Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group <a href="http://ieee802.org/16">http://ieee802.org/16</a> >
Title	Persistent Allocation – Error handling procedures
Date Submitted	2008-04-18
Source(s)	Yair Bourlas, NextwaveVoice:Yair Bourlas, NextwaveE-mail:Erik ColbanYBourlas@nextwave.comLei WangYBourlas@nextwave.com
	* <http: affiliationfaq.html="" faqs="" standards.ieee.org=""></http:>
Re:	Ballot 26c
Abstract	Error handling procedures can be simplified if we were to tie error procedures to resource shifting invocation. Recovery procedures related to MAP decoding errors and MAP NACK indication must take into account the MS association with the sub map. It does not make sense for low geometry MS to report map decoding errors of sub maps which were intended for high geometry users
Purpose	Accept the proposed specification changes on IEEE P802.16Rev2/D4.
Notice	<i>This document does not represent the agreed views of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group or any of its subgroups.</i> It represents only the views of the participants listed in the "Source(s)" field above. It is offered as a basis for discussion. It is not binding on the contributor(s), who reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.
Patent Policy	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE-SA Patent Policy and Procedures:

## **Simplified Error Procedures for Persistent Allocations**

Yair Bourlas, NextWave Le Wang, NextWave Erik Colban, NextWave

## Introduction

Error handling procedures can be simplified if we were to tie error procedures to resource shifting invocation.

Recovery procedures related to MAP decoding errors and MAP NACK indication must take into account the MS association with the sub map. It does not make sense for low geometry MS to report map decoding errors of sub maps which were intended for high geometry users.

## **Proposed Solution**

Tie error handling procedures to the use of resources shifting. We observe that error handling procedures are made trivial if it is known that resource shifting is not use. We also observe that some systems would not invoke resource shifting, or would use it very sparingly, and therefore would be motivated to take advantage of the simplified error handling procedures.

Simplified Error Procedures - without resource shifting

- MAP ACK for allocation
- MAP ACK for de-allocation
- BS adds safety timer between de-allocation and re-allocation; implementation specific

Benefits

- MS can lose multiple MAPs and resume at K+4
- MS can lose MAPs K and K+1 and resume at K+4
- NO need for MAP NACK; MAP NACK channel allocation set to 1's
- NO secondary error procedures; MS does not send sub-header with persistent MAP error indication
- NO change indicator; BS can set the change indicator to no change.
- NO need to support retransmission flag; BS can set the retransmission flag to no retransmission
- NO need to associate MS with a particular sub MAP

The benefit of the simplified error procedures is that it will result in a more robust system which is less prone to MAP (and sub MAP) errors.

## **Proposed Text Changes**

TBD