Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group http://ieee802.org/16					
Title	Padding in WirelessMAN-OFDM with subchannelization					
Date Submitted	2004-11-01					
Source(s)	Jon Labs, Rene Janicek, RainerVoice: 514-684-0200UllmannFax: 514-684-0211Wavesat Inc.jlabs@wavesat.com1375 Trans-Canada HighwayDorval, QC H9P 2W8 Canada					
Re:	Call for Comments on Maintenance Issues regarding IEEE Standard 802.16					
	2004-09-24					
Abstract	Document discusses an ambiguity in padding for OFDM-256 PHY with subchannelization.					
Purpose	Consider recommended corrections for corrigendum.					
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.					
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.					
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures <http: 16="" ieee802.org="" ipr="" patents="" policy.html="">, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <mailto:chair@wirelessman.org> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <http: 16="" ieee802.org="" ipr="" notices="" patents="">.</http:></mailto:chair@wirelessman.org></http:>					

Padding in WirelessMAN-OFDM with Subchannelization

Jon Labs Rene Janicek Rainer Ullmann Wavesat, Inc.

The Issue

For a full BW OFDM symbol with 192 data subcarriers, the number of coded bits per OFDM symbol are either 192, 384, 768 or 1152, depending on whether the modulation is BPSK, QPSK, 16 QAM or 64 QAM, respectively. These coded block sizes are all divisible by 8, and they are therefore an integer number of bytes. Furthermore, when one determines how many bytes they correspond to before coding, one finds that an integer number of bytes is still obtained. For example, consider the case for 64-QAM. The number of bytes after coding is 1152/8 = 144. The two coding rates for 64-QAM are 2/3 and _, so before coding the number of bytes is 144*2/3 = 96 and 144*3/4=108 respectively.

With subchannelization, however, the numbers do not always divide evenly. The reason is the smallest granularity for subchannelization is 12 subcarriers. Non-integer byte allocations are an issue because the MAC PDU sizes are always in bytes.

The bit size for the coded subchannelized blocks that make up an OFDM symbol is given in Table 221 of the standard in Section 8.3.3.3, p. 441, line 29:

	Default (16 subchan- nels)	8 subchannels	4 subchannels	2 subchannels	1 subchannel	
	N _{cbps}					
BPSK	192	96	48	24	12	
QPSK	384	192	96	48	24	
16-QAM	768	384	192	96	48	
64 - QAM	1152	576	288	144	72	

Table 221—Block sizes of the Bit Interleaver

To take an example, consider two subchannels with QPSK. The number of bytes after coding is 48/8 = 6. The coding rates for QPSK are _ and _, so before coding the number of bytes is 6*1/2 = 3 bytes for QPSK-1/2 which is fine, but 6*3/4 = 4.5 bytes for QPSK-3/4 which is an issue.

We claim that the standard is ambiguous on the issue of how to pad. There are a number of pieces of text in the standard that discuss this issue:

1. "Allocated space within a data burst that is unused shall be initialized to a known state. This may be accomplished by setting each unused byte to the stuff byte value (0xFF)."—Section 6.3.3.7, p. 131, line 24

The only thing mandatory is in the first sentence, which says that the padding must use a known value. The text does not say one must use '1'b for the bits left over in non-integer allocations.

2. "If the amount of data to transmit does not fit exactly the amount of data allocated, padding of 0xFF ('1' only) shall be added to the end of the transmission block."—Section 8.3.3.1, p.430, line 56

This text is in the section on randomization for the OFDM-256 PHY. Randomization occurs before the error correction encoding. By saying "padding of 0xFF", the text suggest that the padding should be done only to fill in the unused *bytes* in the allocation, but one could argue it means for all unused *bits*.

3. "When the total number of data bits in a burst is not an integer number of bytes, zero pad bits are added after the zero tail bits. The zero pad bits are not randomized. Note that this situation can occur only in subchannelization." —Section 8.3.3.2.1, p. line 55

This text is specifically talking about the remaining bits in an allocation that does not make a full byte. Unfortunately this criteria does not cover all bases. Consider the example of a subchannelized allocation defined by 1 subchannel over 9 symbols using QPSK-1/2. For QPSK, there are 2 bits per subcarrier, so the number of coded bits is:

(9 symbols) x (1 subchannel/symbol) x (12 subcarriers/subchannel) x (2 bits/subcarrier)

= 216 bits

= 27 bytes

Before coding this becomes (given that one byte is reserved for the tail byte):

 $(27 \text{ bytes}) \ge (1/2) - 1 = 12.5 \text{ bytes}$

Therefore assume the MAC has passed to the PHY 12 bytes. Encoding and modulating 12 bytes gives:

[(12 bytes) + (1 byte)]*2 = 26 coded bytes = 208 bits

after encoding, i.e. we get an integer number of coded bytes, but we still do not fill in the allocation of 9 symbols of 1 subchannel.

What is important is that the number of bits coming out of the Convolutional encoder is modulo the number of bits required per interleaver block as given in Table 221 of the standard. This requirement leads to the recommended changes in the next section.

Recommended Changes

In IEEE P802.16-REVd/D5, Section 8.3.3.1, p. 430, line 56, change the text to:

"If the amount of data to transmit does not fit exactly the amount of data allocated, padding of 0xFF ('1' only) shall be added to the end of the transmission block for the unused integer bytes. For RS-CC and CC encoded data (see 8.3.3.2.1), padding will be added to the end of the transmission block, up to the amount of data allocated minus one byte, which shall be reserved for the introduction of a 0x00 tail byte by the FEC. For BTC (8.3.3.2.2) and CTC (8.3.3.2.3), if implemented, padding will be added to the end of the transmission block, up to the amount of data allocated."

And in Section 8.3.3.2.1, p.433, line 51, change the text to:

"The encoding is performed by first passing the data in block format through the RS encoder and then passing it through a convolutional encoder. A single 0x00 tail byte is appended to the end of each burst. This tail byte shall be appended after randomization. In the RS encoder, the redundant bits are sent before the input bits, keeping the 0x00 tail byte at the end of the allocation. When the total number of data bits in a burst is not an integer number of bytes, zero ('0'b) pad bits are added after the zero tail bits <u>until the number of bits after the convolutionial encoder is divisible by N_{cbps} as specified in Table 221. The zero pad bits are not randomized. Note that this situation can occur only in subchannelization. In this case the RS encoding is not employed."</u>