DRAFT

Project	**IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group <http://ieee802.org/16>**
Title	Maintenance Task Group Session #38 Minutes
Date Submitted	**2005-07-22**
Source(s)	Jonathan Labs Wavesat, Inc. 1375 Trans-Canada Hwy Dorval, Qc H9P 2W8 Canada Voice: +1 514 684 0200 x325 Fax: +1 514 684 0211 mailto:jlabs@wavesat.com
Re:	Maintenance Task Group Session #38 Minutes
Abstract	Maintenance Task Group Session #38 Minutes
Purpose	Maintenance Task Group Session #38 Minutes
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures <http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <mailto:chair@wirelessman.org> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices>.

Maintenance Task Group Session #37 Minutes

Jonathan Labs
Wavesat, Inc.

Maintenance Corrigendum Task Group
Session #38, San Francisco, CA

July 18, 2005

Opened the TG Maintenance meeting at 4:20 PM

Reviewed procedure for the week.
Reviewed agenda for the week.
Motion to approve the minutes of session 37, forwarded by Ollivier Mont-Reynauld, seconded by Yossi Segal.
Motion approved by unanimous voice vote.

Started comment resolution on database 80216-05_042r2.USR, Technically Binding PHY comments first (to accommodate MAC people attending TGe and Netman).

Broke for dinner at 6:20 PM

Resumed at 7:50 PM

Motion to rule comment 119 out of scope by Ambroise, second by Ran.
No discussion on the motion
6 in favor
4 oppose
fails

Generated 80216-05_042r2_temp1.USR which contains comment resolutions as of the end of the Monday evening session. Submitted to the Temp upload server.

Broke for the night at 10:25 PM.

July 19, 2005

Resumed at 8:05 AM. Resumed comments resolution on 80216-05_042r2_temp1.USR.

Broke for coffee at 10:20 AM

Resumed at 10:40 AM.

Broke for lunch at 12:10 PM.

Resumed at 1:15 PM.

Broke for ice cream at 2:40 PM.

Resumed at 2:50 PM.

John Humbert discussed his comments on Profiles, comment 523.

Vote on the comment: 17 in favor, 11 against, the comment fails. Two reasons for rejection drafted:

"The BRS band focuses on mobility and not fixed applications

The solution is incomplete since it does not address the RF profiles"

Move to delete the following reason for rejection:

"The BRS band focuses on mobility and not fixed applications"

forwarded by Zion Hadad, seconded by Yossi Segal

No discussion on the motion.

In favor: 16

Against: 8

Chair determined that the motion is technical and therefore did not pass.

Fails on grounds of not obtaining 75%

Point of order from Jim Mollenauer regarding the result of the vote on the motion. His argument is that the reason is not technical but editorial, as the reason has no impact on the development of the text of the standard. The chair disagreed arguing that the reason does have impact, as it will go back to the commenter, who may decided to updated his comment or reasoning, as well as to RevCom, who will be reviewing the rejected comments and determine if the draft is ready for the SA committee.

Broke for coffee at 4:25 PM.

Resumed at 4:40 PM.

Broke for dinner at 6:00 PM

Resumed at 7:40 PM.

Generated 80216-05_042r2_Tue.USR which contains comment resolutions as of the end of the Tuesday evening session. Submitted to the Temp upload server.

Broke at 10:04 PM for the night.

July 20, 2005

Started at 8:05 AM.

Continued comment resolution on database 80216-05_021r1_Tue.USR.

Broke for coffee at 10:15 AM

Resumed at 10:35 AM.

Started reviewing remaining editorial comments at 11:15 AM.

Motion: To reject all comments which suggests to put (bits) in the header, not in all cells.

forwarded by Itzik, seconded by David Castelwo

Discussion on the motion: please list the comments.037, 040, 044, 047, 0459, 067, 070, 075, 186, 196, 241, 245, 259, 264, 267, 272, 277, 291, 295, 298, 306, 317, 320, 324, 330, 333, 336, 344, 352, 360, and 365.

Motion: To reject all comments which suggest to insert dashes in all empty cells in Tables.

Forwarded by Itzik, seconded by Ofer

Discussion on the motion. List the comments: 012, 039, 042, 045, 048, 060, 068, 072, 076, 098, 100, 101, 102, 187, 242, 246, 260, 265, 269, 274, 278, 289, 293, 296, 299, 307, 318, 321, 326, 331, 334, 337, 346, 353, 362, 366, 371, 382, 384, 446, 447, and 473.

In favor: 12

again: 0

Motion passes

Motion: To reject all comments which suggest to change alignment of columns in tables that exists in the baseline standard..

Forwarded by Itzik, seconded by Lei Wang.

Discussion on the motion. List the comments: 038, 041, 043, 049, 058, 066, 071, 074, 185, 243, 244, 258, 263, 268, 273, 276, 292, 294, 297, 305, 316, 319, 325, 328, 329, 335, 345, 351, 361, 364, 429, 430, 436, and 438.

Broke for lunch at 12:00 PM.

Resumed at 1:05 PM

Motion: To reject all remaining comments which suggest to change alignment of columns in tables that exist in the baseline document. Forwarded by Lei, seconded Itzik.

Discussion on the motions: the specific comments are: 411, 412, 413, 417, 418, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 437, 439, 440, 463, 472, 483, 484, and 485.

In favor: 14

against: 0

Broke for ice cream at 2:50 PM.

Resumed at 3:10 PM.

Discussion on Comment 505:

Question called by Ambroise Popper.

Motion to remove 'no-cs' from the standard.(by changing the value of 0 in Section 11.13.19.1 to 'reserved' and removing it from section C.1.1.1.1.2) forwarded by Carl Ecklund seconded by Lei Wang.

No discussion on the motion

In favor: 7

against: 2

5:15 PM: start resolving the late comments.

Generated 80216-05_042r2_Wed.USR which contains comment resolutions as of the end of the Wednesday evening session. Submitted to the Temp upload server.

