_{GBK_HV2} = 0.5P_{GBK};$$

$$P_{BKG_HV2} = 0.5P_{BKG};$$

$$P_{BKBK_HV2} = 0.5P_{BKBK}$$

ACL transaction probabilities:

$$P_{GG_ACL} = 0.5P_{GG};$$

$$P_{GBK_ACL} = 0.5P_{GBK};$$

$$P_{BKG_ACL} = 0.5P_{BKG};$$

$$P_{BKBK_ACL} = 0.5P_{BKBK}$$

1.9. The probability of appearance of ‘Bad-To-Keep’ channels ($B_K \rightarrow G$ replacements) in HV2 slots

$$\begin{aligned} P_{R_HV2} &= P_{GBK_HV2} + P_{BKG_HV2} + P_{BKBK_HV2} \\ &= 0.5(P_{GBK} + P_{BKG} + P_{BKBK}) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

1.10. The probability of appearance of ‘Good’ channels ($G \rightarrow B_K$ replacements) in ACL slots

$$\begin{aligned} P_{A_ACL} &= P_{GBK_ACL} + P_{BKG_ACL} + P_{GG_ACL} \\ &= 0.5(P_{GBK} + P_{BKG} + P_{GG}) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

2. CONVERGENCE WHEN $N_G \geq N_{BK}$

For the ICR algorithm to converge (the GUD does not grow indefinitely large) we require the probability of appearance of ‘Bad-To-Keep’ channels in HV2 slots to be smaller than or equal to the probability of appearance of ‘Good’ channels in ACL slots

$$P_{R_HV2} \leq P_{A_ACL} \quad (15)$$

or, equivalently, (by substitution of (13) and (14) into (15))

$$P_{GG} \geq P_{BKBK} \quad (16)$$

Condition (16) is satisfied if

$$N_G \geq N_{BK} \quad (17)$$

(See App. 2 for proof).

3. CONVERGENCE WHEN $N_G \leq N_{BK}$

To provide convergence when $N_G \leq N_{BK}$, do the following first in the low priority timeslots:

- Replace 'Good Good' channel pair to 'Bad Bad' channel pair, to save 2 good channel usage, *i.e.*, decrease Good Channel Usage Debt Counter (GUD) by 2.
- Replace 'Good Bad' channel pair to 'Bad Bad' channel pair, to save 1 good channel usage, *i.e.*, decrease GUD by 1.

In the high priority timeslots, do the following:

- Keep 'Good Good' channel pair untouched
- Replace 'Good Bad' pair to 'Good Good' channel pair as usual
- For 'Bad Good' channel pair, if $GUD < -1$, then replace to 'Good Good' channel pair, and increment GUD by 1. If $GUD > -1$, replace to 'Bad Bad' as usual.
- For 'Bad Bad' channel pair, if $GUD < -1$, then replace to 'Good Good' channel pair, and increment GUD by 2. If $GUD > -1$, keep it untouched as usual.

By this way, GUD is always converged towards to zero.

APPENDIX 1 $P_{BN \rightarrow G}$ **AND** $P_{BN \rightarrow BK}$ **PROBABILITIES**

$P_{BN \rightarrow G}$ and $P_{BN \rightarrow BK}$ may be obtained from the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} P_{BN} = P_{BN \rightarrow G} + P_{BN \rightarrow BK} \\ P_{BN \rightarrow BK} = K \cdot P_{BN \rightarrow G} \end{cases} \quad (1A)$$

Where K is the proportionality coefficient

$$K = \frac{N_{BK}}{N_G}$$

Solving the system (1A) subject to $P_{BN \rightarrow G}$ we get

$$P_{BN \rightarrow G} = \frac{1}{1 + K} \cdot P_{BN}$$

By analogy

$$P_{BN \rightarrow BK} = \frac{K}{1 + K} \cdot P_{BN}$$

APPENDIX 2

THE PROOF

$$P_{GG} \geq P_{BKBK} \text{ is true if } N_G \geq N_{BK}$$

$$P_{GG} = P_{\Sigma G} \cdot P_{\Sigma G} = (P_G + P_{BN \rightarrow G})^2$$

$$P_{BKBK} = P_{\Sigma BK} \cdot P_{\Sigma BK} = (P_{BK} + P_{BN \rightarrow BK})^2$$

So the condition is

$$(P_G + P_{BN \rightarrow G})^2 \geq (P_{BK} + P_{BN \rightarrow BK})^2$$

$$P_G^2 + 2P_G P_{BN \rightarrow G} + P_{BN \rightarrow G}^2 \geq P_{BK}^2 + 2P_G P_{BN \rightarrow BK} + P_{BN \rightarrow BK}^2;$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N_G^2}{N^2} + \frac{2N_G^2 N_{BN}}{N^2(N_G + N_{BK})} + \frac{N_{BN}^2 N_G^2}{N^2(N_G + N_{BK})^2} &\geq \\ \geq \frac{N_{BK}^2}{N^2} + \frac{2N_{BK}^2 N_{BN}}{N^2(N_G + N_{BK})} + \frac{N_{BN}^2 N_{BK}^2}{N^2(N_G + N_{BK})^2}; \end{aligned}$$

$$N_G^2 \left[\frac{1}{N^2} + \frac{2N_{BN}}{N^2(N_G + N_{BK})} + \frac{N_{BN}^2}{N^2(N_G + N_{BK})^2} \right] \geq$$
$$N_{BK}^2 \left[\frac{1}{N^2} + \frac{2N_{BN}}{N^2(N_G + N_{BK})} + \frac{N_{BN}^2}{N^2(N_G + N_{BK})^2} \right];$$

$$N_G^2 \geq N_{BK}^2$$

N_G , N_{BK} are always positive, so

$$N_G \geq N_{BK}$$