CommentType | Comment Status | Response Status

10 **Cl** 00 *Team EDIT* **SC** 00 **E / A / W** Gifford, Ian
The use of shall/should/may/can/will/must throughout the document needs to used in accordance with IEEE's style.

55 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 7.1 **T / X / W** Bourgeois, Monique
What if a device receives a primitive that it does not understand? How is this handled?

101 **Cl** 05 *Clause 5 TECH* **SC** 5.4.5.1 **T / X / W** Bourgeois, Monique
This does not specify whether or not "another device currently transmitting on the channel" belongs to the same network as the device.

105 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** Table 68 **T / X / W** Bourgeois, Monique
Some of the MAC PIB objects are not referenced anywhere in the draft.

109 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** Table 64 **T / X / W** Bourgeois, Monique
This is the only mention of multicast/broadcast frames.

111 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 7.3 **T / X / W** Bourgeois, Monique
Do we really want to use CSMA for beacons, since they are responsible for synchronizing the network (what if GTS is supported)?

112 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 7.5.4.1 **T / X / W** Bourgeois, Monique
What happens if a network coordinator receives a GTS request while it has a previous request pending? How does it handle simultaneous

113 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 7.5.2.2.1 **T / X / W** Bourgeois, Monique
Does a network coordinator change its macFrameOrder to 15 when it enters snooze mode?

114 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 7.5.2.1 **T / X / W** Bourgeois, Monique
What if two networks do somehow choose the same network ID? How would this conflict be resolved?

115 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** Table 57 **T / X / W** Bourgeois, Monique
One bit for Address Type does not allow for future expansion of the protocol.

126 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 7.5.4 **T / X / W** Bourgeois, Monique
When does handshaking occur for GTS transmissions?

144 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 6.1 **TR / D / W** Breen, Greg
The standard aims to comply with 15.247. This regulatory document requires a 6dB bandwidth of 500 KHz, but the specified 915 MHz PHY l

145 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 6.6.2.6 **T / R / W** Breen, Greg
The pulse shape specification seems to be incorrect because it shall produce an irregular waveform.

172 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.4.5.1 **T / X / W** Carmeli, Boaz
It is not clear from the standard what a device should do in case of failer to transnit a beacom when the channel is busy. Should it choose a

181 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 6.8.8 **T / A / W** Carmeli, Boaz
The mapling between the integer and the energy level shell be defined.

182 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 6.8.9 **T / A / W** Carmeli, Boaz
The mapling between the integer and the link cuality level shell be defined.

188 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.4.3.2 **T / X / W** Carmeli, Boaz
What happen to pending message at the network coordinator that is never requested by the relevent network node. Is there a time-to-live ti

193 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** Table 16 (6.5 **T / A / W** Carmeli, Boaz
Why the phyMaxPacketSize is so small? is it really only 58 bytes for the 2.4 GHz phy? If so - why the 900 MHz phy has longer packet size

194 **Cl** 07 *MAC EDIT* **SC** 7.1.1.3.2 **T / X / W** Carmeli, Boaz
What happen to packet with Destantion Address not equal to the Destanation Address of the receiving device (a 'not-for-me' packet). Whicl

195 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 6.8.10 **T / A / W** Carmeli, Boaz

The CCA mode 4 is not clear to me (sorry). What do we gain from listening to the channel for max PPDU size. it seems more important to w

196 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 7.2.2.4.2 **T / X / W** Carmeli, Boaz

What if there are more then 16 addresses pending? Are they transmitted in cyclic order?

197 **Cl** 00 *MAC TECH* **SC** 00 **T / X / W** Carmeli, Boaz

Can we support another addresses convention in which the network id will be a single byte long, and the device address will be of two by

199 **Cl** 06 *Coexistence Team* **SC** 6.9 **T / X / W** Chen, Hung-Kun

The section of coexistence for 802.15.4 does not address all other IEEE devices using 2.4 GHz band, such as 802.15.1, 802.15.3. Also it or

200 **Cl** 04 *Global EDIT* **SC** 00 **E / A / W** Chen, Hung-Kun

Should add PD(-SAP), MD(-SAP), MA(-SAP) in the acronym section for completeness' sake

201 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 6.8.10 **E / A / W** Chen, Hung-Kun

CCA mode 4: The timer is set to the max PPDU size. What should happen if the PPDU length information is decoded? Does the CCA keep

205 **Cl** 06 *Coexistence Team* **SC** 6.9 **TR / X / W** Chen, Kwang-Cheng

The section of coexistence for 802.15.4 does not address all other IEEE devices using 2.4 GHz band, such as 802.15.1, 802.15.3. Also it or

240 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 6.9.2 **TR / A / W** CYPHER, DAVID

Is CSMA/CCA mechanism different from CSMA/CA, CSMA-CA, or what is it?