Broke at 5:50 PM for the evening to attend the social.

July 21, 2005

Resumed at 8:20AM in joint session with TGe to resolve comments for alignment between Corrigendum and 16e drafts

Resumed comments resolution in 80216-05_042r2_Wed, specifically the ones deferred for the joint session.

Broke for coffee break at 10:00 AM

Reconvened with TGe at 10:15 am for the joint session.

Generated database 80216-05_042r2_Thu.USR, and uploaded it to the local Temp directory.

Joint session ended, and broke for lunch at 12:15 PM.

Resumed at 1:35 PM.

Chair generated 80216-05_042r2_Thu_noon.USR, which contained the comment resolutions after the morning joint session with TGe, and two late comments from Rajesh Bhalla. Uploaded it to the local Temp directory.

Continued comment resolution on 80216-05_042r2_Thu_noon.USR.

Resolving a very late editorial comment from Paul Piggen (and Darcy Poulin).

4:40 PM: Break for 20 minutes.

5:00 PM Resume to resolve comment 460 and finish with closing business.

5:15 PM Closed database and generated IEEE 802.16-05/042r3. Uploaded to the local WG directory.

Stats on IEEE 802.16-05/042r3:

   – Total: 559   Late: 9
   – Technical (binding): 56
   – Technical (nonbinding): 137
   – Editorial: 365

   – Accepted/Accepted-Modified: 301
   – Technical Satisfied: 16
   – Rejected: 205
   – Superceded: 21
   – Withdrawn: 32

Reviewed the status of Disapprove votes. Asked the balloters present if they were willing to change their vote:

Balloter	Sponsor Ballot Vote	Updated Vote
Rajesh Bhalla	N	-
James Carlo	N	Y*
David Castelow	N	Y
Mark Cudak	N	Y
Mo-Han Fong	N	N*

Pieter-Paul Giesberts	N	N*
James Gilb	N	Y*
John Humbert	N	N
David James	N	N*
Panyuh Joo	N	Y
Ivy Kelly	N	N*
Lalit Kotecha	N	N*
Yigal Leiba	N	Y*
Li Li	N	Y*
Mark Lipford	N	-
Serge Manning	N	-
Paul Piggin	N	N*
Chris Seagren	N	N*
Jung Je Son	N	Y*
Wen Tong	N	N*
Lei Wang	N	N*
Mattias Wennstrom	N	Y*
Ran Yaniv	N	Y*
Peiying Zhu	N	N*
Richard van Leeuwen	N	Y*
ilan zohar	N	Y*

26 _14 disapprove voters, 84% _ 91% approval

*notified by email (see appendix)

Discussed the schedule on finishing off the project. Current schedule:
- 2005/07/18-21 Resolve Sponsor Ballot comments at Session #38
- 2005/07/22 Conditional approval by 802 EC to forward to RevCom
- 2005/07/29 Issue Draft 802.16-2004/Cor1/D4
- 2005/08/05 Open Sponsor Ballot Recirc
- 2005/08/20 Close Sponsor Ballot Recirc
- 2005/08/12 RevCom Submittal

Updated schedule, assuming clean recirc:
- 2005/07/18-21 Resolve Sponsor Ballot comments at Session #38
- 2005/07/22 Conditional approval by 802 EC to forward to RevCom
- 2005/08/05 Issue Draft 802.16-2004/Cor1/D4
- 2005/08/08 Open Sponsor Ballot Recirc
- 2005/08/23 Close Sponsor Ballot Recirc
- 2005/08/12 RevCom Submittal

Discussed what how to handle comments if any are submitted in recirc:

straw poll: how many believe we can close off on the next recirc: 3-5

straw poll:

>   Ballot resolution process by email vote: 6

>   Ballot resolution process by representatives: 3

Proposal is to have an email ballot resolution process, to be discussed during the WG closing plenary.

Motion: To accept the database IEEE 802.16-05/042r3 as the comment resolutions to the comments from Sponsor Ballot 0001008.

Forwarded by: Wen Tong, seconded by: Dov Andelman

In favor:36

Against:0

Abstain:0

The motion passed.

Motion: To authorize the editor to modify the draft P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3 according the resolutions in 80216-05/042r3 to generate draft P802.16-2004/Cor1/D4.

Forwarded by: Giulio Cavalli, seconded by: Sean Cai

In favor:34

Against:0

Abstain:0

The motion passed

Motion: To authorize the chair to make the following motion at the Closing plenary: *"To accept the resolutions of comments from Sponsor Ballot 0001008, as recorded in IEEE 802.16-05/042r3, develop draft P802.16-2004/Cor1/D4 based on those resolutions, and initiate a Sponsor Recirculation Ballot"*

Forwarded by: Sean Cai

Seconded by: Wen Tong

In favor: 36

Against: 0

Abstain: 0

Motion passes.

Motion: To authorize the chair to make the following motion at the Closing plenary: *"To request conditional approval from the LMSC EC to forward P802.16-2004/Cor1/D4 to RevCom"*

Forwarded by: Giulio Cavalli

Seconded by: Remi Chayer

In favor: 30

Against: 0

Abstain: 0

Motion passes

Chair asked if there was any additional business. No new business was raised.

Motion to adjourn forwarded by Remi Chayer, seconded by Dov Andelman.

Approved by unanimous voice vote.

Adjourned at 6:05 PM.

