

ASSOCIATION OF RADIO INDUSTRIES AND BUSINESSES Nittochi Bldg., 1-4-1 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-0013, Japan Tel.: +81-3-5510-8590 Fax: +81-3-3592-1103

To: Dr. Roger B. Marks, Chair, IEEE 802.16 Working Group

Subject: Re: Liaison statement of 3 September concerning IMT-Advanced submission

Date: 18th September 2009

Dear Dr. Marks,

Thank you for giving us an update on the IEEE 802.16 Working Group (WG) activities regarding the IMT-Advanced RIT proposal development at your Session #63 and also for sharing with us your draft IMT-Advanced submission package.

The ARIB IMT-Advanced Subcommittee also appreciates the constructive dialogue with your organization as well as your organization's great efforts toward IMT-Advanced submission in October 2009. We believe that there are no outstanding issues on Japan's 802.16m proposal for IMT-Advanced submission.

Japan's preparations for IMT-Advanced submission are still ongoing, but as per your request we would like to update you on its status. We anticipate that our liaison representative to your organization could give you an update of the latest status of our preparations at your Session #63.5.

First of all, we would like to share with you the draft Table of Contents, contained in Annex 1, of Japan's 802.16m Proposal for IMT-Advanced. Please note that the technical elements of Japan's 802.16m proposal are completely identical to those of IEEE's submission package.

Next, we would also like to share with you the timeline of the approval process in Japan for IMT-Advanced submission. Within ARIB, its IMT-Advanced Subcommittee plans to hold the Standards Study Group meeting on September 29 to approve the Japan's draft proposal(s) on IMT-Advanced candidate RIT and once approved, it will be forwarded to the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications (MIC). MIC is slated to convene the meeting of the Terrestrial Service Committee of the Telecommunications Council on

October 2 to make a final decision on a submission of Japan's proposal(s) to the ITU-R Working Party 5D (WP 5D) in October.

We understand that your organization might make some changes to the contents of your IMT-Advanced submission package at your Session #63.5. We would appreciate if you could share with us your final submission package as well as the separate document clearly describing mainly which changes will be made on the submission package in your Session #63.5 before the end of September 25 (Hawaii Time) so that we can consider it in our approval process for IMT-Advanced submission.

Aside from Japan's consideration of its IEEE 802.16m proposal submission, ARIB plans to submit its contribution to the ITU-R WP 5D meeting in October through the Radiocommunication Bureau (BR) to express our endorsement of the IEEE 802.16m proposal as an IMT-Advanced candidate RIT.

We would appreciate your understanding of the situation for IMT-Advanced submission in Japan and we would like to continue our collaboration for IMT-Advanced submission.

Please feel free to contact our liaison representative if you have any questions or need further information.

Sincerely yours,

Sezie Once

Seizo Onoe Chairman, IMT-Advanced Subcommittee Advanced Wireless Communications Study Committee Association of Radio Industries and Businesses (ARIB)

Annex 1

Draft Table of Contents of Japan's 802.16m Proposal for IMT-Advanced

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Collaboration with IEEE
- 3 IPR Policy Compliance
- 4 Declaration of Version^(*)
- 5 General Description of RIT^(*)
- 6 Description Templates^(*)
 - 6.1. Description Template Characteristics^(*)
 - 6.2. Description Template Link Budget^(*)
- 7 Self-Evaluation Report^(**)
- 8 Compliance Templates^(*)
 - 8.1. Compliance Template for Services^(*)
 - 8.2. Compliance Template for Spectrum^(*)
 - 8.3. Compliance Template for Technical Performance^(*)

References

Annex 1 – L1/L2 Overhead Calculation^(*)

Annex 2 – Stage 2 Specification: IEEE 802.16m System Description Document^(*)

Annex 3 – Simulation Assumptions and Configuration Parameters for IMT-Advanced Test Environments^(*)

(Note) The content marked with ^(*) and the one marked with ^(**) for all subsections are completely identical to that of IEEE's submission package.