246 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 7.1.2.5.3 **TR / X / W** CYPHER, DAVID

An inconsistency with the value of the GTSlength description in table 38 of 7.1.2.6.1 and the text described here.

261 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 7.5.2.1 **TR / X / W** CYPHER, DAVID

Statement states that "a network coordinator shall ensure that any network coordinators ... are awake ..." and only gives an option on how

263 **Cl** 06 *MAC TECH* **SC** 6.3.1.3.3 **T / X / W** CYPHER, DAVID

This clause states that, "The effect on receipt of this primitive by the MAC sublayer is unspecified." Is this statement made because there is

266 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 6.5 Table 16 **TR / A / W** CYPHER, DAVID

There is currently no default or mimimum required number of channels that must be supported. However, at least one channel must be sup

267 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 6.5 table 16 **TR / R / W** CYPHER, DAVID

No default/initial/minimum value is given for the Clear Channel Assessment Mode. Clause 6.8.10 states that the 802.15.4 Phy shall provide

279 **Cl** 00 *Coexistence Team* **SC** 00 **T / X / W** Golmie, Nada

The current draft for TG4 does not address the issue of coexistence with other systems operating in the same band.

294 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 6.8.1 **T / A / W** Gorday, Paul

Tx-to-RX turnaround time and Rx-to-Tx turnaround time, as currently specified, do not guarantee handshake operation.

301 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** Table 17 **T / A / W** Gorday, Paul

The new PN sequence is suboptimum for multipath performance.

302 **Cl** 06 *Phy EDIT* **SC** Table 16 **E / A / W** Gorday, Paul

The PIB objects phyCurrentChannel and phyNumChannelsSupported are not referenced in any of the sections.

303 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** Table 16 **T / A / W** Gorday, Paul

The spec lists no restrictions on phyNumChannelsSupported? For example, must a compliant device support all channels within a given bar

305 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 6.7 **T / A / W** Gorday, Paul

The 2.4 GHz PHY specifies a Transmit PSD mask, but the 868/915 PHY does not.

CommentType | Comment Status | Response Status

310 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** **E / A / W** Gorday, Paul
General comment regarding PHY chapter. Is there a reference to a test document that describes how various spcefications are verified? F

312 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** Table 15 **T / A / W** Gorday, Paul
Should the Data Start-of-Packet delimiter be changed such that there is a hamming distance of 4 between it and the preamble?

319 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 2 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
essentially this sentance claims the DEVs can obtain short addresses for operation in LR-WPAN. Nowhere in the draft the procedure requir

320 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 2 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
The first sentence in second complete para in 5.2 claim that DEVs can talk to each other without NC. How do they detect each other? How i

321 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 2.1.1 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
Sentence here claims that a network ID is chosen that is not currently in use by any other network within the radio range. How? What mecl

322 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 2.1.1 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
How is the network identifier obtained at a DEV? No where in this draft the mechanism needed for such a distribution nor the frame formats

323 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 2.1.1 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
This sentence claims that task of joining a network occurs above the MAC layer. What does this mean in terms of frame format used and ur

324 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 2.1.2 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
The use of word "although" implies that peer-peer network can operate with or without NC. But there is no description of such an operation

325 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 2.1.2 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
This sentence claims that NC can be nominated. What if there are multiple DEVs with same network ID waking at the same time and startin

326 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 2.1.2 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
This sentence claims that NC can be nominated. What if there are multiple DEVs with same network ID waking at the same time, starting sc

331 **Cl** 05 *Cluster-Tree Team* **SC** 2.1.3 **TR / D / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
What is this "predefined time period"

335 **Cl** 00 *MAC TECH* **SC** ALL **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
This entire draft is vague about "network ID". In 5.2.1.3 and frame format in Table-61 (pp 79) imply that data can be communicated over diffe

339 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 3.2 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
The claim of "time slot maintenance" in the MAC is ambiguous. There are no mechanisms defined for GTS request, allocation and deallocati

340 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 3.2 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
The claim of "Guaranteed packet delivery" in the MAC is ambiguous. There is no receovery mechanism if the max retry has reached. Isn't it?