Appendix: Email notes on Sponsor Ballot Status

```
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
From: Jim Carlo [j.carlo@ieee.org]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 8:16 PM
To: 'Rémi Chayer'
Cc: 'Jonathan Labs'
Subject: RE: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3


I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment Resolution database
attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip) and thereby wish to change my vote to
Approve.

Jim Carlo (j.carlo@ieee.org) Phone:1-214-693-1776 Fax:1-214-853-5274
J.Carlo Consulting LLC (Focus on Telecom Strategy/Standards/Patents)
Past-President, IEEE Standards Association
Huawei Consultant


=========================================================================
From: Rémi Chayer [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 3:43 PM
Cc: 'Jonathan Labs'
Subject: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3

Dear Balloter,
During the 802 Plenary Meeting in San Francisco this week, the 802.16 Working Group
through the Maintenance Task Group has been reviewing and resolving the comments submitted
during the Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3.

We invite you to review the resolutions for the comments that you have submitted.  As you
are listed as having submitted a "Disapprove" vote, we would like to know if you would
change your vote to "Approve" as a result of these resolutions. We would like to present
this information to the 802 Executive Committee Meeting that will be held this Friday,
July 22, in order to obtain their conditional approval to submit the document to RevCom.

All you have to do is to send us (Jon Labs and I) one of the following notes:

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment
  Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)
  and thereby wish to change my vote to Approve.

  or this one:

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments X, Y, and
  Z... in the comment Resolution database attached to this email
  (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip but wish to retain my Disapprove vote.

We need this information before the start of the 802.16 Closing Plenary on Thursday
evening, July 21.  We would appreciate very much a timely consideration.



Thanks and Regards,



Remi Chayer

mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com
```

Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

at the request of
Jon Labs
TG Maintenance Chair

MessageFrom: Mo-Han Fong [mhfong@nortel.com]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 1:54 AM
To: Rémi Chayer
Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: RE: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum - VERY URGENT

I am satisfied with the resolution of my comment 363 in the comment Resolution but wish to retain my Disapprove vote.

Regards,

Mo-Han

  -----Original Message-----
  From: Rémi Chayer [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
  Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 8:25 PM
  To: rabhalla@ztesandiego.com; david.castelow@ieee.org; Mark.Cudak@motorola.com; giesberts@motorola.com; panyuh@samsung.com; yigall@runcom.co.il; ppiggin@cygnuscom.com; lwang@cygnuscom.com; ran.yaniv@alvarion.com; Zhu, Peiying [CAR:DP10:EXCH]; ilan.zohar@intel.com; j.carlo@ieee.org; mhfong@nortelnetworks.com; john.j.humbert@mail.sprint.com; dvj@alum.mit.edu; Ivy.y.kelly@mail.sprint.com; lalit.kotecha@verizonwireless.com; thomaslee@huawei.com; Mark.a.lipford@mail.sprint.com; Serge.M.Manning@mail.sprint.com; chris.e.seagren@mail.sprint.com; jungje.son@samsung.com; Tong, Wen [CAR:DP20:EXCH]; mattias.wennstrom@huawei.com
  Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
  Subject: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum - VERY URGENT
  Importance: High

  Dear Balloter,

  Please, reply to an earlier e-mail I sent you yesterday concerning the sponsor ballot for the 802.16-2004 Corrigendum. We must know not only if you are changing your vote from Disapprove to Approve, but also which specific comments have been satisfied if you decide to maintain your Disapprove vote. This way we can define which specific comments were behind the Disapprove votes.

  Please, use the template that was provided in the earlier message. Thanks in advance for your timely consideration and response. Best Regards,

  Remi Chayer

mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

At the request of

Jon Labs

===

From: Giesberts Pieter-Paul-apg035 [giesberts@motorola.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 8:42 PM
To: Rémi Chayer; jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: RE: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3

I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments 25, 27, 50, 54, 55, 57, 128, 178, 354, 374, 400, 452, 475, 487, 489, 490, 508, 513 in the comment Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip but wish to retain my Disapprove vote.

Regards, Pieter-Paul Giesberts

From: Rémi Chayer [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 12:43 AM
Cc: 'Jonathan Labs'
Subject: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3

Dear Balloter,

During the 802 Plenary Meeting in San Francisco this week, the 802.16 Working Group through the Maintenance Task Group has been reviewing and resolving the comments submitted during the Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3.

We invite you to review the resolutions for the comments that you have submitted. As you are listed as having submitted a "Disapprove" vote, we would like to know if you would change your vote to "Approve" as a result of these resolutions. We would like to present this information to the 802 Executive Committee Meeting that will be held this Friday, July 22, in order to obtain their conditional approval to submit the document to RevCom.

All you have to do is to send us (Jon Labs and I) one of the following notes:

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment
  Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)
  and thereby wish to change my vote to Approve.

  or this one:

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments X, Y, and
  Z... in the comment Resolution database attached to this email
  (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip but wish to retain my Disapprove vote.

We need this information before the start of the 802.16 Closing Plenary on Thursday evening, July 21. We would appreciate very much a timely consideration.

Thanks and Regards,

Remi Chayer

mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

at the request of
Jon Labs
TG Maintenance Chair
===
From: James Gilb [gilb@ieee.org]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 3:55 PM
To: Rémi Chayer
Cc: 'Jonathan Labs'
Subject: Re: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum
P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3

Remi

I accept the resolution of all my comments and change my vote from disapprove to approve.

James Gilb

Rémi Chayer wrote:
> James,
>
> It will be a pdf file finally. All your comments are there with the
> group resolution. Only your comment #158 is missing; this editorial
> binding comment was accepted.
>
> Best Regards,
>
> Remi Chayer
> Wavesat Inc.
> 1375 Trans-Canada Highway, Suite 300
> Dorval, QC   H9P 2W8
> Canada
> mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com
> Tel.: +1 (514) 684-0200 x378
> Fax: +1 (514) 684-0288
> Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: James Gilb [mailto:gilb@ieee.org]
> Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 2:39 PM
> To: Rémi Chayer
> Subject: Re: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum
> P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3
>
> Word files would be easier. Thanks.
>
> James Gilb
>
> Rémi Chayer wrote:

```
>
>>You should use "Commentary" that is a dedicated software to process
>>the comment database.  You can download it at
>>http://ieee802.org/16/docs/Commentary/ .  If you prefer, I could
>>extract
>
> the
>
>>information and send it to you as Word files.
>>
>>Regards,
>>
>>Remi Chayer
>>Wavesat Inc.
>>1375 Trans-Canada Highway, Suite 300
>>Dorval, QC   H9P 2W8
>>Canada
>>mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com
>>Tel.:  +1 (514) 684-0200 x378
>>Fax:   +1 (514) 684-0288
>>Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768
>>-----Original Message-----
>>From: James Gilb [mailto:gilb@ieee.org]
>>Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 1:03 PM
>>To: Rémi Chayer
>>Cc: 'Jonathan Labs'
>>Subject: Re: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum
>>P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3
>>
>>Dear Remi
>>
>>What application should we use to read this file?
>>
>>James Gilb
>>
>>Rémi Chayer wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Dear Balloter,
>>>
>>>During the 802 Plenary Meeting in San Francisco this week, the 802.16
>>>Working Group through the Maintenance Task Group has been reviewing
>>>and resolving the comments submitted during the Sponsor Ballot on the
>>>draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3.
>>>
>>>We invite you to review the resolutions for the comments that you
>>>have submitted.  As you are listed as having submitted a "Disapprove"
>>>vote, we would like to know if you would change your vote to
>>>"Approve" as a result
>>
>>of
>>
>>
>>>these resolutions. We would like to present this information to the
>>>802 Executive Committee Meeting that will be held this Friday, July
>>>22, in
>>
>>order
>>
>>
>>>to obtain their conditional approval to submit the document to RevCom.
>>>
```

```
>>>All you have to do is to send us (Jon Labs and I) one of the
>>>following
>>>notes:
>>>
>>> I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment
>>> Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)
>>> and thereby wish to change my vote to Approve.
>>>
>>> or this one:
>>>
>>> I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments X, Y, and Z... in
>>> the comment Resolution database attached to this email
>>> (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip but wish to retain my Disapprove vote.
>>>
>>>We need this information before the start of the 802.16 Closing
>>>Plenary on Thursday evening, July 21.  We would appreciate very much
>>>a timely consideration.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Thanks and Regards,
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Remi Chayer
>>>
>>>mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com
>>>
>>>Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>at the request of
>>>Jon Labs
>>>TG Maintenance Chair
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
==============================================================================

From: David V James [dvj@alum.mit.edu]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 11:50 AM
To: Rémi Chayer; rabhalla@ztesandiego.com; david.castelow@ieee.org;
Mark.Cudak@motorola.com; giesberts@motorola.com; panyuh@samsung.com;
yigall@runcom.co.il; ppiggin@cygnuscom.com; lwang@cygnuscom.com;
ran.yaniv@alvarion.com; pyzhu@nortel.com; ilan.zohar@intel.com;
j.carlo@ieee.org; mhfong@nortelnetworks.com;
john.j.humbert@mail.sprint.com; dvj@alum.mit.edu;
Ivy.y.kelly@mail.sprint.com; lalit.kotecha@verizonwireless.com;
thomaslee@huawei.com; Mark.a.lipford@mail.sprint.com;
Serge.M.Manning@mail.sprint.com; chris.e.seagren@mail.sprint.com;
jungje.son@samsung.com; wentong@nortel.com; mattias.wennstrom@huawei.com
Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: Re: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004
Corrigendum - VERY URGENT


Rémi,

I'm sorry for the delay; I missed this yesterday.
I have looked over the proposed resolutions and found most to be insufficient.
```

I would be happy to work closely with the editor, in an attempt to resolve the submitted
comments.
I suspect that a verbal discussion would be more effective than point-counter-point email
discussions.

Therefore, my vote remains disapprove.

DVJ

--- Rémi Chayer <rchayer@wavesat.com> wrote:

> Dear Balloter,
>
>
>
> Please, reply to an earlier e-mail I sent you yesterday concerning the
> sponsor ballot for the 802.16-2004 Corrigendum. We must know not only
> if you are changing your vote from Disapprove to Approve, but also
> which specific comments have been satisfied if you decide to maintain
> your Disapprove vote. This way we can define which specific comments
> were behind the Disapprove votes.
>
>
>
> Please, use the template that was provided in the earlier message.
> Thanks in advance for your timely consideration and response. Best
> Regards,
>
>
>
> Remi Chayer
>
>   <mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com>
> mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com
>
> Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768
>
>
>
> At the request of
>
> Jon Labs
>
>
>
>
> ===

MessageFrom: Kelly, Ivy Y [NTK] [Ivy.Y.Kelly@mail.sprint.com]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 12:14 AM
To: Rémi Chayer
Cc: Jonathan Labs
Subject: RE: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3

As all my comments (522, 523, 525, 552) were either rejected or withdrawn, I maintain my
Disapprove vote.

Ivy Y. Kelly

-----Original Message-----

From: Rémi Chayer [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 5:43 PM
Cc: 'Jonathan Labs'
Subject: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3

Dear Balloter,

During the 802 Plenary Meeting in San Francisco this week, the 802.16 Working Group
through the Maintenance Task Group has been reviewing and resolving the comments submitted
during the Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3.

We invite you to review the resolutions for the comments that you have submitted. As you
are listed as having submitted a "Disapprove" vote, we would like to know if you would
change your vote to "Approve" as a result of these resolutions. We would like to present
this information to the 802 Executive Committee Meeting that will be held this Friday,
July 22, in order to obtain their conditional approval to submit the document to RevCom.

All you have to do is to send us (Jon Labs and I) one of the following notes:

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment
  Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)
  and thereby wish to change my vote to Approve.

  or this one:

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments X, Y, and
  Z... in the comment Resolution database attached to this email
  (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip but wish to retain my Disapprove vote.

We need this information before the start of the 802.16 Closing Plenary on Thursday
evening, July 21. We would appreciate very much a timely consideration.