341 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 3.2 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
This claims list does not cover all that is claimed in clause 5. Where are others like power management, security, association/disassociation

345 **Cl** 00 *MAC TECH* **SC** ALL **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
Power management completely escapes the draft except the mention of its requirement in 5.4.1. For example there is absolutely nothing in t

347 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 4 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
Choose macBaseFrameDuration to be a power of 2. It eases the implementation of timers to be 'm' bit wide. Otherwise it depends on the 'm

351 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 4.3 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
These lines are not clear enough. If beacon is needed for network connection purposes and if NC is currently not sending beacons becaus

352 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 4.3.1 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
These lines are not clear enough. if beacons are absent doesn't the clock drift at DEVs make the slotted CSMA/CA timings to get misaligned

CommentType | Comment Status | Response Status

353 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 4.3.2 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
how does a node request data (after periodically listening) pending at the NC? (same is true for lines 22:26 on page 18). There is no descrip

354 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 4.3.3 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
how do devices sync up to slotted CSMA/CA timings without beacon? Who distributes the short addresses in the absence of NC?

356 **Cl** 05 *MAC TECH* **SC** 4.3.3 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
In peer-peer mode, how do devices discover each other?

361 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 4.1.2 **TR / A / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
This is the first place where a bit tx rule is mentioned. Why is this only for one field? Isn't this a common rule for all fields?

362 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 4.1.3 **TR / A / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
Is this field bit-0 or bit-7 of PHY-Header-octet? What is the use of this bit? nowhere in this doc, except for the mentioning of this bit in 6.4.1.3

363 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 4.1.4 **TR / A / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
what is the length of this field?

364 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 8.10 **TR / R / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
If this has to be a low-cost implementation, there has to be one simple, reliable scheme for CCA. How can an high end system support five

365 **Cl** 06 *Coexistence Team* **SC** 9 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
I haven't seen any supporting evidence that the 802.15.4 devices will take less than 1% duty cycle? How was this derived? Please add jus

366 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
"Handles and maintains the GTS mechanism" is an overstatement for the description present in the draft

370 **Cl** 07 *MAC EDIT* **SC** 2.1.2 **E / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
While this table is useful, it has to absolutely accompany text description of who uses which format. For example, a line "a non-NC DEV use

375 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.1 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
While clause-5 (especially the FRAME format in figure-5) claimed to have been using slotted CSMA/CA, there is no such mention of it in 7.5.

376 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.1.1 **E / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
Since backoff scheme is already well understood in 802-wireless community, why not use the already familiar terms to define it?<CR><CR>

377 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.1.1 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
Why is backoff counter decrementing irrespective of channel conditions? Measuring CCA for a small time unit (phy-slot) and decrementing I

379 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.1.1 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
if the backoff timer is arbitrary, how does the next transmission supposed to sync up with the slotted CSMA/CA timings

380 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.1.1 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
These lines seem to provide a means to higher layers using which they can indicate tx-immediate or abort a packet. since this retry-limit is a

381 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.2.1 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
What does sending a data packet with broadcast network ID do to the snoozing NCs? It is not one of the stimulus listed in 7.5.2.2.1 anyway!

382 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.2.2.1 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
if NC is snoozing how do non-NC-capable DEVs detect the presence of NC

387 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.4 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
this clause also assumes that there are no GTS-alloc/dealloc related transactions over the air initiated/terminated-at MAC. How do GTS re-a

388 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.5 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL
DCS: How does the NC know the channel condition at DEVs to decide to change the channel? How does it communicate the decision to the

389 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.5 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL

DCS: What is the timeout for DEVs to start searching for the missing NC? How does a DEV distinguish the conditoins among (a) bad chann

390 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.6 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL

How does the "macMAxHandshakeWaitDuration" work in GTS?