Thanks and Regards,

Remi Chayer

mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

at the request of
Jon Labs
TG Maintenance Chair
==

From: Lalit.Kotecha@VerizonWireless.com
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 11:05 PM
To: rchayer@wavesat.com
Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: RE: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004
Corrigendum - VERY URGENT

Remi,

I sent you an email yesterday. I am not satisfied with comment resolution of comment #257, so will contiue with my vote as DISAPPROVE

Regards
Lalit

Remi,

I got a chance to go thorugh comment 257 and resolution. I disapproved my vote based on PAR violation and removal of section 8.4.4.7. My suggestion was to bring back sec 8.4.4.7 in standard which is not in line with resolution. so, I will continue my vote as Disapprove....

Let me know if you need any further clarifications....

Regards
Lalit

Lalit Kotecha
Verizon Wireless
Advanced Technology Strategy
Tel: (925)279-6597
Mobile: (510)557-5537

-----Original Message-----
From: Rémi Chayer [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
Sent: Thu 7/21/2005 5:24 PM
To: rabhalla@ztesandiego.com; david.castelow@ieee.org; Mark.Cudak@motorola.com; giesberts@motorola.com; panyuh@samsung.com; yigall@runcom.co.il; ppiggin@cygnuscom.com; lwang@cygnuscom.com; ran.yaniv@alvarion.com; pyzhu@nortel.com; ilan.zohar@intel.com; j.carlo@ieee.org; mhfong@nortelnetworks.com; john.j.humbert@mail.sprint.com; dvj@alum.mit.edu; Ivy.y.kelly@mail.sprint.com; Kotecha, Lalit ; thomaslee@huawei.com; Mark.a.lipford@mail.sprint.com; Serge.M.Manning@mail.sprint.com; chris.e.seagren@mail.sprint.com; jungje.son@samsung.com; wentong@nortel.com; mattias.wennstrom@huawei.com
Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum - VERY URGENT

Dear Balloter,

Please, reply to an earlier e-mail I sent you yesterday concerning the sponsor ballot for the 802.16-2004 Corrigendum. We must know not only if you are changing your vote from Disapprove to Approve, but also which specific comments have been satisfied if you decide to maintain your Disapprove vote. This way we can define which specific comments were behind the Disapprove votes.

Please, use the template that was provided in the earlier message. Thanks in advance for your timely consideration and response. Best Regards,

Remi Chayer

 <mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com> mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

At the request of

Jon Labs

==
From: Jim Carlo [j.carlo@ieee.org]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 8:16 PM
To: 'Rémi Chayer'
Cc: 'Jonathan Labs'
Subject: RE: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3

I have asked Jim Carlo to submit this note, on behalf of myself, Thomas Li:

I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment Resolution database
attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip) and thereby wish to change my vote to
Approve.

Jim Carlo (j.carlo@ieee.org) Phone:1-214-693-1776 Fax:1-214-853-5274
J.Carlo Consulting LLC (Focus on Telecom Strategy/Standards/Patents)
Past-President, IEEE Standards Association
Huawei Consultant

From: Rémi Chayer [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 3:43 PM
Cc: 'Jonathan Labs'
Subject: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3

Dear Balloter,
During the 802 Plenary Meeting in San Francisco this week, the 802.16 Working Group
through the Maintenance Task Group has been reviewing and resolving the comments submitted
during the Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3.

We invite you to review the resolutions for the comments that you have submitted. As you
are listed as having submitted a "Disapprove" vote, we would like to know if you would
change your vote to "Approve" as a result of these resolutions. We would like to present
this information to the 802 Executive Committee Meeting that will be held this Friday,
July 22, in order to obtain their conditional approval to submit the document to RevCom.

All you have to do is to send us (Jon Labs and I) one of the following notes:

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment
  Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)
  and thereby wish to change my vote to Approve.

  or this one:

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments X, Y, and
  Z... in the comment Resolution database attached to this email
  (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip but wish to retain my Disapprove vote.

We need this information before the start of the 802.16 Closing Plenary on Thursday
evening, July 21. We would appreciate very much a timely consideration.

Thanks and Regards,

Remi Chayer

mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

at the request of
Jon Labs
TG Maintenance Chair
==
From: Paul Piggin [PPiggin@cygnuscom.com]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 12:07 AM
To: jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: FW: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum -
VERY URGENT

Fyi

Regards,

Paul.

From: Paul Piggin
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 4:32 AM
To: 'Rémi Chayer'
Subject: RE: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum -
VERY URGENT

Hello Remi,

I remain a disapprove vote based on the resolution of comment 211 in Corrigendum1 of
802.16-2004.

Kind regards,

Paul.

From: Rémi Chayer [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 1:30 AM
To: rabhalla@ztesandiego.com; david.castelow@ieee.org; Mark.Cudak@motorola.com;
giesberts@motorola.com; panyuh@samsung.com; yigall@runcom.co.il; Paul Piggin; Lei Wang;
ran.yaniv@alvarion.com; pyzhu@nortel.com; ilan.zohar@intel.com; j.carlo@ieee.org;
mhfong@nortelnetworks.com; john.j.humbert@mail.sprint.com; dvj@alum.mit.edu;
Ivy.y.kelly@mail.sprint.com; lalit.kotecha@verizonwireless.com; thomaslee@huawei.com;
Mark.a.lipford@mail.sprint.com; Serge.M.Manning@mail.sprint.com;
chris.e.seagren@mail.sprint.com; jungje.son@samsung.com; wentong@nortel.com;
mattias.wennstrom@huawei.com
Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum - VERY
URGENT
Importance: High

Dear Balloter,

Please, reply to an earlier e-mail I sent you yesterday concerning the sponsor ballot for
the 802.16-2004 Corrigendum. We must know not only if you are changing your vote from
Disapprove to Approve, but also which specific comments have been satisfied if you decide
to maintain your Disapprove vote. This way we can define which specific comments were
behind the Disapprove votes.

Please, use the template that was provided in the earlier message. Thanks in advance for
your timely consideration and response. Best Regards,

Remi Chayer

mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

At the request of

Jon Labs

==

From: chris.e.seagren@mail.sprint.com
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 11:58 AM
To: rchayer@wavesat.com; rabhalla@ztesandiego.com;
david.castelow@ieee.org; Mark.Cudak@motorola.com;
giesberts@motorola.com; panyuh@samsung.com; yigall@runcom.co.il;
ppiggin@cygnuscom.com; lwang@cygnuscom.com; ran.yaniv@alvarion.com;
pyzhu@nortel.com; ilan.zohar@intel.com; j.carlo@ieee.org;
mhfong@nortelnetworks.com; john.j.humbert@mail.sprint.com;
dvj@alum.mit.edu; Ivy.y.kelly@mail.sprint.com;
lalit.kotecha@verizonwireless.com; thomaslee@huawei.com;
Mark.a.lipford@mail.sprint.com; Serge.M.Manning@mail.sprint.com;

jungje.son@samsung.com; wentong@nortel.com; mattias.wennstrom@huawei.com
Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: Re: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004
Corrigendum - VERY URGENT

None of my binding comments were incorporated, so I cannot change my vote at this time

> ------- Original Message -------
> From: R?mi Chayer <rchayer@wavesat.com>
> To: rabhalla@ztesandiego.com, david.castelow@ieee.org,
> Mark.Cudak@motorola.com, giesberts@motorola.com, panyuh@samsung.com,
> yigall@runcom.co.il, ppiggin@cygnuscom.com, lwang@cygnuscom.com,
> ran.yaniv@alvarion.com, pyzhu@nortel.com, ilan.zohar@intel.com,
> j.carlo@ieee.org, mhfong@nortelnetworks.com,
> john.j.humbert@mail.sprint.com, dvj@alum.mit.edu,
> Ivy.y.kelly@mail.sprint.com, lalit.kotecha@verizonwireless.com,
> thomaslee@huawei.com, Mark.a.lipford@mail.sprint.com,
> Serge.M.Manning@mail.sprint.com, chris.e.seagren@mail.sprint.com,
> jungje.son@samsung.com, wentong@nortel.com,
> mattias.wennstrom@huawei.com
> Cc: "jlabs@wavesat.com" <jlabs@wavesat.com>
> Sent: Thu 7/21/05 7:24 PM CDT
> Subject: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004
> Corrigendum - VERY URGENT

> Dear Balloter,

>

> Please, reply to an earlier e-mail I sent you yesterday concerning the
> sponsor ballot for the 802.16-2004 Corrigendum. We must know not only
> if you are changing your vote from Disapprove to Approve, but also
> which specific comments have been satisfied if you decide to maintain
> your Disapprove vote. This way we can define which specific comments
> were behind the Disapprove votes.

>

> Please, use the template that was provided in the earlier message.
> Thanks in advance for your timely consideration and response. Best
> Regards,

>

> Remi Chayer

> <mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com> mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

> Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

>

> At the request of

> Jon Labs

>

===

From: Jung Je Son [jungje.son@samsung.com]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 2:33 AM
To: 'Rémi Chayer'
Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: RE: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum -
VERY URGENT

I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment
  Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)
  and thereby wish to change my vote to Approve.

From: Rémi Chayer [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 9:25 AM
To: rabhalla@ztesandiego.com; david.castelow@ieee.org; Mark.Cudak@motorola.com;
giesberts@motorola.com; panyuh@samsung.com; yigall@runcom.co.il; ppiggin@cygnuscom.com;
lwang@cygnuscom.com; ran.yaniv@alvarion.com; pyzhu@nortel.com; ilan.zohar@intel.com;
j.carlo@ieee.org; mhfong@nortelnetworks.com; john.j.humbert@mail.sprint.com;
dvj@alum.mit.edu; Ivy.y.kelly@mail.sprint.com; lalit.kotecha@verizonwireless.com;
thomaslee@huawei.com; Mark.a.lipford@mail.sprint.com; Serge.M.Manning@mail.sprint.com;
chris.e.seagren@mail.sprint.com; jungje.son@samsung.com; wentong@nortel.com;
mattias.wennstrom@huawei.com
Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum - VERY
URGENT
Importance: High

Dear Balloter,

Please, reply to an earlier e-mail I sent you yesterday concerning the sponsor ballot for
the 802.16-2004 Corrigendum. We must know not only if you are changing your vote from
Disapprove to Approve, but also which specific comments have been satisfied if you decide
to maintain your Disapprove vote. This way we can define which specific comments were
behind the Disapprove votes.

Please, use the template that was provided in the earlier message. Thanks in advance for
your timely consideration and response. Best Regards,

Remi Chayer

mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

At the request of

Jon Labs


```
===========================================================================
From: Wen Tong [wentong@nortel.com]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 3:05 AM
To: Rémi Chayer
Cc: Jonathan Labs; Peiying Zhu; Mo-Han Fong; David Paranchych
Subject: RE: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3
```

   I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments 018,019,279,280,338 in the comment
   Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)
   and thereby wish to keep my vote to Disapprove.

Regards,
Wen


```
---------------------------------------------------------------------------
From: Rémi Chayer [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 6:43 PM
Cc: 'Jonathan Labs'
Subject: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3
```

Dear Balloter,

During the 802 Plenary Meeting in San Francisco this week, the 802.16 Working Group
through the Maintenance Task Group has been reviewing and resolving the comments submitted
during the Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3.

We invite you to review the resolutions for the comments that you have submitted. As you
are listed as having submitted a "Disapprove" vote, we would like to know if you would
change your vote to "Approve" as a result of these resolutions. We would like to present
this information to the 802 Executive Committee Meeting that will be held this Friday,
July 22, in order to obtain their conditional approval to submit the document to RevCom.