391 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.6.1 **TR / X / W** GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL

This clause does an attempt to describe the ack-timeout procedure. If what is needed already exists in an understood format, especially wit

422 **Cl** 06 *MAC TECH* **SC** 6.3.1.1 **T / X / W** Gutierrez, Jose

What happens when a PD-Data.request is done with a MPDU whose length makes the overall phyPacketsize greater than the phyMaxPacke

424 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** Table 4 **T / A / W** Gutierrez, Jose

What happens when the length of a received packet is greater thah phyMaxPacketSize?

428 **Cl** 06 *Phy EDIT* **SC** Table 14 **E / A / W** Gutierrez, Jose

On table 14 there is an inconsistency between what this table states and the explanation in section 5.4.4. On 5.4.4 the sync header, beaco

431 **Cl** 05 *PHY TECH* **SC** **T / X / W** Gutierrez, Jose

We need to add information related to the need of the sync burst packet. Nowhere in the whole document is mention the need of this functic

432 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** Table 16 **T / A / W** Gutierrez, Jose

We have a phyNumChannelsSupported in the PIB but this may not be enough since we have 2 PHY's!

435 **Cl** 06 *Coexistence Team* **SC** 6.9 **T / X / W** Gutierrez, Jose

Section 6.9 needs to be expanded. Not enough information

447 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 7.1.2.15 **T / A / W** Gutierrez, Jose

Page 70:<CR>Section 7.1.2.15.1: How does the MAC knows where to search? the network ID in the PIB -> then state it.<CR><CR>On line

451 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** Table 52 **T / A / W** Gutierrez, Jose

Table 52: Why do I need to track the beacon? I mean it is good to know you are in sync (and when you are not) but this can be a function in

469 **Cl** 07 *MAC EDIT* **SC** 7.5.2 **E / X / W** Gutierrez, Jose

Recommend to add a flow diagram for Sections 7.5.2.1 and 7.5.2.2

479 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** **T / X / W** Gutierrez, Jose

Need sequence diagrams showing some scenarios of operation of the cluster tree -> the Use of the MAC primitives specific for cluster tree

480 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** **T / X / W** Gutierrez, Jose

HOW A SHORT ADDRESS IS ALLOCATED?

482 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** Table 26 **T / X / W** Gutierrez, Jose

Table 26: In TxOptions: What is the meaning of "transmit in the current GTS"?

483 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 7.1.2.6 **T / X / W** Gutierrez, Jose

Page 63 and 64: The GTS Reallocation looks like garbage collection. I would like to eliminate this functionality and leave it for the upper laye

484 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 7.5.4.2 **T / X / W** Gutierrez, Jose

Why the upper layers have to do a confirmation of the GTS reallocation?Can we leave the reallocation for the upper layers?

485 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 7.5.4.1 **T / X / W** Gutierrez, Jose

What is the protocol for a NC to setup a GTS? How does a node request a GTS?

535 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** Table 44 **T / X / W** Jamieson, Phil

The ChannelList parameter talks about a list of channels from the list of available PHY channels. How will this be done? Do we refer to the

564 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 7.5.2.4 **T / X / W** Jamieson, Phil
Editorials - see remedy.<CR><CR>Paragraph 2, the synchronization "as described above" probably needs to be spelled out - synchronisatic

577 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** Table 16 **T / A / W** Jamieson, Phil
The description of the PIB entry phyMaxPacketSize is not quite worded correctly and is also restrictive for a 2.4GHz PHY implementation tha

579 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 2.5.3 **TR / A / W** Kinney, Patrick
A sensitivity of -85 dBm is not good enough for the 868/928 PHY. The major reason for this device over the 2.4 GHz device is range. The e

581 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 6.3.1 **TR / A / W** Kinney, Patrick
The limits for transmit PSD are unclear as to whether they are averages or peak limits

582 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** table 19 **T / A / W** Kinney, Patrick
what should be limits are stated as desired levels, eg adj chan rej = 0 dB

583 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** .7 **TR / D / W** Kinney, Patrick
The method proposed for 868/928 has not been validated with published analyses or test results for sensitivity, BER vs interference, multip

585 **Cl** 06 *Coexistence Team* **SC** 9.2 **T / X / W** Kinney, Patrick
The following verbage isn't strong enough:<CR><CR>The 802.15.4 devices have several characteristics that improves its coexistence with