All you have to do is to send us (Jon Labs and I) one of the following notes:

   I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment
   Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)
   and thereby wish to change my vote to Approve.

   or this one:

   I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments X, Y, and
   Z... in the comment Resolution database attached to this email
   (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip but wish to retain my Disapprove vote.

We need this information before the start of the 802.16 Closing Plenary on Thursday
evening, July 21. We would appreciate very much a timely consideration.

Thanks and Regards,

Remi Chayer

mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

at the request of
Jon Labs
TG Maintenance Chair
==

From: Lei Wang [LWang@cygnuscom.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 7:29 PM
To: Rémi Chayer
Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: RE: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3

Hi, Remi and Jon,

I am not going to change my vote on the cor1/D3 sponsor ballot, because I am not satisfied
with the resolution of my comments #124 and #505.

Regards,

Lei

From: Rémi Chayer [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 10:01 PM
Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3
Importance: High

Dear Balloter,

During the 802 Plenary Meeting in San Francisco this week, the 802.16 Working Group
through the Maintenance Task Group has been reviewing and resolving the comments submitted
during the Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3.

We invite you to review the resolutions for the comments that you have submitted. As you
are listed as having submitted a "Disapprove" vote, we would like to know if you would
change your vote to "Approve" as a result of these resolutions. We would like to present
this information to the 802 Executive Committee Meeting that will be held this Friday,
July 22, in order to obtain their conditional approval to submit the document to RevCom.

All you have to do is to send us (Jon Labs and I) one of the following notes:

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment
  Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)

and thereby wish to change my vote to Approve.

  or this one:

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments X, Y, and
  Z... in the comment Resolution database attached to this email
  (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip but wish to retain my Disapprove vote.

We need this information before the start of the 802.16 Closing Plenary on Thursday
evening, July 21. We would appreciate very much a timely consideration.

Thanks and Regards,

Remi Chayer

mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

at the request of
Jon Labs
TG Maintenance Chair
===

From: Mattias Wennstrom [mattias.wennstrom@huawei.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 10:26 PM
To: Rémi Chayer
Cc: 'Jonathan Labs'
Subject: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment
  Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)
  and thereby wish to change my vote to Approve.

best regards
Mattias Wennstrom
Huawei
===

From: Ran Yaniv [ran.yaniv@alvarion.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 9:31 PM
To: jlabs@wavesat.com; 'R?mi Chayer'
Subject: RE: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum -
VERY URGENT

Jon,

I would like to change my vote to approve.

Thanks
Ran

  -----Original Message-----
  From: rchayer@wavesat.com [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
  Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 5:25 PM

  To: rabhalla@ztesandiego.com; david.castelow@ieee.org; Mark.Cudak@motorola.com;
giesberts@motorola.com; panyuh@samsung.com; yigall@runcom.co.il; ppiggin@cygnuscom.com;
lwang@cygnuscom.com; Ran Yaniv; pyzhu@nortel.com; ilan.zohar@intel.com; j.carlo@ieee.org;
mhfong@nortelnetworks.com; john.j.humbert@mail.sprint.com; dvj@alum.mit.edu;
Ivy.y.kelly@mail.sprint.com; lalit.kotecha@verizonwireless.com; thomaslee@huawei.com;
Mark.a.lipford@mail.sprint.com; Serge.M.Manning@mail.sprint.com;
chris.e.seagren@mail.sprint.com; jungje.son@samsung.com; wentong@nortel.com;
mattias.wennstrom@huawei.com
  Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
  Subject: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum - VERY
URGENT
  Importance: High

  Dear Balloter,

  Please, reply to an earlier e-mail I sent you yesterday concerning the sponsor ballot
for the 802.16-2004 Corrigendum. We must know not only if you are changing your vote from
Disapprove to Approve, but also which specific comments have been satisfied if you decide
to maintain your Disapprove vote. This way we can define which specific comments were
behind the Disapprove votes.

  Please, use the template that was provided in the earlier message. Thanks in advance
for your timely consideration and response. Best Regards,

  Remi Chayer

  mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

  Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

  At the request of

  Jon Labs

==

MessageFrom: Peiying Zhu [pyzhu@nortel.com]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 1:59 AM
To: Rémi Chayer
Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: RE: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum -
VERY URGENT

Hi, Remi/John:

I am not satisfied with the resolution of my comment 340 and the resolution of comment
466. Hence, I wish to retain my Disapprove vote.

Regards,

Peiying

```
  -----Original Message-----
  From: Rémi Chayer [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
  Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 8:25 PM
  To: rabhalla@ztesandiego.com; david.castelow@ieee.org; Mark.Cudak@motorola.com;
giesberts@motorola.com; panyuh@samsung.com; yigall@runcom.co.il; ppiggin@cygnuscom.com;
lwang@cygnuscom.com; ran.yaniv@alvarion.com; Zhu, Peiying [CAR:DP10:EXCH];
ilan.zohar@intel.com; j.carlo@ieee.org; mhfong@nortelnetworks.com;
john.j.humbert@mail.sprint.com; dvj@alum.mit.edu; Ivy.y.kelly@mail.sprint.com;
lalit.kotecha@verizonwireless.com; thomaslee@huawei.com; Mark.a.lipford@mail.sprint.com;
Serge.M.Manning@mail.sprint.com; chris.e.seagren@mail.sprint.com; jungje.son@samsung.com;
Tong, Wen [CAR:DP20:EXCH]; mattias.wennstrom@huawei.com
  Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
  Subject: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum - VERY
URGENT
  Importance: High


  Dear Balloter,


  Please, reply to an earlier e-mail I sent you yesterday concerning the sponsor ballot
for the 802.16-2004 Corrigendum.  We must know not only if you are changing your voteI am
satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment
    Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)
    and thereby wish to change my vote to Approve.

    or this one:

    I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments X, Y, and
    Z... in the comment Resolution database attached to this email
    (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip but wish to retain my Disapprove vote.

  from Disapprove to Approve, but also which specific comments have been satisfied if you
decide to maintain your Disapprove vote.  This way we can define which specific comments
were behind the Disapprove votes.



  Please, use the template that was provided in the earlier message.  Thanks in advance
for your timely consideration and response.  Best Regards,



  Remi Chayer

  mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

  Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768



  At the request of

  Jon Labs


================================================================================
From: van Leeuwen Richard-arv012 [rvanleeuwen@motorola.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 2:55 PM
```

To: Rémi Chayer; 'Jonathan Labs'
Subject: RE: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3

I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment
Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)
and thereby wish to change my vote to Approve.

Regards,
Richard

From: Rémi Chayer [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 12:43 AM
Cc: 'Jonathan Labs'
Subject: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3

Dear Balloter,

During the 802 Plenary Meeting in San Francisco this week, the 802.16 Working Group
through the Maintenance Task Group has been reviewing and resolving the comments submitted
during the Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3.

We invite you to review the resolutions for the comments that you have submitted. As you
are listed as having submitted a "Disapprove" vote, we would like to know if you would
change your vote to "Approve" as a result of these resolutions. We would like to present
this information to the 802 Executive Committee Meeting that will be held this Friday,
July 22, in order to obtain their conditional approval to submit the document to RevCom.

All you have to do is to send us (Jon Labs and I) one of the following notes:

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment
  Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)
  and thereby wish to change my vote to Approve.

  or this one:

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments X, Y, and
  Z... in the comment Resolution database attached to this email
  (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip but wish to retain my Disapprove vote.

We need this information before the start of the 802.16 Closing Plenary on Thursday
evening, July 21. We would appreciate very much a timely consideration.

Thanks and Regards,

Remi Chayer

mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

at the request of
Jon Labs

```
TG Maintenance Chair
===============================================================================
From: Zohar, Ilan [ilan.zohar@intel.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 7:30 PM
To: Rémi Chayer
Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: RE: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3


Attachments: 80216-05_042r2_Wed_late.zip

Dear Remi Chayer and John Labs,



  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment
  Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)
  and thereby wish to change my vote to Approve.



Regards,



Ilan Zohar




------------------------------------------------------------------------------


From: Rémi Chayer [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 9:57 PM
Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com
Subject: Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3
Importance: High



Dear Balloter,

During the 802 Plenary Meeting in San Francisco this week, the 802.16 Working Group
through the Maintenance Task Group has been reviewing and resolving the comments submitted
during the Sponsor Ballot on the draft Corrigendum P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3.

We invite you to review the resolutions for the comments that you have submitted.  As you
are listed as having submitted a "Disapprove" vote, we would like to know if you would
change your vote to "Approve" as a result of these resolutions. We would like to present
this information to the 802 Executive Committee Meeting that will be held this Friday,
July 22, in order to obtain their conditional approval to submit the document to RevCom.

All you have to do is to send us (Jon Labs and I) one of the following notes:

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments in the comment
  Resolution database attached to this email (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip)
  and thereby wish to change my vote to Approve.

  or this one:

  I am satisfied with the resolution of my comments X, Y, and
  Z... in the comment Resolution database attached to this email
  (80216-05_042r2_Wed.zip but wish to retain my Disapprove vote.
```

We need this information before the start of the 802.16 Closing Plenary on Thursday
evening, July 21. We would appreciate very much a timely consideration.

Thanks and Regards,

Remi Chayer

mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

at the request of
Jon Labs
TG Maintenance Chair
==
From: Yigal [yigall@runcom.co.il]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 3:04 PM
To: 'Jonathan Labs'
Subject: RE: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum -
VERY URGENT

Hi Jon,

Having received the information regarding how my comment #170 was resolved, I change my
vote to approve.

BR,
Yigal

From: Jonathan Labs [mailto:jlabs@wavesat.com]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 7:55 PM
To: rabhalla@ztesandiego.com; david.castelow@ieee.org; Mark.Cudak@motorola.com;
panyuh@samsung.com; yigall@runcom.co.il; Mark.a.lipford@mail.sprint.com;
Serge.M.Manning@mail.sprint.com
Subject: RE: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum -
VERY URGENT

Dear Balloter,

Please send me an email update as soon as possible on your latest status. To my record, I
did not yet receive confirmation an email note on the status of your vote.

Thanks,
Jon

  From: Rémi Chayer [mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com]
  Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 8:25 PM

To: rabhalla@ztesandiego.com; david.castelow@ieee.org; Mark.Cudak@motorola.com; giesberts@motorola.com; panyuh@samsung.com; yigall@runcom.co.il; ppiggin@cygnuscom.com; lwang@cygnuscom.com; ran.yaniv@alvarion.com; pyzhu@nortel.com; ilan.zohar@intel.com; j.carlo@ieee.org; mhfong@nortelnetworks.com; john.j.humbert@mail.sprint.com; dvj@alum.mit.edu; Ivy.y.kelly@mail.sprint.com; lalit.kotecha@verizonwireless.com; thomaslee@huawei.com; Mark.a.lipford@mail.sprint.com; Serge.M.Manning@mail.sprint.com; chris.e.seagren@mail.sprint.com; jungje.son@samsung.com; wentong@nortel.com; mattias.wennstrom@huawei.com

Cc: jlabs@wavesat.com

Subject: VERY URGENT - Sponsor Ballot Disapprove Vote on 802.16-2004 Corrigendum - VERY URGENT

Importance: High

Dear Balloter,

Please, reply to an earlier e-mail I sent you yesterday concerning the sponsor ballot for the 802.16-2004 Corrigendum. We must know not only if you are changing your vote from Disapprove to Approve, but also which specific comments have been satisfied if you decide to maintain your Disapprove vote. This way we can define which specific comments were behind the Disapprove votes.

Please, use the template that was provided in the earlier message. Thanks in advance for your timely consideration and response. Best Regards,

Remi Chayer

mailto:rchayer@wavesat.com

Cell.: +1 (514) 892-4768

At the request of

Jon Labs