586 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 7.3.3 **T / A / W** Kinney, Patrick
what should be limits are stated as desired levels, eg adj chan rej = 0 dB

588 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 1.2.7 **TR / X / W** Kinney, Patrick
The reallocation of GTSs is a good idea but I cannot understand how the mechanism's stated in this section will work. Specifically how will

591 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.2.2 **TR / X / W** Kinney, Patrick
I did not find any description of the mechanism for resolving duplicate network id's. I understand the network search but it may not find a ne

592 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.5 **TR / X / W** Kinney, Patrick
Dynamic Channel Selection is a good feature (very good for coexistence) but is not described in detail

593 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.2.3 **TR / X / W** Kinney, Patrick
In Network Synchronization, there really is no description of the procedure to attach and join a network. Specifically I believe that logical ad

594 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.2.3 **TR / X / W** Kinney, Patrick
In Network Synchronization, there really is no description of the procedure to attach and join a network. Specifically, how is authorization c

595 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 8.5 **TR / D / W** Kinney, Patrick
Power shutback is required for this standard but is not addressed as to when it should be used or not used. Spefically: "A compliant transr

596 **Cl** 06 *Coexistence Team* **SC** 00 **TR / R / W** Lansford, Jim
This specification describes a physical layer that, at the RF interface, is not interoperable, and does not coexist with other IEEE adopted or p

597 **Cl** 06 *Coexistence Team* **SC** 6.9 **TR / X / W** Liu, Shawn
The section of coexistence for 802.15.4 does not address all other IEEE devices using 2.4 GHz band, such as 802.15.1, 802.15.3. Also it on

600 **Cl** 06 *Coexistence Team* **SC** 6.9 **TR / X / W** Maa, Yeong-Chang
The section of coexistence for 802.15.4 does not address all other IEEE devices using 2.4 GHz band, such as 802.15.1, 802.15.3. Also it on

604 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 6.7.3.3 **T / A / W** Martin, Fred
Spec is too tight, making LO noise and phase modulator accuracy into difficult design tasks. The spec could be relaxed to as much as 40%

615 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** Table 16 **T / A / W** Roberts, Richard
In description of the phyNumChannelsSupported

CommentType / Comment Status / Response Status

617 **Cl** 00 *Coexistence Team* **SC** **TR / X / W** Shellhammer, Steve
The standard does not sufficiently address the issue of wireless coexistence.

622 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** Table 4 **E / A / W** Shepherd, Nick
What is the algorithm for deriving the value of ppduLinkQuality?<CR><CR>Is "0" good or bad?

623 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** Table 7 **E / A / W** Shepherd, Nick
What is the algorithm for defining the value of Energy Level?<CR><CR>Is "0" high or low?<CR><CR>How do this figure relate to the energy

626 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** Table 16 **T / A / W** Shepherd, Nick
phyNumChannelsSupported: the description for this is not complete. For instance, a value of 1 indicates that, presumably, the PHY can han

628 **Cl** 06 *Phy TECH* **SC** 8.10 **T / R / W** Shepherd, Nick
This section is very complex for a lightweight implementation.

642 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** Table 68 **T / A / W** Shepherd, Nick
macBeaconTxTime: What unit does this use, eg seconds? What time is returned? Absolute time from when? Who is responsible for keepi

644 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.4.1 **T / X / W** Shepherd, Nick
This explanation of allocating a GTS is not complete. Is it possible to allocate the complete frame to GTSs, leaving no contention period? Sh

646 **Cl** 07 *MAC TECH* **SC** 5.5 **T / X / W** Shepherd, Nick
This clause specifies that a clear channel is detected by use of the MLME-ED Energy Detection method, in conflict with clause 6.8.10

650 **Cl** A *Global EDIT* **SC** 1 **T / A / W** Shepherd, Nick
Empty Annex.

651 **Cl** B *Global EDIT* **SC** **T / A / W** Shepherd, Nick
No conformance statement

660 **Cl** 00 *Global EDIT* **SC** **E / X / W** Kinney, Pat
SPECIALLY ADDED COMMENT:<CR><CR>It has come to my attention that what TG4 calls a "packet" 802.11 calls a<CR>frame. This will